

Sybase IQ User Administration Security Target

Version 1.0

02/08/05

Prepared for:
Sybase, Inc.

One Sybase Drive
Dublin, CA 94568

Prepared By:
Science Applications International Corporation
Common Criteria Testing Laboratory

7125 Columbia Gateway Drive, Suite 300
Columbia, MD 21046

1. SECURITY TARGET INTRODUCTION	4
1.1 SECURITY TARGET, TOE AND CC IDENTIFICATION	4
1.2 CONFORMANCE CLAIMS	4
1.3 CONVENTIONS, TERMINOLOGY, ACRONYMS	5
1.3.1 Conventions	5
2. TOE DESCRIPTION	5
2.1 TOE OVERVIEW	5
2.2 TOE ARCHITECTURE	6
2.2.1 Physical Boundaries	6
2.2.2 Logical Boundaries	6
2.3 TOE DOCUMENTATION	6
3. SECURITY ENVIRONMENT	7
3.1 ORGANIZATIONAL POLICIES	7
3.2 THREATS	7
3.3 ASSUMPTIONS	8
4. SECURITY OBJECTIVES	9
4.1 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE TOE	9
4.2 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE IT ENVIRONMENT	9
4.3 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE ENVIRONMENT	10
5. IT SECURITY REQUIREMENTS	11
5.1 TOE SECURITY FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS	11
5.1.1 Security management (FMT)	11
5.1.2 TOE access (FTA)	11
5.2 IT ENVIRONMENT SECURITY FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS	11
5.2.1 Security audit (FAU)	12
5.2.2 User data protection (FDP)	14
5.2.3 Identification and authentication (FIA)	15
5.2.4 Security management (FMT)	16
5.2.5 Protection of the TSF (FPT)	17
5.2.6 TOE access (FTA)	17
5.3 TOE SECURITY ASSURANCE REQUIREMENTS	17
5.3.1 Configuration management (ACM)	18
5.3.2 Delivery and operation (ADO)	18
5.3.3 Development (ADV)	19
5.3.4 Guidance documents (AGD)	20
5.3.5 Life cycle support (ALC)	20
5.3.6 Tests (ATE)	21
5.3.7 Vulnerability assessment (AVA)	22
6. TOE SUMMARY SPECIFICATION	24
6.1 TOE SECURITY FUNCTIONS	24
6.1.1 Security management	24
6.1.2 TOE access	24
6.2 TOE SECURITY ASSURANCE MEASURES	24
6.2.1 Configuration management	24
6.2.2 Delivery and operation	25
6.2.3 Development	25
6.2.4 Guidance documents	26
6.2.5 Life cycle support	26
6.2.6 Tests	26

6.2.7	<i>Vulnerability assessment</i>	27
7.	PROTECTION PROFILE CLAIMS	28
8.	RATIONALE	29
8.1	SECURITY OBJECTIVES RATIONALE.....	29
8.1.1	<i>Security Objectives Rationale for the TOE and Environment</i>	29
8.2	SECURITY REQUIREMENTS RATIONALE.....	34
8.2.1	<i>Security Functional Requirements Rationale</i>	34
8.3	SECURITY ASSURANCE REQUIREMENTS RATIONALE.....	39
8.4	STRENGTH OF FUNCTION RATIONALE	40
8.5	REQUIREMENT DEPENDENCY RATIONALE.....	40
8.6	EXPLICITLY STATED REQUIREMENTS RATIONALE.....	41
8.7	TOE SUMMARY SPECIFICATION RATIONALE	42
8.8	PP CLAIMS RATIONALE.....	42

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1	TOE Security Functional Components	11
Table 2	IT Environment Security Functional Components	12
Table 3	EAL 3 augmented with ALC_FLR.2 Assurance Components	18
Table 4	Environment to Objective Correspondence	30
Table 5	Objective to Requirement Correspondence	35
Table 6	Requirement Dependencies	41
Table 7	Security Functions vs. Requirements Mapping	42

1. Security Target Introduction

This section identifies the Security Target (ST) and Target of Evaluation (TOE) identification, ST conventions, ST conformance claims, and the ST organization. The TOE is Sybase IQ User Administration, which consists of extensions to Sybase IQ, version 12.6 developed by Sybase, Inc. (hereafter referred to simply as Sybase).

The Sybase IQ User Administration extensions are a subset of the Sybase IQ product that provides a set of stored procedures that allow users to set, reset, and test the password expiration date. Sybase IQ provides relational database technology designed as an extended version of Sybase Adaptive Server Anywhere (ASA) version 9.0.1. Sybase ASA is a separate evaluation.

The Security Target contains the following additional sections:

- TOE Description (Section 2)
- Security Environment (Section 3)
- Security Objectives (Section 4)
- IT Security Requirements (Section 5)
- TOE Summary Specification (Section 6)
- Protection Profile Claims (Section 7)
- Rationale (Section 8).

1.1 Security Target, TOE and CC Identification

ST Title – Sybase IQ User Administration Security Target

ST Version – Version 1.0

ST Date – 02/08/05

TOE Identification – Sybase IQ, version 12.6, User Administration

CC Identification – Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Version 2.1, August 1999, ISO/IEC 15408.

1.2 Conformance Claims

This TOE is conformant to the following CC specifications:

- Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation Part 2: Security functional requirements, Version 2.1, August 1999, ISO/IEC 15408-2.
 - Part 2 Extended
- Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation Part 3: Security assurance requirements, Version 2.1, August 1999, ISO/IEC 15408-3.
 - Part 3 Conformant
 - EAL 3 augmented with ALC_FLR.2
- All applicable International Interpretations as of the date of this Security Target.

1.3 Conventions, Terminology, Acronyms

This section specifies the formatting information used in the Security Target.

1.3.1 Conventions

The following conventions have been applied in this document:

- Security Functional Requirements – Part 2 of the CC defines the approved set of operations that may be applied to functional requirements: iteration, assignment, selection, and refinement.
 - Iteration: allows a component to be used more than once with varying operations. In the ST, iteration is indicated by a letter in parenthesis placed at the end of the component. For example FDP_ACC.1a and FDP_ACC.1b indicate that the ST includes two iterations of the FDP_ACC.1 requirement, a and b.
 - Assignment: allows the specification of an identified parameter. Assignments are indicated using bold and are surrounded by brackets (e.g., [**assignment**]).
 - Selection: allows the specification of one or more elements from a list. Selections are indicated using bold italics and are surrounded by brackets (e.g., [***selection***]).
 - Refinement: allows the addition of details. Refinements are indicated using bold, for additions, and strike-through, for deletions (e.g., “... **all** objects ...” or “... ~~some~~ **big** things ...”).
- Explicit Security Functional Requirements are identified with the following symbol suffix: “_EXP”, for example FTA_MCS_EXP.
- Other sections of the ST – Other sections of the ST use bolding to highlight text of special interest, such as captions.

2. TOE Description

The Target of Evaluation (TOE) is the Sybase IQ User Administration extensions of Sybase IQ version 12.6 configured and operated according to the guidance documents identified later in this Security Target.

The Sybase IQ User Administration extensions operate in the context of Sybase IQ which is in turn designed to execute as a set of applications in the context of commercially available operating systems, specifically Microsoft Windows 2000, XP and Server 2003, Sun Solaris 8, HP-UX, and Redhat Linux Advanced Server 2.1.

2.1 TOE Overview

The Sybase IQ User Administration extensions (i.e., the TOE) are realized as a set of stored procedures and supporting database tables. These stored procedures can be used to configure the security functions of the TOE and can also be invoked by the hosting Sybase IQ product to invoke the security functions of the TOE. The TOE stores configuration and other data to support the implementation of its security functions in Sybase IQ database tables.

The hosting (i.e., not part of the TOE) Sybase IQ product provides relational database technology designed as an extended version of Sybase Adaptive Server Anywhere (ASA). Specifically Sybase IQ is a decision support server designed specifically for data warehousing and has been designed around the ASA core with this market in mind. The advantages of Sybase IQ include support for an entire enterprise in either a centralized or distributed (e.g., per business unit) configuration. While most conventional relational databases used for running business processes are tuned for OLTP (On-Line Transaction Processing), Sybase IQ is optimized for data analysis.

Sybase IQ runs as applications on top of an operating system and depends on the services exported by the operating system to function. Sybase IQ uses operating system services for process creation and manipulation; device and file processing; shared memory creation and manipulation; and security requests such as inter-process communication. The hardware upon which the operating system runs is completely transparent to Sybase IQ - Sybase IQ sees only the operating system's user interfaces.

2.2 TOE Architecture

The components that make up the Target of Evaluation (TOE) are:

- Stored Procedures - these stored procedures can be used to configure the security functions of the TOE and can also be invoked by Sybase IQ to invoke the security functions of the TOE.
- Database Tables - the TOE stores configuration and other data to support the implementation of its security functions in Sybase IQ database tables.

2.2.1 Physical Boundaries

The Sybase IQ User Administration functions are accessible by invoking its defined stored procedures in the context of Sybase IQ. These stored procedures can be accessed by users and the IT environment (i.e., the rest of Sybase IQ) via Sybase IQ mechanisms.

2.2.2 Logical Boundaries

The TOE logically supports the following security functions at its interfaces:

- Security Management and
- TOE access.

2.2.2.1 Security management

Sybase IQ User Administration provides a set of stored procedures that allow users to manage password expiration configuration data.

2.2.2.2 TOE access

Sybase IQ User Administration provides a set of stored procedures that can be invoked to reset password aging information (such as when a password is changed) and to determine whether a given password has expired (to be used during session establishment to impose this restriction,).

2.3 TOE Documentation

Sybase offers a series of documents that describe the installation process for Sybase IQ (including Sybase IQ User Administration) as well as guidance for subsequent use and administration of the applicable security features. Refer to Section 6 for information about these and other documentation associated with Sybase IQ User Administration.

3. Security Environment

The security environment for the functions addressed by this specification includes threats, security policies, and usage assumptions, as discussed below.

3.1 Organizational Policies

P.ACCOUNTABILITY	The users of the IT Environment shall be held accountable for their actions within the IT Environment.
P.AUTHORIZATION	The IT Environment shall limit the extent of each user's abilities in accordance with the TSP.
P.AUTHORIZED_USERS	Access controls will ensure that only those users who have been authorized to access the protected information within the IT Environment will be able to do so.
P.I_AND_A	All users must be identified and authenticated prior to accessing any controlled resources
P.NEED_TO_KNOW	The IT Environment must limit the access to information in protected resources to those authorized users who have a need to know that information.
P.ROLES	The IT Environment shall provide an authorized administrator role for secure administration of the IT Environment. This role shall be separate and distinct from other authorized users.

3.2 Threats

T.ADMIN_ERROR	An authorized administrator may incorrectly install or configure the IT Environment resulting in ineffective security mechanisms.
T.AUDIT_COMPROMISE	A process or user may cause audit data to be inappropriately accessed (viewed, modified or deleted), or prevent future records from being recorded, thus masking an attacker's actions.
T.MASQUERADE	An unauthorized user, process, or external IT entity may masquerade as an authorized entity to gain access to data or IT Environment resources.
T.PASSWORD	An unauthorized user may gain unauthorized access to user data by guessing or otherwise determining a password that an authorized user has forgotten to change after a specified number of days.
T.RESIDUAL_DATA	A user or process may gain unauthorized access to data through reallocation of IT Environment resources from one user or process to another.
T.SYSACC	A malicious process or user may gain unauthorized access to the authorized administrator account, or that of other trusted personnel.

