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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Certification report reference</th>
<th>ANSSI-CC-2012/04</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Product name</strong></td>
<td><strong>Blanco Erasure Software for x86 architecture</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Product reference</strong></td>
<td><strong>Version 5.1.0, Build 1.1.0.b20101214</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Protection profile conformity</strong></td>
<td><strong>None</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Evaluation criteria and version</strong></td>
<td><strong>Common Criteria version 3.1 revision 3</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Evaluation level**          | **EAL 3 augmented**  
|                               | **ALC_FLR.3** |
| **Developer**                 | **Blanco Oy Ltd.**  
|                               | **Länsikatu 15, 80110 FIN-Joensuu, Finland** |
| **Sponsor**                   | **Blanco Oy Ltd.**  
|                               | **Länsikatu 15, 80110 FIN-Joensuu, Finland** |
| **Evaluation facility**       | **Amossys**  
|                               | **4 bis allée du bâtiment, 35000 Rennes, France**  
|                               | **Tél : +33 (0)2 99 23 15 79, mél : frederic.remi@amossys.fr** |
| **Recognition arrangements**  | **CCRA**  
|                               | **SOG-IS** |
Introduction

The Certification

Security certification for information technology products and systems is governed by decree number 2002-535 dated April, 18th 2002, modified. This decree stipulates that:

- The French Network and Information Security Agency draws up certification reports. These reports indicate the features of the proposed security targets. They may include any warnings that the authors feel the need to mention for security reasons. They may or may not be transmitted to third parties or made public, as the sponsors desire (article 7).

- The certificates issued by the Prime Minister certify that the copies of the products or systems submitted for evaluation fulfil the specified security features. They also certify that the evaluations have been carried out in compliance with applicable rules and standards, with the required degrees of skill and impartiality (article 8).

The procedures are available on the Internet site www.ssi.gouv.fr.
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1. The product

1.1. Presentation of the product

The evaluated product is «Blanco Erasure Software for x86 architecture, Version 5.1.0» developed by Blanco Oy Ltd.

The main function of the Blanco Erasure Software (BES) is to perform hardware detection on a host computer, to display a list of available storage devices to the user, and to erase the selected target devices according to a chosen erasure standard. The software also prepares an erasure report after the erasure has finished. This report contains the detailed information about the erasure process, including timing information and a list of problems encountered during the erasure. BES is notably designed for end of life management of computer assets.

1.2. Evaluated product description

The security target [ST] defines the evaluated product, its evaluated security functionalities and its operational environment.

1.2.1. Product identification

The configuration list [CONF] identifies the product’s constituent elements. The certified version of the product correspond to the software designed for x86 architecture. The version number of BES can be found in the main window of the product, associated to the build number “1.1.0.b20101214”.

1.2.2. Security services

The main security service provided by this product is data erasure.

1.2.3. Architecture

The product consists of the following elements:
- Services module;
- Configuration module;
- Detection module;
- Drivers module;
- Erasure module (the TOE itself, in red in the figure below).
1.2.4. Life cycle

The product has been developed on the following site:

Blancco Oy Ltd.
Länsikatu 15
80110 FIN-Joensuu
Finlande

1.2.5. Evaluated configuration

The certificate applies to its standalone configurations (on a live CD), without net work connection.
2. The evaluation

2.1. Evaluation referential

The evaluation has been performed in compliance with Common Criteria version 3.1 revision 3 [CC] and with the Common Evaluation Methodology [CEM].

2.2. Evaluation work

The evaluation technical report [ETR], delivered to ANSSI the 19th January 2012, provides details on the work performed by the evaluation facility and assesses that all evaluation tasks are “pass”.

2.3. Cryptographic mechanisms robustness analysis

The robustness of cryptographic mechanisms has not been analysed.

2.4. Random number generator analysis

The robustness of the random generator has not been analysed.
3. Certification

3.1. Conclusion

The evaluation was carried out according to the current rules and standards, with the required competency and impartiality of a licensed evaluation facility. All the work performed permits the release of a certificate in conformance with the decree 2002-535.

This certificate testifies that the product “Blancco Erasure Software for x86 architecture, Version 5.1.0” submitted for evaluation fulfils the security features specified in its security target [ST] for the evaluation level EAL 3 augmented.

3.2. Restrictions

This certificate only applies on the product specified in chapter 1.2 of this certification report.

The user of the certified product shall respect the security objectives for the operational environment, as specified in the security target [ST], and shall respect the recommendations in the guidance [GUIDES], in particular:

- Personnel using the TOE must have been trained, competent and follow all applicable guidance documentation (OE.Competent_personnel);
- Personnel using the TOE must ensure that the hardware to be erased is set in such way, that the storage devices of the system can be detected in the correct way (OE.Operational_procedures);
- Personnel using the TOE must ensure that the BIOS clock in the system to be erased has correct values (OE.Reliable_clock).
3.3. Recognition of the certificate

3.3.1. European recognition (SOG-IS)
This certificate is released in accordance with the provisions of the SOG-IS agreement [SOG-IS].

