

## **Security Target**

Version:	1.0
Status:	Final
Last Update:	2023-08-14
Classification:	Public

# Trademarks

The following terms are trademarks of Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P. in the United States, other countries, or both.

- HP®
- LaserJet®
- PageWide®

The following terms are trademarks of Arm Holdings plc in the United States, other countries, or both.

- Arm®
- Cortex®

The following term is a trademark of atsec information security corporation in the United States, other countries, or both.

• atsec®

The following term is a trademark of Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) in the United States, other countries, or both.

• Kerberos<sup>TM</sup>

The following terms are trademarks of Microsoft Corporation in the United States, other countries, or both.

- Microsoft®
- SharePoint®
- Windows®

The following term is a trademark of INSIDE Secure in the United States, other countries, or both.

- INSIDE Secure®
- QuickSec®

The following terms are trademarks of the OpenSSL Software Foundation in the United States, other countries, or both.

• OpenSSL®

The following terms are trademarks of the Seagate Technology LLC in the United States, other countries, or both.

- Seagate®
- Seagate Secure®

The following term is a trademark of the Trusted Computing Group in the United States, other countries, or both.

• Trusted Computing Group®

Other company, product, and service names may be trademarks or service marks of others.

# Legal Notices

This document is provided AS IS with no express or implied warranties. Use the information in this document at your own risk.

This document may be reproduced or distributed in any form without prior permission provided the copyright notice is retained on all copies. Modified versions of this document may be freely distributed provided that they are clearly identified as such, and this copyright is included intact.

# **Revision History**

Version	Date	Author(s)	Description
1.0	2023-08-14	HP Inc.	Public version for evaluation.

