

SECURITY TARGET

CITADEL HERCULES® ENTERPRISE VULNERABILITY MANAGEMENT (EVM)

VERSION 4.1

Document No. 1517-011-D001

Version 1.1, 3 August 2006

Prepared for:

Citadel Security Software Inc. Two Lincoln Centre 5420 LBJ Freeway, Suite 1600 Dallas, Texas 75240

Prepared by:

Electronic Warfare Associates-Canada, Ltd. 55 Metcalfe St., Suite 1600 Ottawa, Ontario K1P 6L5



Security Target

Citadel Hercules® Enterprise Vulnerability Management (EVM)

Version 4.1

Document No. 1517-011-D001

Version 1.1, 3 August 2006

<Original> Approved by:

Project Engineer:	Sam Moore	<u>3 August 2006</u>
Project Manager:	Mark Gauvreau	<u>3 August 2006</u>
Program Director:	E. Connor	<u>3 August 2006</u>
	(Signature)	(Date)



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	GENERAL	1
1.2	IDENTIFICATION	1
1.3	PRODUCT OVERVIEW	2
1.4	CONVENTIONS, TERMINOLOGY AND ACRONYMS	5
1.4.1	Conventions	5
1.4.2	Terms	
1.4.3	Acronyms	8
2	TARGET OF EVALUATION DESCRIPTION	9
2.1	EVALUATED CONFIGURATIONS	9
2.1.1	Overview	9
2.1.2	Standalone	
2.1.3	Appliance	
2.1.4 2.2	Distributed	
2.2	TOE BOUNDARY	
2.2.1	Product Operational Concept 1	0
3	TOE SECURITY ENVIRONMENT 1	17
3.1	ASSUMPTIONS 1	17
3.2	THREATS 1	8
3.3	ORGANIZATIONAL SECURITY POLICIES	9
4	SECURITY OBJECTIVES	20
4.1	SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE TOE	20
4.2	ENVIRONMENT SECURITY OBJECTIVES	20
5	IT SECURITY REQUIREMENTS 2	
5.1	INTRODUCTION	22
5.2	TOE SECURITY FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS	22
5.3	SECURITY FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS FOR THE IT ENVIRONMENT 3	34
5.4	INFORMATION FLOW CONTROL SECURITY FUNCTIONAL POLICIES 3	39
5.4.1	Hercules® EVM Server to Client Information Flow Control Security Functional Policy (SERVER SFP)	39
5.4.2	Vulnerability Scanner Import Information Flow Control Security Functional Policy (IMPORT_SFP)	
5.4.3	Data Exchange Information Flow Control Security Functional Policy (EXCHANGE_SFP)	
5.4.4	Administrator Access Control Security Functional Policy (ADMIN_ACCESS SFP)4	



5.4.5	Network Access Information Flow Control Security Functional Policy	4.1
5.5	(CONNECT_SFP) TOE SECURITY ASSURANCE REQUIREMENTS	
0.0		
6	TOE SUMMARY SPECIFICATION	43
6.1	TOE SECURITY FUNCTIONS	
6.2	ASSURANCE MEASURES	48
7	PROTECTION PROFILE CLAIMS	49
8	RATIONALE	50
8.1	SECURITY OBJECTIVES RATIONALE	50
8.2	SECURITY REQUIREMENTS RATIONALE	
8.3	SECURITY FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENT DEPENDENCIES	60
8.4	SECURITY ASSURANCE REQUIREMENT DEPENDENCIES	
8.5	TOE SUMMARY SPECIFICATION RATIONALE	
8.6	TOE ASSURANCE MEASURES RATIONALE	68

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 - Hercules Standalone Network Architecture	10
Figure 2 - Hercules Distributed Network Architecture	13
Figure 3 - TOE Boundary Diagram	15

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1 - Summary of CC Part 2 Security Functional Requirements	. 23
Table 2 - Summary of Security Requirements for the Environment	. 35
Table 3 - EAL 3 Assurance Requirements	. 42
Table 4 - Mapping of Security Objectives to Threats and Assumptions	. 50
Table 5 - Mapping of Security Functional Requirements to Security Objectives	. 56
Table 6 - Security Functional Requirement Dependencies	. 61
Table 7 - Security Assurance Requirement Dependencies	. 63
Table 8 - Mapping of Security Functions to Security Functional Requirements	. 64
Table 9 - Mapping of Assurance Measures to Assurance Requirements	. 69

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 GENERAL

This introductory section presents security target (ST) identification information, an overview of the product and an overview of the ST structure. A brief discussion of the ST development methodology is also provided.

An ST document provides the basis for the evaluation of an information technology (IT) product or system under the Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation (CC). Within the ST the product or system which is being evaluated is referred to as the Target of Evaluation (TOE). An ST principally defines:

- A set of assumptions about the security aspects of the environment, a list of threats which the product is intended to counter, and any known rules with which the product must comply (see Section 3, Security Environment).
- A set of security objectives and a set of security requirements are presented in Sections 4 and 5, Security Objectives and IT Security Requirements, respectively.
- The IT security functions provided by the TOE which meet that set of requirements (see Section 6, TOE Summary Specification).

The structure and contents of this ST comply with the requirements specified in the CC, Part 1, Annex B and Part 3, Chapter 8.

1.2 IDENTIFICATION

Title:	Security Target Citadel Hercules Enterprise Vulnerability Management Version 4.1
TOE:	Hercules [®] EVM
Registration :	383-4-47
Common Criteria Conformance Claim	The TOE is CC Part 2 conformant and CC Part 3 conformant.
Evaluation Assurance Level (EAL) :	The TOE is EAL 3 conformant.
Protection Profile Conformance:	The TOE does not claim conformance with any Protection Profile (PP).
Common Criteria Identification:	Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Version 2.2 r326, December 2004, with all current approved interpretations.



International Standard: ISO/IEC 15408:1999

Authors:

This document has been written by EWA-Canada on behalf of Citadel Security Software Inc.

1.3 PRODUCT OVERVIEW

The Hercules® EVM is a network security administration tool that is intended to be used in conjunction with advanced network vulnerability assessments.

The purpose of the product is to enable the deliberate and controlled compliance checking, remote, enterprise vulnerability management (EVM) of all classes of identified network vulnerabilities on large-scale enterprise level Windows[®], Mac OS X[®], and Unix (AIX[®]/HP-UX[®]/SolarisTM/Linux) based networks, and the enforcement of connection policy based on remediation status.

Hercules® EVM provides network security administrators with the ability to prioritize and remediate vulnerabilities using automated fixes that have been developed, tested, verified as being correct and validated as being appropriate, by trusted and dedicated IT security professionals.

New vulnerabilities are being discovered on a daily basis. It has been estimated that it takes approximately one manhour of labour to manually correct one vulnerability on one client machine. For all but the smallest networks, manually correcting vulnerabilities imposes an unacceptable workload and cost for valuable and often scarce network and security administration resources. The Hercules® EVM product overcomes this problem with Enterprise Vulnerability Management (EVM). Hercules® EVM offers the following significant features:

- Interoperability Hercules® EVM supports many industry leading vulnerability assessment scanners. For the complete list see F.IMPDATA.
- Multi-tiered Architecture The Hercules® EVM Administrator Console can be configured to manage multiple Hercules® EVM Servers.
- Administrator Control Administrators maintain complete control over the selection of which vulnerabilities are to be remediated.
- Multiple O/S Support In addition to Windows[®] platforms, Hercules[®] EVM supports Mac OS X[®], UNIX (AIX[®]/HP-UX[®]/SolarisTM) and Linux (Red Hat[®]).
- Reporting Detailed reports organize the vulnerability remediation data and can be used to measure the ongoing success of frequent vulnerability remediation cycles. In



enterprise reporting mode, these reports may be aggregated to report data across multiple servers.

- Consistent Remediation Hercules® EVM provides a consistent method of remediation across an entire network; it does not depend on the skill level of individual technicians when resolving vulnerabilities.
- Device Grouping Administrators can place devices into logical groups and schedule remediation by groups.
- Device Discovery Discovery of network devices from a Windows[®] Active Directory or NT Domain structure as well as importing from a flat file or user-defined IP address range.
- Device Inventory With AssetGuard, users are able to perform inventory data collection on specific devices.
- Device Query This search mechanism can use inventoried device data properties in their query when locating devices that match a specific criteria.
- ActionPacks Administrators can associate groups of vulnerabilities with Device Queries, allowing for an accurate application of security policy enforcement.
- Enhanced Security Inclusion of pre-defined roles for role-based authentication and device group access control. Pre-defined tasks for use with roles that correspond to major functions that can be performed by the Hercules[®] Administrator.
- Roll-back Capabilities Administrators have the ability to roll-back system changes and patch installations when necessary.
- V-Flash Administrators can stay current on the latest vulnerability remediation signatures through the Hercules® EVM V-Flash update service.
- Remediation Policies Users can define remediation policies for a single device or group of devices.
- Compliance-Only capability Users assigned the compliance checker role can evaluate compliance of their network devices to policies without the ability to automate the remediation of vulnerabilities on non-compliant devices.
- Policy Enforcement When you enforce a policy, remedies are applied regardless of detected vulnerabilities. With ConnectGuardTM, disconnected machines are prevented from gaining access to the network until remedies have been applied that comply with the organizations security policy.
- Best Practices Hercules® EVM offers complete support for the 'best practices' of vulnerability remediation.



- Optional Hercules Appliance The Hercules Server is available on a preconfigured server device.
- Optional Distributed Architecture Remote deployment of the Hercules Channel Server and File Download Server components allow for an improved flow of data to areas that are geographically distant or across wide enterprise networks, thus optimizing network bandwidth and server capacity. (In a basic configuration the Hercules Server component encompasses the Channel Server and File Download Server on one platform).

At a high level, Hercules® EVM is designed to:

- Aggregate vulnerability and remediation information from leading sources including SecurityFocus, BugTraq, CERTs and other internet sources.
- Import scan information from vulnerability scanners and combine this information to perform remediation from a single source.
- Create profiles and remediation signatures that match scanner-independent vulnerability information and client machines with their corresponding remediations.
- Allow an administrator to target network machines for automated remediation.
- Support CVE compliance by displaying CVE identifiers and supporting searching using these identifiers.

Fundamentally, the Hercules[®] EVM product provides enterprise administrators with the ability to manage a large-scale vulnerability remediation process in a manner that is both systematic and comprehensive. Today many organizations employ an incomplete hybrid of manual and partially automated techniques that are often implemented in an ad-hoc manner. Hercules[®] EVM is a tool that is intended to bring a defined and systematic maturity into these security-critical processes.

In a Windows[®] environment, Hercules[®] EVM is a product that provides and includes all of the functionality typically associated with the vulnerability remediation capabilities of commercial and open source vulnerability scanners. These typically provide registry fixes for Windows[®] machines. However, this type of vulnerability only represents a small sub-set of the vulnerabilities that require remediation. The Hercules[®] EVM product expands this set to include the automated remediation of vulnerabilities associated with the following five classes of vulnerabilities:

- Software Defects Hot fixes, patches, registry settings, etc.
- Unnecessary/Insecure Services Telnet, Remote Access, FTP etc.
- Insecure Accounts Null Passwords, Admin No Password, etc.
- **Back Doors** NetBus, BackOrifice, SubSeven etc.



• Miss-Configurations – NetBIOS, file system privileges, Null Sessions etc.

The Hercules[®] EVM product is designed to operate on standard TCP/IP networks and can remediate vulnerabilities on Windows[®], Mac OS X[®], UNIX (AIX[®]/HP-UX[®]/SolarisTM) and Linux (Red Hat[®]) based clients.

The Hercules® EVM human machine interface (HMI) provides the user with complete control over the functionality of the product. The HMI allows the user to specify:

- An automated frequency with which client systems will request updated vulnerability remediations.
- Manual remediations for selected client machines.
- Specific vulnerabilities which will not be remediated.

1.4 CONVENTIONS, TERMINOLOGY AND ACRONYMS

This section identifies the formatting conventions used to convey additional information and terminology having specific meaning. It also defines the meanings of abbreviations and acronyms used throughout the remainder of this document

1.4.1 Conventions

This section describes the conventions used to denote CC operations on security requirements and to distinguish text with special meaning. The notation, formatting and conventions used in this ST are largely consistent with those used in the CC. Selection presentation choices are discussed here to aid the ST reader.

The CC allows several operations to be performed on functional requirements; *assignment*, *iteration*, *refinement* and *selection* are defined in paragraph 156 of the CC/CEM v2.2 r326.

- The assignment operation is used to assign a specific value to an unspecified parameter, such as the length of a password. An assignment is indicated by showing the value in italicised text within square brackets [assignment: *values*].
- The refinement operation is used to add detail to a requirement, and thus further restricts a requirement. Refinement of security requirements is denoted by **bold** text. There are no refinements within this ST.
- The selection operation is used to select one or more options provided by the CC in stating a requirement. Selections are denoted by italicised text within square brackets [selection: *value(s)*].
- The iteration operation is used to apply a security functional requirement to more than one aspect of the TOE. Iterations are denoted by assigning a number at the functional component level, e.g., "FDP_ACC.1, Subset access control (1)" and "FDP_ACC.1, Subset access control (2)".



1.4.2 Terms

This section describes the terms that are used throughout this ST. When possible, terms are defined as they exist in the CC.