T.TSF_COMPROMISE	A malicious user or process may cause configuration data to be inappropriately accessed (viewed, modified or deleted).
T.UNAUTH_ACCESS	A user may gain unauthorized access (view, modify, delete) to user data.
T.UNDETECTED_ACTIONS	Failure of the IT operating system to detect and record unauthorized actions may occur.
T.UNIDENTIFIED_ACTIONS	Failure of the authorized administrator to identify and act upon unauthorized actions may occur.
T.USER_ERROR	An authorized user may incorrectly change data they are authorized to modify.

3.3 Assumptions

A.NO_EVIL	Authorized administrators are non-hostile, appropriately trained and follow all administrator guidance.
A.NO_GENERAL_PURPOSE	There are no general-purpose computing capabilities (e.g., compilers or user applications) available on DBMS servers, other than those services necessary for the operation, administration and support of the DBMS.
A.PHYSICAL	It is assumed that appropriate physical security is provided within the domain for the value of the IT assets protected by the TOE and the value of the stored, processed, and transmitted information.
A.ROBUST_ENVIRONMENT	It is assumed that the IT environment provides support commensurate with the expectations of the TOE.

4. Security Objectives

This section defines the security objectives for the TOE and its environment. These objectives are suitable to counter all identified threats and cover all identified organizational security policies and assumptions. The TOE security objectives are identified with 'O.' inserted at the beginning of the name and the environment objectives are identified with 'OE.' inserted at the beginning of the name.

4.1 Security Objectives for the TOE

O.EXPIRE	The TOE will provide a function to determine whether passwords have expired.
O.PASSWORD	The TOE will allow authorized administrators to define password expiration periods that can be used to remind users to change their passwords.

4.2 Security Objectives for the IT Environment

OE.ACCESS	The IT environment will ensure that users gain only authorized access to it and to the resources that it controls.
OE.ADMIN_ROLE	The IT environment will provide authorized administrator roles to isolate administrative actions.
OE.AUDIT_GENERATION	The IT environment will provide the capability to detect and create records of security relevant events associated with users.
OE.AUDIT_PROTECTION	The IT environment will provide the capability to protect audit information.
OE.AUDIT_REVIEW	The IT environment will provide the capability to selectively view audit information.
OE.DISCRETIONARY_ACCESS	The IT environment will control access to resources based upon the identity of users or groups of users.
OE.INTERNAL_TOE_DOMAINS	The IT environment will maintain internal domains for separation of data and queries belonging to concurrent users.
OE.MANAGE	The IT environment will provide all the functions and facilities necessary to support the authorized administrators in their management of the security of the TOE.
OE.PROTECT	The IT environment will provide mechanisms to protect user data and resources.

OE.RESIDUAL_INFORMATION	The IT environment will ensure that any information contained in a protected resource is not released when the resource is reallocated.
OE.ROLLBACK	The IT environment must ensure that operations performed on information contained in a protected resource can be undone until it has been committed.
OE.TIME	The IT environment will provide a time source that provides reliable time stamps.
OE.TOE_PROTECTION	The IT environment will protect itself and its assets from external interference or tampering.
OE.USER_AUTHENTICATION	The IT environment will verify the claimed identity of users.
OE.USER_IDENTIFICATION	The IT environment will uniquely identify users.

4.3 Security Objectives for the Environment

OE.ADMIN_GUIDANCE	The TOE will provide authorized administrators with the necessary information for secure management of the TOE.
OE.CONFIG	The TOE will be installed, configured, managed and maintained in accordance with its guidance documentation and applicable security policies and procedures by appropriately trained and trusted administrator personnel.
OE.INSTALL	The TOE will be delivered with the appropriate installation guidance to establish and maintain TOE security.
OE.NO_GENERAL_PURPOSE	There will be no general-purpose computing capabilities (e.g., compilers or user applications) available on DBMS servers, other than those services necessary for the operation, administration and support of the DBMS.
OE.PHYSICAL	Physical security will be provided within the domain for the value of the IT assets protected by the TOE and the value of the stored, processed, and transmitted information.
OE.ROBUST_ENVIRONMENT	The IT environment that supports the TOE for enforcement of its security objectives will be of at least the same level of robustness as the TOE.
OE.SELF_PROTECTION	IT environment and its assets will be protected from external interference, tampering or unauthorized disclosure.
OE.TRUST_IT	Each IT entity the TOE relies on for security functions will be installed, configured, managed, maintained and provide the applicable security

functions in a manner appropriate to the IT entity, and consistent with the security policy of the TOE and the relationship between them.

5. IT Security Requirements

This section defines the security functional and security assurance requirements for the TOE and associated IT environment components. Note that in addition to these requirements, Sybase IQ also satisfies a minimum strength of function ‘SOF-medium’. The only applicable (i.e., probabilistic or permutational) security functions are FIA_SOS.1, FIA_UAU.2, and FIA_UID.2 which are all levied on the IT environment.

5.1 TOE Security Functional Requirements

The following table describes the SFRs that are candidates to be satisfied by Sybase IQ.

Requirement Class	Requirement Component
FMT: Security management	FMT_SMF.1a: Specification of Management Functions (<i>per International Interpretation #65</i>)
FTA: TOE access	FTA_TSE_EXP.1: TOE session establishment support

Table 1 TOE Security Functional Components

5.1.1 Security management (FMT)

5.1.1.1 Specification of Management Functions (*per International Interpretation #65*) (FMT_SMF.1a)

FMT_SMF.1a.1 The TSF shall be capable of performing the following security management functions:
[configuration of user password expiration parameters]. (*per International Interpretation #65*)

5.1.2 TOE access (FTA)

5.1.2.1 TOE session establishment support (FTA_TSE_EXP.1)

FTA_TSE_EXP.1.1 The TSF shall be able to determine whether a user password has expired relative to time information provided by the IT environment upon request.

FTA_TSE_EXP.1.2 The TSF shall be able to reset password aging information upon request.

5.2 IT Environment Security Functional Requirements

The following table describes the SFRs that are candidates to be satisfied by the IT environment of Sybase IQ.

Requirement Class	Requirement Component
FAU: Security audit	FAU_GEN.1: Audit data generation
	FAU_GEN.2: User identity association

	FAU_SAR.1: Audit review
	FAU_SAR.2: Restricted audit review
	FAU_SAR.3: Selectable audit review
	FAU_SEL.1: Selective audit
	FAU_STG.1: Protected audit trail storage
	FAU_STG.3: Action in case of possible audit data loss
FDP: User data protection	FDP_ACC.1: Subset access control
	FDP_ACF.1: Security attribute based access control
	FDP_RIP.2: Full residual information protection
	FDP_ROL.1: Basic rollback
FIA: Identification and authentication	FIA_AFL.1: Authentication failure handling
	FIA_ATD.1: User attribute definition
	FIA_SOS.1: Verification of secrets
	FIA_UAU.2: User authentication before any action
	FIA_UID.2: User identification before any action
	FIA_USB.1: User-subject binding
FMT: Security management	FMT_MOF.1: Management of security functions behaviour
	FMT_MSA.1: Management of security attributes
	FMT_MSA.2: Secure security attributes
	FMT_MSA.3: Static attribute initialization
	FMT_MTD.1a: Management of TSF data
	FMT_MTD.1b: Management of TSF data
	FMT_MTD.1c: Management of TSF data
	FMT_REV.1a: Revocation
	FMT_REV.1b: Revocation
	FMT_SMF.1b: Specification of Management Functions (<i>per International Interpretation #65</i>)
	FMT_SMR.1: Security roles
FPT: Protection of the TSF	FPT_RVM.1: Non-bypassability of the TSP
	FPT_SEP.1: TSF domain separation
	FPT_STM.1: Reliable time stamps
FTA: TOE access	FTA_MCS_EXP.1: Basic limitation on multiple concurrent sessions
	FTA_TSE.1: TOE session establishment

Table 2 IT Environment Security Functional Components

5.2.1 Security audit (FAU)

5.2.1.1 Audit data generation (FAU_GEN.1)

FAU_GEN.1.1 The TSF shall be able to generate an audit record of the following auditable events: a) Start-up and shutdown of the audit functions; b) All auditable events for the **[not specified]** level of audit; and c) **[the auditable actions identified in the following table]**. (*per International Interpretation #202*)

Requirement Component	Auditable Action
FAU_GEN.1: Audit data generation	None
FAU_GEN.2: User identity association	None
FAU_SAR.1: Audit review	None
FAU_SAR.2: Restricted audit review	None
FAU_SAR.3: Selectable audit review	None
FAU_SEL.1: Selective audit	All modifications to the audit configuration that

	occur while the audit collection functions are operating.
FAU_STG.1: Protected audit trail storage	None
FAU_STG.3: Action in case of possible audit data loss	None
FDP_ACC.1: Subset access control	None
FDP_ACF.1: Security attribute based access control	Successful requests to perform an operation on an object covered by the SFP.
FDP_RIP.2: Full residual information protection	None
FDP_ROL.1: Basic rollback	None
FIA_AFL.1: Authentication failure handling	None
FIA_ATD.1: User attribute definition	None
FIA_SOS.1: Verification of secrets	Rejection by the TSF of any tested secret.
FIA_UAU.2: User authentication before any action	Unsuccessful use of the authentication mechanism.
FIA_UID.2: User identification before any action	Unsuccessful use of the user identification mechanism, including the user identity provided.
FIA_USB.1: User-subject binding	None
FMT_MOF.1: Management of security functions behaviour	None
FMT_MSA.1: Management of security attributes	None
FMT_MSA.2: Secure security attributes	None
FMT_MSA.3: Static attribute initialization	None
FMT_MTD.1a: Management of TSF data	None
FMT_MTD.1b: Management of TSF data	None
FMT_MTD.1c: Management of TSF data	None
FMT_REV.1a: Revocation	None
FMT_REV.1b: Revocation	None
FMT_SMF.1b: Specification of Management Functions	Use of the management functions.
FMT_SMR.1: Security roles	Modifications to the group of users that are part of a role.
FPT_RVM.1a: Non-bypassability of the TSP	None
FPT_SEP.1a: TSF domain separation	None
FTA_MCS_EXP.1: Basic limitation on multiple concurrent sessions	None
FTA_TSE.1: TOE session establishment	None

FAU_GEN.1.2 The TSF shall record within each audit record at least the following information: a) Date and time of the event, type of event, subject identity, and the outcome (success or failure) of the event; and b) For each audit event type, based on the auditable event definitions of the functional components included in the PP/ST, **[no additional information]**

5.2.1.2 User identity association (FAU_GEN.2)

FAU_GEN.2.1 The TSF shall be able to associate each auditable event with the identity of the user that caused the event.

5.2.1.3 Audit review (FAU_SAR.1)

FAU_SAR.1.1 The TSF shall provide **[the authorized administrator]** with the capability to read **[all audit information]** from the audit records.

FAU_SAR.1.2 The TSF shall provide the audit records in a manner suitable for the user to interpret the information.