# **Table of Contents**

1.1       Security Target Identification       11         1.2       TOE Identification       11         1.3       TOE Type       11         1.4       TOE Overview       11         1.4.1       Required and Optional Hardware, Software, and Firmware       12         1.4.2       Intended Method of Use       13         1.5.1       TOE Description       13         1.5.1       TOE Architecture       14         1.5.3       TOE Security Functionality (TSF) Summary       17         1.5.3.1       Auditing       17         1.5.3.2       Identification, Authentication, and Authorization to Use HCD Functions       18         1.5.3.4       Identification, Authentication, and Authorization to Use HCD Functions       21         1.5.3.5       Image Overwrite       22       1.5.3.6       18         1.5.3.4       Access Control       22       1.5.3.7       Administrative Roles       22       1.5.3.8         1.5.4       Totsel Goundary       23       23       1.5.4.1       Physical Boundary       23         1.5.4.1       Physical Boundary       23       23       1.5.4.3       Evaluated Configuration       25         2.1       Protection Profile Tailoring and Additions	1	Introduction	
1.2       TOE Identification       11         1.3       TOE Type       11         1.4       TOE Overview       11         1.4.       Required and Optional Hardware, Software, and Firmware       12         1.4.1       Required and Optional Hardware, Software, and Firmware       12         1.4.2       Intended Method of Use       13         1.5.       TOE Description       13         1.5.       TOE Models and Firmware Versions       13         1.5.1       TOE Kockis and Firmware Versions       13         1.5.2       TOE Architecture       14         1.5.3       TOE Security Functionality (TSF) Summary       17         1.5.3.1       Auditing       17         1.5.3.2       Data Encryption (a.k.a. cryptography)       17         1.5.3.3       Identification, Authentication, and Authorization to Use HCD Functions       18         1.5.3.4       Access Control       22       22         1.5.3.5       Image Overwrite       22       22         1.5.3.6       Trusted Communications       22       22         1.5.4       TOE Boundaries       23       1.5.4.1       Physical Boundary       23         1.5.4.2       Logical Boundary       23		1.1 Security Target Identification	
1.3       TOE Type       11         1.4       TOE Overview       11         1.4.1       TOE Overview       11         1.4.1       Required and Optional Hardware, Software, and Firmware       12         1.4.2       Intended Method of Use       13         1.5.1       TOE Description       13         1.5.1       TOE Architecture       14         1.5.3       TOE Security Functionality (TSF) Summary       17         1.5.3.1       Auditing       17         1.5.3.2       Data Encryption (a.k.a. cryptography)       17         1.5.3.3       Identification, Authentication, and Authorization to Use HCD Functions       18         1.5.3.5       Image Overwrite       22         1.5.3.6       Trusted Communications       22         1.5.3.7       Administrative Roles       22         1.5.3.8       Trusted Communications       22         1.5.3.8       Trusted Operation       23         1.5.4.1       Physical Boundary       23         1.5.4.2       Logical Boundary       23         1.5.4.3       Evaluated Configuration       25         2.1.1       Protection Profile Tailoring and Additions       25         2.1.1       Protecti			
1.4       TOE Overview       11         1.4.1       Required and Optional Hardware, Software, and Firmware       12         1.4.2       Intended Method of Use       13         1.5       TOE Description       13         1.5.1       TOE Models and Firmware Versions       13         1.5.2       TOE Architecture       14         1.5.3       TOE Security Functionality (TSF) Summary       17         1.5.3.1       Auditing       17         1.5.3.2       Data Encryption (a.k.a. cryptography)       17         1.5.3.3       Identification, Authentication, and Authorization to Use HCD Functions       18         1.5.3.4       Access Control       21         1.5.3.5       Image Overwrite       22         1.5.3.6       Trusted Communications       22         1.5.3.8       Trusted Operation       22         1.5.4       TOE Boundaries       23         1.5.4.1       Physical Boundary       23         1.5.4.2       Logical Boundary       23         1.5.4.3       Evaluated Configuration       25         2.1       Protection Profile Tailoring and Additions       25         2.1       Protection Profile Tor Hardcopy Devices; IPA, NIAP, and the MFP Technical Community (IHCDP			
1.4.1       Required and Optional Hardware, Software, and Firmware       12         1.4.2       Intended Method of Use       13         1.5       TOE Description       13         1.5.1       TOE Models and Firmware Versions       13         1.5.2       TOE Architecture       14         1.5.3       TOE Security Functionality (TSP) Summary       17         1.5.3.1       Auditing       17         1.5.3.2       Data Encryption (a.k.a. cryptography)       17         1.5.3.3       Identification, Authentication, and Authorization to Use HCD Functions       18         1.5.3.5       Image Overwrite       22         1.5.3.6       Trusted Communications       22         1.5.3.7       Administrative Roles       22         1.5.3.8       Trusted Operation       23         1.5.4.1       Physical Boundary       23         1.5.4.2       Logical Boundary       23         1.5.4.3       Evaluated Configuration       23         2.5       2.1       Protection Profile for Hardcopy Devices; IPA, NIAP, and the MFP Technical Community (IHCDPP)         2.5       3       Security Problem Definition       27         3.1.1       Threat Environment       27         3.1.1			
1.4.2       Intended Method of Use       13         1.5       TOE Description       13         1.5.1       TOE Models and Firmware Versions       13         1.5.2       TOE Architecture.       14         1.5.3       TOE Security Functionality (TSF) Summary       17         1.5.3.1       Auditing       17         1.5.3.2       Data Encryption (a.k.a. cryptography).       17         1.5.3.3       Identification, Authentication, and Authorization to Use HCD Functions       18         1.5.3.4       Access Control       21         1.5.3.5       Trayed Oremwrite       22         1.5.3.6       Trusted Communications       22         1.5.3.6       Trusted Communications       22         1.5.3.6       Trusted Operation       23         1.5.4.1       Physical Boundary       23         1.5.4.2       Logical Boundary       23         1.5.4.3       Evaluated Configuration       25         2.1.1       Protection Profile for Hardcopy Devices; IPA, NIAP, and the MFP Technical Community (HCDPP)         25       3       Security Problem Definition.       27         3.1.1       Threats Environment       27         3.1.1       Threats Countered by the TOE       2			
1.5       TOE Description       13         1.5.1       TOE Models and Firmware Versions       13         1.5.2       TOE Architecture       14         1.5.3       TOE Security Functionality (TSF) Summary       17         1.5.3.1       Auditing       17         1.5.3.2       Data Encryption (a.k.a. cryptography)       17         1.5.3.3       Identification, Authentication, and Authorization to Use HCD Functions       18         1.5.3.4       Access Control       21         1.5.3.5       Image Overwrite       22         1.5.3.6       Trusted Communications       22         1.5.3.8       Trusted Operation       22         1.5.3.8       Trusted Operation       22         1.5.4       TOE Boundaries       23         1.5.4.1       Physical Boundary       23         1.5.4.2       Logical Boundary       23         1.5.4.3       Evaluated Configuration       25         2.1       Protection Profile Tailoring and Additions       25         2.1.1       Protection Profile for Hardcopy Devices; IPA, NIAP, and the MFP Technical Community ([HCDPP])         25       3       Security Problem Definition       27         3.1.1       Threat Environment       27 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			
1.5.1       TOE Models and Firmware Versions       13         1.5.2       TOE Architecture       14         1.5.3       TOE Security Functionality (TSF) Summary       17         1.5.3.1       Auditing       17         1.5.3.2       Data Encryption (a.k.a. cryptography)       17         1.5.3.3       Identification, Authentication, and Authorization to Use HCD Functions       18         1.5.3.4       Access Control       21         1.5.3.5       Image Overwrite       22         1.5.3.6       Trusted Communications       22         1.5.3.7       Administrative Roles       22         1.5.3.8       Trusted Operation       22         1.5.4.1       Physical Boundary       23         1.5.4.2       Logical Boundary       23         1.5.4.3       Evaluated Configuration       23         1.5.4.4       Physical Boundary       23         1.5.4.5       Evaluated Configuration       23         1.5.4.3       Evaluated Configuration       25         2.1       Protection Profile Tailoring and Additions       25         2.1       Protection Profile Tailoring and Additions       25         2.1       Protection Profile Tailoring end Additions       26 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			
1.5.2       TOE Architecture       14         1.5.3       TOE Security Functionality (TSF) Summary       17         1.5.3.1       Auditing       17         1.5.3.2       Data Encryption (a.k.a. cryptography)       17         1.5.3.3       Identification, Authentication, and Authorization to Use HCD Functions       18         1.5.3.4       Access Control       21         1.5.3.5       Image Overwrite       22         1.5.3.6       Trusted Communications       22         1.5.3.7       Administrative Roles       22         1.5.3.8       Trusted Operation       22         1.5.4       TOE Boundaries       23         1.5.4.1       Physical Boundary       23         1.5.4.2       Logical Boundary       23         1.5.4.3       Evaluated Configuration       23         2.5       CC Conformance Claim       25         2.1       Protection Profile Tailoring and Additions       25         2.1.1       Protection Profile for Hardcopy Devices; IPA, NIAP, and the MFP Technical Community ([HCDPP])         25       3       Security Problem Definition       27         3.1.1       Threat Environment       27       3.1.1       Threat Environment       27		•	
1.5.3       TOE Security Functionality (TSF) Summary       17         1.5.3.1       Auditing       17         1.5.3.2       Data Encryption (a.k.a. cryptography)       17         1.5.3.3       Identification, Authentication, and Authorization to Use HCD Functions       18         1.5.3.4       Access Control       21         1.5.3.5       Image Overwrite       22         1.5.3.6       Trusted Communications       22         1.5.3.7       Administrative Roles       22         1.5.3.8       Trusted Operation       22         1.5.4.1       Physical Boundary       23         1.5.4.2       Logical Boundary       23         1.5.4.3       Evaluated Configuration       23         1.5.4.4       Physical Boundary       23         1.5.4.5       Evaluated Configuration       23         1.5.4.1       Physical Boundary       23         1.5.4.2       Logical Boundary       23         1.5.4.3       Evaluated Configuration       25         2.1       Protection Profile Tailoring and Additions       25         2.1.1       Protection Profile For Hardcopy Devices; IPA, NIAP, and the MFP Technical Community ((HCDPP))         25       3       Security Problem Definition			
1.5.3.1       Auditing       17         1.5.3.2       Data Encryption (a.k.a. cryptography)       17         1.5.3.3       Identification, Authentication, and Authorization to Use HCD Functions       18         1.5.3.4       Access Control       21         1.5.3.5       Image Overwrite       22         1.5.3.6       Trusted Communications       22         1.5.3.7       Administrative Roles       22         1.5.3.8       Trusted Operation       22         1.5.4       TOE Boundaries       23         1.5.4.1       Physical Boundary       23         1.5.4.2       Logical Boundary       23         1.5.4.3       Evaluated Configuration       23         1.5.4.3       Evaluated Configuration       25         2.1       Protection Profile Tailoring and Additions       25         2.1.1       Protection Profile for Hardcopy Devices; IPA, NIAP, and the MFP Technical Community ((HCDPP))         25       3       Security Problem Definition       27         3.1.1       Threat Environment       27       3.1.1       1         3.2.1.1       Physical       28       3.2.1.1       Physical         3.2.1.2       Personnel       28       3.2.1.2       28 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			
1.5.3.2       Data Encryption (a.k.a. cryptography)       17         1.5.3.3       Identification, Authentication, and Authorization to Use HCD Functions       18         1.5.3.4       Access Control       21         1.5.3.5       Image Overwrite       22         1.5.3.6       Trusted Communications       22         1.5.3.6       Trusted Communications       22         1.5.3.7       Administrative Roles       22         1.5.3.8       Trusted Operation       22         1.5.4.1       TOE Boundaries       23         1.5.4.2       Logical Boundary       23         1.5.4.3       Evaluated Configuration       23         1.5.4.3       Evaluated Configuration       23         1.5.4.3       Evaluated Configuration       23         2.5.4       Opcical Boundary       23         1.5.4.3       Evaluated Configuration       25         2.1       Protection Profile Tailoring and Additions       25         2.1.1       Protection Profile For Hardcopy Devices; IPA, NIAP, and the MFP Technical Community ([HCDPP])         25       3       Security Problem Definition       27         3.1       Threat Environment       27         3.1.1       Threats Countered by the TOE			
1.5.3.3       Identification, Authentication, and Authorization to Use HCD Functions			
1.5.3.4       Access Control       21         1.5.3.5       Image Overwrite       22         1.5.3.6       Trusted Communications       22         1.5.3.7       Administrative Roles       22         1.5.3.8       Trusted Operation       22         1.5.3.8       Trusted Operation       22         1.5.3.8       Trusted Operation       22         1.5.4       TOE Boundaries       23         1.5.4.1       Physical Boundary       23         1.5.4.2       Logical Boundary       23         1.5.4.3       Evaluated Configuration       23         2       CC Conformance Claim       25         2.1       Protection Profile Tailoring and Additions       25         2.1.1       Protection Profile for Hardcopy Devices; IPA, NIAP, and the MFP Technical Community ([HCDPP])         25       3       Security Problem Definition       27         3.1       Threat Environment       27       3.1.1       Threat Sountered by the TOE       27         3.2       Assumptions       28       3.2.1.2       Personnel       28         3.2.1.1       Physical       28       3.2.1.3       Connectivity       28         3.3       Organizational Security Poli			
1.5.3.5       Image Overwrite       22         1.5.3.6       Trusted Communications       22         1.5.3.7       Administrative Roles       22         1.5.3.8       Trusted Operation       22         1.5.3.8       Trusted Operation       22         1.5.4.1       Physical Boundary       23         1.5.4.2       Logical Boundary       23         1.5.4.3       Evaluated Configuration       23         1.5.4.3       Evaluated Configuration       23         2.1       Protection Profile Tailoring and Additions       25         2.1.1       Protection Profile for Hardcopy Devices; IPA, NIAP, and the MFP Technical Community ([HCDPP])         25       3       Security Problem Definition       27         3.1       Threat Environment       27         3.1.1       Threats Countered by the TOE       28         3.2.1.1       Physical       28         3.2.1.2       Personnel       28         3.2.1.3       Connectivity       28         3.3       Organizational Security Policies       29         4       Security Objectives       30         4.1       Objectives for the TOE       30         4.3       Security Objectives Rational			
1.5.3.6       Trusted Communications       22         1.5.3.7       Administrative Roles       22         1.5.3.8       Trusted Operation       22         1.5.3.8       Trusted Operation       22         1.5.4.1       Physical Boundary       23         1.5.4.2       Logical Boundary       23         1.5.4.3       Evaluated Configuration       23         2       CC Conformance Claim       25         2.1.1       Protection Profile Tailoring and Additions       25         2.1.1       Protection Profile for Hardcopy Devices; IPA, NIAP, and the MFP Technical Community ([HCDPP])         25       3       Security Problem Definition       27         3.1       Threat Environment       27         3.1.1       Threats Countered by the TOE       27         3.2       Assumptions       28         3.2.1.1       Physical       28         3.2.1.2       Personnel       28         3.2.1.3       Connectivity       28         3.3       Organizational Security Policies       29         4       Security Objectives       30         4.1       Objectives for the TOE       30         4.3       Security Objectives Rationale <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>			
1.5.3.7       Administrative Roles       22         1.5.3.8       Trusted Operation       22         1.5.4       TOE Boundaries       23         1.5.4.1       Physical Boundary       23         1.5.4.2       Logical Boundary       23         1.5.4.3       Evaluated Configuration       23         1.5.4.3       Evaluated Configuration       23         2       CC Conformance Claim       25         2.1       Protection Profile Tailoring and Additions       25         2.1.1       Protection Profile for Hardcopy Devices; IPA, NIAP, and the MFP Technical Community ([HCDPP])         25       3       Security Problem Definition       27         3.1       Threat Environment       27       3.1.1       Threats Countered by the TOE       27         3.2.1       Environment of Use of the TOE       28       3.2.1.2       28       3.2.1.2       28         3.2.1.1       Physical       28       3.2.1.3       Connectivity       28       3.3       Organizational Security Policies       29         4       Security Objectives       30       4.1       Objectives for the TOE       30       4.2       Objectives Rationale       31			
1.5.3.8       Trusted Operation       22         1.5.4       TOE Boundaries       23         1.5.4.1       Physical Boundary       23         1.5.4.2       Logical Boundary       23         1.5.4.3       Evaluated Configuration       23         2       CC Conformance Claim       25         2.1       Protection Profile Tailoring and Additions       25         2.1.1       Protection Profile for Hardcopy Devices; IPA, NIAP, and the MFP Technical Community ([HCDPP])         25       25         3.1       Threat Environment       27         3.1.1       Threats Countered by the TOE       27         3.2       Assumptions       28         3.2.1.1       Physical       28         3.2.1.1       Physical       28         3.2.1.1       Physical       28         3.2.1.2       Personnel       28         3.3       Organizational Security Policies       29         4       Security Objectives for the TOE       30         4.1       Objectives for the Operational Environment       31         4.3       Security Objectives Rationale       31			
1.5.4 TOE Boundaries       23         1.5.4.1 Physical Boundary       23         1.5.4.2 Logical Boundary       23         1.5.4.3 Evaluated Configuration       23         2.1.4 Protection Profile Tailoring and Additions       25         2.1 Protection Profile Tailoring and Additions       25         2.1 Protection Profile for Hardcopy Devices; IPA, NIAP, and the MFP Technical Community ([HCDPP])         2.5         3 Security Problem Definition       27         3.1 Threat Environment       27         3.1.1 Threats Countered by the TOE       27         3.2 Assumptions       28         3.2.1 Environment of Use of the TOE       28         3.2.1.1 Physical       28         3.2.1.2 Personnel       28         3.3 Organizational Security Policies       29         4 Security Objectives for the TOE       30         4.1 Objectives for the TOE       30         4.2 Objectives for the Operational Environment       31         4.3 Security Objectives Rationale       31			
1.5.4.1       Physical Boundary       23         1.5.4.2       Logical Boundary       23         1.5.4.3       Evaluated Configuration       23         2       CC Conformance Claim       25         2.1       Protection Profile Tailoring and Additions       25         2.1.1       Protection Profile for Hardcopy Devices; IPA, NIAP, and the MFP Technical Community ([HCDPP])         25         3       Security Problem Definition       27         3.1       Threat Environment       27         3.1.1       Threats Countered by the TOE       27         3.2       Assumptions       28         3.2.1.1       Physical       28         3.2.1.2       Personnel       28         3.2.1.3       Connectivity       28         3.3       Organizational Security Policies       29         4       Security Objectives       30         4.1       Objectives for the TOE       30         4.2       Objectives for the Operational Environment       31         4.3       Security Objectives Rationale       31		L. L	
1.5.4.2       Logical Boundary			
1.5.4.3       Evaluated Configuration       23         2       CC Conformance Claim       25         2.1       Protection Profile Tailoring and Additions       25         2.1.1       Protection Profile for Hardcopy Devices; IPA, NIAP, and the MFP Technical Community ([HCDPP])         25         3       Security Problem Definition       27         3.1       Threat Environment       27         3.1.1       Threats Countered by the TOE       27         3.2       Assumptions       28         3.2.1       Environment of Use of the TOE       28         3.2.1.1       Physical       28         3.2.1.2       Personnel       28         3.2.1.3       Connectivity       28         3.3       Organizational Security Policies       29         4       Security Objectives for the TOE       30         4.1       Objectives for the Operational Environment       31         4.3       Security Objectives Rationale       31			
2       CC Conformance Claim       25         2.1       Protection Profile Tailoring and Additions       25         2.1.1       Protection Profile for Hardcopy Devices; IPA, NIAP, and the MFP Technical Community ([HCDPP])       25         3       Security Problem Definition       27         3.1       Threat Environment       27         3.1.1       Threats Countered by the TOE       27         3.2       Assumptions       28         3.2.1       Environment of Use of the TOE       28         3.2.1.1       Physical       28         3.2.1.2       Personnel       28         3.2.1.3       Connectivity       28         3.3       Organizational Security Policies       29         4       Security Objectives for the TOE       30         4.1       Objectives for the Operational Environment       31         4.3       Security Objectives Rationale       31			
2.1       Protection Profile Tailoring and Additions       25         2.1.1       Protection Profile for Hardcopy Devices; IPA, NIAP, and the MFP Technical Community ([HCDPP])         25       3       Security Problem Definition         3.1       Threat Environment       27         3.1.1       Threats Countered by the TOE       27         3.2       Assumptions       28         3.2.1.1       Environment of Use of the TOE       28         3.2.1.2       Personnel       28         3.2.1.3       Connectivity       28         3.3       Organizational Security Policies       29         4       Security Objectives for the TOE       30         4.1       Objectives for the Operational Environment       31         4.3       Security Objectives Rationale       31	2		
2.1.1       Protection Profile for Hardcopy Devices; IPA, NIAP, and the MFP Technical Community ([HCDPP])         25       3       Security Problem Definition	4		
25         3 Security Problem Definition		-	
3       Security Problem Definition			(CDPP])
3.1       Threat Environment       27         3.1.1       Threats Countered by the TOE       27         3.2       Assumptions       28         3.2.1       Environment of Use of the TOE       28         3.2.1.1       Physical       28         3.2.1.2       Personnel       28         3.2.1.3       Connectivity       28         3.3       Organizational Security Policies       29         4       Security Objectives       30         4.1       Objectives for the TOE       30         4.2       Objectives Rational Environment       31		25	
3.1.1 Threats Countered by the TOE       27         3.2 Assumptions       28         3.2.1 Environment of Use of the TOE       28         3.2.1.1 Physical       28         3.2.1.2 Personnel       28         3.2.1.3 Connectivity       28         3.3 Organizational Security Policies       29         4 Security Objectives       30         4.1 Objectives for the TOE       30         4.2 Objectives for the Operational Environment       31         4.3 Security Objectives Rationale       31	3	Security Problem Definition	
3.2       Assumptions		3.1 Threat Environment	27
3.2.1       Environment of Use of the TOE       28         3.2.1.1       Physical       28         3.2.1.2       Personnel       28         3.2.1.3       Connectivity       28         3.3       Organizational Security Policies       29         4       Security Objectives       30         4.1       Objectives for the TOE       30         4.2       Objectives for the Operational Environment       31         4.3       Security Objectives Rationale       31		3.1.1 Threats Countered by the TOE	27
3.2.1.1       Physical       28         3.2.1.2       Personnel       28         3.2.1.3       Connectivity       28         3.3       Organizational Security Policies       29         4       Security Objectives       30         4.1       Objectives for the TOE       30         4.2       Objectives for the Operational Environment       31         4.3       Security Objectives Rationale       31		3.2 Assumptions	28
3.2.1.2Personnel		3.2.1 Environment of Use of the TOE	28
3.2.1.3       Connectivity       28         3.3       Organizational Security Policies       29         4       Security Objectives       30         4.1       Objectives for the TOE       30         4.2       Objectives for the Operational Environment       31         4.3       Security Objectives Rationale       31		3.2.1.1 Physical	28
3.3       Organizational Security Policies       29         4       Security Objectives       30         4.1       Objectives for the TOE       30         4.2       Objectives for the Operational Environment       31         4.3       Security Objectives Rationale       31		3.2.1.2 Personnel	
4       Security Objectives       30         4.1       Objectives for the TOE       30         4.2       Objectives for the Operational Environment       31         4.3       Security Objectives Rationale       31		3.2.1.3 Connectivity	
4.1Objectives for the TOE		3.3 Organizational Security Policies	29
<ul> <li>4.2 Objectives for the Operational Environment</li></ul>	4	Security Objectives	
4.3 Security Objectives Rationale		4.1 Objectives for the TOE	
4.3 Security Objectives Rationale		4.2 Objectives for the Operational Environment	31
4.5.1 Coverage		4.3.1 Coverage	