Assets	Information or resources to be protected by the countermeasures of a TOE.
Attack	An attempt to bypass security controls on an IT System. The attack may alter, release or deny data. Whether an attack will succeed depends on the vulnerability of the IT System and the effectiveness of existing countermeasures.
Audit	The independent examination of records and activities to ensure compliance with established controls, policy and operational procedures and to recommend indicated changes in controls, policy or procedures.
Audit Trail	In an IT System, a chronological record of system resource usage, this includes user login, file access or other activities and whether any actual or attempted security violations occurred, legitimate and unauthorised.
Authentication	To establish the validity of a claimed user or object.
Availability	Assuring information and communications services will be ready for use when expected.
Compromise	An intrusion into an IT System where unauthorised disclosure, modification or destruction of sensitive information may have occurred.
Confidentiality	Assuring information will be kept secret, with access limited to appropriate persons.
Evaluation	Assessment of a PP, a ST or a TOE, against defined criteria.
Information Technology (IT) System	May range from a computer system to a computer network.
Integrity	Assuring information will not be accidentally or maliciously altered or destroyed.
IT Product	A package of IT software, firmware and/or hardware providing functionality designed for use or incorporation within a multiplicity of systems.
Doc No: 1517-011-D001	Version: 1.1Date: 3 August 2006Page 6 of 71



Network	Two or more machines interconnected for communications.
Protection Profile (PP)	An implementation independent set of security requirements for a category of TOE that meet specific consumer needs.
Security	A condition that results from the establishment and maintenance of protective measures that ensure a state of inviolability from hostile acts or influences.
Security Policy	The set of laws, rules and practices that regulate how an organisation manages, protects and distributes sensitive information.
Security Target (ST)	A set of security requirements and specification to be used as the basis for evaluation of an identified TOE.
Target of Evaluation (TOE)	An IT product or system and its associated administrator and user guidance documentation that is the subject of an evaluation.
Threat	The means through which the ability or intent of a threat agent to adversely affect an automated system, facility or operation can be manifest. A potential violation of security.
TOE Security Functions (TSF)	A set of all hardware, software and firmware of the TOE that must be relied upon for the correct enforcement of the
	TSP.
TOE Security Policy (TSP)	A set of rules that regulate how assets are managed, protected and distributed within a TOE.
	A set of rules that regulate how assets are managed,
(TSP)	A set of rules that regulate how assets are managed, protected and distributed within a TOE. Data created by and for the TOE that might affect the
(TSP) TSF Data	A set of rules that regulate how assets are managed, protected and distributed within a TOE. Data created by and for the TOE that might affect the operation of the TOE. The set of interactions that can occur with or within a TOE



1.4.3 Acronyms

EVM	Enterprise Vulnerability Management
CC	Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation
CERT	Computer Emergency Response Team
СМ	Configuration Management
CVE	Common Vulnerabilities and Exposures
EAL	Evaluation Assurance Level
HMI	Human Machine Interface
IT	Information Technology
O/S	Operating System
SSH	Secure Shell
SSL	Secure Sockets Layer
ST	Security Target
TCP/IP	Transmission Control Protocol / Internet Protocol
TOE	Target of Evaluation
TSC	TOE Scope of Control
TSF	TOE Security Functions
TSP	TOE Security Policy



2 TARGET OF EVALUATION DESCRIPTION

2.1 EVALUATED CONFIGURATIONS

2.1.1 Overview

The Hercules® EVM product is designed to facilitate the automatic vulnerability remediation of devices on a network. The product imports vulnerability information from a number of third party commercial vulnerability scanner products and consolidates this information into a single view of the vulnerabilities of each device in the network. The product provides a sequence of automatically executable remediation steps known as a 'remediation signature' which will correct each recognized vulnerability. Users of the product may download new signatures from the 'V-flash' server operated by Citadel Security Software. The Hercules® EVM product provides an interface which allows users to view the listed vulnerabilities of devices on the network. Logical groupings of devices may be defined. An automatic remediation schedule may be defined for a group. In addition, a specific list of vulnerabilities to be remediated, known as a 'remediation profile' may be defined for the group.

The Hercules® EVM product is to be evaluated in three configurations:

- Standalone;
- Appliance; and
- Distributed.

The three configurations are described in separate sections below. They contain the same components, and differ only in packaging. The TOE is software only and is identified as build 4.1.0.180.

2.1.2 Standalone

The Standalone configuration of the Hercules® EVM product is shown in Figure 1.





Figure 1 - Hercules Standalone Network Architecture

The Hercules® EVM Version 4.1 product consists of:

a. The Hercules® EVM Administrator Console executing on an Intel[®] Pentium based PC running Windows[®] 2000 Server with Service Pack 4, Windows[®] 2000 Advanced Server with Service Pack 4, Windows 2000 Professional with Service Pack 4, Windows[®] XP Professional with Service Pack 2, Windows[®] Server 2003 Standard Edition or Windows[®] Server 2003 Enterprise Edition as the operating system. Internet Explorer 5.5 or above, Microsoft .NET Framework v1.1, and Adobe Acrobat ReaderTM 5.0 or higher are also required. The minimum hardware requirements for the Hercules® EVM Administrator Console are specified in the Citadel Hercules® EVM Enterprise Vulnerability Management Installation Guide. The required setup of



the Hercules® EVM Administrator Console is described in the Hercules® EVM Security Configuration Guide.

- b. One or more Hercules® EVM Server(s) executing on an Intel[®] Pentium based PC running Windows[®] 2000 Server with Service Pack 4, Windows[®] 2000 Advanced Server with Service Pack 4, Windows[®] Server 2003 Standard Edition or Windows[®] Server 2003 Enterprise Edition as the operating system. For the Windows[®] 2000 server family IIS 5.0 is also required. For the Windows[®] Server 2003 family IIS 6.0 is also required. Internet Explorer 6.0 with service pack 1, Adobe Acrobat Reader[™] 5.0 or higher, Microsoft SQL Server 2000 SP3A and Microsoft SQL Server 2005, Microsoft Reporting Services SP1, Microsoft .NET Framework v1.1, Microsoft ASP.Net are required for all installations. The minimum hardware requirements for a Hercules® EVM Server are specified in the Citadel Hercules® EVM Enterprise Vulnerability Management Installation Guide. The required setup of a Hercules® EVM Server is described in the Hercules® EVM Security Configuration Guide.
- c. One or more network devices with Hercules® EVM Client Version 4.1 installed on a supported Windows[®] operating system. The supported versions of the Windows[®] operating system are Windows[®] NT 4.0 Workstation with Service Pack 6, Windows[®] NT 4.0 Standard Server with Service Pack 6, Windows[®] NT 4.0 Terminal Server with Service Pack 6, Windows[®] 2000 Professional with any service pack, Windows[®] 2000 Server with any service pack, Windows[®] 2000 Advanced Server with any service pack, Windows[®] Server 2003 Standard Edition, Windows[®] Server 2003 Small Business Edition, Windows[®] Server 2003 Web Edition, and Windows[®] Server 2003 Enterprise Edition. For Windows[®] NT 4.0 platforms, Internet Explorer 5.5 with service pack 2 or above is also required. The minimum system requirements for Windows[®] Clients are specified in the Citadel Hercules® EVM Enterprise Vulnerability Management Installation Guide.
- d. One or more network devices with Hercules® EVM Client Version 4.1 installed on a supported version of the UNIX operating system. The supported versions of the UNIX operating system are Solaris[™] 2.6, 7, 8, 9, 10, Linux Red Hat® 7.3, 8, 9, 2.1 (AS, ES, WS), 3(AS, ES, WS), 4 (AS, ES, WS), AIX® 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, HP-UX® 11.0, 11iv1, and Tru64® 5.1B. Open SSH v3.5p1 or higher, Open SSL 0.96 or higher, Sudo v1.6.7 or later are also required. The minimum system requirements for UNIX Clients are specified in the Citadel Hercules® EVM Enterprise Vulnerability Management Installation Guide.
- e. One or more network devices with Hercules® EVM Client Version 4.1 installed on a supported version of the Mac operating system. The supported versions of the Mac operating system are Mac OS X 10.2, 10.3, and 10.4. Open SSH v3.5p1 or higher, SSL/HTTPS enabled with Open SSL 0.96 or higher, Sudo v1.6.7 or later are also required. The minimum system requirements for Mac Clients are specified in the Citadel Hercules® EVM Enterprise Vulnerability Management Installation Guide.



2.1.3 Appliance

The Hercules® EVM Appliance configuration is identical to the Standalone configuration, as shown in Figure 1. The difference is that Citadel provides the hardware as well as the software. This results in a standalone package that may be conveniently inserted into an existing network with minimal configuration on the customer's part.

The product identification is SYS-G-CIT100-000, where SYS-G-CIT100 represents the appliance model, and the minor number (000) can be used to signify additional configuration options such as hard drive size and memory.

2.1.4 Distributed

The distributed Hercules[®] EVM configuration is shown in Figure 2. In this configuration, the Hercules Channel Server and the Hercules Download Server may be installed separately from the Hercules Server. The Hercules Channel Server and the Hercules Download Server have the same operating system support requirements as the Hercules Server.





Figure 2 - Hercules Distributed Network Architecture

2.2 TOE BOUNDARY

The TOE Boundary for the Hercules[®] EVM product is shown in Figure 3. The TOE consists of:

a. the Administrator Console software;



- b. the Hercules Server software;
- c. the Channel Server software;
- d. the Download Server software;
- e. the UNIX Client software;
- f. the Windows Client software; and
- g. the Mac Client software.

The TOE operates in an environment that consists of:

- a. the operating systems supporting the TOE software;
- b. the hardware platforms on which the TOE software runs; and
- c. third party software supporting the TOE software.

All interaction between the parts of the TOE takes place through the intermediary of the environment and the externals interact with the TOE through the intermediary of the environment.





Figure 3 - TOE Boundary Diagram

The third-party software supporting the TOE consists of

- a. Adobe Acrobat;
- b. Microsoft SQL Server;
- c. Microsoft Reporting Services;
- d. InstallShield installer;
- e. WodSSH library for SSH communications;
- f. Tom Sawyer for network mapping; and
- g. Infragistics Windows Control Library.



These software products are obtained as compiled libraries and linked to the Citadel code or as standalone applications that are interfaced to the Citadel product.

2.2.1 Product Operational Concept

The Hercules[®] EVM product is designed for the use of network administrators and it is assumed that these users are appropriately trained and experienced. Further, it is assumed that the user does not have malicious intent and configures the product and its host platforms in accordance with the guidance documentation. The product will not prevent a user from carelessly configuring or using the Hercules[®] EVM such that network protection is compromised.

The Hercules® EVM product consists of the following major components:

- The *Hercules*® *EVM Administrator Console* provides the HMI for the product. It uses SSL-based communications with the Hercules® EVM Server(s), and has the ability to interact with Windows[®] user accounts, domain privileges and NTFS privileges. It authenticates (using Windows[®] integrated authentication) to Internet Information Server on the Hercules® EVM server. The Hercules® EVM Administrator Console is designed to be installed and used on a trusted and appropriately configured and controlled Windows[®] machine that is used for network administration. Users of the Hercules® EVM Administrator Console require full administrative privileges on the machine running the console as well as the Hercules® EVM Server and all client machines. The Hercules® EVM Administrator Console provides the HMI for the product and includes the display and input devices through which the user interacts with the Hercules® EVM application.
- The *Hercules*® *EVM Server* using a basic configuration comprising the Hercules Server, File Download Server, and Channel Server Windows[®] service(s) that communicates with the Hercules® EVM Client to distribute remediation profiles and gather remediation progress data. Multiple Hercules® EVM Servers may be deployed within a network and administered from a single Hercules® EVM Administrator Console. The Hercules® EVM Server is designed to be installed and used on a trusted and appropriately configured and controlled Windows[®] server.
- The *Hercules*® *EVM Windows*[®] *Clients* are services that perform remediation activities on client machines. The clients establish HTTPS/SSL-based communication to the Hercules® EVM Server.
- The *Hercules*® *EVM Unix Clients*, provide functionality which is equivalent to Windows[®] client capabilities. Unix clients require a root account to install, configure, and execute Unix daemons, use of Unix file system access control and the use of ssh for installation.
- The *Hercules*® *EVM Mac Clients*, provide functionality which is equivalent to Windows[®] client capabilities. Mac clients require a root account to install, configure, and execute Mac daemons, use of sudo access control and the use of ssh for installation.

3 TOE SECURITY ENVIRONMENT

3.1 ASSUMPTIONS

The following conditions are assumed to exist in the operational environment:

A.BACKUP	The organization operating the TOE has good backup and recovery procedures which are followed; allowing the TOE to be recovered to a secure configuration after a hardware failure.
A.CMS	In an environment where the Hercules® EVM client software is installed by remote means on Windows client using the Hercules® EVM Client Management Services (CMS), the server and clients are assumed to reside on a protected network.
A.CONFIG	The servers running the Remediation Server and the Administrator Console have been configured securely as described in the Guidance documents and are maintained in that secure configuration. In particular: a. They are configured with the minimal operating system features installed and / or enabled to permit operation of the TOE. b. They are configured with minimal system privileges. c. They are configured with user accounts for authorized system administrators only and do not provide any end user accounts.
A.GOODOS	The Operating System of the client machines has been configured in accordance with the Hercules® EVM Security Configuration Guide and therefore may be trusted to function correctly for those OS functions required by the TOE component that is installed on the client machine.
A.KNOWLEDGE	TOE Users have knowledge of the Windows [®] 2000/XP/2003 operating systems, networking technology and general IT security practices.
A.NOEVIL	TOE Users are non hostile and follow all guidance documents.
A.PHYSICAL	The Server and Administrator elements of the TOE are physically secure and only authorized personnel have physical access to these elements of the TOE.
A.TOEUSER	Access to the TOE is restricted to authorized users. Authorized users are assigned to roles that in turn provide access to the administrative functions associated with that role. A TOE user is capable of performing only the administrative tasks inherited by their assigned roles. For the remainder of this document the phrase 'TOE User' shall be employed to represent any authorized user with administrative privileges.



3.2 THREATS

The threats discussed below are addressed by a compliant TOE. The threat agents are either human users or external IT entities not authorized to use the TOE. Additionally, threat agents may be users with administrative privileges that introduce vulnerabilities by inadvertently miss-configuring network systems from a security perspective. Threat agents are assumed to have a low level of sophistication, but may have knowledge of vulnerabilities and access to attack methods which are in the public domain. The TOE is not designed to withstand attack by sophisticated, highly motivated or well funded threat agents. The assets that are subject to attack are the components of the TOE itself and / or the resources of the client systems protected by the TOE.