5.2.1.4 Restricted audit review (FAU_SAR.2)

FAU_SAR.2.1 The TSF shall prohibit all users read access to the audit records, except those users that have been granted explicit read-access.

5.2.1.5 Selectable audit review (FAU_SAR.3)

FAU_SAR.3.1 The TSF shall provide the ability to perform [*searches and sorting*] of audit data based on [*user identities*].

5.2.1.6 Selective audit (FAU_SEL.1)

FAU_SEL.1.1 The TSF shall be able to include or exclude auditable events from the set of audited events based on the following attributes: a) [*event type*] b) [*no additional attributes*].

5.2.1.7 Protected audit trail storage (FAU_STG.1)

FAU_STG.1.1 The TSF shall protect the stored audit records from unauthorised deletion.

FAU_STG.1.2 The TSF shall be able to [*prevent*] unauthorised modifications to the audit records in the audit trail. (*per International Interpretations #141 and #202*)

5.2.1.8 Action in case of possible audit data loss (FAU_STG.3)

FAU_STG.3.1 The TSF shall take [*action to prevent additional auditable events*] if the audit trail exceeds [*its maximum capacity*].

5.2.2 User data protection (FDP)

5.2.2.1 Subset access control (FDP_ACC.1)

FDP_ACC.1.1 The TSF shall enforce the [*Discretionary Access Control Policy*] on [*all database subjects; the following database objects: tables, views, stored procedures and user-defined functions; and, all operations on the identified database objects by database subjects*].

5.2.2.2 Security attribute based access control (FDP_ACF.1)

FDP_ACF.1.1 The TSF shall enforce the [*Discretionary Access Control Policy*] to objects based on the following: [*database subject attributes: user identity, group memberships and authorities; and, database object attributes: owner and access control lists (ACLs)*]. (*per International Interpretation #103*)

FDP_ACF.1.2 The TSF shall enforce the following rules to determine if an operation among controlled subjects and controlled objects is allowed: [*a) if the user identity is equal to the object owner, the requested access is allowed; or b) if the ACL grants the requesting user identity the requested access, the requested access is allowed; or c) if the user identity is a member of a group and the ACL grants the group the requested access, the requested access is allowed; or d) otherwise access is denied, unless access is explicitly authorized in accordance with the rules specified in FDP_ACF.1.3.*].

FDP_ACF.1.3 The TSF shall explicitly authorise access of subjects to objects based on the following additional rules: [*a) if the database subject has DBA authority, the requested access is allowed.*].

FDP_ACF.1.4 The TSF shall explicitly deny access of subjects to objects based on the [*there are no explicit access denial rules*].

5.2.2.3 Full residual information protection (FDP_RIP.2)

FDP_RIP.2.1 The TSF shall ensure that any previous information content of a resource is made unavailable upon the [*allocation of the resource to*] all objects.

5.2.2.4 Basic Rollback (FDP_ROL.1)

- FDP_ROL.1.1** The TSF shall enforce the **[Discretionary Access Control Policy]** to permit the rollback of the **[operations that can be expressed as SQL]** on the **[tables, views, stored procedures and user-defined functions]**.
- FDP_ROL.1.2** The TSF shall permit operations to be rolled back within the **[set of uncommitted statements with the current user session]**.

5.2.3 Identification and authentication (FIA)

5.2.3.1 Authentication failure handling (FIA_AFL.1)

- FIA_AFL.1.1** The TSF shall detect when **[an administrator configurable positive integer within [0 – 32767¹]]** unsuccessful authentication attempts occur related to **[user identification]**. *(per International Interpretation #111)*
- FIA_AFL.1.2** When the defined number of unsuccessful authentication attempts has been met or surpassed, the TSF shall **[prevent subsequent authentication of the identified user]**.

5.2.3.2 User attribute definition (FIA_ATD.1)

- FIA_ATD.1.1** The TSF shall maintain the following list of security attributes belonging to individual users: **[user identity, authentication data, group memberships and authorities]**.

5.2.3.3 Verification of secrets (FIA_SOS.1)

- FIA_SOS.1.1** The TSF shall provide a mechanism to verify that secrets meet **[the following: a) for each attempt to use the authentication mechanisms, the probability that a random attempt will succeed is less than one in 5,000,000,000,000,000; and b) any feedback given during each attempt to use the authentication mechanism will reduce the probability of the above metric by only one.]**.

5.2.3.4 User authentication before any action (FIA_UAU.2)

- FIA_UAU.2.1** The TSF shall require each user to be successfully authenticated before allowing any other TSF-mediated actions on behalf of that user.

5.2.3.5 User identification before any action (FIA_UID.2)

- FIA_UID.2.1** The TSF shall require each user to identify itself before allowing any other TSF-mediated actions on behalf of that user.

5.2.3.6 User-subject binding (FIA_USB.1)

- FIA_USB.1.1** The TSF shall associate the following user security attributes with subjects acting on the behalf of that user: **[user identity, group memberships, and authorities]**. *(per International Interpretation #137)*
- FIA_USB.1.2** The TSF shall enforce the following rules on the initial association of user security attributes with subjects acting on the behalf of users: **[subject security attributes are derived from TSF data maintained for each defined user after a successful connection with the defined user identity]**. *(per International Interpretation #137)*
- FIA_USB.1.3** The TSF shall enforce the following rules governing changes to the user security attributes associated with subjects acting on the behalf of users: **[subject security attributes cannot change after initial assignment]**. *(per International Interpretation #137)*

¹ In the context of this requirement, a value of ‘0’ indicates no limit to the number of detected failed unsuccessfully authentication attempts.

5.2.4 Security management (FMT)

5.2.4.1 Management of security functions behaviour (FMT_MOF.1)

FMT_MOF.1.1 The TSF shall restrict the ability to [*disable and enable*] the functions [**related to the specification of events to be audited**] to [**authorized administrators**].

5.2.4.2 Management of security attributes (FMT_MSA.1)

FMT_MSA.1.1 The TSF shall enforce the [**Discretionary Access Control Policy**] to restrict the ability to [*manage*] the security attributes [**of database subjects**] to [**authorized administrators**].

5.2.4.3 Secure security attributes (FMT_MSA.2)

FMT_MSA.2.1 The TSF shall ensure that only secure values are accepted for security attributes.

5.2.4.4 Static attribute initialization (FMT_MSA.3)

FMT_MSA.3.1 The TSF shall enforce the [**Discretionary Access Control Policy**] to provide [*restrictive*] default values for security attributes that are used to enforce the SFP. (*per International Interpretations #201 and #202*)

FMT_MSA.3.2 The TSF shall allow the [**no user role**] to specify alternative initial values to override the default values when an object or information is created.

5.2.4.5 Management of TSF data (FMT_MTD.1a)

FMT_MTD.1a.1 The TSF shall restrict the ability to [*include or exclude*] the [**audited events**] to [**authorized administrators**].

5.2.4.6 Management of TSF data (FMT_MTD.1b)

FMT_MTD.1b.1 The TSF shall restrict the ability to [*query and clear*] the [**audit records**] to [**authorized administrators**].

5.2.4.7 Management of TSF data (FMT_MTD.1c)

FMT_MTD.1c.1 The TSF shall restrict the ability to [*set and reset*] the [**user authentication data**] to [**authorized administrators and the user associated with the authentication data**].

5.2.4.8 Revocation (FMT_REV.1a)

FMT_REV.1a.1 The TSF shall restrict the ability to revoke security attributes associated with the [*subjects*] within the TSC to [**authorized administrators**]. (*per International Interpretation #201*)

FMT_REV.1a.2 The TSF shall enforce the rules [**: the enforcement of subject attribute changes shall take immediately on completion of the revocation operation**]].

5.2.4.9 Revocation (FMT_REV.1b)

FMT_REV.1b.1 The TSF shall restrict the ability to revoke security attributes associated with the [*objects*] within the TSC to [**authorized users (only for database objects they own or database objects for which they have been granted subject access privileges allowing them to revoke security attributes)**]. (*per International Interpretation #201*)

FMT_REV.1b.2 The TSF shall enforce the rules [**: the enforcement of object attribute changes shall take effect before the next access attempt related to that object**]].

5.2.4.10 Specification of Management Functions (*per International Interpretation #65*) (FMT_SMF.1b)

FMT_SMF.1b.1 The TSF shall be capable of performing the following security management functions: [**starting and stopping the audit function, selection of the audited events, review of audit data, and**

management of database subjects and authentication data]. (*per International Interpretation #65*)

5.2.4.11 Security roles (FMT_SMR.1)

FMT_SMR.1.1 The TSF shall maintain the roles **[authorized administrators and users]**.

FMT_SMR.1.2 The TSF shall be able to associate users with roles.

5.2.5 Protection of the TSF (FPT)

5.2.5.1 Non-bypassability of the TSP (FPT_RVM.1)

FPT_RVM.1.1 The TSF shall ensure that TSP enforcement functions are invoked and succeed before each function within the TSC is allowed to proceed.

5.2.5.2 TSF domain separation (FPT_SEP.1)

FPT_SEP.1.1 The TSF shall maintain a security domain for its own execution that protects it from interference and tampering by untrusted subjects.

FPT_SEP.1.2 The TSF shall enforce separation between the security domains of subjects in the TSC.

5.2.5.3 Reliable time stamps (FPT_STM.1)

FPT_STM.1.1 The TSF shall be able to provide reliable time stamps for its own use.

5.2.6 TOE access (FTA)

5.2.6.1 Basic limitation on multiple concurrent sessions (FTA_MCS_EXP.1)

FTA_MCS_EXP.1.1 The TSF shall be able to restrict the maximum number of concurrent sessions that belong to the same user.

FTA_MCS_EXP.1.2 The TSF shall enforce, by default, no limit to the number of sessions per user.

5.2.6.2 TOE session establishment (FTA_TSE.1)

FTA_TSE.1.1 The TSF shall be able to deny session establishment based on **[user identity, time, and password expiration]**.

5.3 TOE Security Assurance Requirements

The security assurance requirements for the TOE are the EAL 3 augmented with ALC_FLR.2 components as specified in Part 3 of the Common Criteria. No operations are applied to the assurance components.

Requirement Class	Requirement Component
ACM: Configuration management	ACM_CAP.3: Authorisation controls
	ACM_SCP.1: TOE CM coverage
ADO: Delivery and operation	ADO_DEL.1: Delivery procedures
	ADO_IGS.1: Installation, generation, and start-up procedures
ADV: Development	ADV_FSP.1: Informal functional specification
	ADV_HLD.2: Security enforcing high-level design
	ADV_RCR.1: Informal correspondence demonstration
AGD: Guidance documents	AGD_ADM.1: Administrator guidance
	AGD_USR.1: User guidance
ALC: Life cycle support	ALC_DVS.1: Identification of security measures
	ALC_FLR.2: Flaw reporting procedures
ATE: Tests	ATE_COV.2: Analysis of coverage

	ATE_DPT.1: Testing: high-level design
	ATE_FUN.1: Functional testing
	ATE_IND.2: Independent testing - sample
AVA: Vulnerability assessment	AVA_MSU.1: Examination of guidance
	AVA_SOF.1: Strength of TOE security function evaluation
	AVA_VLA.1: Developer vulnerability analysis

Table 3 EAL 3 augmented with ALC_FLR.2 Assurance Components

5.3.1 Configuration management (ACM)

5.3.1.1 Authorisation controls (ACM_CAP.3)

ACM_CAP.3.1d The developer shall provide a reference for the TOE.