	4.3.2 Sufficiency	32
5	Extended Components Definition	
	1 Class FAU: Security Audit	
	5.1.1 Extended: External Audit Trail Storage (FAU_STG)	35
	5.1.1.1 FAU_STG_EXT.1 - Extended: Protected Audit Trail Storage	35
	2 Class FCS: Cryptographic Support	
	5.2.1 Cryptographic Key Management (FCS_CKM)	36
	5.2.1.1 FCS_CKM_EXT.4 - Extended: Cryptographic Key Material Destruction	
	5.2.2 Extended: IPsec selected (FCS_IPSEC)	
	5.2.2.1 FCS_IPSEC_EXT.1 – Extended: IPsec selected	37
	5.2.3 Extended: Cryptographic Operation (Key Chaining) (FCS_KYC)	
	5.2.3.1 FCS_KYC_EXT.1 – Extended: Key Chaining	
	5.2.4 Extended: Cryptographic Operation (Random Bit Generation) (FCS_RBG)	
	5.2.4.1 FCS_RBG_EXT.1 – Extended: Random Bit Generation	
	3 Class FDP: User Data Protection	
	5.3.1 Extended: Protection of Data on Disk (FDP_DSK)	40
	5.3.1.1 FDP_DSK_EXT.1 – Extended: Protection of Data on Disk	
	4 Class FIA: Identification and Authentication	41
	5.4.1 Extended: Password Management (FIA_PMG)	
	5.4.1.1 FIA_PMG_EXT.1 – Extended: Password Management	
	5.4.2 Extended: Pre-Shared Key Composition (FIA_PSK)	
	5.4.2.1 FIA_PSK_EXT.1 – Extended: Pre-Shared Key Composition	
	5 Class FPT: Protection of the TSF	
	5.5.1 Extended: Protection of Key and Key Material (FPT_KYP)	
	5.5.1.1 FPT_KYP_EXT.1 – Extended: Protection of Key and Key Material	
	5.5.2 Extended: Protection of TSF Data (FPT_SKP)	
	5.5.2.1 FPT_SKP_EXT.1 – Extended: Protection of TSF Data	
	5.5.3 Extended: TSF Testing (FPT_TST)	
	5.5.3.1 FPT_TST_EXT.1 – Extended: TSF Testing	
	5.5.4 Extended: Trusted Update (FPT_TUD)	
	5.5.4.1 FPT_TUD_EXT.1 – Extended: Trusted Update	45
6	Security Requirements	47
	1 TOE Security Functional Requirements	47
	6.1.1 Security audit (FAU)	50
	6.1.1.1 Audit data generation (FAU_GEN.1)	50
	6.1.1.2 User identity association (FAU_GEN.2)	
	6.1.1.3 Extended: Audit Trail Storage (FAU_STG_EXT.1)	51
	6.1.2 Cryptographic support (FCS)	
	6.1.2.1 Cryptographic key generation (asymmetric keys) (FCS_CKM.1(a))	
	6.1.2.2 Cryptographic key generation (symmetric keys) (FCS_CKM.1(b))	
	6.1.2.3 Extended: Cryptographic key material destruction (FCS_CKM_EXT.4)	
	6.1.2.4 Cryptographic key destruction (FCS_CKM.4)	
	6.1.2.5 Cryptographic Operation (Symmetric encryption/decryption) (FCS_COP.1(a))	
	6.1.2.6 Cryptographic Operation (for signature generation/verification) (FCS_COP.1(b))	
	6.1.2.7 Cryptographic operation (Hash algorithm) (FCS_COP.1(c))	54