T.BADDATA	A network attacker may attempt to provide the Remediation Server with erroneous remediation information in an attempt to compromise the Client systems.
T.CLIENT	An unauthorized person may have administrator / root control of one of the client systems and may use that control to attempt to compromise the Remediation Server.
T.CONSOLE	A network attacker may attempt to gain control of the TOE through the Hercules® EVM Administration Console.
T.EXPLOIT	A network attacker may attempt to exploit vulnerabilities on a client system protected by the TOE in order to gain unauthorized access to the resources of the client system.
T.NETEXPLOIT	A network attacker may attempt to exploit vulnerabilities on a client system protected by the TOE in an attempt to compromise other network resources.
T.OS	An unauthorized user may attempt to gain access over the operating system by bypassing a security mechanism and use this access to elevate his/her privileges over TOE functions and/or data.
T.REMSERVER	A network attacker may attempt to gain control of the Hercules® EVM Remediation Server
T.SNIFF	A network attacker may intercept and monitor communications between the Remediation Server and the Client systems and use the information gained to compromise the Remediation Server and / or a Client system.
T.SNIFFSCAN	A network attacker may monitor communications between the Remediation Server and a vulnerability scanner to learn vulnerabilities of client systems.
T.SPOOF	A network attacker may attempt to imitate the Remediation Server and provide erroneous remediation information to a client system in order to compromise the client.
T.SPOOFCLIENT	A network attacker may attempt to imitate a client system in order to



gain information about the vulnerabilities of the client system.

T.SPOOFSCAN A network attacker may attempt to provide the Remediation Server with erroneous vulnerability assessment information in an attempt to prevent the remediation of vulnerable network systems.

3.3 ORGANIZATIONAL SECURITY POLICIES

There is no requirement for the TOE to comply with any organizational security policy statements or rules.

4 SECURITY OBJECTIVES

4.1 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE TOE

O.ADMIN	The TOE must provide to authorized administrators a set of administrative functions that allow the effective management of TOE operations and security functions.
O.USERAUTH	The TOE must provide a mechanism for the identification and authentication of users to the TOE.
O.CLIENTPROT	The TOE must protect itself against attacks initiated by client systems.
O.CLIENTREM	The TOE must provide effective remediation of known and reported vulnerabilities for client systems.
O.HMI	The TOE must provide a controlled interface to its functionality such that only authorized TOE users are able to access the interface.
O.KNOWN	The TOE must ensure that legitimate users of the system are identified before rights of access can be granted.
O.NETATK	The TOE must protect itself against network attackers.
O.REMDATA	The TOE must ensure that its remediation data is obtained from trusted sources and must provide a mechanism to ensure the integrity of this data.
O.SCANDATA	The TOE must ensure that its scanner data is obtained from trusted sources and must provide a mechanism to ensure the confidentiality and integrity of this data.

O.USERDATA The TOE must ensure that exported user data is secure.

4.2 ENVIRONMENT SECURITY OBJECTIVES

The list below details the security objectives for the environment in which the TOE resides. These objectives are to be met through the application of procedural and / or administrative measures. They do not impose any additional security requirements upon the TOE.

OE.AUTHUSER	Only authorized personnel are permitted physical access to the TOE.
OE.BACKUP	Good backup and recovery procedures for the TOE must be in place.
OE.DOMAIN	The host operating system will provide domain separation and ensure that the TOE cannot be tampered with.
OE.GOODOS	Those portions of the client operating system required for the correct operation of the TOE must function correctly.



OE.GOODUSER	Knowledgeable, non malicious users with system administrator privileges must be assigned to install, configure, administer, operate and maintain the TOE.
OE.GUIDANCE	The administrator(s) responsible for the TOE must ensure that the TOE is installed, configured, administered and operated in accordance with the guidance documents.
OE.PROTCOM	The operating system and environment in which the TOE is to be installed must support the use of digital certificates for identification and authentication as well as SSL/SSH protocols to support the protection of communications between components.
OE.SECURECOM	The network on which the TOE resides must protect the confidentiality and integrity of information exchanged between the distributed elements of the TOE when client machines are initially installed remotely using the Hercules® EVM Client Management Service (CMS).



5 IT SECURITY REQUIREMENTS

5.1 INTRODUCTION

Section 5 provides security functional and assurance requirements that must be satisfied by a compliant TOE operating in a defined environment. The requirements consist of functional components from Part 2 of the CC and an Evaluation Assurance Level (EAL) containing assurance components from Part 3 of the CC.

5.2 TOE SECURITY FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS

The security functional requirements for the TOE consist of the following components from Part 2 of the CC, summarized in Table 1.

CC Part 2 Security Functional Components	
Identifier	Name
FAU_GEN.1	Audit data generation
FAU_GEN.2	User identity association
FAU_SAR.1	Audit review
FAU_SAR.2	Restricted audit review
FDP_ACC.2	Complete access control
FDP_ACF.1	Security attribute based access control
FDP_ETC.1	Export of user data without security attributes
FDP_IFC.1 (1)	Subset information flow control
FDP_IFC.1 (2)	Subset information flow control
FDP_IFC.1 (3)	Subset information flow control
FDP_IFF.1 (1)	Simple security attributes
FDP_IFF.1 (2)	Simple security attributes
FDP_IFF.1 (3)	Simple security attributes
FDP_ITC.1	Import of user data without security attributes
FDP_ROL.1	Basic rollback
FIA_ATD.1	User attribute definition
FIA_UAU.2	User authentication before any action
FIA_UAU.6	Re-authenticating
FIA_UID.2	User identification before any action
FIA_USB.1	User-subject binding



	CC Part 2 Security Functional Components
Identifier	Name
FMT_MOF.1	Management of security functions behaviour
FMT_MSA.1 (1)	Management of security attributes
FMT_MSA.1 (2)	Management of security attributes
FMT_MSA.1 (3)	Management of security attributes
FMT_MSA.3	Static attribute initialization
FMT_MTD.1	Management of TSF data
FMT_REV.1	Revocation
FMT_SMF.1	Specification of management functions
FMT_SMR.1	Security roles
FPT_RVM.1	Non-bypassability of the TSP

Table 1 - Summary of CC Part 2 Security Functional Requirements

FAU_GEN.1	Audit data generation
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
FAU_GEN.1.1	 The TSF shall be able to generate an audit record of the following auditable events: a) Start-up and shutdown of the audit functions; b) All auditable events for the [selection: not specified] level of audit; and c) [assignment: management of Action Packs; management and control of Hercules Clients and the devices on which they are installed; device data import; device queries; device service; device group service; policy enforcement; policy service; remediation service; remedy group service; remedy service; role based security; server; V-flash; vulnerability data import; and vulnerability service].
FAU_GEN.1.2	 The TSF shall record within each audit record at least the following information: a) Date and time of the event, type of event, subject identity, and the outcome (success or failure) of the event; and b) For each audit event type, based on the auditable event definitions of the functional components included in the ST, [assignment: <i>no other audit relevant information</i>]
Dependencies:	FPT_STM.1 Reliable time stamps



FAU_GEN.2	User identity association
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
FAU_GEN.2.1	The TSF shall be able to associate each auditable event with the identity of the user that caused the event.
Dependencies:	FAU_GEN.1 Audit data generation
	FIA_UID.1 Timing of identification

FAU_SAR.1 Audit review

This component will provide authorised users the capability to obtain and interpret the information. In case of human users this information needs to be in a human understandable presentation. In case of external IT entities the information needs to be unambiguously represented in an electronic fashion.

Hierarchical to:	No other components.
FAU_SAR.1.1	The TSF shall provide [assignment: <i>all TOE users</i> who are assigned to the Reporting Role] with the capability to read [assignment: <i>all Hercules logs</i>] from the audit records.
FAU_SAR.1.2	The TSF shall provide the audit records in a manner suitable for the user to interpret the information.
Dependencies:	FAU_GEN.1 Audit data generation
FAU_SAR.2	Restricted audit review
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
FAU_SAR.2.1	The TSF shall prohibit all users read access to the audit records, except those users that have been granted explicit read-access.
Dependencies:	FAU_SAR.1 Audit review
FDP_ACC.2	Complete access control
Hierarchical to:	FDP_ACC.1 Subset access control



FDP_ACC.2.1	The TSF shall enforce the [assignment: <i>ADMIN_ACCESS SFP</i>] on [assignment: <i>subjects:Hercules</i> ® <i>EVM Administrative Console</i> <i>operating in response to authorized users, objects: Hercules</i> ® <i>EVM</i> <i>Servers, : Hercules</i> ® <i>EVM Clients, operations: : Hercules</i> ® <i>EVM</i> <i>administrative tasks</i>] and all operations among subjects and objects covered by the SFP.
FDP_ACC.2.2	The TSF shall ensure that all operations between any subject in the TSC and any object within the TSC are covered by an access control SFP.
Dependencies:	FDP_ACF.1 Security attribute based access control
FDP_ACF.1	Security attribute based access control
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
FDP_ACF.1.1	The TSF shall enforce the [assignment: <i>ADMIN_ACCESS SFP</i>] to objects based on the following: [assignment:
	subjects:Hercules® EVM Administrative Console operating in response to users;
	objects: Hercules® EVM Servers, : Hercules® EVM Clients;
	security attributes: user identification, user assignment to role, role association with task].
FDP_ACF.1.2	The TSF shall enforce the following rules to determine if an operation among controlled subjects and controlled objects is allowed: [assignment: <i>user is assigned to a role that is authorized to perform the controlled operations on the controlled objects</i>].
FDP_ACF.1.3	The TSF shall explicitly authorise access of subjects to objects based on the following additional rules: [assignment: <i>none</i>].
FDP_ACF.1.4	The TSF shall explicitly deny access of subjects to objects based on the [assignment: user is not assigned to a role that is authorized to command the controlled subject (i.e., the default is to deny permission)].
Dependencies:	FDP_ACC.1 Subset access control
	FMT_MSA.3 Static attribute initialisation



FDP_ETC.1	Export of user data without security attributes
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
FDP_ETC.1.1	The TSF shall enforce the [assignment: EXCHANGE_SFP] when exporting user data, controlled under the SFP(s), outside of the TSC.
FDP_ETC.1.2	The TSF shall export the user data without the user data's associated security attributes.
Dependencies:	[FDP_ACC.1 Subset access control, or
	FDP_IFC.1 Subset information flow control]
FDP_IFC.1	Subset information flow control (1)
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
FDP_IFC.1.1 (1)	The TSF shall enforce the [assignment: <i>IMPORT_SFP</i>] on [assignment: <i>Hercules</i> ® <i>EVM Servers when importing vulnerability</i> <i>scan data and vulnerability remediation data from outside the TOE</i> <i>boundary</i>].
Dependencies:	FDP_IFF.1 Simple security attributes
FDP_IFC.1	Subset information flow control (2)
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
FDP_IFC.1.1 (2)	The TSF shall enforce the [assignment: <i>EXCHANGE_SFP</i>] on [assignment: <i>Hercules</i> ® <i>EVM Servers when exporting or importing</i> <i>vulnerability data, Action Packs, custom policies, custom device</i> <i>queries, custom device query collections, and remedies via XML files</i> <i>across the TOE boundary</i>].
Dependencies:	FDP_IFF.1 Simple security attributes
FDP_IFC.1	Subset information flow control (3)
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
FDP_IFC.1.1 (3)	The TSF shall enforce the [assignment: <i>CONNECT_SFP</i>] on [assignment: <i>Hercules</i> ® <i>EVM Clients when determining the network traffic that is permitted to flow to and from the devices on which they reside</i>].
Dependencies:	FDP_IFF.1 Simple security attributes
FDP_IFF.1	Simple security attributes (1)



Hierarchical to:	No other components.
FDP_IFF.1.1 (1)	The TSF shall enforce the [assignment: <i>IMPORT_SFP</i>] based on the following types of subject and information security attributes: [assignment: (1) The identification of an authorized TOE user; and (2) the format of the source data].
FDP_IFF.1.2 (1)	The TSF shall permit an information flow between a controlled subject and controlled information via a controlled operation if the following rules hold: [assignment:
	(1) For the import of Vulnerability Scan data to the server; (a) the file to be imported has been specified by the authorized TOE User; and (2) the file meets the format expected by the TOE for the file purpose.
FDP_IFF.1.3 (1)	The TSF shall enforce the [assignment: <i>no additional information flow control SFP rules</i>].
FDP_IFF.1.4 (1)	The TSF shall provide the following [assignment: <i>no additional SFP capabilities</i>].
FDP_IFF.1.5 (1)	The TSF shall explicitly authorise an information flow based on the following rules: [assignment: <i>none</i>].
FDP_IFF.1.6 (1)	The TSF shall explicitly deny an information flow based on the following rules: [assignment: <i>none</i>].
Dependencies:	FDP_IFC.1 Subset information flow control
	FMT_MSA.3 Static attribute initialisation
FDP_IFF.1	Simple security attributes (2)
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
FDP_IFF.1.1 (2)	The TSF shall enforce the [assignment: <i>EXCHANGE_SFP</i>] based on the following types of subject and information security attributes: [assignment: (1) The identification and authentication of the TOE user; and (2) the format of the source data].
FDP_IFF.1.2 (2)	The TSF shall permit an information flow between a controlled subject and controlled information via a controlled operation if the following rules hold: [assignment:
	(1) For the export of exchange data via XML files from the server, the data to be exported has been specified by the authorized TOE User;



(2) For the import of exchange data via XML files to the server,
(a) the file to be imported has been specified by the authorized TOE
User; and (b) the file meets the format expected by the TOE for the file purpose.

- FDP_IFF.1.3 (2) The TSF shall enforce the [assignment: *no additional information flow control SFP rules*].
- FDP_IFF.1.4 (2) The TSF shall provide the following [assignment: *no additional SFP capabilities*].
- FDP_IFF.1.5 (2) The TSF shall explicitly authorise an information flow based on the following rules: [assignment: *none*].
- FDP_IFF.1.6 (2) The TSF shall explicitly deny an information flow based on the following rules: [assignment: *none*].
- Dependencies: FDP_IFC.1 Subset information flow control

FMT_MSA.3 Static attribute initialisation

- FDP_IFF.1 Simple security attributes (3)
- Hierarchical to: No other components.
- FDP_IFF.1.1 (3) The TSF shall enforce the [assignment: *CONNECT_SFP*] based on the following types of subject and information security attributes: [assignment: (1) The identification of the external device; (2) the remediation status of the external device; and (3) the defined Network Access Policy for network connection for the external device].
- FDP_IFF.1.2 (3) The TSF shall permit an information flow between a controlled subject and controlled information via a controlled operation if the following rules hold: [assignment: *For network access connection of remote devices, the remediation status of the external device satisfies the defined Network Access Policy for that device.*
- FDP_IFF.1.3 (3) The TSF shall enforce the [assignment: *no additional information flow control SFP rules*].
- FDP_IFF.1.4 (3) The TSF shall provide the following [assignment: *no additional SFP capabilities*].
- FDP_IFF.1.5 (3) The TSF shall explicitly authorise an information flow based on the following rules: [assignment: *none*].
- FDP_IFF.1.6 (3) The TSF shall explicitly deny an information flow based on the following rules: [assignment: *none*].