ACM_CAP.3.2d The developer shall use a CM system.

ACM_CAP.3.3d The developer shall provide CM documentation.

ACM_CAP.3.1c The reference for the TOE shall be unique to each version of the TOE.

ACM_CAP.3.2c The TOE shall be labelled with its reference.

ACM_CAP.3.3c The CM documentation shall include a configuration list and a CM plan.

ACM_CAP.3.4c The configuration list shall describe the configuration items that comprise the TOE.

ACM_CAP.3.5c The CM documentation shall describe the method used to uniquely identify the configuration items.

ACM_CAP.3.6c The CM system shall uniquely identify all configuration items.

ACM_CAP.3.7c The CM plan shall describe how the CM system is used.

ACM_CAP.3.8c The evidence shall demonstrate that the CM system is operating in accordance with the CM plan.

ACM_CAP.3.9c The CM documentation shall provide evidence that all configuration items have been and are being effectively maintained under the CM system.

ACM_CAP.3.10c The CM system shall provide measures such that only authorised changes are made to the configuration items.

ACM_CAP.3.11c The configuration list shall uniquely identify all configuration items that comprise the TOE. (*per International Interpretation #3*)

ACM_CAP.3.1e The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.

5.3.1.2 TOE CM coverage (ACM_SCP.1)

ACM_SCP.1.1d The developer shall provide a list of configuration items for the TOE. (*per International Interpretation #4*)

ACM_SCP.1.1c The list of configuration items shall include the following: implementation representation and the evaluation evidence required by the assurance components in the ST. (*per International Interpretation #4*)

ACM_SCP.1.2c (*this element has been deleted per International Interpretation #4*)

ACM_SCP.1.1e The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.

5.3.2 Delivery and operation (ADO)

5.3.2.1 Delivery procedures (ADO_DEL.1)

ADO_DEL.1.1d The developer shall document procedures for delivery of the TOE or parts of it to the user.

ADO_DEL.1.2d The developer shall use the delivery procedures.

ADO_DEL.1.1c The delivery documentation shall describe all procedures that are necessary to maintain security when distributing versions of the TOE to a user's site.

ADO_DEL.1.1e The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.

5.3.2.2 Installation, generation, and start-up procedures (ADO_IGS.1)

ADO_IGS.1.1d The developer shall document procedures necessary for the secure installation, generation, and start-up of the TOE.

ADO_IGS.1.1c The installation, generation and start-up documentation shall describe all the steps necessary for secure installation, generation and start-up of the TOE. (*per International Interpretation #51 (rev 1)*)

ADO_IGS.1.1e The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.

ADO_IGS.1.2e The evaluator shall determine that the installation, generation, and start-up procedures result in a secure configuration.

5.3.3 Development (ADV)

5.3.3.1 Informal functional specification (ADV_FSP.1)

ADV_FSP.1.1d The developer shall provide a functional specification.

ADV_FSP.1.1c The functional specification shall describe the TSF and its external interfaces using an informal style.

ADV_FSP.1.2c The functional specification shall be internally consistent.

ADV_FSP.1.3c The functional specification shall describe the purpose and method of use of all external TSF interfaces, providing details of effects, exceptions and error messages, as appropriate.

ADV_FSP.1.4c The functional specification shall completely represent the TSF.

ADV_FSP.1.1e The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.

ADV_FSP.1.2e The evaluator shall determine that the functional specification is an accurate and complete instantiation of the TOE security functional requirements.

5.3.3.2 Security enforcing high-level design (ADV_HLD.2)

ADV_HLD.2.1d The developer shall provide the high-level design of the TSF.

ADV_HLD.2.1c The presentation of the high-level design shall be informal.

ADV_HLD.2.2c The high-level design shall be internally consistent.

ADV_HLD.2.3c The high-level design shall describe the structure of the TSF in terms of subsystems.

ADV_HLD.2.4c The high-level design shall describe the security functionality provided by each subsystem of the TSF.

ADV_HLD.2.5c The high-level design shall identify any underlying hardware, firmware, and/or software required by the TSF with a presentation of the functions provided by the supporting protection mechanisms implemented in that hardware, firmware, or software.

ADV_HLD.2.6c The high-level design shall identify all interfaces to the subsystems of the TSF.

ADV_HLD.2.7c The high-level design shall identify which of the interfaces to the subsystems of the TSF are externally visible.

ADV_HLD.2.8c The high-level design shall describe the purpose and method of use of all interfaces to the subsystems of the TSF, providing details of effects, exceptions and error messages, as appropriate.

ADV_HLD.2.9c The high-level design shall describe the separation of the TOE into TSP-enforcing and other subsystems.

ADV_HLD.2.1e The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.

ADV_HLD.2.2e The evaluator shall determine that the high-level design is an accurate and complete instantiation of the TOE security functional requirements.

5.3.3.3 Informal correspondence demonstration (ADV_RCR.1)

ADV_RCR.1.1d The developer shall provide an analysis of correspondence between all adjacent pairs of TSF representations that are provided.

ADV_RCR.1.1c For each adjacent pair of provided TSF representations, the analysis shall demonstrate that all relevant security functionality of the more abstract TSF representation is correctly and completely refined in the less abstract TSF representation.

ADV_RCR.1.1e The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.

5.3.4 Guidance documents (AGD)

5.3.4.1 Administrator guidance (AGD_ADM.1)

AGD_ADM.1.1d The developer shall provide administrator guidance addressed to system administrative personnel.

AGD_ADM.1.1c The administrator guidance shall describe the administrative functions and interfaces available to the administrator of the TOE.

AGD_ADM.1.2c The administrator guidance shall describe how to administer the TOE in a secure manner.

AGD_ADM.1.3c The administrator guidance shall contain warnings about functions and privileges that should be controlled in a secure processing environment.

AGD_ADM.1.4c The administrator guidance shall describe all assumptions regarding user behaviour that are relevant to secure operation of the TOE.

AGD_ADM.1.5c The administrator guidance shall describe all security parameters under the control of the administrator, indicating secure values as appropriate.

AGD_ADM.1.6c The administrator guidance shall describe each type of security-relevant event relative to the administrative functions that need to be performed, including changing the security characteristics of entities under the control of the TSF.

AGD_ADM.1.7c The administrator guidance shall be consistent with all other documentation supplied for evaluation.

AGD_ADM.1.8c The administrator guidance shall describe all security requirements for the IT environment that are relevant to the administrator.

AGD_ADM.1.1e The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.

5.3.4.2 User guidance (AGD_USR.1)

AGD_USR.1.1d The developer shall provide user guidance.

AGD_USR.1.1c The user guidance shall describe the functions and interfaces available to the non-administrative users of the TOE.

AGD_USR.1.2c The user guidance shall describe the use of user-accessible security functions provided by the TOE.

AGD_USR.1.3c The user guidance shall contain warnings about user-accessible functions and privileges that should be controlled in a secure processing environment.

AGD_USR.1.4c The user guidance shall clearly present all user responsibilities necessary for secure operation of the TOE, including those related to assumptions regarding user behaviour found in the statement of TOE security environment.

AGD_USR.1.5c The user guidance shall be consistent with all other documentation supplied for evaluation.

AGD_USR.1.6c The user guidance shall describe all security requirements for the IT environment that are relevant to the user.

AGD_USR.1.1e The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.

5.3.5 Life cycle support (ALC)

5.3.5.1 Identification of security measures (ALC_DVS.1)

ALC_DVS.1.1d The developer shall produce development security documentation.

ALC_DVS.1.1c The development security documentation shall describe all the physical, procedural, personnel, and other security measures that are necessary to protect the confidentiality and integrity of the TOE design and implementation in its development environment.

- ALC_DVS.1.2c** The development security documentation shall provide evidence that these security measures are followed during the development and maintenance of the TOE.
- ALC_DVS.1.1e** The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.
- ALC_DVS.1.2e** The evaluator shall confirm that the security measures are being applied.

5.3.5.2 Flaw reporting procedures (ALC_FLR.2)

- ALC_FLR.2.1d** The developer shall provide flaw remediation procedures addressed to TOE developers. *(per International Interpretation #94)*
- ALC_FLR.2.2d** The developer shall establish a procedure for accepting and acting upon all reports of security flaws and requests for corrections to those flaws. *(per International Interpretation #62)*
- ALC_FLR.2.3d** The developer shall provide flaw remediation guidance addressed to TOE users. *(per International Interpretation #94)*
- ALC_FLR.2.1c** The flaw remediation procedures documentation shall describe the procedures used to track all reported security flaws in each release of the TOE.
- ALC_FLR.2.2c** The flaw remediation procedures shall require that a description of the nature and effect of each security flaw be provided, as well as the status of finding a correction to that flaw.
- ALC_FLR.2.3c** The flaw remediation procedures shall require that corrective actions be identified for each of the security flaws.
- ALC_FLR.2.4c** The flaw remediation procedures documentation shall describe the methods used to provide flaw information, corrections and guidance on corrective actions to TOE users.
- ALC_FLR.2.5c** The flaw remediation procedures documentation shall describe a means by which the developer receives from TOE users reports and enquiries of suspected security flaws in the TOE. *(per International Interpretation #94)*
- ALC_FLR.2.6c** The procedures for processing reported security flaws shall ensure that any reported flaws are corrected and the correction issued to TOE users. *(per International Interpretation #94)*
- ALC_FLR.2.7c** The procedures for processing reported security flaws shall provide safeguards that any corrections to these security flaws do not introduce any new flaws. *(per International Interpretation #94)*
- ALC_FLR.2.8c** The flaw remediation guidance shall describe a means by which TOE users report to the developer any suspected security flaws in the TOE. *(per International Interpretation #94)*
- ALC_FLR.2.1e** The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.

5.3.6 Tests (ATE)

5.3.6.1 Analysis of coverage (ATE_COV.2)

- ATE_COV.2.1d** The developer shall provide an analysis of the test coverage.
- ATE_COV.2.1c** The analysis of the test coverage shall demonstrate the correspondence between the tests identified in the test documentation and the TSF as described in the functional specification.
- ATE_COV.2.2c** The analysis of the test coverage shall demonstrate that the correspondence between the TSF as described in the functional specification and the tests identified in the test documentation is complete.
- ATE_COV.2.1e** The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.

5.3.6.2 Testing: high-level design (ATE_DPT.1)

- ATE_DPT.1.1d** The developer shall provide the analysis of the depth of testing.
- ATE_DPT.1.1c** The depth analysis shall demonstrate that the tests identified in the test documentation are sufficient to demonstrate that the TSF operates in accordance with its high-level design.
- ATE_DPT.1.1e** The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.

5.3.6.3 Functional testing (ATE_FUN.1)

- ATE_FUN.1.1d** The developer shall test the TSF and document the results.
- ATE_FUN.1.2d** The developer shall provide test documentation.
- ATE_FUN.1.1c** The test documentation shall consist of test plans, test procedure descriptions, expected test results and actual test results.
- ATE_FUN.1.2c** The test plans shall identify the security functions to be tested and describe the goal of the tests to be performed.
- ATE_FUN.1.3c** The test procedure descriptions shall identify the tests to be performed and describe the scenarios for testing each security function. These scenarios shall include any ordering dependencies on the results of other tests.
- ATE_FUN.1.4c** The expected test results shall show the anticipated outputs from a successful execution of the tests.
- ATE_FUN.1.5c** The test results from the developer execution of the tests shall demonstrate that each tested security function behaved as specified.
- ATE_FUN.1.1e** The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.