6.1.2.8	Cryptographic operation (for keyed-hash message authentication) (FCS_COP.1(g))	55
6.1.2.9	Extended: IPsec selected (FCS_IPSEC_EXT.1)	
6.1.2.10	Extended: Key chaining (FCS_KYC_EXT.1)	
6.1.2.11	Extended: Cryptographic Operation (Random Bit Generation) (FCS_RBG_EXT.1)	56
6.1.3 Use	r data protection (FDP)	
6.1.3.1	Subset access control (FDP_ACC.1)	
6.1.3.2	Security attribute based access control (FDP_ACF.1)	57
6.1.3.3	Extended: Protection of Data on Disk (FDP_DSK_EXT.1)	58
6.1.3.4	Subset residual information protection (FDP_RIP.1(a))	58
6.1.4 Ider	ntification and authentication (FIA)	59
6.1.4.1	Authentication failure handling (FIA_AFL.1)	59
6.1.4.2	User attribute definition (FIA_ATD.1)	59
6.1.4.3	Extended: Password Management (FIA_PMG_EXT.1)	60
6.1.4.4	Extended: Pre-shared key composition (FIA_PSK_EXT.1)	60
6.1.4.5	Timing of authentication (FIA_UAU.1)	60
6.1.4.6	Protected authentication feedback (FIA_UAU.7)	61
6.1.4.7	Timing of identification (FIA_UID.1)	61
6.1.4.8	User-subject binding (FIA_USB.1)	62
6.1.5 Sec	urity management (FMT)	63
6.1.5.1	Management of security functions behaviour (FMT_MOF.1)	63
6.1.5.2	Management of security attributes (FMT_MSA.1)	64
6.1.5.3	Static attribute initialisation (FMT_MSA.3)	65
6.1.5.4	Management of TSF data (FMT_MTD.1)	65
6.1.5.5	Specification of Management Functions (FMT_SMF.1)	66
6.1.5.6	Security roles (FMT_SMR.1)	67
6.1.6 Prot	ection of the TSF (FPT)	67
6.1.6.1	Extended: Protection of Key and Material (FPT_KYP_EXT.1)	67
6.1.6.2	Extended: Protection of TSF data (FPT_SKP_EXT.1)	67
6.1.6.3	Reliable time stamps (FPT_STM.1)	68
6.1.6.4	Extended: TSF testing (FPT_TST_EXT.1)	68
6.1.6.5	Extended: Trusted Update (FPT_TUD_EXT.1)	68
6.1.7 TO	E access (FTA)	68
6.1.7.1	TSF-initiated termination (FTA_SSL.3)	68
6.1.8 Tru	sted path/channels (FTP)	68
6.1.8.1	Inter-TSF trusted channel (FTP_ITC.1)	68
6.1.8.2	Trusted path (for Administrators) (FTP_TRP.1(a))	69
6.2 Securi	ty Functional Requirements Rationale	69
6.2.1 Cov	erage	69
6.2.2 Suff	ficiency	71
6.2.3 Sec	urity requirements dependency analysis	76
6.2.4 HC	DPP SFR reconciliation	81
6.3 Securi	ty Assurance Requirements	83
	ty Assurance Requirements Rationale	
	mary Specification	
	ecurity Functionality	
	E SFR compliance rationale	
rsion: 1.0		