Dependencies:	FDP_IFC.1 Subset information flow control
	FMT_MSA.3 Static attribute initialisation
FDP_ITC.1	Import of user data without security attributes
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
FDP_ITC.1.1	The TSF shall enforce the [assignment: <i>IMPORT_SFP</i> , <i>EXCHANGE_SFP</i>] when importing user data, controlled under the SFP, from outside of the TSC.
FDP_ITC.1.2	The TSF shall ignore any security attributes associated with the user data when imported from outside the TSC.
FDP_ITC.1.3	The TSF shall enforce the following rules when importing user data controlled under the SFP from outside the TSC: [assignment: <i>no additional importation control rules</i>].
Dependencies:	FDP_IFC.1 Subset information flow control
	FMT_MSA.3 Static attribute initialisation
FDP_ROL.1	Basic rollback
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
FDP_ROL.1.1	The TSF shall enforce [assignment: <i>SERVER_SFP</i>] to permit the rollback of the [assignment: <i>automatic vulnerability remediations</i>] on the [assignment: <i>Windows</i> ® <i>client machines</i>].
FDP_ROL.1.2	The TSF shall permit operations to be rolled back within the [assignment: <i>time period between the completion of the remediation that is to be rolled back and the start of the next remediation</i>].
Dependencies:	FDP_IFC.1 Subset information flow control
FIA_ATD.1	User attribute definition
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
FIA_ATD.1.1	The TSF shall maintain the following list of security attributes belonging to individual users: [assignment: <i>user identification, user assignment to role</i>].



Dependencies:	No dependencies.
FIA_UAU.2	User authentication before any action
Hierarchical to:	FIA_UAU.1
FIA_UAU.2.1	The TSF shall require each user to be successfully authenticated before allowing any other TSF-mediated actions on behalf of that user.
Dependencies:	FIA_UID.1 Timing of identification
FIA_UAU.6	Re-authenticating
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
FIA_UAU.6.1	The TSF shall re-authenticate the user under the conditions [assignment: <i>the user attempts to manage the Hercules</i> ® <i>EVMChannel</i> <i>Server or the Hercules</i> ® <i>EVM Download Server</i>].
Dependencies:	No dependencies.
FIA_UID.2	User identification before any action
Hierarchical to:	FIA_UID.1
FIA_UID.2.1	The TSF shall require each user to identify itself before allowing any other TSF-mediated actions on behalf of that user.
Dependencies:	No dependencies.
FIA_USB.1	User-subject binding
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	FIA_ATD.1 User attribute definition
FIA_USB.1.1	The TSF shall associate the following user security attributes with subjects acting on the behalf of that user: [assignment: <i>user identification</i>].



FIA_USB.1.2	The TSF shall enforce the following rules on the initial association of user security attributes with subjects acting on the behalf of users: [assignment: <i>the TSF shall send the user identification with each request sent by a subject</i>].
FIA_USB.1.3	The TSF shall enforce the following rules governing changes to the user security attributes associated with subjects acting on the behalf of users: [assignment: <i>none</i>].
FMT_MOF.1	Management of security functions behaviour
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
FMT_MOF.1.1	The TSF shall restrict the ability to [selection: <i>determine the behaviour of, disable, enable, modify the behaviour of</i>] the functions [assignment: <i>administrative functions</i>] to [assignment: the <i>roles authorized by the ADMIN_ACCESS SFP</i>].
Dependencies:	FMT_SMR.1 Security roles
	FMT_SMF.1 Specification of Management Functions
FMT_MSA.1	Management of security attributes (1)
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
FMT_MSA.1.1 (1)	The TSF shall enforce the [assignment: <i>SERVER_SFP</i>] to restrict the ability to [selection: <i>query</i> , [assignment: <i>none</i>]] the security attributes [assignment: <i>identification and authentication of client machine</i>] to [assignment: <i>Hercules</i> ® <i>EVM Users authorized by the ADMIN_ACCESS SFP</i>].
Dependencies:	FDP_IFC.1 Subset information flow control
	FMT_SMF.1 Specification of management functions
	FMT_SMR.1 Security roles
FMT_MSA.1	Management of security attributes (2)
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
FMT_MSA.1.1 (2)	The TSF shall enforce the [assignment: <i>IMPORT_SFP</i>] to restrict the ability to [selection: <i>query</i> , [assignment: <i>none</i>]] the security attributes [assignment: <i>identification and authentication of client machine</i>] to
Doc No: 1517-011-D001	Version: 1.1Date: 3 August 2006Page 31 of 71



	[assignment: <i>Hercules</i> ® <i>EVM Users authorized by the ADMIN_ACCESS SFP</i>].
Dependencies:	FDP_IFC.1 Subset information flow control
	FMT_SMF.1 Specification of management functions
	FMT_SMR.1 Security roles
FMT_MSA.1	Management of security attributes (3)
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
FMT_MSA.1.1 (3)	The TSF shall enforce the [assignment: <i>ADMIN_ACCESS SFP</i>] to restrict the ability to [selection: <i>create, modify, delete,</i> [assignment: <i>none</i>]] the security attributes [assignment: <i>user identification, assignment of users to roles</i>] to [assignment: <i>Hercules</i> ® <i>EVM System Administrator</i>].
Dependencies:	FDP_IFC.1 Subset information flow control
	FMT_SMF.1 Specification of management functions
	FMT_SMR.1 Security roles
FMT_MSA.3	Static attribute initialisation
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
FMT_MSA.3.1	The TSF shall enforce the [assignment: <i>IMPORT_SFP</i>] to provide [selection: <i>permissive</i>] default values for security attributes that are used to enforce the SFP.
FMT_MSA.3.2	The TSF shall allow the [assignment: <i>authorised TOE users</i>] to specify alternative initial values to override the default values when an object or information is created.
Dependencies:	FMT_MSA.1 Management of security attributes
	FMT_SMR.1 Security roles.
FMT_MTD.1	Management of TSF data
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
FMT_MTD.1.1	The TSF shall restrict the ability to [selection: <i>modify, delete,</i> [assignment: <i>aggregate, display</i>]] the [assignment: <i>vulnerability data,</i>


	remediation data and client system vulnerability and remediation status] to [assignment: Hercules® EVM users authorized by the ADMIN_ACCESS SFP].	
Dependencies:	FMT_SMF.1 Specification of management functions	
	FMT_SMR.1 Security roles	
FMT_REV.1	Revocation	
Hierarchical to:	No other components.	
FMT_REV.1.1	The TSF shall restrict the ability to revoke security attributes associated with the [selection: <i>users, subjects, objects,</i> [assignment: <i>none</i>]] within the TSC to [assignment: <i>the roles authorized by the ADMIN_ACCESS SFP</i>].	
FMT_REV.1.2	The TSF shall enforce the rules [assignment: none].	
Dependencies:	FMT_SMR.1 Security roles	
FMT_SMF.1	Specification of Management Functions	
Hierarchical to:	No other components.	
FMT_SMF.1.1	 The TSF shall be capable of performing the following security management functions: [assignment: a. specifying a list of client systems which are to be subject to automatic vulnerability remediation; b. specifying which vulnerabilities are to be remediated; c. scheduling automatic vulnerability remediations; d. rolling back previously completed remediations; e. performing collection inventory; f. managing compliance; g. configuring network access; and h. defining control policies]. 	
Dependencies:	No dependencies	
FMT_SMR.1	Security roles	
Hierarchical to:	No other components.	



FMT_SMR.1.1	The TSF shall maintain the roles [assignment: as defined by the ADMIN_ACCESS SFP].	
FMT_SMR.1.2	The TSF shall be able to associate users with roles.	
Dependencies:	FIA_UID.1 Timing of identification	
FPT_RVM.1	Non-bypassability of the TSP	
FPT_RVM.1 Hierarchical to:	Non-bypassability of the TSP No other components.	
_		

5.3 SECURITY FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS FOR THE IT ENVIRONMENT

The Hercules® EVM product relies upon the IT environment, which comprises the underlying operating system and third-party software, to provide some of the security features of the product. The security functional requirements for the IT environment consist of the following components from Part 2 of the CC, summarized in Table 2.

CC Part 2 Security Functional Components		
Identifier	Name	
FAU_GEN.1c	Audit data generation	
FAU_SAR.1e	Audit review	
FAU_SEL.1	Selective audit	
FAU_STG.1	Protected audit trail storage	
FDP_IFC.1¢	Information flow control	
FDP_IFF.1e	Simple security attributes	
FDP_ITT.1	Basic internal transfer protection	
FPT_ITT.1	Basic internal TSF data transfer protection	
FPT_RVM.1e	Non-bypassability of the TSP	
FPT_SEP.1	TSF Domain Separation	
FPT_STM.1	Reliable time stamps	
Dee Net 1517 011 D001	Version 11 Deter 2 August 2006 Dece 24 of 71	



Table 2 - Summary of Security Requirements for the Environment

 $\ensuremath{\varepsilon}$ – Denotes the environment iteration for this component

FAU_GEN.1e	Audit data generation
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
FAU_GEN.1.1	 The Environment shall be able to generate an audit record of the following auditable events: a) Start-up and shutdown of the audit functions; b) All auditable events for the [selection: <i>not specified</i>] level of audit; and c) [assignment: <i>use of the Hercules</i>® <i>EVM Client Management Service, Patch Download Service or Vflash Service events in addition to the audit capabilities of the underlying operating system</i>].
FAU_GEN.1.2	 The Environment shall record within each audit record at least the following information: a) Date and time of the event, type of event, subject identity, and the outcome (success or failure) of the event; and b) For each audit event type, based on the auditable event definitions of the functional components included in the ST, [assignment: <i>no other audit relevant information</i>]
Dependencies:	FPT_STM.1 Reliable time stamps
FAU_SAR.1e	Audit review
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	FAU GEN.1c Audit data generation

This component will provide authorised users the capability to obtain and interpret the information. In case of human users this information needs to be in a human understandable presentation. In case of external IT entities the information needs to be unambiguously represented in an electronic fashion.

FAU_SAR.1.1	The Environment shall provide [assignment: all users] with the
	capability to read [assignment: log data retained by the Environment]
	from the audit records.

FAU_SAR.1.2 The Environment shall provide the audit records in a manner suitable for the user to interpret the information.





FAU_SEL.1	Selective audit	
Hierarchical to:	No other components.	
FAU_SEL.1.1	The Environment shall be able to include or exclude auditable events from the set of audited events based on the following attributes: a) [selection: <i>event type</i>] b) [assignment: <i>client machine identification</i>].	
Dependencies:	FAU_GEN.1e Audit data generation	
	FMT_MTD.1 Management of TSF data	
FAU_STG.1	Protected audit trail storage	
Hierarchical to:	No other components.	
FAU_STG.1.1	The Environment shall protect the stored audit records from unauthorised deletion.	
FAU_STG.1.2	The Environment shall be able to [selection, choose one of: prevent] unauthorised modifications to the stored audit records in the audit trail.	
Dependencies:	FAU_GEN.1 Audit data generation	
FDP_IFC.1e	Subset information flow control	
Hierarchical to:	No other components.	
FDP_IFC.1.1	The environment shall enforce the [assignment: SERVER_SFP] on [assignment: Hercules® EVM Servers and client machines when the client machine requests a remediation profile from a Hercules® EVM Server].	
Dependencies:	FDP_IFF.1 Simple security attributes	
FDP_IFF.1e	Simple security attributes	
Hierarchical to:	No other components.	



Doc No: 1517-011-D001	Version: 1.1 Date: 3 August 2006 Page 37 of 71	
Hierarchical to:	No other components.	
FPT_ITT.1	Basic internal TSF data transfer protection	
Dependencies:	FDP_IFC.1 Subset information flow control	
FDP_ITT.1.1	The Environment shall enforce the [assignment: <i>SERVER_SFP</i>] to prevent the [selection: <i>disclosure, modification</i>] of user data when it is transmitted between physically-separated parts of the TOE.	
Hierarchical to:	No other components.	
FDP_ITT.1	Basic internal transfer protection	
	FMT_MSA.3 Static attribute initialisation	
Dependencies:	FDP_IFC.1 Subset information flow control	
TDI_II1.1.0	the following rules: [assignment: none].	
FDP_IFF.1.6	The environment shall explicitly deny an information flow based on	
FDP_IFF.1.5	The environment shall explicitly authorise an information flow based on the following rules: [assignment: <i>none</i>].	
FDP_IFF.1.4	The environment shall provide the following [assignment: <i>no additional SFP capabilities</i>].	
FDP_IFF.1.3	The environment shall enforce the [assignment: <i>no additional information flow control SFP rules</i>].	
FDP_IFF.1.2	The environment shall permit an information flow between a controlled subject and controlled information via a controlled operation if the following rules hold: [assignment: <i>For the transfer of a remediation signature from the Hercules</i> ® <i>EVM Server to a client machine;</i> (a) the requesting client machine has been identified as authorised by the server using either certificates or in the absence of certificates the IP Address, Domain Name or NETBIOS name; and (b) the format of the client machine remediation status information is recognized.	
	[assignment: (1) Identification and authentication of the client machine; and (2) format of client machine remediation status information].	
FDP_IFF.1.1	The environment shall enforce the [assignment: <i>SERVER_SFP</i>] based on the following types of subject and information security attributes:	



Dependencies:	No dependencies.
FPT_ITT.1.1	The Environment shall protect TSF data from [selection: <i>disclosure, modification</i>] when it is transmitted between separate parts of the TOE.
FPT_RVM.1c	Non-bypassability of the TSP
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
FPT_RVM.1.1	The TSF shall ensure that TSP enforcement functions are invoked and succeed before each function within the TSC is allowed to proceed.
Dependencies:	No dependencies.
FPT_SEP.1	TSF domain separation
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
FPT_SEP.1.1	The Environment shall maintain a security domain for its own execution that protects it from interference and tampering by untrusted subjects.
FPT_SEP.1.2	The Environment shall enforce separation between the security domains of subjects in the TSC.
Dependencies:	No dependencies.
FPT_STM.1	Reliable time stamps
Hierarchical to:	No other components.
FPT_STM.1.1	The Environment shall be able to provide reliable time stamps for its own use.
Dependencies:	No dependencies.