5.3.6.4 Independent testing - sample (ATE_IND.2)

- ATE_IND.2.1d** The developer shall provide the TOE for testing.
- ATE_IND.2.1c** The TOE shall be suitable for testing.
- ATE_IND.2.2c** The developer shall provide an equivalent set of resources to those that were used in the developer's functional testing of the TSF.
- ATE_IND.2.1e** The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.
- ATE_IND.2.2e** The evaluator shall test a subset of the TSF as appropriate to confirm that the TOE operates as specified.
- ATE_IND.2.3e** The evaluator shall execute a sample of tests in the test documentation to verify the developer test results.

5.3.7 Vulnerability assessment (AVA)

5.3.7.1 Examination of guidance (AVA_MSU.1)

- AVA_MSU.1.1d** The developer shall provide guidance documentation.
- AVA_MSU.1.1c** The guidance documentation shall identify all possible modes of operation of the TOE (including operation following failure or operational error), their consequences and implications for maintaining secure operation.
- AVA_MSU.1.2c** The guidance documentation shall be complete, clear, consistent and reasonable.
- AVA_MSU.1.3c** The guidance documentation shall list all assumptions about the intended environment.
- AVA_MSU.1.4c** The guidance documentation shall list all requirements for external security measures (including external procedural, physical and personnel controls).
- AVA_MSU.1.1e** The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.
- AVA_MSU.1.2e** The evaluator shall repeat all configuration and installation procedures to confirm that the TOE can be configured and used securely using only the supplied guidance documentation.
- AVA_MSU.1.3e** The evaluator shall determine that the use of the guidance documentation allows all insecure states to be detected.

5.3.7.2 Strength of TOE security function evaluation (AVA_SOF.1)

- AVA_SOF.1.1d** The developer shall perform a strength of TOE security function analysis for each mechanism identified in the ST as having a strength of TOE security function claim.
- AVA_SOF.1.1c** For each mechanism with a strength of TOE security function claim the strength of TOE security function analysis shall show that it meets or exceeds the minimum strength level defined in the PP/ST.

- AVA_SOF.1.2c** For each mechanism with a specific strength of TOE security function claim the strength of TOE security function analysis shall show that it meets or exceeds the specific strength of function metric defined in the PP/ST.
- AVA_SOF.1.1e** The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.
- AVA_SOF.1.2e** The evaluator shall confirm that the strength claims are correct.

5.3.7.3 Developer vulnerability analysis (AVA_VLA.1)

- AVA_VLA.1.1d** The developer shall perform a vulnerability analysis. *(per International Interpretation #51 (rev 1))*
- AVA_VLA.1.2d** The developer shall provide vulnerability analysis documentation. *(per International Interpretation #51 (rev 1))*
- AVA_VLA.1.1c** The vulnerability analysis documentation shall describe the analysis of the TOE deliverables performed to search for obvious ways in which a user can violate the TSP. *(per International Interpretation #51 (rev 1))*
- AVA_VLA.1.2c** The vulnerability analysis documentation shall describe the disposition of obvious vulnerabilities. *(per International Interpretation #51 (rev 1))*
- AVA_VLA.1.3c** The vulnerability analysis documentation shall show, for all identified vulnerabilities, that the vulnerability cannot be exploited in the intended environment for the TOE. *(per International Interpretation #51 (rev 1))*
- AVA_VLA.1.1e** The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.
- AVA_VLA.1.2e** The evaluator shall conduct penetration testing, building on the developer vulnerability analysis, to ensure obvious vulnerabilities have been addressed.

6. TOE Summary Specification

This chapter describes the security functions and associated assurance measures.

6.1 TOE Security Functions

6.1.1 Security management

Sybase IQ User Administration offers stored procedures that allow the applicable users to manage password expiration configuration data. Specifically, the stored procedure allows password expiration periods to be established for specified users.

The Security management function is designed to satisfy the following security functional requirements:

- FMT_SMF.1a: The TOE provides stored procedures that allow the management of password expiration configuration data.

6.1.2 TOE access

Sybase IQ User Administration manages password expiration data in database tables stored in the IT environment. Sybase IQ User Administration includes a stored procedure that can be invoked by the IT environment (e.g., during session establishment) which will determine whether a password has expired and return the result to the invoker. When invoked, the invoker must identify a user that is used to determine which password expiration data to use and the stored procedure queries the current time from the IT environment so that it can determine whether the password has expired or not.

The TOE also provides a similar stored procedure that can be used to reset the password aging information (e.g., when a password is changed by a user) when invoked. This stored procedure also requires the identity of the applicable user and uses the current time provided by the IT environment to keep track of when the password was last changed.

Note that both of these functions must be invoked by the IT environment when appropriate since the TOE provides only supporting services and does not directly enforce the password expiration services it offers. Note that it is also up to the environment to use the information appropriately to alert users or otherwise enforce applicable restrictions.

The TOE access function is designed to satisfy the following security functional requirements:

- FTA_TSE_EXP.1: The TOE provides functions to reset and check password expiration data to indicate whether a given password has expired.

6.2 TOE Security Assurance Measures

6.2.1 Configuration management

The configuration management measures applied by Sybase ensure that configuration items are uniquely identified, and that documented procedures are used to control and track changes that are made to the TOE. Sybase ensures changes to the implementation representation are controlled. Sybase performs configuration management on the TOE implementation representation, design documentation, tests and test documentation, user and administrator guidance, delivery and operation documentation, life-cycle documentation, vulnerability analysis documentation, and configuration management documentation.

These activities are documented in:

- Sybase IQ Configuration Management Plan
- Sybase IQ Life Cycle Plan

The Configuration management assurance measure satisfies the following EAL 3 augmented with ALC_FLR.2 assurance requirements:

- ACM_CAP.3
- ACM_SCP.1

6.2.2 Delivery and operation

Sybase provides delivery documentation and procedures to identify the TOE, secure the TOE during delivery, and provide necessary installation and generation instructions. Sybase's delivery procedures describe all applicable procedures to be used to prevent in appropriate access to the TOE. Sybase also provides documentation that describes the steps necessary to install the TOE in accordance with the evaluated configuration.

These activities are documented in:

- Sybase IQ Delivery and Operation
- Supplement for Installing Sybase IQ for Common Criteria Configuration, Document ID: DC00230-01-1260-01, Last revised: November 20, 2004.
- Sybase IQ Installation & Configuration Guide 12.6 Linux, DC10083
- Sybase IQ Installation & Configuration Guide 12.6 Sun Solaris, DC30066
- Sybase IQ Installation & Configuration Guide 12.6 Windows, DC30056
- Sybase IQ Installation & Configuration Guide 12.6 HP-UX, DC39500

The Delivery and operation assurance measure satisfies the following EAL 3 augmented with ALC_FLR.2 assurance requirements:

- ADO_DEL.1
- ADO_IGS.1

6.2.3 Development

Sybase has numerous documents describing all facets of the design of the TOE. In particular, they have a functional specification that describes the accessible TOE interfaces; a high-level design that decomposes the TOE architecture into subsystems and describes each subsystem and their interfaces; and, correspondence documentation that explains how each of the design abstractions correspond from the TOE summary specification in the Security Target to the subsystems.

These activities are documented in:

- Sybase IQ User Administration Design Specification
- Sybase IQ User Administration Functional Specification
- IQ User Administration Correspondence

The Development assurance measure satisfies the following EAL 3 augmented with ALC_FLR.2 assurance requirements:

- ADV_FSP.1
- ADV_HLD.2
- ADV_RCR.1

6.2.4 Guidance documents

Sybase provides administrator and user guidance on how to utilize the TOE security functions and warnings to administrators and users about actions that can compromise the security of the TOE.

These activities are documented in:

- Sybase IQ 12.6 System Administration Guide, July 2004
- Sybase IQ 12.6 Common Criteria Evaluation Road Map, 11/03/2004
- Sybase IQ 12.6 Reference Manual, November 2004
- Sybase IQ 12.6 Utility Guide, June 2004

The Guidance documents assurance measure satisfies the following EAL 3 augmented with ALC_FLR.2 assurance requirements:

- AGD_ADM.1
- AGD_USR.1

6.2.5 Life cycle support

Sybase ensures the adequacy of the procedures used during the development and maintenance of the TOE through its life-cycle. Sybase includes security controls on the development environment that are adequate to provide the confidentiality and integrity of the TOE design and implementation that is necessary to ensure the secure operation of the TOE. In addition, Sybase identifies and tracks reported flaws, ensuring that they are addressed and corrections and corrective measures are made available as applicable.

These activities are documented in:

- Sybase IQ Configuration Management Plan
- Sybase IQ Life Cycle Plan
- Sybase Manual Release Guide
- Videotape of development facility

The Life cycle support assurance measure satisfies the following EAL 3 augmented with ALC_FLR.2 assurance requirements:

- ALC_DVS.1
- ALC_FLR.2

6.2.6 Tests

Sybase has a test plan that describes how each of the necessary security functions is tested, along with the expected test results. Sybase has documented each test as well as an analysis of test coverage and depth demonstrating that the security aspects of the design evident from the functional specification and high-level design are appropriately tested. Actual test results are created on a regular basis to demonstrate that the tests have been applied and that the TOE operates as designed.

These activities are documented in:

- Sybase IQ Test Specification
- Sybase IQ Test Coverage Analysis
- Sybase Design Mapping
- Actual Test Results

The Tests assurance measure satisfies the following EAL 3 augmented with ALC_FLR.2 assurance requirements:

- ATE_COV.2
- ATE_DPT.1
- ATE_FUN.1
- ATE_IND.2

6.2.7 Vulnerability assessment

The TOE administrator and user guidance documents describe the operation of Sybase IQ and how to maintain a secure state. These guides also describe all necessary operating assumptions and security requirements outside the scope of control of the TOE. They have been developed to serve as complete, clear, consistent, and reasonable administrator and user references.

There are no permutational or probabilistic security mechanisms within the TOE and as a result no additional strength of functions analysis has been performed or documented.

Sybase performs regular vulnerability analyses of the entire TOE (including documentation) to identify obvious weaknesses that can be exploited in the TOE.

These activities are documented in:

- Sybase IQ – User Administration Vulnerability Analysis

The Vulnerability assessment assurance measure satisfies the following EAL 3 augmented with ALC_FLR.2 assurance requirements:

- AVA_MSU.1
- AVA_SOF.1
- AVA_VLA.1

7. Protection Profile Claims

There are no Protection Profile claims.

8. Rationale

This section provides the rationale for completeness and consistency of the Security Target. The rationale addresses the following areas:

- Security Objectives;
- Security Functional Requirements;
- Security Assurance Requirements;
- Strength of Functions;
- Security Functional Requirement Dependencies;
- Explicitly Stated Requirements;
- TOE Summary Specification; and
- PP Claims.