7

8	A	Abbreviations, Terminology and References	
8.	.1	Abbreviations	
8.	.2	Terminology	
8.	.3	References	

# **List of Tables**

Table 1: TOE hardware and firmware reference	14
Table 2: TOE English-guidance documentation reference	14
Table 3: TOE OS and processor	
Table 4: TOE cryptographic implementations	18
Table 5: TOE authentication mechanisms and their supported interfaces	
Table 6: NIAP TDs	25
Table 7: Threats countered by the TOE	27
Table 8: Physical assumptions	28
Table 9: Personnel assumptions	28
Table 10: Connectivity assumptions	28
Table 11: Organizational security policies	29
Table 12: Security objectives for the TOE	30
Table 13: Security objectives for the operational environment	31
Table 14: Mapping of security objectives to threats and policies	31
Table 15: Mapping of security objectives for the Operational	32
Table 16: Sufficiency of objectives countering threats	32
Table 17: Sufficiency of objectives holding assumptions	33
Table 18: Sufficiency of objectives enforcing Organizational Security Policies	
Table 19: Security functional requirements for the TOE	
Table 20: Auditable events	50
Table 21: Asymmetric key generation	51
Table 22: Symmetric key generation	52
Table 23: AES encryption/decryption algorithms	53
Table 24: Asymmetric algorithms for signature generation/verification	
Table 25: Hash algorithms	54
Table 26: HMAC algorithms	55
Table 27: DRBG algorithms	56
Table 28: D.USER.DOC Access Control SFP	57
Table 29: D.USER.JOB Access Control SFP	58
Table 30: Management of functions	63
Table 31: Management of security attributes	64
Table 32: Management of TSF Data	65
Table 33: Specification of management functions	66
Table 34: Mapping of security functional requirements to security objectives	
Table 35: Security objectives for the TOE rationale	71
Table 36: TOE SFR dependency analysis	77
Table 37: HCDPP SFRs excluded from the ST	81
Table 38: Security assurance requirements	
Table 39: TSS index	85
Table 40: TOE SFR compliance rationale	85
Table 41: TOE audit records	86
Table 42: Asymmetric key generation	94
Table 43: Symmetric key generation	95
Table 44: TOE key destruction	
Table 45: AES algorithms	98

### HP YA3 HCDPP Security Target

Table 46: Asymmetric algorithms for signature generation/verification	99
Table 47: SHS algorithms	101
Table 48: HMAC algorithms	
Table 49: DRBG algorithms	
Table 50: IPsec client interfaces	

# **1** Introduction

## 1.1 Security Target Identification

Title:	HP Digital Sender Flow 8500 fn2 Document Capture Workstation, HP ScanJet Enterprise Flow N9120 fn2 Document Scanner
	Security Target
Version:	1.0
Status:	Final
Date:	2023-08-14
Sponsor:	HP Inc.
Developer:	HP Inc.
Certification Body:	OCSI
Certification ID:	OCSI/CERT/ATS/02/2023
Keywords:	Common Criteria, HCD, HCDPP, Hardcopy Device, Digital Sender, Document Capture Workstation, ScanJet Enterprise, Document Scanner, 8500 fn2, N9120 fn2

## **1.2 TOE Identification**

The TOE is the HP Digital Sender Flow 8500 fn2 Document Capture Workstation and HP ScanJet Enterprise Flow N9120 fn2 Document Scanner with HP FutureSmart 4.12 Firmware. The complete list of models and firmware versions is provided in Table 1.

