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1 Introduction

McAfee, Inc. has submitted (via EWA-Canada) the Impact Analysis Report (IAR) for McAfee Data Loss Prevention 9.3 and ePolicy Orchestrator 4.6 (hereafter referred to as McAfee DLP), satisfying the requirements outlined in Assurance Continuity: CCRA Requirements, v2.1, June 2012. In accordance with those requirements, the IAR describes the changes implemented in McAfee DLP, (the maintained Target of Evaluation), the evidence updated as a result of the changes and the security impact of the changes.

2 Description of changes in the Maintained Target of Evaluation

The following characterizes the changes implemented in McAfee DLP. For each change, it was verified that there were no required changes to the security functional requirements in the ST, and thorough functional and regression testing was conducted by the developer to ensure that the assurance in the Target of Evaluation (TOE) was maintained. The changes in McAfee DLP comprise bug fixes resulting from defects detected and resolved through the QA/test process and feature enhancements.

3 Description of Changes to the IT Environment

There were no changes to the underlying IT environment.

4 Affected developer evidence

Modifications to the product necessitated changes to a subset of the developer evidence that was previously submitted for the TOE. The set of affected developer evidence was identified in the IAR.

Modifications to the security target were made to reflect the new product versions.

5 Conclusions

All changes to the maintained TOE were bug fixes and performance improvements. Through functional and regression testing of McAfee DLP, assurance gained in the original TOE certification was maintained. As all of the changes to the maintained TOE have been classified as minor, it is the conclusion of the CB that the maintained TOE is appropriate for assurance continuity and re-evaluation is not required.
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