8.1 Security Objectives Rationale

This section shows that all secure usage assumptions, organizational security policies, and threats are completely covered by security objectives. In addition, each objective counters or addresses at least one assumption, organizational security policy, or threat.

8.1.1 Security Objectives Rationale for the TOE and Environment

This section provides evidence demonstrating the coverage of organizational policies and usage assumptions by the security objectives.

	P.ACCOUNTABILITY	P.AUTHORIZATION	P.AUTHORIZED_USERS	P.I_AND_A	P.NEED_TO_KNOW	P.ROLES	T.ADMIN_ERROR	T.AUDIT_COMPROMISE	T.MASQUERADE	T.PASSWORD	T.RESIDUAL_DATA	T.SYSACC	T.TSF_COMPROMISE	T.UNAUTH_ACCESS	T.UNDETECTED_ACTIONS	T.UNIDENTIFIED_ACTIONS	T.USER_ERROR	A.NO_EVIL	A.NO_GENERAL_PURPOSE	A.PHYSICAL	A.ROBUST_ENVIRONMENT
O.EXPIRE										X											
O.PASSWORD										X											
OE.ACCESS		X	X		X							X		X							
OE.ADMIN_ROLE						X															
OE.AUDIT_GENERATION	X							X							X						
OE.AUDIT_PROTECTION								X							X						
OE.AUDIT_REVIEW	X															X					
OE.DISCRETIONARY_ACCESS					X									X							
OE.INTERNAL_TOE_DOMAINS														X							
OE.MANAGE						X						X				X					
OE.PROTECT		X			X									X							

- OE.USER_IDENTIFICATION: This policy requires users to claim their unique identity prior to accessing the IT Environment.

8.1.1.5 P.NEED_TO_KNOW

The IT Environment must limit the access to information in protected resources to those authorized users who have a need to know that information.

This Organizational Policy is satisfied by ensuring that:

- OE.ACCESS: The authorized administrator will be able to change a user's security attributes when that user no longer needs to access certain information.
- OE.DISCRETIONARY_ACCESS: Enforcement of this policy requires the resources to be protected according to the rules of the discretionary access control policy.
- OE.PROTECT: Enforcement of this policy requires the protection of resources.
- OE.USER_IDENTIFICATION: Enforcement of this policy requires access decision to be based on unique user identities.

8.1.1.6 P.ROLES

The IT Environment shall provide an authorized administrator role for secure administration of the IT Environment. This role shall be separate and distinct from other authorized users.

This Organizational Policy is satisfied by ensuring that:

- OE.ADMIN_ROLE: The IT Environment has the objective of providing an authorized administrator role for secure administration. The IT Environment may provide other roles as well, but only the role of authorized administrator is required.

8.1.1.7 T.ADMIN_ERROR

An authorized administrator may incorrectly install or configure the IT Environment resulting in ineffective security mechanisms.

This Threat is satisfied by ensuring that:

- OE.MANAGE: Improper administration could result if the IT Environment does not provide the proper administration tools. There is always the possibility that the administrator will make an honest mistake. This threat should be mitigated as long as the IT Environment provides the necessary administrator support.
- OE.ADMIN_GUIDANCE: Improper administration could result if the authorized administrator is unknowledgeable. There is always the possibility that the administrator will make an honest mistake. This threat should be mitigated as long as the authorized administrator is provided with knowledge necessary to carry out administrative duties.
- OE.INSTALL: The authorized administrator is provided with necessary installation instructions from the developer that details how to securely install the TOE.

8.1.1.8 T.AUDIT_COMPROMISE

A process or user may cause audit data to be inappropriately accessed (viewed, modified or deleted), or prevent future records from being recorded, thus masking an attacker's actions.

This Threat is satisfied by ensuring that:

- OE.AUDIT_GENERATION: The IT Environment will generate an audit log.
- OE.AUDIT_PROTECTION: The IT Environment must also provide protection for its audit data.
- OE.PHYSICAL: The environment must address the possible compromise of audit data due to physical means.
- OE.SELF_PROTECTION: The IT environment must also protect itself and its assets.

8.1.1.9 T.MASQUERADE

An unauthorized user, process, or external IT entity may masquerade as an authorized entity to gain access to data or IT Environment resources.

This Threat is satisfied by ensuring that:

- OE.USER_AUTHENTICATION: Unique user identification must be supported by the objective of requiring all users of the IT Environment to prove their claimed identity.
- OE.USER_IDENTIFICATION: Addressing the threat of a process or user masquerading as a different process or user produces an objective of uniquely identifying each user.

8.1.1.10 T.PASSWORD

An unauthorized user may gain unauthorized access to user data by guessing or otherwise determining a password that an authorized user has forgotten to change after a specified number of days.

This Threat is satisfied by ensuring that:

- O.EXPIRE: The TOE must provide a function to determine whether passwords have expired.
- O.PASSWORD: The TOE must provide the authorized administrator with the ability to manage the password expiration function, specifically by allowing the definition of expiration periods.
- OE.USER_AUTHENTICATION: Passwords are only effective if a user is actually required to authenticate their claimed identity.

8.1.1.11 T.RESIDUAL_DATA

A user or process may gain unauthorized access to data through reallocation of IT Environment resources from one user or process to another.

This Threat is satisfied by ensuring that:

- OE.RESIDUAL_INFORMATION: When data is deleted from memory or storage (e.g., disk drive) it is often left intact and not truly erased. Subsequent users who have that same memory space allocated to their processes might be able to observe other users' data that is residual in that memory/storage. Addressing this threat yields the objective that prohibits users from accessing data that had been stored in system resources previously allocated to other users.

8.1.1.12 T.SYSACC

A malicious process or user may gain unauthorized access to the authorized administrator account, or that of other trusted personnel.

This Threat is satisfied by ensuring that:

- OE.ACCESS: The threat of the wrong individual gaining unauthorized access to the authorized administrator's account logically is addressed by the IT Environment.
- OE.MANAGE: The IT Environment will provide mechanisms for the authorized administrator to set the security attributes for users so they are not allowed admin access.
- OE.USER_AUTHENTICATION: The threat of unauthorized access may be mitigated by requiring the authorized administrator to be authenticated.
- OE.USER_IDENTIFICATION: The threat of unauthorized access may be mitigated by requiring the authorized administrator to be uniquely identified.
- OE.ADMIN_GUIDANCE: Authorized administrators will have to know to check this information at each login. The authorized administrator must also be aware that he/she must protect the authentication information that allows access to the authorized administrator account.
- OE.PHYSICAL: The threat of the wrong individual gaining unauthorized access to the authorized administrator's account is addressed by physical means when appropriate.

8.1.1.13 T.TSF_COMPROMISE

A malicious user or process may cause configuration data to be inappropriately accessed (viewed, modified or deleted).

This Threat is satisfied by ensuring that:

- OE.TOE_PROTECTION: The TSF data and executable code is protected under the environmental objective for protection.
- OE.PHYSICAL: The IT environment will protect the TSF data and executable code from a compromise through physical means.

8.1.1.14 T.UNAUTH_ACCESS

A user may gain unauthorized access (view, modify, delete) to user data.

This Threat is satisfied by ensuring that:

- OE.ACCESS: The TOE must satisfy the objective of ensuring that only authorized users may gain access to the IT Environment and the resources it protects, and that users are not allowed to access protected data for which they are not authorized.
- OE.DISCRETIONARY_ACCESS: Access to user data is controlled by a discretionary policy.
- OE.INTERNAL_TOE_DOMAINS: The IT Environment maintains internal domains to keep data and processes of concurrent users separate, so users cannot observe or interfere with other users' data or queries.
- OE.PROTECT: Addressing the threat of other unauthorized access results in the objective of protecting the user data.
- OE.PHYSICAL: The threat of unauthorized physical access is addressed by the environment.
- OE.SELF_PROTECTION: The threat of unauthorized physical access is addressed by the environment.

8.1.1.15 T.UNDETECTED_ACTIONS

Failure of the IT operating system to detect and record unauthorized actions may occur.

This Threat is satisfied by ensuring that:

- OE.AUDIT_GENERATION: Non-physical actions are detected and a record is made.
- OE.AUDIT_PROTECTION: To prevent removing evidence of unauthorized actions, the audit records need to be protected from unauthorized modification.
- OE.TIME: All audit records include reliable timestamps.
- OE.PHYSICAL: The threat of undetected physical manipulation of the TOE is addressed by the physical protection in the environment.

8.1.1.16 T.UNIDENTIFIED_ACTIONS

Failure of the authorized administrator to identify and act upon unauthorized actions may occur.

This Threat is satisfied by ensuring that:

- OE.AUDIT_REVIEW: The IT Environment provides the tools to effectively review audit records.
- OE.MANAGE: The IT Environment provides necessary access to the audit trail.
- OE.ADMIN_GUIDANCE: The guidance provides the information necessary to manage audit data.

8.1.1.17 T.USER_ERROR

An authorized user may incorrectly change data they are authorized to modify.

This Threat is satisfied by ensuring that:

- OE.ROLLBACK: The IT Environment provides tools that will allow a user to rollback incorrect modifications.

8.1.1.18 A.NO_EVIL

Authorized administrators are non-hostile, appropriately trained and follow all administrator guidance.

This Assumption is satisfied by ensuring that:

- OE.CONFIG: Authorized administrators are trained and trusted to properly configure the IT environment so it enforces its security policies.

8.1.1.19 A.NO_GENERAL_PURPOSE

There are no general-purpose computing capabilities (e.g., compilers or user applications) available on DBMS servers, other than those services necessary for the operation, administration and support of the DBMS.

This Assumption is satisfied by ensuring that:

- OE.NO_GENERAL_PURPOSE: The DBMS server must not include any general-purpose computing or storage capabilities. This will protect the TSF data from malicious processes.

8.1.1.20 A.PHYSICAL

It is assumed that appropriate physical security is provided within the domain for the value of the IT assets protected by the TOE and the value of the stored, processed, and transmitted information.

This Assumption is satisfied by ensuring that:

- OE.PHYSICAL: The TOE, the TSF data, and protected user data is assumed to be protected from physical attack (e.g., theft, modification, destruction, or eavesdropping). Physical attack could include unauthorized intruders into the TOE environment, but it does not include physical destructive actions that might be taken by an individual that is authorized to access the TOE environment.

8.1.1.21 A.ROBUST_ENVIRONMENT

It is assumed that the IT environment provides support commensurate with the expectations of the TOE.

This Assumption is satisfied by ensuring that:

- OE.ROBUST_ENVIRONMENT: The TOE shall only be installed in an IT environment that is at least as robust as the TOE. The TOE is basic robustness, therefore, all elements in the environment the TOE depends on for enforcement of its security objectives are also assumed to be basic robustness. These elements could include the operating system, encryption devices, and/or boundary protection devices.
- OE.TRUST_IT: The IT entities in the environment are correctly installed, configured, managed, maintained and provide the applicable security functions.

8.2 Security Requirements Rationale

This section provides evidence supporting the internal consistency and completeness of the components (requirements) in the Security Target. Note that **Table 5** indicates the requirements that effectively satisfy the individual objectives. .

8.2.1 Security Functional Requirements Rationale

All Security Functional Requirements (SFR) identified in this Security Target are fully addressed in this section and each SFR is mapped to the objective for which it is intended to satisfy.