# **1.3 TOE Type**

The TOE type is a hardcopy device (HCD) also known as a scanner.

## 1.4 TOE Overview

This document is the Common Criteria (CC) Security Target (ST) for the HP Inc. products listed in Section 1.2 evaluated as HCDs in compliance with the Protection Profile for Hardcopy Devices Version 1.0, dated September 10, 2015 [HCDPP].

The TOE is an HCD including internal firmware, but exclusive of non-security relevant options such as finishers. The TOE also includes the English-language guidance documentation.

The following firmware modules are included in the TOE.

- System firmware
- Jetdirect Inside firmware

The System firmware controls all functionality except for the network-related functionality. The Jetdirect Inside firmware controls all network-related functionality from Ethernet to Internet Protocol Security (IPsec). These firmware modules are bundled into a single installation bundle.

Two models of HCDs are included in this evaluation. Physically speaking, both models use the same ASIC and processor. Both models contain one field-replaceable, nonvolatile storage device. Both have a Control Panel for operating the HCD locally and Ethernet network capability for connecting to a network. Both support the scanning of documents and remote administration over the network. The main physical differences between the models are physical dimensions and scanner (e.g., scan speed).

A complete list of TOE models and firmware versions is provided in Section 1.5.1.

As per [HCDPP] Section 1.5, the major security functions in this evaluation are as follows.

- Identification, authentication, and authorization to use HCD functions
- Access control
- Data encryption (a.k.a. cryptography)
- Trusted communications
- Administrative roles
- Auditing
- Trusted operation
- Image overwrite

### 1.4.1 Required and Optional Hardware, Software, and Firmware

The following *required* components are part of the Operational Environment.

- A Domain Name System (DNS) server
- A Network Time Service (NTS) server
- One administrative client computer network connected to the TOE in the role of an Administrative Computer. It must contain a web browser.
- One or both of the following:
  - A Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (LDAP) server
  - o A Windows domain controller/Kerberos server
- A Syslog server
- A Windows Internet Name Service (WINS) server

The following *optional* components are part of the Operational Environment.

- Microsoft SharePoint®
- The following remote file systems:
  - File Transfer Protocol (FTP)
  - Server Message Block (SMB)
- A Simple Mail Transfer Protocol (SMTP) gateway

## 1.4.2 Intended Method of Use

This evaluation covers an information processing environment in which a basic level of document security, network security, and security assurance are required.

The TOE is intended to be used in non-hostile, networked environments where TOE users have direct physical access to the HCDs for scanning documents. The physical environment should be reasonably controlled and/or monitored where physical tampering of the HCDs would be evident and noticed.

The TOE can be connected to a local area network using HP's Jetdirect Inside in the evaluated configuration. The evaluated configuration uses secure network mechanisms for communication between the network computers and the TOE. The TOE is managed by one designated administrative computer. Only the administrative computer is permitted to connect to the TOE. The TOE can connect to trusted IT entities (e.g., SMTP gateway) to request or send information to them. The TOE is not intended be connected to the Internet.

The following list contains the use cases found in [HCDPP] Section 1.4 "Security Use Cases of the HCD" supported by the TOE.

- Required use cases
  - Scanning
  - Configuration
  - Auditing
  - Verifying software updates
  - Verifying HCD function
- Conditionally mandatory use cases
  - Field-replaceable nonvolatile storage devices
- Optional use cases
  - Image overwrite

## **1.5 TOE Description**

This section contains a more detailed description of the TOE.

## **1.5.1 TOE Models and Firmware Versions**

Table 1shows the HCD models along with the product number and option code for each model included in thisevaluation. All product number and option code combinations (e.g., L2762A #201) listed in Table 1contain a field-replaceable, disk-based, self-encrypting drive (SED) that is CC certified.CC certified.

All TOE models use the same Jetdirect Inside firmware version.

• JSI24110061

The TOE includes the following System firmware versions.

- 2411221\_066358
- 2411221\_066386

Table 1 includes a mapping of the System firmware versions to the TOE models.

Product model name	Product number	Option code	System firmware version
HP ScanJet Enterprise Flow N9120 fn2 Document Scanner	L2763A	#201	2411221_066386
HP Digital Sender Flow 8500 fn2 Document Capture Workstation	L2762A	#201	2411221_066358

### Table 1: TOE hardware and firmware reference

Table 2 contains the TOE's English-guidance documentation reference.

Models	Title	Reference
All models	Common Criteria Evaluated Configuration Guide for HP Document Scanners	[CCECG]
	HP Digital Sender Flow 8500 fn2 Document Capture Workstation HP ScanJet Enterprise Flow N9120 fn2 Document Scanner	
	Edition 1, 8/2023	
All models	HP Digital Sender Flow 8500 fn2 Document Capture Workstation, HP ScanJet Enterprise Flow N9120 fn2 Document Scanner	[8500_ N9120-UG]
	User Guide	
	Edition 4, 7/2020	

Cable 2: TOE English-guidance documentation reference
---

Table 3 shows the operating system and processor used by all TOE models.

### Table 3: TOE OS and processor

Item	Туре
OS	Windows Embedded CE 6.0 R3
Processor	Arm Cortex-A8

### **1.5.2 TOE Architecture**

The TOE is designed to be shared by many human users. It performs the function of scanning documents. It can be connected to a local network through the embedded Jetdirect Inside's built-in Ethernet or to a USB device using its USB port (but the use of which must be disabled in the evaluated configuration except when the administrator performs trusted update via the USB).

[HCDPP] defines the TOE's physical boundary as the entire HCD product with the possible exclusion of physical options and add-ons that are not security relevant.

### Operating system and processor

Version: 1.0 Last Update: 2023-08-14 Classification: Public The TOE's operating system is the Windows Embedded CE 6.0 R3 running on an Arm Cortex-A8 processor.

### Networking

The TOE supports Local Area Network (LAN) capabilities. The LAN is used to communicate with the administrative computer and several trusted IT entities. Some TOE models include support for Wireless LAN (WLAN), but the WLAN must be disabled in the evaluated configuration.

The TOE protects all network communications with IPsec, which is part of the Jetdirect Inside firmware. It implements Internet Key Exchange version 1 (IKEv1) and supports both pre-shared key (PSK) authentication and X.509v3 certificate-based authentication. The TOE supports both Internet Protocol version 4 (IPv4) and Internet Protocol version 6 (IPv6).

#### Administrative Computer and administrative interfaces

The Administrative Computer connects to the TOE using IPsec. This computer can administer the TOE using the following interfaces over the IPsec connection.

- Embedded Web Server (EWS)
- Representational state transfer (REST) Web Services

#### EWS

The HTTP-based EWS administrative interface allows administrators to remotely manage the features of the TOE using a web browser. This interface is protected using IPsec.

#### **REST Web Services**

The Web Services (WS) interfaces allow administrators to externally manage the TOE. The evaluated configuration only supports the REST Web Services interface. The REST Web Services interface is protected using IPsec.

#### Administrative Computer

For design reasons, only one computer can be used as the Administrative Computer for the TOE in the evaluated configuration. This computer is used for administration of the TOE.

The [CCECG] section *IPsec* describes how to properly configure the TOE to allow a single Administrative Computer.

