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1 Introduction

McAfee, Inc. has submitted the Impact Analysis Report (IAR) for McAfee Application Control v6.0 and Change Control v6.0 with McAfee Agent v4.6 and ePolicy Orchestrator v4.6, satisfying the requirements outlined in Assurance Continuity: CCRA Requirements, v2.0, November 2011. In accordance with those requirements, the IAR describes the changes made to McAfee Application Control v6.0 and Change Control v6.0 with McAfee Agent v4.6 and ePolicy Orchestrator v4.6 (the maintained Target of Evaluation), the evidence updated as a result of the changes and the security impact of the changes.

2 Description of changes to the TOE

The changes in McAfee Application Control v6.0 and Change Control v6.0 with McAfee Agent v4.6 and ePolicy Orchestrator v4.6 comprise features enhancements. For each change, it was verified that there were no required changes to the security functional requirements in the ST, and thorough functional and regression testing was conducted by the developer to ensure that the assurance in the Target of Evaluation (TOE) was maintained.

3 Affected developer evidence

Modifications to the product necessitated changes to a subset of the developer evidence that was previously submitted for the TOE. The set of affected developer evidence was identified in the IAR. Additional guidance documents for the newly supported hardware models are provided by the developer from their website.

4 Conclusions

All changes to the TOE were features enhancements. Through functional and regression testing of McAfee Application Control v6.0 and Change Control v6.0 with McAfee Agent v4.6 and ePolicy Orchestrator v4.6 assurance gained in the original TOE certification was maintained. As all of the changes to the TOE have been classified as minor, it is the conclusion of the CB that the maintained TOE is appropriate for assurance continuity and re-evaluation is not required.
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