

Maintenance Report

RSA® Data Loss Prevention Suite v8.5

Issued by:

Communications Security Establishment Canada

Certification Body

Canadian Common Criteria Evaluation and Certification Scheme

© Government of Canada, Communications Security Establishment Canada, 2011

Document number:	383-7-60-MR
Version:	1.0
Date:	05 April 2011
Pagination:	1 to 2

1 Introduction

RSA, The Security Division of EMC has submitted (via EWA-Canada) the Impact Analysis Report (IAR) for RSA® Data Loss Prevention Suite v8.5, satisfying the requirements outlined in the Version 1.7 of the Common Criteria document: <u>Assurance Continuity: CCRA</u> <u>Requirements</u>. In accordance with those requirements, the IAR describes the changes made to RSA® Data Loss Prevention Suite v8.5 (the maintained Target of Evaluation), the evidence updated as a result of the changes, and the security impact of the changes.

2 Description of changes to the TOE

The changes in RSA® Data Loss Prevention Suite v8.5 comprise bug fixes resulting from defects which were detected and resolved through the Quality Assurance (QA)/test process. For each bug fix, it was verified that there were no required changes to the security functional requirements in the ST, and thorough functional and regression testing was conducted by the developer to ensure that the assurance in the Target of Evaluation (TOE) was maintained.

3 Description of changes to the IT Environment (Product)

Enhancements to existing product features were added. The enhancements do not affect the security claims in the Security Target.

4 Affected developer evidence

Modifications to the product necessitated changes to a subset of the developer evidence that was previously submitted for the TOE. The set of affected developer evidence was identified in the IAR. New guidance documents are provided by the developer.

5 Conclusions

All changes to the TOE were bug fixes or to enhance the products functionality. Through functional and regression testing of RSA® Data Loss Prevention Suite v8.5 assurance gained in the original TOE certification was maintained. As all of the changes to the TOE have been classified as minor, it is the conclusion of the CB that the maintained TOE is appropriate for assurance continuity and re-evaluation is not required.

6 References

Assurance Continuity: CCRA Requirements, v1.7, February 2010.

CCS Guide #6, Technical Oversight for Assurance Continuity of a Certified TOE, v1.5, October 2010.

Certification Report EAL 2+ Evaluation of RSA® Data Loss Prevention Suite v6.5