5.4 INFORMATION FLOW CONTROL SECURITY FUNCTIONAL POLICIES

5.4.1 Hercules® EVM Server to Client Information Flow Control Security Functional Policy (SERVER_SFP)

The operating environment for the TOE consists of a Hercules® EVM Administrator Console and one or more Hercules® EVM Servers connected in a network with a number of client machines. It is expected that the client machines will contain vulnerabilities which will be automatically remediated by the Hercules® EVM Server on a scheduled basis. In an environment where the client machines are assumed to contain vulnerabilities the possibility always exists that one or more of the client machines have been compromised and may act maliciously towards the TOE. For this reason the only information that a Hercules® EVM Server will accept from any client machine is: (a) the identification of the client machine for authentication purposes when requesting a scheduled remediation, and (b) remediation status information during the course of a remediation session. All other information flow between the Hercules® EVM Server and a Hercules® EVM Client will consist of remediation profiles or rollback instructions (*Windows*® *client machines only*), sent from the Server to the client.

5.4.2 Vulnerability Scanner Import Information Flow Control Security Functional Policy (IMPORT_SFP)

The TOE relies upon data generated by one or more third party vulnerability scanner products in order to identify the vulnerabilities which exist on client machines. These scanner products fall outside the boundary of the TOE. The data generated by the scanners is also initially outside the TOE boundary. However, authorised TOE users, may import data from one of the recognised scanner products across the TOE boundary. If the vulnerability data is selected by an authorised TOE user and conforms to the expected format of data from one of the supported third party scanner products, then the TOE accepts that data as valid vulnerability information.

During the operation of the TOE the update of vulnerability remediation data must be performed on a regular basis. These updates are obtained from the trusted Hercules® EVM V-Flash server which falls outside the TOE boundary. The TOE uses SSL to ensure the fidelity of the data downloaded from the V-Flash server.

5.4.3 Data Exchange Information Flow Control Security Functional Policy (EXCHANGE_SFP)

The TOE allows authorised TOE users to export user data from a Hercules® EVM Server and import the exported data files to the same Hercules® EVM Server or to another Hercules® EVM Server. The export and subsequent import are controlled by the authorized user, who acts through the Hercules® EVM Administrator Console, which then controls the Hercules® EVM Server. This capability provides the ability to backup custom user data and an efficient means of transferring this data to a different Hercules® EVM Server.

The following data items may be transferred individually:

a. Vulnerabilities;



- b. ActionPacks;
- c. Custom Policies;
- d. Custom Device Queries;
- e. Custom Device Query Collections; and
- f. Remedies.

The data is exported as XML files from the Hercules[®] EVM Server within the TOE Boundary to the Environment. The XML files in the TOE Environment are available for transfer to removable media or for transfer via the network.

Authorized TOE users may import the XML data files from the Environment to the Hercules® EVM Server across the TOE Boundary. If the XML file is selected by an authorised TOE user and conforms to the expected format of data, then the TOE accepts that data as valid information.

5.4.4 Administrator Access Control Security Functional Policy (ADMIN_ACCESS SFP)

The Hercules® EVM system incorporates a role-based access control capability that defines the tasks that authorized users are allowed to perform.

The Hercules[®] EVM system includes the following pre-defined roles:

- a. Hercules System Administrator;
- b. Hercules Server Administrator;
- c. Hercules Device Group Administrator;
- d. Hercules Device Group User;
- e. Hercules Remedy Writer;
- f. Hercules Remediator;
- g. Hercules Compliance Checker;
- h. Hercules Importer; and
- i. Hercules Reporter.

The Hercules® EVM system also enables the Hercules System Administrator to define new roles starting with no tasks assigned or starting from an existing role.



Each of the Hercules® EVM administrative tasks is associated with one or more roles. An authorized user must be assigned to a role that is associated with a task before the user can perform the task. A user may be assigned to more than one role, in which case the user is able to perform any task associated with any of the roles to which the user is assigned. A user that is not assigned to a role cannot perform any tasks.

5.4.5 Network Access Information Flow Control Security Functional Policy (CONNECT_SFP)

The TOE allows authorized TOE users to restrict the ability of external devices equipped with Hercules® EVM Clients to communicate over networks until they have been remediated. This connection restriction is controlled by the authorized user, who acts through the Hercules® EVM Administrator Console to direct the Hercules® EVM Client to limit the ability of the remote device to communicate over the network.

The following device access features are provided:

- a. Hercules ConnectGuard blocks network traffic from remote and local client devices reconnecting to the network, checks devices for compliance with their assigned Network Access Policies (NAP), and applies the appropriate NAPs along with their remedy actions to noncompliant machines;
- b. Cisco Systems Network Admission Control provides network access only to client devices that fully comply with the established NAP and ensures that noncompliant devices are denied access, placed into quarantine for remediation, or given restricted access to resources;
- c. Hercules Network access Policy is a corporate security policy that can be configured to ensure that an active antivirus is installed and running on client devices or to ensure protection from a specified set of vulnerabilities. The Hercules® EVM system provides a mechanism to apply the NAP and all remediations associated with the policy to client devices to ensure that the devices are in compliance before they are allowed full access to the network.

5.5 TOE SECURITY ASSURANCE REQUIREMENTS

The security assurance requirements for the TOE, comprise the requirements corresponding to the EAL 3 level of assurance as defined in the CC Part 3. The assurance components are summarized in the following table:

Assurance Class	Assurance Components		
	Identifier	Name	
Configuration Management	ACM_CAP.3	Authorization controls	
	ACM_SCP.1	TOE CM coverage	





Assurance Class	Assurance Components	
Absurance Class	Identifier	Name
Delivery and Operation	ADO_DEL.1	Delivery procedures
	ADO_IGS.1	Installation, generation, and start-up procedures
Development	ADV_FSP.1	Informal functional specification
	ADV_HLD.2	Security enforcing high-level design
	ADV_RCR.1	Informal correspondence demonstration
Guidance Documents	AGD_ADM.1	Administrator guidance
	AGD_USR.1	User guidance
Life Cycle Support	ALC_DVS.1	Identification of security measures
Tests	ATE_COV.2	Analysis of coverage
	ATE_DPT.1	Testing: high-level design
	ATE_FUN.1	Functional testing
	ATE_IND.2	Independent testing – sample
Vulnerability Assessment	AVA_MSU.1	Examination of guidance
	AVA_SOF.1	Strength of TOE security function evaluation
	AVA_VLA.1	Developer vulnerability analysis

Table 3 - EAL 3 Assurance Requirements



6 TOE SUMMARY SPECIFICATION

This section provides a description of the security functions and assurance measures of the TOE that meet the TOE security requirements.

A typical attacker in the intended environment for the TOE is assumed to have a low level of sophistication, but may have knowledge of vulnerabilities and access to attack methods that are in the public domain. The purpose of the attacks could be (1) to gain access to the resources of the TOE, (2) to gain access to the resources of the client systems protected by the TOE, and/or (3) to prevent the successful remediation of client systems and thus leave these systems in a vulnerable state. Therefore, the attack potential which is applicable for AVA_SOF.1 calculations is LOW. Any residual vulnerabilities may only be exploited by an attacker of moderate or high attack potential. The strength of function claim is therefore SOF-BASIC.

6.1 TOE SECURITY FUNCTIONS

A description of each of the TOE security functions follows.

F.ACCESS	Access Control
	Access to the TOE is restricted to authorized administrators through the use of user identification and authorization. The TOE has the capability of incorporating role-base access control. Each of the Hercules® EVM administrative tasks can be associated with one or more roles. An authorized user must be assigned to a role that is associated with a task before the user can perform the task.
F.AGGVADATA	Aggregate Scanner Data
	The TOE has the capability of merging vulnerability scanner information from the third party vulnerability scanners for a client machine into a single consistent vulnerability assessment for that machine.
F.APPPROF	Approve Profile
	The TOE provides the capability for a suitably authorized user to approve a remediation profile. Once approved the remediation profile shall be automatically invoked by each client machine in the group to which the profile applies at the next scheduled remediation interval.
F.AUDIT	Audit Remediation Activity
D. N. 1517 011 D001	



	The TOE maintains an audit trail of remediation activity performed by each Hercules® EVM server. The Hercules® EVM server components and windows client systems create events in the Windows event logs which include stop, start, successful actions and failed actions. These events are created on the Hercules® EVM server and the target windows machine which is being remediated. The identify of the user who caused the event are also created on the Hercules® EVM server. The Hercules® EVM server is capable of generating audit events associated with the Windows Event Viewer application, security and system categories.
F.DISPCLIENT	Display Network Client Systems
	The TOE has the capability of displaying via a graphical user interface a list of devices connected to a Hercules® EVM Server.
F.DISPCLIENTSTATUS	Display Network Client Status
	The TOE has the capability of displaying via a graphical user interface the operational status of each client machine.
F.DISPPROF	Display Profiles
	The TOE has the capability of displaying via a graphical user interface, the list of vulnerabilities which will be remediated by the Hercules® EVM Server for a client machine or a group of client machines.
F.DISPREMSTATUS	Display Remediation Status
	The TOE has the capability of displaying via a graphical user interface the remediation status of each client machine of each Hercules® EVM Server.
F.DISPSIG	Display Remediation Signatures
	The TOE has the capability of displaying via a graphical user interface, the steps required to remediate a specific vulnerability on a client machine.
F.DISPVADATA	Display Scanner Data
	The TOE has the capability of displaying imported scanner information.



F.DISPVULN	Display Vulnerabilities
	The TOE has the capability of displaying graphically the vulnerabilities of machines on a network. It shall be possible to list all of the vulnerabilities reported for each and all machines on the network, or to display a list of machines which are susceptible to a specific vulnerability.
F.EXPORTDATA	Export Data
	Each Hercules [®] EVM Server has the capability to export user data for backup purposes or as an efficient means for transferring to another Hercules [®] EVM server.
F.IAUSER	Identify and Authenticate Users
	The Hercules® EVM Administrator Console has the capability to identify and authenticate users of the console both on initial start-up and when changing servers. The Hercules® EVM Administrator Console executes using a Windows administrator account which is recognized by the machine hosting the Hercules® EVM server.
F.IMPREMDATA	Import Remediation Data
	The TOE has the capability to import specific remediation information for reported vulnerabilities.
F.IMPDATA	Import Scanner Data
	The TOE has the capability of importing vulnerability scanner information from the following third party vulnerability scanners:
	1. eEye Digital Security REM
	2. Foundstone® FoundScan Engine TM
	3. Harris STAT® Scanner
	4. Harris STAT® Guardian
	5. ISS Internet Scanner®
	6. ISS System Scanner®
	7. ISS SiteProtector



	8.	Micros	soft® MBS	А		
	9.	Nessus	s Scanner			
	10	. nCircle	e™ Vulnera	ability Mar	agement S	ystem
	11	. OVAL Langu	L (Open Vu age)	Inerability	and Assess	ment
	12	. Qualys	s QualysGu	ard TM Scar	iner	
	13	. Retina	® Digital S	ecurity Sca	anner	
	14	. Nexan	tis SecureS	cout SP™		
	15	. SAINT	Г® Scannin	g Engine		
	16	. Tenabl Scanne	le Network er	Security N	eWT or Ne	eWT Pro
F.IMPDEV	Impor	t Device	e Identifiers			
	As we follow		OE can im	port device	identifiers	from the
	1.	Windo	ows NT Doi	main		
	2.	Windo	ows Active	Directory		
	3.	Flat Fi	le Import			
	4.	User D	Definable IP	address ra	nge	
F.MANAGEDATA	Mana	ge Scanı	ner and Rer	nediation I	Data	
	is pos and th view a which	sible to n e vulner a remedi vulnera	manage the ability rem	vulnerabil ediation in e for a dev associated	ity scanner formation. ice in order remedies v	om which it information A user may to determine will be
F.MANAGEPROF	Mana	ge Profil	les			
		-	vides the ca e remediati		•	
Doc No: 1517-011-D001	Versi	on: 1.1		Date: 3 Au	igust 2006	Page 46 of 71

	added to or removed from the group to which the profile applies. Specific vulnerabilities may be added to or removed from the remediation profile.
F.MANAGEROLES	Manage Roles
	The TOE provides the capability for a suitably authorized user to create and manage custom roles for the TOE. Once created, individual users and groups of users may be assigned to the role. Privileges to use specific functions of the TOE such as creating custom remediation remedies, user defined vulnerabilities, and selectable pre-defined Hercules tasks may also be assigned to the role. The Hercules Server comes with predefined roles that restrict access to various tasks. Users assigned to these roles are not able to perform and other functions outside their role. A user may be a member of multiple roles. Restrictions to devices or device groups can be managed through the assignment of roles or available users to Device Groups.
F.PUSHREM	Push Remediation Data
	The Hercules® EVM Server provides remediation data in the form of a remediation profile to client machines.
F.PUSHPOLICY	Push Policy Data
	With ConnectGuard TM , a client can be denied network access until remediation data has been pushed to the device that complies with the security policy of the organization.
F.REMCLIENT	Remediate Client System
	The TOE provides the capability to automatically remediate specific vulnerabilities on client machines.
F.REMPOLICY	The TOE can also enforce a remediation policy by enabling remedies that are part of a Remedy Group for a device or group of devices regardless of detected vulnerabilities for these device(s).
F.REPREMSTATUS	Report Remediation Status
	The TOE has the capability of producing reports describing the remediation status of each client machine of each Hercules® EVM Server. The user can select reports which show the details and summaries of; remediation sessions, import sessions, devices, groups, vulnerabilities, policies
Doc No: 1517-011-D001	Version: 1.1 Date: 3 August 2006 Page 47 of 7



	and remedies.
F.ROLLBACK	Rollback Remediations
	The TOE has the capability to systematically rollback the last remediation session performed on a Windows [®] client machine.
F.RVM	Reference Monitor
	This TOE security function provides reference mediation (e.g., when a user process requires access to a resource its requests a handle/token for the resource from the operating system). Reference mediation is supported by the operating system (Windows & UNIX) platform used by the TOE.
F.SCHEDREM	Schedule Remediations
	The TOE provides the capability to schedule remediation activity for single client machines or groups of client machines.

6.2 ASSURANCE MEASURES

A description of each of the TOE assurance measures follows.

