

Centre de la sécurité des télécommunications

# CANADIAN CENTRE FOR CYBER SECURITY

COMMON CRITERIA MAINTENANCE REPORT
Lexmark CX920, CX921, CX922, CX923,
CX924, XC9235, XC9245, XC9255 and
XC9265 Multi-Function Printers with
firmware version CXTMH.041.245

19 December 2019

**883-7-164** 

V1.0

© Government of Canada

This document is the property of the Government of Canada. It shall not be altered, distributed beyond its intended audience, produced, reproduced or published, in whole or in any substantial part thereof, without the express permission of CSE.





## **FOREWORD**

This Maintenance Report is an UNCLASSIFIED publication, issued under the authority of the Chief, Communications Security Establishment (CSE).

If your department has identified a requirement for this maintenance report based on business needs and would like more detailed information, please contact:

Contact Centre and Information Services
Edward Drake Building
<a href="mailto:contact@cyber.gc.ca">contact@cyber.gc.ca</a> | 1-833-CYBER-88 (1-833-292-3788)

## **OVERVIEW**

This is a Maintenance Report for Lexmark CX920, CX921, CX922, CX923, CX924, XC9235, XC9245, XC9255 and XC9265 Multi-Function Printers with firmware version CXTMH.041.245 (hereafter referred to the TOE), that satisfies the requirements outlined in Assurance Continuity: CCRA Requirements, v2.1, June 2012. In accordance with those requirements, an Impact Assessment Report was submitted which describes the changes implemented in the TOE, (the maintained Target of Evaluation), the evidence updated as a result of the changes and the security impact of the changes.

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1	Char	nges	. 5
		Description of Changes in the Maintained Target of Evaluation	
		Affected Developer Evidence	
2	Cond	clusions	. 6
	2.1	References	. 6

## 1 CHANGES

The following characterizes the changes implemented in the TOE and/or the environment. For each change, it was verified that there were no required changes to the security functional requirements in the ST, and thorough functional and regression testing was conducted by the developer to ensure that the assurance in the Target of Evaluation (TOE) was maintained.

#### 1.1 DESCRIPTION OF CHANGES IN THE MAINTAINED TARGET OF EVALUATION

Resulting from the updating of the firmware version from CXTMH.040.204c3 to CXTMH.041.245, the changes to the TOE comprise the following:

- Addressing known vulnerabilities in a third-party library incorporated into the TOE (<u>CVE-2017-7376</u> and <u>CVE-2019-12900</u>)
- Fix for a fax buffer overflow vulnerability (<u>CVE-2018-15520</u>)
- Fix for an inability for a user to access their job queue within a third-party application
- Fix for faxes not printing as scheduled
- Support for Pantum splash screens and Bootup animation
- Various bug fixes and feature enhancements resulting from defects detected and resolved through the QA/test process that do not affect the existing security features of the TOE;

#### 1.2 AFFECTED DEVELOPER EVIDENCE

Modifications to the product necessitated changes to a subset of the developer evidence that was previously submitted for the TOE. The set of affected developer evidence was identified in the IAR.

Modifications to the security target were made to reflect the new product versions.

## 2 CONCLUSIONS

Through functional and regression testing of the TOE, assurance gained in the original TOE certification was maintained. As all of the changes to the maintained TOE have been classified as minor, it is the conclusion of the CB that the maintained TOE is appropriate for assurance continuity and re-evaluation is not required.

The IT product identified in this report has been previously evaluated at an approved evaluation facility established under the Canadian Common Criteria Evaluation and Certification Scheme using the Common Methodology for IT Security Evaluation, Version 3.1 Revision 5, for conformance to the Common Criteria for IT Security Evaluation, Version 3.1 Revision 5.

This is not an endorsement of the IT product by CSE or by any other organization that recognizes or gives effect to this certificate, and no warranty of the IT product by CSE or by any other organization that recognizes or gives effect to this certificate, is expressed or implied.

#### 2.1 REFERENCES

#### Reference

Assurance Continuity: CCRA Requirements, v2.1, June 2012

Certification Report for Lexmark CX920, CX921, CX922, CX923, CX924, XC9235, XC9245, XC9255 and XC9265 Multi-Function Printers, v1.0, 7 February 2018

Security Target for Lexmark CX920, CX921, CX922, CX923, CX924, XC9235, XC9245, XC9255 and XC9265 Multi-Function Printers, v1.7, 19 October 2019