

ASSURANCE CONTINUITY MAINTENANCE REPORT FOR

CA Unicenter® Network and Systems Management, Version r11.2 SP1

Maintenance Report Number: CCEVS-VR-VID10120-2008a

Date of Activity: 3 December 2009

 References:
 Common Criteria Evaluation and Validation Scheme Publication #6 "Assurance Continuity: Guidance for Maintenance and Re-evaluation", 8 September 2008;

 Impact Analysis Report, "CA Unicenter® Network and Systems Management, Version r11.2 SP1, Version 1.0";

 Documentation Updated:
 CA Unicenter® Network and Systems Management developer evidence

Assurance Continuity Maintenance Report:

The Booz Allen Hamilton (BAH) Common Criteria Testing Laboratory (CCTL), acting for CA, Inc., the vendor of Unicenter[®] Network and Systems Management, Version r11.2 SP1, submitted an Impact Analysis Report (IAR) to CCEVS for approval on September 23, 2009. The IAR is intended to satisfy requirements outlined in Common Criteria Evaluation and Validation Scheme Publication #6 "Assurance Continuity: Guidance for Maintenance and Re-evaluation", 8 September 2008. In accordance with those requirements, the IAR describes the changes made to the certified TOE, the evidence updated as a result of the changes and the security impact of the changes.

Changes to TOE:

The changes to the TOE consisted of 11 "New Features" and 30 "Enhancements". The changes improve the evaluated TOE by addressing security vulnerabilities and by providing overall performance improvements. The new features include things such as eTrust PKI with FIPS 140-2 compliance and support of IPv6 and Single Sign-On. The enhancements primarily provide decreased response time and simplified operation.

Other new features provide functionalities, such as Active Directory support, that are outside the scope of the evaluated TOE and that have no impact on the operation of the TOE.

The IAR provided by BAH indicates that the assurance evidence has been updated to reflect the changes, and appropriate testing of the changed TOE has occurred.

Each of the changes to the TOE fall into the following categorization:

• Minor Changes with Little or No Security Relevance: These changes may be related to the TSC in some way, though they may or may not relate directly to an SFR defined within the ST. These include bug fixes for vulnerabilities discovered after evaluation, performance improvements, etc.

Conclusion:

CCEVS reviewed the description of the changes and the analysis of the impact upon security, as well as the CCTL's response to each IAR Issue, and found the changes to be minor and the responses to be satisfactory. Therefore, CCEVS agrees that the original assurance is maintained for the above-cited version of the product.