M.AUTH	The TOE includes documentation which describes the authorization controls used by the developer to ensure that only authorized modifications may be made to the TOE.
M.CONFIG	The TOE includes a configuration item list which identifies those items of the TOE which are subject to configuration control by the developer.
M.DELIVER	The TOE includes documentation describing the secure delivery of the TOE.
M.DESIGN	The TOE includes design documentation which at a minimum consists of an informal functional specification, an informal high level design and an informal correspondence demonstration between the TOE Summary Specification, the Functional Specification and the High Level Design.
M.DEVELOP	The TOE includes documentation which describes the development security measures.
M.DOCS	The TOE includes user and administrator guidance documentation in the form of a User's Guide and an Installation Guide as well as an on-line, help file, accessible from the TOE HMI.
M.ID	The TOE incorporates a unique version identifier that can be displayed to



the user.

M.SETUP	The TOE includes an automated installation and set-up program compatible with the TOE operating system. The installation process includes sufficient instructions to clearly document the installation process. The default installation results in the secure installation and start- up of the TOE.
M.TEST	A suitably configured TOE has been evaluated in a controlled networked environment to confirm that TOE functionality operates as specified, and that the product can remediate a representative set of well-known vulnerabilities from each of the vulnerability classes claimed by the developer. TOE functionality has also been evaluated in a real-world environment, using a representative set of network systems configured with known vulnerabilities. The TOE includes developer test documentation which consists of test plans, test procedure descriptions, expected test results and actual test results. The test documentation is sufficient to determine that the developer has systematically tested the TOE against both the functional specification and the high level design.
M.VULNER	The TOE includes vulnerability documentation which describes the strength of function analysis along with an analysis of obvious vulnerabilities in the TOE.

7 **PROTECTION PROFILE CLAIMS**

This ST does not make compliance claims with respect to any Protection Profiles.



8 RATIONALE

8.1 SECURITY OBJECTIVES RATIONALE

Table 4 provides a bi-directional mapping of Security Objectives to Threats and Assumptions. It is followed by a discussion of how each Threat or Assumption is addressed by the corresponding Security Objective(s).

	O.ADMIN	O.USERAUTH	O.CLIENTPROT	O.CLIENTREM	O.HMI	O.KNOWN	O.NETATK	O.REMDATA	O.SCANDATA	O.USERDATA	OE.AUTHUSER	OE.BACKUP	OE.DOMAIN	OE.GOODOS	OE.GOODUSER	OE.GUIDANCE	OE.PROTCOM	OE.SECURECOM
A.BACKUP												Χ						
A.CMS																		Х
A.CONFIG																Х		
A.GOODOS														Χ				
A.KNOWLEDGE															Χ			
A.NOEVIL															Χ			
A.PHYSICAL											Х							
A.TOEUSER															Χ			
T.BADDATA								Х										
T.CLIENT			Χ														Х	
T.CONSOLE	Χ	Χ			Χ	Χ	Χ			Х								
T.EXPLOIT				Χ														
T.NETEXPLOIT				Х														
T.OS													Χ					
T.REMSERVER							Х											
T.SNIFF																	Χ	
T.SNIFFSCAN									Х									
T.SPOOF																	Χ	
T.SPOOFCLIENT																	Χ	
T.SPOOFSCAN									Х									

Table 4 - Mapping of Security Objectives to Threats and Assumptions





A.BACKUP	The organization operating the TO procedures which are followed; all a secure configuration after a hard	lowing the TOE to be re	•							
	The OE.BACKUP objective details recovery procedures.	s the need for good back	kup and							
A.CMS	In an environment where the Herce installed by remote means on Wind EVM Client Management Services assumed to reside on a protected n	lows client using the He (CMS), the server and	ercules®							
	The OE.SECURECOM objective e between the Hercules Server and W CMS are protected.									
A.CONFIG	The servers running the Remediation Console have been configured secu- documents and are maintained in the particular:	urely as described in the	e Guidance							
	a. They are configured with the features installed and / or enabled b. They are configured with m c. They are configured with us administrators only and do not pro	to permit operation of t inimal system privilege ser accounts for author	the TOE. es. ized system							
	The OE.GUIDANCE objective ens configured securely.	sures that the TOE will	be							
A.GOODOS	The Operating System of the client machines has been configured is accordance with the Hercules® EVM Security Configuration Guid and therefore may be trusted to function correctly for those OS functions required by the TOE component that is installed on the client machine.									
	The OE.GOODOS objective ensur- operating system required by the T		of the							
A.KNOWLEDGE	<i>TOE Users have knowledge of the Windows[®] 2000/XP/2003</i> <i>operating system, networking technology and general IT security</i> <i>practices.</i>									
	The OE.GOODUSER objective no knowledgeable.	tes that TOE Users mus	st be							
A.NOEVIL	TOE Users are non hostile and foll	low all guidance docum	ents.							
	The OE.GOODUSER objective no malicious.	tes that TOE Users mus	st be non							
A.PHYSICAL	The Server and Administrator elem secure and only authorized person	· · ·	• •							
Doc No: 1517-011-D001	Version: 1.1	Date: 3 August 2006	Page 51 of 71							



elements of the TOE.

	The OE.AUTHUSER objective notes that only authorized personnel are permitted physical access to the TOE.	
A.TOEUSER	Access to the TOE is restricted to authorized users. Authorized users are assigned to roles that in turn provide access to the administrative functions associated with that role. A TOE user is capable of performing only the administrative tasks inherited by their assigned roles. For the remainder of this document the phrase 'TOE User' shall be employed to represent any authorized user with administrative privileges.	
	The OE.GOODUSER objective describes the characteristics of the TOE Users and notes that these users must be authorized system administrators.	
T.BADDATA	A network attacker may attempt to provide the Remediation Server with erroneous remediation information in an attempt to compromise the Client systems.	
	The O.REMDATA objective ensures that the remediation data used by the TOE is accurate and secure.	
T.CLIENT	An unauthorized person may have administrator / root control of one of the client systems and may use that control to attempt to compromise the Remediation Server.	
	The O.CLIENTPROT objective ensures that the TOE is protected against attacks by the client systems.	
T.CONSOLE	A network attacker may attempt to gain control of the TOE through the Hercules® EVM Administration Console.	
	The O.HMI, O.NETATK, and O.KNOWN objectives ensure that the Administration Console is secure. O.USERAUTH ensures the user has authenticated to the console and O.ADMIN ensures effective management of the TOE security functions provided to that user. O.USERDATA ensures exported user data is provided only to authorised users of the administration console.	
T.EXPLOIT	A network attacker may attempt to exploit vulnerabilities on a Client system protected by the TOE in order to gain unauthorized access to the resources of the client system.	
	The O.CLIENTREM objective ensures that the TOE provides effective remediation to client systems in order to remove or mitigate identified vulnerabilities.	
T.NETEXPLOIT	A network attacker may attempt to exploit vulnerabilities on a Client system protected by the TOE in an attempt to compromise other network resources.	
Dec No: 1517 011 D001	Version: 1.1 Date: 3 August 2006 Page 52 of 2	71



	The O.CLIENTREM objective ensures that the TOE provides effective remediation to client systems in order to remove or mitigate identified vulnerabilities.
T.OS	An unauthorized user may attempt to gain access over the operating system by bypassing a security mechanism and use this access to elevate his/her privileges over TOE functions and/or data.
	The OE.DOMAIN environment objective ensures that the host operating system on which the TOE resides provides domain separation.
T.REMSERVER	A network attacker may attempt to gain control of the Hercules® EVM Remediation Server
	The O.NETATK objective ensures that the Remediation Server is secure.
T.SNIFF	A network attacker may monitor communications between the Remediation Server and the Client systems and use the information gained to compromise the Remediation Server and / or a Client system.
	The OE.PROTCOM objective ensures that the information passing between the distributed parts of the TOE is secure.
T.SNIFFSCAN	A network attacker may monitor communications between the Remediation Server and a vulnerability scanner to learn vulnerabilities of client systems.
	The O.SCANDATA objective ensures that the scanner data used by the TOE is accurate and secure.
T.SPOOF	A network attacker may attempt to imitate the Remediation Server and provide erroneous remediation information to a client system in order to compromise the client.
	The OE.PROTCOM environment objective ensures that it is not possible to imitate the Remediation server.
T.SPOOFCLIENT	A network attacker may attempt to imitate a client system in order to gain information about the vulnerabilities of the client system.
	The OE.PROTCOM environment objective ensures that it is not possible for an attacker to imitate a client system.
T.SPOOFSCAN	A network attacker may attempt to provide the Remediation Server with erroneous vulnerability assessment information in an attempt to prevent the remediation of vulnerable network systems.
	The O.SCANDATA objective ensures that the scanner data used by the TOE is accurate and secure



8.2 SECURITY REQUIREMENTS RATIONALE

Table 5 provides a bi-directional mapping of Security Functional Requirements to Security Objectives, and is followed by a discussion of how each Security Objective is addressed by the corresponding Security Functional Requirements.



	O.ADMIN	O.USERAUTH	O.CLIENTPROT	O.CLIENTREM	O.HMI	O.KNOWN	O.NETATK	O.REMDATA	O.SCANDATA	O.USERDATA	OE.AUTHUSER	OE.BACKUP	OE.DOMAIN	OE.GOODOS	OE.GOODUSER	OE. GUIDANCE	OE.PROTCOM	OE.SEURECOM
FAU_GEN.1			Х	Х	Х		Х	Х	Х									
FAU_GEN.2			Х	Х	Х		Х	Х	Х									
FAU_SAR.1					Х													
FAU_SAR.2					Х													
FDP_ACC.2	Х		Х															
FDP_ACF.1	Х		Х															
FDP_ETC.1										Х								
FDP_IFC.1 (1)				Х				Х										
FDP_IFC.1 (2)										Х								
FDP_IFC.1 (3)			Х															
FDP_IFF.1 (1)				Х				Х										
FDP_IFF.1 (2)										Х								
FDP_IFF.1 (3)			Х															
FDP_ITC.1								Х	Х									
FDP_ROL.1				Х														
FIA_ATD.1		Х				Х												
FIA_UAU.2		Х	Х		Х		Х	Х										
FIA_UAU.6		Х																
FIA_UID.2		Х	Х		X	Х	X	Х										
FIA_USB.1						Х												
FMT_MOF.1	Х																	
FMT_MSA.1 (1)				Х	Х	Х												
FMT_MSA.1 (2)				Х	Х	Х												
FMT_MSA.1 (3)	Х				Х	Х												
FMT_MSA.3				Х	Х													
FMT_MTD.1	Х								Х									
FMT_REV.1	Х																	
FMT_SMF.1				Х	Х													
FMT_SMR.1	Х				Х													
FPT_RVM.1				Х														
FAU_GEN.1¢														Χ				
FAU_SAR.1¢														X				



	O.ADMIN	O.USERAUTH	O.CLIENTPROT	O.CLIENTREM	O.HMI	O.KNOWN	O.NETATK	O.REMDATA	O.SCANDATA	O.USERDATA	OE.AUTHUSER	OE.BACKUP	OE.DOMAIN	OE.GOODOS	OE.GOODUSER	OE. GUIDANCE	OE.PROTCOM	OE.SEURECOM
FAU_SEL.1														Х				
FAU_STG.1												Х				Х		
FDP_IFC.1¢			X														Х	
$FDP_IFF.1\varepsilon$			X														Х	
FDP_ITT.1																	Х	
FPT_ITT.1																		X
$FPT_RVM.1\epsilon$				Х														
FPT_SEP.1													Х					
FPT_STM.1														Х				

Table 5 - Mapping of Security Functional Requirements to Security Objectives

O.ADMIN	The TOE must provide to authorized administrators a set of administrative functions that allow the effective management of TOE operations and security functions.
	The TOE enforces ADMIN_ACCESS SFP administrator access control security functional policy (FDP_ACC.2, FDP_ACF.1, FMT_MOF.1, FMT_MSA.1 (3), FMT_MTD.1, FMT_REV.1, FMT_SMR.1)
O.USERAUTH	<i>The TOE must provide a mechanism for identification and authentication of users.</i>
	Identification and authentication functional requirements (FIA_UAU.2, FIA_UAU.6, FIA_UID.2, FIA_ATD) ensure that the identification and authentication activities complete successfully before information is transferred.
O.CLIENTPROT	<i>The TOE must protect itself against attacks initiated by client systems.</i>
	The TOE will only respond to requests for remediations which are received from identified and authorized client machines (FDP_IFC.1 ε , FDP_IFF.1 ε). The TOE also enforces the ADMIN_ACCESS SFP administrator access control security functional policy to define the tasks that authorized users are allowed to perform (FDP_ACC.2, FDP_ACF.1). The TOE also maintains an audit trail of remediation requests, which may help to identify an attack from a client machine (FAU_GEN.1, FAU_GEN.2). The TOE

	also enforces CONNECT_SFP information flow control security functional policy to restrict the ability of external devices acting as Client Systems from communicating over networks until they have been remediated (FDP_IFC.1 (4), FDP_IFF.1 (4)).
O.CLIENTREM	<i>The TOE must provide effective remediation of known and reported vulnerabilities for client systems.</i>
	The TOE obtains its vulnerability and remediation data from trusted external sources using the IMPORT_SFP information flow control security function policy to govern the data import process (FDP_IFC.1 (2), FDP_IFF.1 (2)). The TOE protects its data from unauthorized modifications or corruption internally (FMT_MSA.1 (1), (2), FMT_MSA.3, FPT_RVM.1, FPT_RVM.1 ϵ). The TOE enforces the SERVER_SFP information flow control security functional policy when providing specific remediation data to authorized client systems (FDP_IFC.1 ϵ , FDP_IFF.1 ϵ). The TOE permits authorized users to configure the list of client systems and vulnerabilities, which will be remediated (FMT_SMF.1). Under specific circumstances the TOE is capable of rolling back remediations (FDP_ROL.1). Finally, the TOE maintains a comprehensive audit trail of its actions (FAU_GEN.1, FAU_GEN.2).
O.HMI	The TOE must provide a controlled interface to its functionality such that only authorized TOE users are able to access the interface.
	The TOE HMI is provided by the Hercules® EVM Administrator Console. This component of the TOE is only accessible to authorized administrative users (FIA_UAU.2, FIA_UID.2, FMT_SMR.1). Authorized users of the Hercules® EVM Administrator may control all of the security functions of the TOE, including setting security attributes and importing vulnerability scan and remediation data (FMT_MSA.1 (1) – (3), FMT_MSA.3, FMT_SMF.1). Actions performed by authorized users are subject to auditing (FAU_GEN.1, FAU_GEN.2, FAU_SAR.1, FAU_SAR.2).
O.KNOWN	The TOE must ensure that legitimate users of the system are identified before rights of access can be granted.
	The TOE identifies user security attributes for individual users (FIA_ATD.1, FIA_UID.2, FIA_USB.1, FMT_MSA.1 (1) – (3)).
O.NETATK	The TOE must protect itself against network attackers.
	The TOE protects itself against network attackers through its identification and authentication functions (FIA_UAU.2, FIA_UID.2). The collection of audit data (FAU_GEN.1, FAU_GEN.2) ensures that attacks of this type will be detected.
O.REMDATA	The TOE must ensure that its remediation data is obtained from trusted sources and must provide a mechanism to ensure the integrity

of this data.