#### SharePoint, FTP, and SMB

The TOE supports Microsoft SharePoint and remote file systems for the storing of scanned documents. The TOE uses IPsec to protect the communication to SharePoint and to the remote file systems. For remote file system connectivity, the TOE supports the FTP and SMB protocols. (SharePoint is HTTP-based, but IPsec is used to protect the HTTP-based communications.)

#### SMTP mail server

The TOE can be used to email scanned documents. In addition, the TOE can send email alert messages to administrator-specified email addresses, mobile devices, or to a website.

The TOE supports protected communications between itself and Simple Mail Transfer Protocol (SMTP) gateways. It uses IPsec to protect the communication with the SMTP gateway. The TOE can only protect unencrypted email up

to the SMTP gateway. It is the responsibility of the Operational Environment to protect emails from the SMTP gateway to the email's destination. Also, the TOE can only send emails; it does not accept inbound emails.

### Audit Server (syslog server)

The TOE supports the auditing of security-relevant functions by generating and forwarding audit records to an external syslog server. It supports both internal and external storage of audit records. The TOE uses IPsec to protect the communications between itself and the syslog server.

### DNS, NTS, and WINS servers

The TOE requires a DNS server, an NTS server, and a WINS server in the Operational Environment. The TOE connects to them over an IPsec connection.

### Control Panel

Each HCD contains a user interface (UI) called the Control Panel. The Control Panel consists of a touchscreen LCD, a physical home screen button, and a pull-out keyboard as part of the Control Panel. The Control Panel is the physical interface that a user uses to communicate with the TOE when physically using the HCD. The LCD screen displays information such as menus and status to the user. It also provides virtual buttons to the user such as an alphanumeric keypad for entering usernames and passwords. Both administrative and non-administrative users can access the Control Panel.

### Internal and External Authentication

Note: The terms Internal Authentication and External Authentication start with a capitalized first character to match the [HCDPP] usage of these terms.

The TOE supports the following Internal Authentication mechanisms in the evaluated configuration.

• Local Device Sign In

The TOE supports the following External Authentication mechanisms in the evaluated configuration.

- LDAP Sign In
- Windows Sign In (i.e., Kerberos)

The TOE's guidance documents and firmware refer to the following mechanisms as sign-in methods: Local Device Sign In, LDAP Sign In, and Windows Sign In. The Local Device Sign In method maintains the account information within the TOE. Only the Device Administrator account, which is an administrative account, is supported through this method in the evaluated configuration. The LDAP Sign In method supports the use of an external LDAP server for authentication. The Windows Sign In method supports the use of an external windows Domain server for authentication.

Section 1.5.3.3 provides a mapping of authentication mechanisms to TOE interfaces.

#### Nonvolatile Storage

All TOE models contain one field-replaceable nonvolatile storage device. This storage device is a disk-based selfencrypting drive (SED).

#### Firmware Components

The Jetdirect Inside firmware and System firmware components comprise the firmware on the system. Both firmware components work together to provide the security functionality defined in this document for the TOE. They are shown as two separate components but they both share the same operating system. The operating system is part of the System firmware.

The Jetdirect Inside firmware provides the network connectivity and network device drivers used by the System firmware. The Jetdirect Inside firmware includes IPsec and the management functions for managing these network-related features. It also provides the network stack and drivers controlling the TOE's embedded Ethernet interface.

The System firmware controls the overall functions of the TOE from the Control Panel to the storage device to the scan jobs.

## 1.5.3 TOE Security Functionality (TSF) Summary

### 1.5.3.1 Auditing

The TOE supports both internal and external storage of audit records. The evaluated configuration requires the use of an external syslog server for external audit record storage. The connection between the TOE and the syslog server is protected using IPsec. No unauthorized access to the audit records is allowed by the TOE.

### **1.5.3.2** Data Encryption (a.k.a. cryptography)

### 1.5.3.2.1 IPsec

The TOE's IPsec supports both pre-shared keys (PSKs) and X.509v3 certificates for authentication, the Encapsulating Security Payload (ESP), Internet Security Association and Key Management Protocol (ISAKMP), Internet Key Exchange version 1 (IKEv1) protocol, and the following cryptographic algorithms: Diffie-Hellman (DH), Digital Signature Algorithm (DSA), Rivest-Shamir-Adleman (RSA), Advanced Encryption Standard-Cipher Block Chaining (AES-CBC), Advanced Encryption Standard-Electronic Code Book (AES-ECB), Secure Hash Algorithm-based (SHA-based) Hashed Message Authentication Codes (HMACs), Public-Key Cryptography Standards (PKCS) #1 v1.5 signature generation and verification, and counter mode deterministic random bit generator using AES (CTR\_DRBG(AES)).

It supports multiple DH groups, transport mode, and uses Main Mode for Phase 1 exchanges in IKEv1. The IKEv1 uses the DH ephemeral (dhEphem) scheme to implement the key agreement scheme finite field cryptography (KAS FFC) algorithm when establishing a protected communication channel. DSA key generation is a prerequisite for KAS FFC when using DH ephemeral. The IKEv1 uses imported RSA-based X.509v3 certificates to authenticate the connections. The RSA authentication is accomplished using the IKEv1 digital signature authentication method.

### 1.5.3.2.2 Drive-lock Password

For secure storage, all TOE models contain one field-replaceable, nonvolatile storage device. This storage device is a disk-based, self-encrypting drive (SED).

The SED in the TOE uses the 256-bit "drive-lock password" as the border encryption value (BEV), which is used to unlock the data on the drive. The BEV is generated by the TOE using a CTR\_DRBG(AES-256) algorithm and is stored as a key chain of one in non-field replaceable nonvolatile storage (SPI flash and EEPROM) located inside the TOE. The CTR\_DRBG(AES-256) uses the Advanced Encryption Standard-Counter (AES-CTR) algorithm.

### **1.5.3.2.3** Digital Signatures for Trusted Update

The TOE uses digital signatures based on the RSA 2048-bit algorithm, SHA2-256 algorithm, and PKCS#1 v1.5 to verify the authenticity of the signed update images. The TOE's EWS interface allows an administrator to verify and install the signed update images.

### 1.5.3.2.4 Digital Signatures for TSF Testing

The TOE uses digital signatures as part of its TSF testing functionality. This is described in Section 1.5.3.7.

### 1.5.3.2.5 Cryptographic Implementations/Modules

The TOE uses multiple cryptographic implementations to accomplish its cryptographic functions. Table 4 provides the complete list of cryptographic implementations used to satisfy the [HCDPP] cryptographic requirements and maps the cryptographic implementations to the firmware modules.

The System firmware module contains two cryptographic implementations. All System firmware module versions use the same two cryptographic implementations; therefore, the same Cryptographic Algorithm Validation Program (CAVP) certificates for these two cryptographic implementations are valid for all System firmware module versions claimed in this ST.

The Jetdirect Inside firmware module also contains two cryptographic implementations. Only one version of the Jetdirect Inside firmware is used by the TOE; therefore, only one set of CAVP certificates for each cryptographic implementation in this module is claimed by this ST.

