



# Maintenance Report

## **Symantec Messaging Gateway 10.0**

Issued by:

**Communications Security Establishment Canada**

**Certification Body**

**Canadian Common Criteria Evaluation and Certification Scheme**

© Government of Canada, Communications Security Establishment, 2012

<b>Document number:</b>	383-7-82-MR
<b>Version:</b>	1.0
<b>Date:</b>	19 October 2012
<b>Pagination:</b>	1 to 2

## **1 Introduction**

Symantec Corporation has submitted, via Apex Assurance Group, the Impact Analysis Report (IAR) for Symantec Messaging Gateway 10.0 (hereafter referred to as Symantec Gateway), satisfying the requirements outlined in Assurance Continuity: CCRA Requirements, v2.0, November 2011. In accordance with those requirements, the IAR describes the changes implemented in Symantec Gateway, (the maintained Target of Evaluation), the evidence updated as a result of the changes and the security impact of the changes.

## **2 Description of changes in the Maintained Target of Evaluation**

The following characterizes the changes implemented in Symantec Gateway. For each change, it was verified that there were no required changes to the security functional requirements in the ST, and thorough functional and regression testing was conducted by the developer to ensure that the assurance in the Target of Evaluation (TOE) was maintained. The changes in Symantec Gateway comprise features and product enhancements.

## **3 Description of Changes to the IT Environment**

There were no changes to the underlying IT environment.

## **4 Affected developer evidence**

Modifications to the product necessitated changes to a subset of the developer evidence that was previously submitted for the TOE. The set of affected developer evidence was identified in the IAR.

Modifications to the security target were made to reflect the new product versions.

## **5 Conclusions**

All changes to the maintained TOE were features and product enhancements. Through functional and regression testing of Symantec Gateway, assurance gained in the original TOE certification was maintained. As all of the changes to the maintained TOE have been classified as minor, it is the conclusion of the CB that the maintained TOE is appropriate for assurance continuity and re-evaluation is not required.

## **6 References**

Assurance Continuity: CCRA Requirements, v2.0, November 2011.

CCS Guide #6, Technical Oversight for Assurance Continuity of a Certified TOE, v1.6, May 2011.

Certification Report EAL 2 Evaluation of Symantec Brightmail™ Gateway 9.0.1, v1.0, 26 January 2011.