	After initial installation, the TOE obtains its remediation data updates either from manual entry by an authorized user or by remote download from the Hercules® EVM VFlash server. Since all Hercules® EVM users are subject to the I&A mechanisms of the product (FIA_UAU.2, FIA_UID.2) it follows that only authorized and identified users may manually create remediation data. The product also enforces the IMPORT_SFP information flow security functional policy (FDP_IFC.1 (2), FDP_IFF.1 (2), FDP_ITC.1) when importing remediation data from the V Flash server. This ensures that the remediation data is obtained from a trusted source. The TOE maintains an audit record of import sessions (FAU_GEN.1, FAU_GEN.2) so that it is possible to confirm that the product has current, accurate and valid remediation data.
O.SCANDATA	The TOE must ensure that its scanner data is obtained from trusted sources and must provide a mechanism to ensure the integrity of this data.
	The TOE enforces the IMPORT_SFP information flow control security functional policy (FDP_ITC.1) to ensure that only trusted scanner data is imported by the TOE. Once under the control of the TOE, the scanner data may only be accessed by authorized TOE users (FMT_MTD.1). This ensures the integrity of the data. The audit trail records the details of scanner data import sessions (FAU_GEN.1, FAU_GEN.2).
O.USERDATA	The TOE must ensure that exported user data is secure.
	The TOE enforces EXCHANGE_SFP data exchange information flow control security functional policy (FDP_IFC.1 (3), FDP_IFF.1 (3), FDP_ETC.1).
OE.AUTHUSER	Only authorized personnel are permitted physical access to the TOE.
	The environment is assumed to restrict physical access to the TOE (A.PHYSICAL), which provides physical security and restricts physical access to authorized personnel.
OE.BACKUP	Good backup and recovery procedures for the TOE must be in place.
	The environment is assumed to provide good backup and recovery procedures (A.BACKUP). The environment enhances this activity by protecting the audit trail from the time the records are generated until they can be backed up (FAU_STG.1).
OE.DOMAIN	The host operating system will provide domain separation and ensure that the TOE cannot be tampered with.
	The Environment shall maintain a security domain for its own execution that protects it from interference and tampering by



untrusted subjects (FPT_SEP).

OE.GOODOS Those portions of the client operating system required for the correct operation of the TOE must function correctly.

The environment is assumed to be properly configured and may therefore be trusted to function correctly for those OS functions required by the TOE component that is installed on the client machine (A.GOODOS). In addition, the environment supports and monitors the correct functioning of the TOE by providing supplemental audit data generation (FAU_GEN.1 ϵ), a means of reviewing the supplemental audit data (FAU_SAR.1 ϵ), selective audit (FAU_SEL.1), and reliable time stamps (FPT_STM.1).

The environment is assumed to provide knowledgeable (A.KNOWLEDGE), non-hostile, users who follow all guidance documents (A.NOEVIL), and who have all necessary access to the device (A.TOEUSER).

OE.GUIDANCE The administrator(s) responsible for the TOE must ensure that the TOE is installed, configured, administered and operated in accordance with the guidance documents.

The environment is assumed to be securely configured and to remain in that configuration (A.CONFIG). The environment supports this assumption by protecting the record of the activities leading to this configuration (FAU_STG.1).

OE.PROTCOM The environment for TOE Server and Client components must provide a means to mutually authenticate and conceal their communications.

The Hercules[®] EVM server can leverage the environment to protect data transferred to a client system using SSL for Windows[®] clients and OpenSSH for Unix clients. Digital certificates provide a two-way authentication between servers and clients (FDP_IFF.1 ϵ). The TOE also protects its data from disclosure and modification while transmitting this data to the client systems (FPT_ITT.1).

OE.SECURECOM The network on which the TOE resides must protect the confidentiality and integrity of information exchanged between the distributed elements of the TOE when client machines are initially installed remotely using the Hercules® EVM Client Management Service (CMS).

The network on which the TOE resides is assumed to be protected (A.CMS). In addition, the environment protects the data transferred between separate parts of the TOE against disclosure and

OE.GOODUSER Knowledgeable, non malicious users with system administrator privileges must be assigned to install, configure, administer, operate and maintain the TOE.



modification (FPT_ITT.1).

8.3 SECURITY FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENT DEPENDENCIES

Table 6 identifies the TOE Security Functional Requirements and their associated dependencies. It also indicates whether the TOE explicitly addresses each dependency. Notes are provided for those cases where the dependencies are satisfied by components which are hierarchical to the specified dependency.

Security Functional Requirement	Dependencies	Dependency Satisfied	Notes
FAU_GEN.1	FPT_STM.1	Yes	
FAU_GEN.2	FAU_GEN.1	Yes	
	FIA_UID.1	Yes	FIA_UID.2 is specified as a security functional requirement and FIA_UID.2 is hierarchical to FIA_UID.1.
FAU_SAR.1	FAU_GEN.1	Yes	
FAU_SAR.2	FAU_SAR.1	Yes	
FAU_SEL.1	FAU_GEN.1	Yes	
	FMT_MTD.1	Yes	
FAU_STG.1	FAU_GEN.1	Yes	
FDP_ACC.2	FDP_ACF.1	Yes	
FDP_ACF.1	FDP_ACC.1	Yes	FDP_ACC.2 is specified as a security functional requirement and FDP_ACC.2 is hierarchical to FDP_ACC.1
	FMT_MSA.3	Yes	
FDP_ETC.1	FDP_ACC.1	Yes	FDP_ACC.2 is specified as a security functional requirement and FDP_ACC.2 is hierarchical to FDP_ACC.1
	FDP_IFC.1	Yes	
FDP_IFC.1	FDP_IFF.1	Yes	
FDP_IFF.1	FDP_IFC.1	Yes	
	FMT_MSA.3	Yes	



Security Functional Requirement	Dependencies	Dependency Satisfied	Notes
FDP_ITC.1	FDP_IFC.1	Yes	
	FMT_MSA.3	Yes	
FDP_ITT.1	FDP_IFC.1	Yes	
FDP_ROL.1	FDP_IFC.1	Yes	
FIA_ATD.1	None	N/A	
FIA_UAU.2	FIA_UID.1	Yes	FIA_UID.2 is specified as a security functional requirement and FIA_UID.2 is hierarchical to FIA_UID.1.
FIA_UAU.6	None	N/A	
FIA_UID.2	None	N/A	
FIA_USB.1	FIA_ATD.1	Yes	
FMT_MOF.1	FMT_SMR.1	Yes	
	FMT_SMF.1	Yes	
FMT_MSA.1	FDP_IFC.1	Yes	
	FMT_SMF.1	Yes	
	FMT_SMR.1	Yes	
FMT_MSA.3	FMT_MSA.1	Yes	
	FMT_SMR.1	Yes	
FMT_MTD.1	FMT_SMF.1	Yes	
	FMT_SMR.1	Yes	
FMT_REV.1	FMT_SMR.1	Yes	
FMT_SMF.1	None	N/A	
FMT_SMR.1	FIA_UID.1	Yes	FIA_UID.2 is specified as a security functional requirement and FIA_UID.2 is hierarchical to FIA_UID.1.
FPT_ITT.1	None	N/A	
FPT_RVM.1	None	N/A	
FPT_STM.1	None	N/A	

Table 6 - Security Functional Requirement Dependencies



8.4 SECURITY ASSURANCE REQUIREMENT DEPENDENCIES

Security Functional Requirement	Dependencies	Dependency Satisfied	Notes
ACM_CAP.3	ACM_DVS.1	Yes	
ACM_SCP.1	ACM_CAP.3	Yes	
ADO_DEL.1	None	N/A	
ADO_IGS.1	AGD_ADM.1	Yes	
ADV_FSP.1	ADV_RCR.1	Yes	
ADV_HLD.2	ADV_FSP.1	Yes	
	ADV_RCR.1	Yes	
ADV_RCR.1	None	N/A	
AGD_ADM.1	ADV_FSP.1	Yes	
AGD_USR.1	ADV_FSP.1	Yes	
ALC_DVS.1	None	N/A	
ATE_COV.2	ADV_FSP.1	Yes	
	ATE_FUN.1	Yes	
ATE_DPT.1	ADV_HLD.1	Yes	ADV_HLD.2 is specified as a security assurance requirement and ADV_HLD.2 is hierarchical to ADV_HLD.1.
	ATE_FUN.1	Yes	
ATE_FUN.1	None	N/A	
ATE_IND.2	ADV_FSP.1	Yes	
	AGD_ADM.1	Yes	
	AGD_USR.1	Yes	
	ATE_FUN.1	Yes	
AVA_MSU.1	ADO_IGS.1	Yes	
	ADV_FSP.1	Yes	
	AGD_ADM.1	Yes	
	AGD_USR.1	Yes	
AVA_SOF.1	ADV_FSP.1	Yes	



Security Functional Requirement	Dependencies	Dependency Satisfied	Notes
	ADV_HLD.1	Yes	ADV_HLD.2 is specified as a security assurance requirement and ADV_HLD.2 is hierarchical to ADV_HLD.1.
AVA_VLA.1	ADV_FSP.1	Yes	
	ADV_HLD.1	Yes	ADV_HLD.2 is specified as a security assurance requirement and ADV_HLD.2 is hierarchical to ADV_HLD.1.
	AGD_ADM.1	Yes	
	AGD_USR.1	Yes	

Table 7 - Security Assurance Requirement Dependencies

8.5 TOE SUMMARY SPECIFICATION RATIONALE

Table 8 provides a bi-directional mapping of Security Functions to Security Functional Requirements, and is followed by a discussion of how each Security Functional Requirement is addressed by the corresponding Security Function.

	FAU GEN.1		FAU SAR.1			FDP ACF.1	FDP ETC.1	FDP IFC.1 (1)	FDP IFC.1 (2)	FDP IFC.1 (3)	FDP IFF.1 (1)	FDP IFF.1 (2)	FDP IFF.1 (3)	FDP ITC.1	FDP ROL.1	FIA ATD.1	FIA UAU.2	FIA UAU.6	FIA UID.2	FIA USB.1	FMT MOF.1	FMT MSA.1 (1)	FMT MSA.1 (2)	FMT_MSA.1 (3)		FMT MTD.1	FMT REV.1	FMT SMF.1	FMT SMR.1	FPT RVM.1
F.ACCESS					Х	Х										Х					Х			Х						
F.AGGVADATA																						Х	Х			Х				
F.APPPROF																										Х		Х		
F.AUDIT	Х	Х	Х	Х																										
F.DISPCLIENT																										Х				
F.DISPCLIENTSTATUS																										Х				
F.DISPPROF																										Х				
F.DISPREMSTATUS																										Х				
F.DISPSIG																										Х				
F.DISPVADATA																						Х	Х			Х				
F.DISPVULN																										Х				
F.EXPORTDATA							Х		Х			Х																		
F.IAUSER											Х	Х					Х	Х	Х	Х		Х	Х		Х	Х			Х	
F.IMPREMDATA								Х	Х		Х	Х		Х								Х	Х							

Doc No: 1517-011-D001

	FAU GEN.1	FAU SAR.1	FAU SAR.2	FDP ACF.1	FDP ETC.1	FDP IFC.1 (1)	FDP IFC.1 (2)	FDP IFC.1 (3)	FDP IFF.1 (1)	FDP IFF.1 (2)	FDP IFF.1 (3)	FDP ITC.1	FDP ROL.1	FIA ATD.1	FIA UAU.2	FIA UAU.6	FIA UID.2	FIA USB.1	FMT MOF.1	FMT MSA.1 (1)	FMT_MSA.1 (2)	1	FMT MSA.3	FMT MTD.1	FMT REV.1	FMT SMF.1	FMT SMR.1	FPT RVM.1
F.IMPDATA						Х	Х		Х	Х		Х								Х	Х		Х					1
F.IMPDEV						Х	Х		Х	Х		Х								Х	Х		Х					
F.MANAGEDATA												Х								Х	Х					Х		
F.MANAGEPROF																								Х		X		
F.MANAGEROLES				Х															Х			Х				2	х	
F.PUSHREM																										X		
F.PUSHPOLICY								Х			Х																	
F.REMCLIENT																									:	Х		
F.REMPOLICY																										Х		
F.REPREMSTATUS		Х																										
F.ROLLBACK													Х													Х		
F.RVM																											Х	ζ
F.SCHEDREM																								Х		X		

 Table 8 - Mapping of Security Functions to Security Functional Requirements

FAU_GEN.1 Audit data generation

The audit function of the TOE collects (F.AUDIT) and stores audit data for actions which are specific to the TOE (scanner data import, remediation data import, client remediations). In addition, the operating system audit trail retains audit records related to the identification and authorization of users, the start up and shut down of the TOE and the start up and shut down of the OS audit mechanism.

FAU_GEN.2 User Identity Association

The audit function of the TOE collects (F.AUDIT) and stores the identify of the user who caused an auditable event.

FAU_SAR.1 Audit review

The TOE includes a comprehensive HMI (Hercules® EVM Administrator Console) with extensive display and reporting features (F.REPREMSTATUS) which permit all authorized users with the ability to review, scan, analyze and interpret the audit trail recorded by the TOE (F.AUDIT).

FAU_SAR.2 Restricted audit review

The TOE HMI (Hercules® EVM Administrator Console) provides authorized users with the ability to view audit information (F.AUDIT).