	O.EXPIRE	O.PASSWORD	OE.ACCESS	OE.ADMIN_ROLE	OE.AUDIT_GENERATION	OE.AUDIT_PROTECTION	OE.AUDIT_REVIEW	OE.DISCRETIONARY_ACCESS	OE.INTERNAL_TOE_DOMAINS	OE.MANAGE	OE.PROTECT	OE.RESIDUAL_INFORMATION	OE.ROLLBACK	OE.TIME	OE.TOE_PROTECTION	OE.USER_AUTHENTICATION	OE.USER_IDENTIFICATION
FMT_SMF.1a		X															
FTA_TSE_EXP.1	X																
FAU_GEN.1					X												
FAU_GEN.2					X												
FAU_SAR.1							X										
FAU_SAR.2						X											
FAU_SAR.3							X										
FAU_SEL.1					X												
FAU_STG.1						X											
FAU_STG.3						X											
FDP_ACC.1			X					X			X						
FDP_ACF.1			X					X			X						
FDP_RIP.2											X	X					
FDP_ROL.1													X				
FIA_AFL.1																X	
FIA_ATD.1																	X
FIA_SOS.1																X	
FIA_UAU.2																X	
FIA_UID.2																	X
FIA_USB.1					X			X									X
FMT_MOF.1					X					X							
FMT_MSA.1								X		X							
FMT_MSA.2										X							
FMT_MSA.3								X									
FMT_MTD.1a										X							
FMT_MTD.1b						X				X							
FMT_MTD.1c										X						X	
FMT_REV.1a			X														
FMT_REV.1b											X						
FMT_SMF.1b					X			X		X							
FMT_SMR.1				X													
FPT_RVM.1									X							X	
FPT_SEP.1									X							X	
FTA_MCS_EXP.1			X														
FTA_TSE.1			X														
FPT_STM.1					X								X				

Table 5 Objective to Requirement Correspondence

8.2.1.1 O.EXPIRE

The TOE will provide a function to determine whether passwords they have expired.

This TOE Security Objective is satisfied by ensuring that:

- FTA_TSE_EXP.1: The TOE is required to be able to determine whether a password has expired relative to time information provided by the IT environment.

8.2.1.2 O.PASSWORD

The TOE will allow authorized administrators to define password expiration periods that can be used to remind users to change their passwords.

This TOE Security Objective is satisfied by ensuring that:

- FMT_SMF.1a: The TOE is required to provide the administrator with the ability to manage password expiration configuration parameters.

8.2.1.3 OE.ACCESS

The IT Environment will ensure that users gain only authorized access to it and to the resources that it controls.

This IT Environment Security Objective is satisfied by ensuring that:

- FDP_ACC.1: The Discretionary Access Control policy applies to all operations between subjects and objects (tables, views, stored procedures and user-defined functions) controlled by the IT Environment.
- FDP_ACF.1: The subjects and objects under the discretionary access control policy will have certain rules that apply to all accesses between them. The rules are based on subject identities and access control lists associated with database objects that either grant or deny potential request access.
- FMT_REV.1a: Security attributes associated with subjects and objects are the basis for access control. Revocation of these security attributes would modify the access control policy. The authorized administrator should have control over security attributes associated with users (such as user authentication data), being the only role that can revoke them.
- FTA_MCS_EXP.1: The IT Environment must keep track of what user sessions are currently established and running, associating each established session with a uniquely identified user. The IT environment must provide the ability to limit the number of concurrent user sessions.
- FTA_TSE.1: The IT Environment can restrict access to itself (i.e., session establishment) based on specific user identities and the time.

8.2.1.4 OE.ADMIN_ROLE

The IT Environment will provide authorized administrator roles to isolate administrative actions.

This IT Environment Security Objective is satisfied by ensuring that:

- FMT_SMR.1: The IT Environment will establish, at least, an authorized administrator role. The authorized administrator will be given privileges to perform certain tasks that other users will not be able to perform. These privileges include, but are not limited to, access to audit information and security functions.

8.2.1.5 OE.AUDIT_GENERATION

The IT Environment will provide the capability to detect and create records of security relevant events associated with users.

This IT Environment Security Objective is satisfied by ensuring that:

- FAU_GEN.1: This objective is satisfied in part by the requirement that the IT Environment generate audit records according to the minimum level of auditing, as defined by the Common Criteria.

- FAU_GEN.2: Each audit record written must be descriptive of the event that caused a record to be generated, and must be associated with the unique identity of the user that caused the event.
- FAU_SEL.1: The IT Environment enables the authorized administrator to pre-select events to include in the audit log.
- FIA_USB.1: All subjects that act on behalf of users must have a binding that associates the subjects with a user. This is necessary to be able to associate audit records with user identities.
- FMT_MOF.1: The IT Environment ensures that the authorized administrator role is the only role authorized to manipulate the behavior of the audit generation mechanism.
- FMT_SMF.1b: The IT Environment ensures that the authorized administrator role is able to manipulate the behavior of the audit generation mechanism.
- FPT_STM.1: Reliable time stamps are assumed to be provided by the IT environment.

8.2.1.6 OE.AUDIT_PROTECTION

The IT Environment will provide the capability to protect audit information.

This IT Environment Security Objective is satisfied by ensuring that:

- FAU_SAR.2: Users must not be able to read the audit records, unless they have been granted explicit readaccess to the audit log.
- FAU_STG.1: The IT Environment prevents unauthorized deletion or modification of audit records.
- FAU_STG.3: The IT Environment provides site-configurable options to prevent loss of audit data in the event the audit storage space is exhausted.
- FMT_MTD.1b: Only the authorized administrator has the ability to query or clear audit records.

8.2.1.7 OE.AUDIT_REVIEW

The IT Environment will provide the capability to selectively view audit information.

This IT Environment Security Objective is satisfied by ensuring that:

- FAU_SAR.1: In order for the authorized administrator to review the audit logs they must be accessible in a suitable form for the authorized administrator to read, which means the authorized administrator should have the appropriate functions needed to interpret the data.
- FAU_SAR.3: The authorized administrator must be able to search and sort on the audit data based on user identity. This will allow the authorized administrator to examine specific events more efficiently.

8.2.1.8 OE.DISCRETIONARY_ACCESS

The IT Environment will control access to resources based upon the identity of users or groups of users.

This IT Environment Security Objective is satisfied by ensuring that:

- FDP_ACC.1: The Discretionary Access Control policy applies to all operations between subjects and objects controlled by the IT Environment.
- FDP_ACF.1: The subjects and objects under the discretionary access control policy will have certain rules that apply to all accesses between them. The rules are based on subject identities and access control lists associated with database objects that either grant or deny potential request access.
- FIA_USB.1: All subjects that act on behalf of users must have a binding that associates the subjects with a user uniquely.
- FMT_MSA.1: Only authorized administrators may manipulate the security attributes of database users.
- FMT_MSA.3: Default access control attributes are restrictive to prevent accidental (non-discretionary) disclosure of information that should be protected.
- FMT_SMF.1b: Authorized administrators must be able to manipulate the security attributes of database users.

8.2.1.9 OE.INTERNAL_TOE_DOMAINS

The IT Environment will maintain internal domains for separation of data and queries belonging to concurrent users.

This IT Environment Security Objective is satisfied by ensuring that:

- FPT_RVM.1: The mechanisms providing self-protection are always invoked and not able to be bypassed.
- FPT_SEP.1: The IT Environment enforces separation between the security domains within its scope of control.

8.2.1.10 OE.MANAGE

The IT Environment will provide all the functions and facilities necessary to support the authorized administrators in their management of the security of the TOE.

This IT Environment Security Objective is satisfied by ensuring that:

- FMT_MOF.1: Only the authorized administrator will be able to enable or disable functions of the audit log. This will prevent a malicious user from turning off the audit log while he/she performs a malicious act, then turning it back on when he/she is done.
- FMT_MSA.1: Only authorized administrators may manipulate the security attributes of database users.
- FMT_MSA.2: The IT Environment rejects invalid and insecure data to help ensure the effectiveness of the security functions.
- FMT_MTD.1a: Only authorized administrators are able to manage the inclusion/exclusion of specific events to be audited.
- FMT_MTD.1b: Only authorized administrators are authorized to query or clear the audit log.
- FMT_MTD.1c: Only authorized administrators are authorized to set or reset user authentication data.
- FMT_SMF.1b: The authorized administrator will be able to enable or disable functions of the audit log, select audited events, review audit records, and manage database subjects and authentication data.

8.2.1.11 OE.PROTECT

The IT Environment will provide mechanisms to protect user data and resources.

This IT Environment Security Objective is satisfied by ensuring that:

- FDP_ACC.1: The Discretionary Access Control policy applies to all operations between subjects and objects (tables, views, stored procedures and user-defined functions) controlled by the IT Environment.
- FDP_ACF.1: The subjects and objects under the discretionary access control policy will have certain rules that apply to all accesses between them. The rules are based on subject identities and access control lists associated with database objects that either grant or deny potential request access.
- FDP_RIP.2: When data is deleted from memory or storage (e.g., disk drive) it is often left intact and not truly erased. It is then possible for other users with access to the memory to view previously protected data. Therefore when a block of memory is allocated it is necessary to ensure all previous data stored in that block has been made unavailable.
- FMT_REV.1b: The discretionary nature of the policy allows users to modify access control permissions, which are represented by security attributes. Users are allowed to modify the security attributes of subjects and objects as permitted by the Discretionary Access Control policy.

8.2.1.12 OE.RESIDUAL_INFORMATION

The IT Environment will ensure that any information contained in a protected resource is not released when the resource is reallocated.

This IT Environment Security Objective is satisfied by ensuring that:

- FDP_RIP.2: When data is deleted from memory or storage (e.g., disk drive) it is often left intact and not truly erased. It is then possible for other users with access to the memory to view previously protected data. Therefore when a block of memory is allocated it is necessary to ensure all previous data stored in that block has been made unavailable.

8.2.1.13 OE.ROLLBACK

The IT Environment must ensure that operations performed on information contained in a protected resource can be undone until it has been committed.

This IT Environment Security Objective is satisfied by ensuring that:

- FDP_ROL.1: Users can rollback changes that have been made during their session.

8.2.1.14 OE.TIME

The IT environment will provide a time source that provides reliable time stamps.

This IT Environment Security Objective is satisfied by ensuring that:

- FPT_STM.1: The IT environment is required to provide a reliable time source.

8.2.1.15 OE.TOE_PROTECTION

The IT Environment will protect itself and its assets from external interference or tampering.

This IT Environment Security Objective is satisfied by ensuring that:

- FPT_RVM.1: The IT Environment is required to allow access to protected objects only after it makes informed access decisions.
- FPT_SEP.1: The IT Environment is required to protect itself and separate the contexts of its users.

8.2.1.16 OE.USER_AUTHENTICATION

The IT Environment will verify the claimed identity of users.

This IT Environment Security Objective is satisfied by ensuring that:

- FIA_AFL.1: To prevent brute force attacks on authentication data, the administrator must specify an upper bound on the number of unsuccessful authentications that will be allowed. Surpassing that threshold could indicate a brute force user authentication attack, and the IT Environment needs to take appropriate action.
- FIA_SOS.1: User authentication is meaningful only if there is an extremely low probability of success for random attempts to authenticate as an authorized user. The requirement ensures that the secret authentication data is computationally difficult to guess randomly.
- FIA_UAU.2: Users must be authenticated before they can perform any TSF-mediated functions.
- FMT_MTD.1c: The user authentication data is to be set only by an authenticated individual in an authorized role.

