

## Maintenance Report

### Xerox WorkCentre 5735/5740/5745/5755/5765/5775/5790 Multifunction Systems

#### Issued by:

# **Communications Security Establishment Canada Certification Body**

#### **Canadian Common Criteria Evaluation and Certification Scheme**

© Government of Canada, Communications Security Establishment Canada, 2010

**Document number**: 383-7-51-MR

Version: 1.0

**Date**: 8 December 2010

**Pagination**: 1 to 1

#### 1 Introduction

Xerox Corporation has submitted (via Computer Sciences Corporation) the Impact Analysis Report (IAR) for Xerox WorkCentre 5735/5740/5745/5755/5765/5775/5790 Multifunction Systems, satisfying the requirements outlined in the Version 1.7 of the Common Criteria document: <u>Assurance Continuity: CCRA Requirements</u>. In accordance with those requirements, the IAR describes the changes made to Xerox WorkCentre 5735/5740/5745/5755/5765/5775/5790 Multifunction Systems (the maintained Target of Evaluation), the evidence updated as a result of the changes, and the security impact of the changes.

#### 2 Description of changes to the TOE

The changes in the Xerox WorkCentre 5735/5740/5745/5755/5765/5775/5790 Multifunction Systems comprise bug fixes and usability improvements. For each change, it was verified that there were no required changes to the security functional requirements in the ST, and thorough functional and regression testing was conducted by the developer to ensure that the assurance in the Target of Evaluation (TOE) was maintained.

#### 3 Affected developer evidence

Modifications to the product necessitated changes to a subset of the developer evidence that was previously submitted for the TOE. The set of affected developer evidence was identified in the IAR. New guidance documents are provided by the developer.

#### 4 Conclusions

All changes to the TOE were bug fixes and usability improvements. Through functional and regression testing of Xerox WorkCentre 5735/5740/5745/5755/5765/5775/5790 Multifunction Systems assurance gained in the original TOE certification was maintained. As all of the changes to the TOE have been classified as minor, it is the conclusion of the CB that the maintained TOE is appropriate for assurance continuity and re-evaluation is not required.

#### 5 References

Assurance Continuity: CCRA Requirements, v1.7, February 2010.

CCS Guide #6, Technical Oversight for Assurance Continuity of a Certified TOE, v1.5, October 2010.

Certification Report EAL 3+ Evaluation of Xerox WorkCentre 5632/5638/5645/5655/5665/5675/5687 Multifunction Systems, 26 November 2010.