FDP_ACC.2 Complete access control

The TOE incorporates access control (F.ACCESS). Authorized users are subject to the ADIMN_ACCESS SFP access control security functional policy for the management of rolebased access control. Users are assigned to roles that allow specific administrative functionality on the Hercules® EVM Server.

FDP_ACF.1 Security attribute based access control

The TOE incorporates role-based access control (F.ACCESS). Authorized users are subject to the ADIMN_ACCESS SFP access control security functional policy for the management of role-based access control. Users are assigned to roles that allow specific administrative functionality on the Hercules[®] EVM Server.

FDP_ETC.1 Export of user data without security attributes

The TOE exports (F.EXPORTDATA) user data for backup or transfer to another Hercules® EVM Server.

FDP_IFC.1 Subset information flow control (1)

Each Hercules[®] EVM Server also enforces the IMPORT_SFP information flow control security functional policy when importing both vulnerability scan data (F.IMPDATA), vulnerability remediation data (F.IMPREMDATA) and device identifier data (F.IMPDEV).

FDP_IFC.1 Subset information flow control (2)

Each Hercules® EVM Server enforces the EXCHANGE_SFP information flow control security functional policy when exporting data files to the same or different Hercules® EVM Server (F.EXPORTDATA) and subsequent import of remediation data (F.IMPREMDATA), vulnerability scan data (F.IMPDATA) and device identifier data (F.IMPDEV).

FDP_IFC.1 Subset information flow control (3)

Each Hercules[®] EVM Server enforces the CONNECT_SFP information flow control security functional policy which restricts the ability of client machines to communicate over the network until remediation data has been pushed to the device (F.PUSHPOLICY).

FDP_IFF.1 Simple security attributes (1)

The TOE uses the IMPORT_SFP information flow control security functional policy to govern the import of vulnerability scan information (F.IMPDATA), vulnerability remediation data (F.IMPREMDATA) and device identifier data (F.IMPDEV) from trusted external sources by an authorized TOE user (F.IAUSER).

FDP_IFF.1 Simple security attributes (2)

The TOE uses the EXCHANGE_SFP information flow control security functional policy to govern the exchange of data between Hercules® EVM Servers. This policy states that the



server must identify and authenticate the user before allowing them to export data files (F.EXPORTDATA) and the subsequent import of remediation data (F.IMPREMDATA), vulnerability scan data (F.IMPDATA) and device identifier data (F.IMPDEV) from trusted external sources by an authorized TOE user (F.IAUSER).

FDP_IFF.1 Simple security attributes (3)

The TOE uses the CONNECT_SFP information flow control security functional policy to govern the exchange of data between a Hercules® EVM Server and one of its client systems. This policy states that the server must identify and authenticate the client before allowing the client machines to communicate over the network until remediation data has been pushed to the device (F.PUSHPOLICY).

FDP_ITC.1 Import of user data without security attribute

When importing vulnerability scan data (F.IMPDATA) or vulnerability remediation data (F.IMPREMDATA) or device identifier data (F.IMPDEV) from trusted external sources, the TOE ignores any security attributes associated with the external data and instead applies the properties specified by the authorized TOE user (F.MANAGEDATA) to the imported data.

FDP_ROL.1 Basic Rollback

The TOE allows the rollback (F.ROLLBACK) of specific automatic vulnerability remediations under specified circumstances.

FIA_ATD.1 User attribute definition

The TOE restricts access through the use of user identification and authentication. (F.ACCESS).

FIA_UAU.2 User authentication before any action

The user identification and authentication mechanisms used by the TOE (F.IAUSER), require complete and successful authentication before allowing any action to be performed.

FIA_UAU.6 *Re-authenticating*

Authorized Hercules[®] EVM users will be re-authenticated when changing Hercules[®] EVM Server (F.IAUSER).

FIA_UID.2 User identification before any action

The user identification and authentication mechanisms used by the TOE (F.IAUSER), require successful identification either of the individual user or the requesting system, before allowing any action to be performed.

FIA_USB.1 User-subject binding



The Hercules® EVM Administrator Console executes user identification with every request (F.IAUSER).

FMT_MOF.1 *Management of security functions behaviour*

Authorized users are subject to the ADIMN_ACCESS SFP access control security functional policy for the management of role-based access control (F.ACCESS). The TOE provides the capability to create custom roles to which individual users and groups of users may be assigned (F.MANAGEROLES).

FMT_MSA.1 *Management of Security Attributes (1)*

Only authorized Hercules® EVM users have access to the functions of the TOE (F.IAUSER). These users are subject to the SERVER_SFP information flow control security functional policy for the import of vulnerability scan data (F.IMPDATA), vulnerability remediation data (F.IMPREMDATA) and device identifier data (F.IMPDEV). Authorized users may also display the imported vulnerability data (F.DISPVADATA) and aggregate vulnerability information from multiple scans into a unified vulnerability picture for client systems (F.AGGVADATA). Authorized TOE users have the ability to manipulate all of the vulnerability and remediation data held by the TOE (F.MANAGEDATA).

FMT_MSA.1 *Management of Security Attributes (2)*

Only authorized Hercules[®] EVM users have access to the functions of the TOE (F.IAUSER). These users are subject to the IMPORT_SFP information flow control security functional policy for the import of vulnerability scan data (F.IMPDATA), vulnerability remediation data (F.IMPREMDATA) and device identifier data (F.IMPDEV). Authorized users may also display the imported vulnerability data (F.DISPVADATA) and aggregate vulnerability information from multiple scans into a unified vulnerability picture for client systems (F.AGGVADATA). Authorized TOE users have the ability to manipulate all of the vulnerability and remediation data held by the TOE (F.MANAGEDATA).

FMT_MSA.1 Management of Security Attributes (3)

Only authorized Hercules[®] EVM users have access to the functions of the TOE (F.IAUSER). These users are subject to the ADMIN_ACCESS_SFP information flow control security functional policy which incorporates a role-based access control capability (F.ACCESS). The TOE provides the capability to create custom roles to which individual users and groups of users may be assigned (F.MANAGEROLES).

FMT_MSA.3 Static attribute initialization

Only authorized Hercules[®] EVM users have access to the TOE for the purposes of initializing security attributes (F.IAUSER). The security attributes are used for mutual identification and authentication between the Hercules[®] EVM Server and the client machines. The Hercules[®] EVM users are subject to the IMPORT_SFP information flow control security function policy for the import of vulnerability scan data (F.IMPDATA), vulnerability remediation data (F.IMPREMDATA) and device identifier data (F.IMPDEV).



Authorized TOE users may specify alternative initial values to override default values when data is imported (F.MANAGEDATA).

FMT_MTD.1 Management of TSF Data

Only authorized Hercules[®] EVM users have access to the TOE (F.IAUSER). Only these users have the ability to manipulate (display, modify, delete, aggregate) vulnerability data (F.AGGVADATA, F.DISPVADATA, F.DISPSIG) remediation data (F.DISPPROF, F.MANAGEPROF, F.APPPROF) and client system vulnerability and remediation data (F.DISPVULN, F.DISPCLIENT, F.DISPCLIENTSTATUS, F.DISPREMSTATUS, F.SCHEDREM).

FMT_SMF.1 Specification of Management Functions

The TOE allows authorized users complete control of the vulnerability and remediation data for all client systems (F.MANAGEDATA). Users may create, edit and approve remediation profiles for client systems or groups of client systems (F.MANAGEPROF, F.APPPROF). Users may also schedule automatic remediation activity for client systems or groups of client systems (F.SCHEDREM, F.PUSHREM, F.REMPOLICY). This allows users to remove specific vulnerabilities from specific client systems (F.REMCLIENT). If desired it is also possible is specific circumstances to roll back a previously applied remediation (F.ROLLBACK).

FMT_SMR.1 Security Roles

By default, the TOE assigns the Hercules System Administrator role to the user name that installed the Hercules® EVM Server. Members of this role have access to all of the functionality of the TOE and can perform any of the pre-defined tasks. Additionally only individuals authorized as administrators by the underlying operating system are recognized as members of the Hercules® EVM user role (F.IAUSER). The TOE provides the capability to create custom roles to which individual users and groups of users may be assigned (F.MANAGEROLES). The ability to use specific features of the TOE such as the creation of user defined vulnerabilities may be assigned to custom roles.

FPT_RVM.1 Non-bypassability of the TSP

The TOE (and supporting host operating system) ensures that the TSP enforcement functions are invoked and successful before any function within the TSC is activated (F.RVM).

8.6 TOE ASSURANCE MEASURES RATIONALE

The Hercules® EVM product is designed to protect the TOE and its data from network attacks, to limit the system's use of network interfaces to those specified by the user, and to be simple enough for a knowledgeable system administrator to use. An assurance level of EAL 3, Methodically Tested and Checked, was selected as the threat to security is considered to be unsophisticated network attackers, and the data to be protected consists primarily of user-private data and system resources. An evaluation at this level provides a moderate level



of independently assured security via a thorough investigation of the TOE and its development.

Table 9 provides a bi-directional mapping of Assurance Measures to Assurance Requirements, and is followed by a short discussion of how the Assurance Requirements are addressed by the corresponding Assurance Measures.

	ACM_CAP.3	ACM_SCP.1	AD0_DEL.1	ADO_IGS.1	ADV_FSP.1	ADV_HLD.2	ADV_RCR.1	AGC_ADM.1	AGD_USR.1	ALC_DVS.1	ATE_COV.2	ATE_DPT.1	ATE_FUN.1	ATE_ IND.2	AVA_MSU.1	AVA_SOF.1	AVA_VLA.1
M.AUTH	Х																
M.CONFIG	Х	Х															
M.DELIVER			Х														
M.DESIGN					Х	Х	Х										
M.DEVELOP										Х							
M.DOCS								Х	Х						Х		
M.ID	Х																
M.SETUP				Х													
M.TEST											Х	Х	Х	Х			
M.VULNER																Х	Х

 Table 9 - Mapping of Assurance Measures to Assurance Requirements

ACM_CAP.3 Authorisation Controls

Assurance Measure M.ID ensures that the TOE is uniquely identified and labelled with its identity. Assurance Measure M.CONFIG ensures that the TOE includes a configuration item list. Assurance Measure M.AUTH ensures that only authorised changes are permitted to the TOE. These measures combine to satisfy the requirements of ACM_CAP.3.

ACM_SCP.1 TOE CM Coverage

Assurance Measure M.CONFIG ensures that the TOE includes a configuration item list. The contents of this list ensure that the requirements of ACM_SCP.1 are met.

ADO_DEL.1 Delivery Procedures

Assurance Measure M.DELIVER ensures that the TOE includes documentation describing the delivery procedures for the TOE. This measure satisfies the requirements of ADO_DEL.1.



ADO_IGS.1 Installation, Generation and Startup Procedures

Assurance Measure M.SETUP ensures that the TOE includes documentation describing its secure installation, generation and startup. This measure satisfies the requirements of ADO_IGS.1.

ADV_FSP.1 Informal Functional Specification

Assurance Measure M.DESIGN ensures that the TOE design documentation includes an informal function specification. This measure satisfies the requirements of ADV_FSP.1.

ADV_HLD.2 Security Enforcing High Level Design

Assurance Measure M.DESIGN ensures that the TOE design documentation includes an informal high level design which includes; a description of the TSF in terms of subsystems, a description of the purpose and method of use of all interfaces to the subsystems and a description of the separation of the TOE into TSP enforcing and other subsystems. These features satisfy the requirements of ADV_HLD.2.

ADV_RCR.1 Informal Correspondence Demonstration

Assurance Measure M.DESIGN ensures that the TOE design documentation includes an informal correspondence demonstration between the TOE Summary Specification, the Functional Specification and the High Level Design. This measure satisfies the requirements of ADV_RCR.1.

AGD_ADM.1 Administrator Guidance

Assurance Measure M.DOCS ensures that the TOE documentation includes a user manual and online help system. Since all users of the TOE are also administrators (refer to assumption A.TOEUSER), this documentation acts as both User and Administrator guidance. This measure satisfies the requirements of AGD_ADM.1.

AGD_USR.1 User Guidance

Assurance Measure M.DOCS ensures that the TOE documentation includes a user manual and online help system. This measure satisfies the requirements of AGD_USR.1.

ALC_DVS.1 Identification of Security Measures

Assurance Measure M.DEVELOP ensures that the TOE documentation includes a description of the security measures for the TOE development environment. This measure satisfies the requirements of ALC_DVS.1.

ATE_COV.2 Analysis of Coverage

Assurance Measure M.TEST ensures that the TOE test documentation includes sufficient evidence to confirm that the developer has systematically tested the TOE against its



functional specification and high level design. This measure satisfies the requirements of ATE_COV.2.

ATE_DPT.1 Testing: High Level Design

Assurance Measure M.TEST ensures that the TOE test documentation includes sufficient evidence to demonstrate that the TSF operates in accordance with its high level design. This measure satisfies the requirements of ATE_DPT.1.

ATE_FUN.1 Functional Testing

Assurance Measure M.TEST ensures that the TOE test documentation is sufficient to determine that the developer has functionally tested all TOE security functions. This measure satisfies the requirements of ATE_FUN.1.

ATE_IND.2 Independent Testing – Sample

Assurance Measure M.TEST ensures that the TOE test documentation is sufficient for the evaluator to repeat a sample of the developers functional testing in order to confirm the test results as well as develop independent tests of the TOE security functions. This measure satisfies the requirements of ATE_IND.2.

AVA_MSU.1 Examination of Guidance

Assurance Measure M.DOCS ensures that the TOE documentation includes guidance documentation. This documentation may be examined for misleading, unreasonable and conflicting guidance. This measure satisfies the requirements for AVA_MSU.1.

AVA_SOF.1 Strength of TOE Security Function Evaluation

Assurance Measure M.VULNER ensures that the TOE vulnerability analysis documentation includes a strength of TOE security function analysis for each mechanism identified in the ST as having a strength of TOE security function claim. This measure satisfies the requirements of AVA_SOF.1.

AVA_VLA.1 Developer Vulnerability Analysis

Assurance Measure M.VULNER ensures that the TOE vulnerability analysis documentation includes an analysis of obvious ways in which a user can violate the TOE security policies along with the disposition of these obvious vulnerabilities. This measure satisfies the requirements of AVA_VLA.1.