8.2.1.17 OE.USER_IDENTIFICATION

The IT Environment will uniquely identify users.

This IT Environment Security Objective is satisfied by ensuring that:

- FIA_ATD.1: Each database user will have a list of security attributes associated with them. They will have their unique identifier, any groups they may be a part of, for discretionary access control, any security roles they possess, and any other attributes assigned by the ST writer.
- FIA_UID.2: Users must be identified to the IT Environment before they can perform any TSF-mediated functions.
- FIA_USB.1: All subjects that act on behalf of users must have a binding that associates the subjects with a user uniquely.

8.3 Security Assurance Requirements Rationale

Sybase IQ is targeted at a generalized IT environment with good physical access security and competent administrators. Within such environments it is assumed that attackers will have a relatively low attack potential. As such, EAL 3 (augmented with ALC_FLR.2) is appropriate to provide the assurance necessary to counter the potential for attack. Note also that this security target has defined an environment requiring more security than the

U.S. Government Protection Profile Consistency Guidance for Basic Robustness, dated 24 July 2002, and that is comparable to or better than the historical notion of the C2 level of the Trusted Computer System Evaluation Criteria.

8.4 Strength of Function Rationale

Sybase IQ is targeted at a generalized IT environment with good physical access security and competent administrators. Within such environments it is assumed that attackers will have a moderate attack potential. As such, a strength of function of ‘medium’ is appropriate for the intended environment. Note that the only applicable mechanisms (i.e., those that are probabilistic or permutational) are related to identification and authentication (FIA_SOS.1, FIA_UAU.2, and FIA_UID.2) and are associated with the IT environment.

8.5 Requirement Dependency Rationale

The following table represents an analysis of the dependencies of the security functional requirements (SFRs) in this security target. The first column identifies all of the SFRs in this security target. The TOE SFRs are highlighted in bold, unlike the IT environment SFRs, and all SARs are underlined. The second column identifies the minimum dependencies defined in the Common Criteria v2.1 and associated interpretations². The third column identifies the actual requirements in this security target that correspond to the identified dependencies. Again, the corresponding TOE SFRs are highlighted in bold (none in this case) and SARs are underlined. Notice that this table demonstrates that all of the identified dependencies are satisfied with the exception of the dependency of FMT_MSA.2 on ADV_SPM.1.

While the Common Criteria defines ADV_SPM.1 as a dependency of FMT_MSA.2, this is not a true dependency. The TOE Summary Specification (TSS) provided in this Security Target (ST) in conjunction with the correspondence between the functional specification and the TSS required by ADV_RCR.1 (included in this ST) essentially require the information identified in ADV_SPM.1.

ST Requirement	CC Dependencies	ST Dependencies
FMT_SMF.1a	none	none
FTA_TSE_EXP.1	none	none
<u>FAU_GEN.1</u>	<u>FPT_STM.1</u>	<u>FPT_STM.1</u>
<u>FAU_GEN.2</u>	<u>FAU_GEN.1</u> and <u>FIA_UID.1</u>	<u>FAU_GEN.1</u> and <u>FIA_UID.2</u>
<u>FAU_SAR.1</u>	<u>FAU_GEN.1</u>	<u>FAU_GEN.1</u>
<u>FAU_SAR.2</u>	<u>FAU_SAR.1</u>	<u>FAU_SAR.1</u>
<u>FAU_SAR.3</u>	<u>FAU_SAR.1</u>	<u>FAU_SAR.1</u>
<u>FAU_SEL.1</u>	<u>FAU_GEN.1</u> and <u>FMT_MTD.1</u>	<u>FAU_GEN.1</u> and <u>FMT_MTD.1a</u>
<u>FAU_STG.1</u>	<u>FAU_GEN.1</u>	<u>FAU_GEN.1</u>
<u>FAU_STG.3</u>	<u>FAU_STG.1</u>	<u>FAU_STG.1</u>
<u>FDP_ACC.1</u>	<u>FDP_ACF.1</u>	<u>FDP_ACF.1</u>
<u>FDP_ACF.1</u>	none	none
<u>FDP_RIP.2</u>	none	none
<u>FDP_ROL.1</u>	<u>FDP_ACC.1</u>	<u>FDP_ACC.1</u>
<u>FIA_AFL.1</u>	<u>FIA_UAU.1</u>	<u>FIA_UAU.2</u>
<u>FIA_ATD.1</u>	none	none
<u>FIA_SOS.1</u>	none	none
<u>FIA_UAU.2</u>	<u>FIA_UID.1</u>	<u>FIA_UID.2</u>
<u>FIA_UID.2</u>	none	none
<u>FIA_USB.1</u>	<u>FIA_ATD.1</u>	<u>FIA_ATD.1</u>

² The only International Interpretation that affects the dependencies of the SFRs in this security target as of the date of the security target is International Interpretation #65. That interpretation introduces the SFR FMT_SMF.1 and alters FMT_MOF.1, FMT_MSA.1, and FMT_MTD.1 so that they are all dependent upon it.

FMT_MOF.1	FMT_SMR.1 and FMT_SMF.1	FMT_SMR.1 and FMT_SMF.1b
FMT_MSA.1	FMT_SMR.1 and FMT_SMF.1 and (FDP_ACC.1 or FDP_IFC.1)	FMT_SMR.1 and FMT_SMF.1b and FDP_ACC.1
FMT_MSA.2	ADV_SPM.1 and FMT_MSA.1 and FMT_SMR.1 and (FDP_ACC.1 or FDP_IFC.1)	FMT_MSA.1 and FMT_SMR.1 and FDP_ACC.1
FMT_MSA.3	FMT_MSA.1 and FMT_SMR.1	FMT_MSA.1 and FMT_SMR.1b
FMT_MTD.1a	FMT_SMR.1 and FMT_SMF.1	FMT_SMR.1 and FMT_SMF.1b
FMT_MTD.1b	FMT_SMR.1 and FMT_SMF.1	FMT_SMR.1 and FMT_SMF.1b
FMT_MTD.1c	FMT_SMR.1 and FMT_SMF.1	FMT_SMR.1 and FMT_SMF.1b
FMT_REV.1a	FMT_SMR.1	FMT_SMR.1
FMT_REV.1b	FMT_SMR.1	FMT_SMR.1
FMT_SMF.1b	none	none
FMT_SMR.1	FIA_UID.1	FIA_UID.2
FPT_RVM.1	none	none
FPT_SEP.1	none	none
FPT_STM.1	none	none
FTA_MCS_EXP.1	FIA_UID.1	FIA_UID.2
FTA_TSE.1	none	none
<u>ACM_CAP.3</u>	ACM_SCP.1	<u>ACM_SCP.1</u>
<u>ACM_SCP.1</u>	ACM_CAP.3	<u>ACM_CAP.3</u>
<u>ADO_DEL.1</u>	none	none
<u>ADO_IGS.1</u>	AGD_ADM.1	<u>AGD_ADM.1</u>
<u>ADV_FSP.1</u>	ADV_RCR.1	<u>ADV_RCR.1</u>
<u>ADV_HLD.2</u>	ADV_FSP.1 and ADV_RCR.1	<u>ADV_FSP.1</u> and <u>ADV_RCR.1</u>
<u>ADV_RCR.1</u>	none	none
<u>AGD_ADM.1</u>	ADV_FSP.1	<u>ADV_FSP.1</u>
<u>AGD_USR.1</u>	ADV_FSP.1	<u>ADV_FSP.1</u>
<u>ALC_DVS.1</u>	none	none
<u>ALC_FLR.2</u>	none	none
<u>ATE_COV.2</u>	ADV_FSP.1 and ATE_FUN.1	<u>ADV_FSP.1</u> and <u>ATE_FUN.1</u>
<u>ATE_DPT.1</u>	ADV_HLD.1 and ATE_FUN.1	<u>ADV_HLD.2</u> and <u>ATE_FUN.1</u>
<u>ATE_FUN.1</u>	ADV_FSP.1 and AGD_ADM.1 and AGD_USR.1	<u>ADV_FSP.1</u> and <u>AGD_ADM.1</u> and <u>AGD_USR.1</u>
<u>ATE_IND.2</u>	none	none
<u>AVA_MSU.1</u>	ADO_IGS.1 and ADV_FSP.1 and AGD_ADM.1 and AGD_USR.1	<u>ADO_IGS.1</u> and <u>ADV_FSP.1</u> and <u>AGD_ADM.1</u> and <u>AGD_USR.1</u>
<u>AVA_SOF.1</u>	ADV_FSP.1 and ADV_HLD.1	<u>ADV_FSP.1</u> and <u>ADV_HLD.2</u>
<u>AVA_VLA.1</u>	ADV_FSP.1 and ADV_HLD.1 and AGD_ADM.1 and AGD_USR.1	<u>ADV_FSP.1</u> and <u>ADV_HLD.2</u> and <u>AGD_ADM.1</u> and <u>AGD_USR.1</u>

Table 6 Requirement Dependencies

8.6 Explicitly Stated Requirements Rationale

This security target includes two explicitly stated requirements: FTA_MCS_EXP.1 and FTA_TSE_EXP.1. FTA_MCS_EXP.1 is very similar to the CC Part 2 FTA_MCS.1 requirement; except that it only requires that the IT environment *must be able* to limit concurrent user sessions as opposed to requiring that it always *must* do so. This explicit requirement was necessary since the CC does not provide the flexibility of having an optionally configured mechanism. As such, FTA_MCS_EXP.1 should be considered as an alternate version of FTA_MCS.1 that shares the same requirement class and family as well as dependencies. FTA_TSE_EXP.1 is similar to the CC Part 2 FTA_TSE.1 requirement; except that it only requires that the TOE must support a session establishment limitation in

a specific manner as opposed to actually limiting session establishment based on the corresponding attribute. The idea is that the TOE implements a function that can be used to support that actual limitation imposed by the IT environment. This explicit requirement is necessary since the CC does not generally provide requirements about supporting requirements such as this. Given the similarity to FTA_TSE.1, FTA_TSE_EXP.1 should be considered to share the same requirement class and family as well as dependencies of FTA_TSE.1.

8.7 TOE Summary Specification Rationale

Each subsection in Section 6, the TOE Summary Specification, describes a security function of the TOE. Each description is followed with rationale that indicates which requirements are satisfied by aspects of the corresponding security function. The set of security functions work together to satisfy all of the security functions and assurance requirements. Furthermore, all of the security functions are necessary in order for the TSF to provide the required security functionality.

This Section in conjunction with Section 6, the TOE Summary Specification, provides evidence that the security functions are suitable to meet the TOE security requirements. The collection of security functions work together to provide all of the security requirements. The security functions described in the TOE summary specification are all necessary for the required security functionality in the TSF. **Table 7 Security Functions vs. Requirements Mapping** demonstrates the relationship between security requirements and security functions.

	Security management	TOE access
FMT_SFM.1	X	
FTA_TSE_EXP.1		X

Table 7 Security Functions vs. Requirements Mapping

8.8 PP Claims Rationale

See Section 7, Protection Profile Claims.