The European Recognition Agreement made by SOG-IS in 2010 allows recognition from Signatory States of the agreement\(^1\), of ITSEC and Common Criteria certificates. The European recognition is applicable up to ITSEC E3 Basic and CC EAL4 levels. The certificates that are recognized in the agreement scope are released with the following marking:

\[\text{SOGIS IT SECURITY CERTIFIED}\]

3.3.2. International common criteria recognition (CCRA)
This certificate is released in accordance with the provisions of the CCRA [CC RA].

The Common Criteria Recognition Arrangement allows the recognition, by signatory countries\(^2\), of the Common Criteria certificates. The mutual recognition is applicable up to the assurance components of CC EAL4 level and also to ALC_FLR family. The certificates that are recognized in the agreement scope are released with the following marking:

\[\text{CC\-FAMILY}\]

---

\(^1\) The signatory countries of the SOG-IS agreement are: Austria, Finland, France, Germany, Italy, The Netherlands, Norway, Spain, Sweden and United Kingdom.

\(^2\) The signatory countries of the CCRA arrangement are: Australia, Austria, Canada, Czech Republic, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, India, Israel, Italy, Japan, the Republic of Korea, Malaysia, Netherlands, New-Zealand, Norway, Pakistan, Singapore, Spain, Sweden, Turkey, the United Kingdom and the United States of America.
### Annex 1. Evaluation level of the product

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Components by assurance level</th>
<th>Assurance level of the product</th>
<th>Name of the component</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development</td>
<td>ADV_ARC</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Security architecture description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ADV_FSP</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 5 6 1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Functional specification with complete summary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ADV_IMP</td>
<td>1 1 2 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ADV_INT</td>
<td>2 3 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ADV_SPM</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ADV_TDS</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Architectural design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGD</td>
<td>AGD_OPE</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Operational user guidance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guidance</td>
<td>AGD_PRE</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Preparative procedures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALC</td>
<td>ALC_CMC</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 4 5 5 6 3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Authorisation controls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life-cycle</td>
<td>ALC_CMS</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 5 5 1 3</td>
<td>Implementation representation CM coverage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>support</td>
<td>ALC_DEL</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Delivery procedures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ALC_DVS</td>
<td>1 1 1 2 2 2 1 1 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Identification of security measures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ALC_FLR</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Systematic flaw remediation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ALC_LCD</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Developer defined life-cycle model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ALC_TAT</td>
<td>1 2 3 3 3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASE</td>
<td>ASE_CCL</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Conformance claims</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security</td>
<td>ASE_ECD</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Extended components definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target</td>
<td>ASE_INT</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>ST introduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation</td>
<td>ASE_OBJ</td>
<td>1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Security objectives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ASE_REQ</td>
<td>1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Derived security requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ASE_SPD</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Security problem definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ASE_TSS</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>TOE summary specification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATE</td>
<td>ATE_COV</td>
<td>1 2 2 3 3 3 2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Analysis of coverage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tests</td>
<td>ATE_DPT</td>
<td>1 1 3 3 4 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Testing: basic design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ATE_FUN</td>
<td>1 1 1 2 2 2 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Functional testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ATE_IND</td>
<td>1 2 2 2 2 2 3 2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Independent testing: sample</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVA</td>
<td>AVA_VAN</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 5 2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Vulnerability analysis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Annex 2. Evaluated product references

| [ST] | Reference security target for the evaluation:  
|      | - « BLANCCO ERASURE SOFTWARE SECURITY TARGET », reference ID 96, version 5.0. |
| [RTE] | Evaluation technical report:  
|      | - « ALBUS - BLANCCO OY LTD - EVALUATION TECHNICAL REPORT », reference BLA002-RTE01, version 1.90. |
| [CONF] | Software configuration list:  
|      | - « Configuration list of Albus v5.1.0 », reference ID 70, version 2.0;  
|      | Documentation configuration list:  
|      | - « Documentation configuration list of Albus v5.1.0 », reference ID 50, version 5.0. |
| [GUIDES] | Product’s guidance  
|      | - « BLANCCO ERASURE SOFTWARE - User manual for x86 Erasure Software version 5.1.0 », reference ID 55, version 4.0. |
## Annex 3. Certification references

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Decree number 2002-535, 18th April 2002, modified related to the security evaluations and certifications for information technology products and systems.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[CER/P/01]</td>
<td>Procedure CER/P/01 - Certification of the security provided by IT products and systems, DCSSI.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| [CC] | Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation:  
Part 1: Introduction and general model,  
July 2009, version 3.1, revision 3 Final, ref CCMB-2009-07-001;  
Part 2: Security functional components,  
July 2009, version 3.1, revision 3 Final, ref CCMB-2009-07-002;  
Part 3: Security assurance components,  
| [CEM] | Common Methodology for Information Technology Security Evaluation: Evaluation Methodology,  