Firmware module	Cryptographic implementation	Usage
Jetdirect Inside firmware	HP FutureSmart OpenSSL FIPS Object Module 2.0.4	Drive-lock password (BEV) generation
	HP FutureSmart QuickSec 5.1	IPsec
System firmware	HP FutureSmart Windows Mobile Enhanced Cryptographic Provider (RSAENH) 6.00.1937	TSF testing
	HP FutureSmart Rebex Total Pack 2017 R1 2470159	Trusted update

 Table 4: TOE cryptographic implementations

The field-replaceable SED also contains a cryptographic implementation within the drive called the "Seagate Secure® TCG Opal SSC Self-Encrypting Drive." This implementation is based on the Trusted Computing Group's (TCG) Opal Security Subsystem Class (SSC) specification. This implementation has been separately CC certified by the SED's manufacturer. The cryptographic algorithms in this implementation are not claimed in this ST.

To prevent confusion with the new SHA3 standard, this ST replaces all occurrences of SHA-256, SHA-384, and SHA-512 with SHA2-256, SHA2-384, and SHA2-512, respectively.

### **1.5.3.3** Identification, Authentication, and Authorization to Use HCD Functions

Table 5 shows the Internal and External Authentication mechanisms supported by the TOE in the evaluated configuration and maps the mechanisms to the interfaces that use them.

The following is a list of terms used in this ST.

#### Control Panel user

A user of the Control Panel UI.

#### EWS user

A user of the EWS interface, usually via a web browser.

#### **REST** user

A user of the REST network interface.

Table 5: TOE authentication mechanisms and their supported interfaces	itication mechanisms and their supported inf	erfaces
---	--	---------

Authentication type	Mechanism name	Supported interfaces
Internal Authentication	Local Device Sign In	Control Panel, EWS, REST
External Authentication	LDAP Sign In	Control Panel, EWS
	Windows Sign In	Control Panel, EWS, REST

#### **1.5.3.3.1** Internal Authentication

### 1.5.3.3.1.1 Local Device Sign In

The Local Device Sign In method uses an internal user account database to authenticate users. The user accounts contain the following user attributes used for identification and authentication (I&A).

- Display name
- Password

Although this method supports multiple accounts, only the built-in Device Administrator account (U.ADMIN) is to be used with this method in the evaluated configuration. The administrator must not create any Local Device Sign In accounts.

### 1.5.3.3.2 External Authentication

#### 1.5.3.3.2.1 LDAP Sign In

The LDAP Sign In method supports the use of an LDAP server as an External Authentication mechanism. This method uses the LDAP bind request to authenticate users. The bind request requires the user to provide a username and password that matches a valid user account defined in the LDAP server for the bind request to be successful.

#### 1.5.3.3.2.2 Windows Sign In

The Windows Sign In method supports the user of a Windows Domain server as an External Authentication mechanism. The user must provide a valid Windows Domain username and password to be successfully logged in to the TOE. This method is based on the Kerberos network protocol.

#### 1.5.3.3.3 Control Panel I&A

The HCD has a Control Panel that allows a user to physically walk up to the HCD and select a function (e.g., email) to be performed. The Control Panel supports the following Internal Authentication mechanism.

• Local Device Sign In

Only the Device Administrator account, which is a U.ADMIN account, is available for log in through the Local Device Sign In method in the evaluated configuration. The user must select this account name and then enter the Device Administrator's password in order to gain access. The Device Administrator's account name is generically known as a Display name.

The Control Panel supports the following External Authentication mechanisms.

- LDAP Sign In
- Windows Sign In

Non-administrative users (U.NORMAL) as well as administrators can log in to the HCD through the Control Panel using these External Authentication mechanisms.

The Control Panel allows a handful of actions (e.g., change the language, obtain help, select an authentication mechanism) to be performed prior to identifying and authenticating a user.

The Control Panel uses permission sets (PSs) to determine user roles. The Internal Authentication mechanism has one PS per user. The External Authentication mechanisms have one PS per authentication method, zero or one PS per user, and zero or one PS per network group to which the user belongs. For additional details on the permission sets, see the TOE Summary Specification (TSS) for FMT\_SMR.1.

When users sign in through the Control Panel, a user's session permission bits are calculated based on several factors and then bound to the user's session. For additional details on the permission bit calculations, see the TSS for FIA\_USB.1.

The Control Panel also supports an administratively configurable inactive session termination timeout.

#### 1.5.3.3.4 Network Interface I&A

The EWS and REST interfaces are network protocols protected by IPsec. The EWS and REST interfaces support one or more authentication mechanisms. These interfaces perform their I&A after the IPsec connection has been established.

#### 1.5.3.3.4.1 EWS I&A

The EWS interface is an administrative-only interface that supports the following authentication mechanisms.

- Internal Authentication mechanism
  - o Local Device Sign In
- External Authentication mechanisms
  - LDAP Sign In
  - Windows Sign In

The EWS interface allows the administrator to select the authentication mechanism (a.k.a. sign-in method) prior to identifying and authenticating the user.

The EWS interface uses PSs to determine user roles. A user logging in to the EWS interface must have administrative privileges in order to successfully log in. The Internal Authentication mechanism has one PS per user. The External Authentication mechanisms have one PS per authentication method, zero or one PS per user, and zero

or one PS per network group to which the user belongs. For additional details on the permission sets, see the TSS for FMT\_SMR.1.

When users sign in through the EWS interface, a user's session permission bits are calculated based on several factors and then bound to the user's session. For additional details on the permission bit calculations, see the TSS for FIA\_USB.1.

The EWS interface also supports an administratively configurable inactive session termination timeout.

### 1.5.3.3.4.2 REST I&A

The REST interface is an administrative-only interface that supports the following authentication mechanism.

- Internal Authentication mechanism
  - Local Device Sign In
- External Authentication mechanism
  - o Windows Sign In

The TOE allows the following TSF-medicated actions prior to the REST I&A:

- Discover a subset of the Web Services
- Obtain X.509v3 certificate associated with the print engine
- Obtain configuration settings of the print engine
- Obtain list of installed licenses
- Install a digitally signed license
- Delete a license (if the license in the payload of the request is digitally signed)
- Obtain Web Services registration status

#### 1.5.3.3.5 Authentication Failure Handling and Authentication Feedback

The following interfaces support authentication failure handling when using Internal Authentication mechanisms.

- Control Panel
- EWS
- REST

The following user interfaces support protected authentication feedback (i.e., the masking of passwords when being entered during authentication).

- Control Panel
- EWS

### **1.5.3.4** Access Control

The TOE enforces access control on TSF data and User Data. Each piece of User Data is assigned ownership and access to the data is limited by the access control mechanism. The PSs used to define roles also affect the access control of each user. The access control mechanism for User Data is explained in more detail in the TSS for FDP\_ACF.1.

The TOE contains one field-replaceable, nonvolatile storage device. This storage device is a disk-based SED whose cryptographic functions have been CC certified. Together with the drive-lock password, the SED ensures that TSF Data and User Data on the drive is not stored as plaintext.

### 1.5.3.5 Image Overwrite

The TOE also supports the optional Image Overwrite function (O.IMAGE\_OVERWRITE) defined in [HCDPP]. [HCDPP] limits the scope of this function to a field-replaceable nonvolatile storage device.

The TOE refers to the image overwrite feature as "Managing Temporary Job Files." Although the TOE displays three options for image overwrite, in the evaluated configuration the administrator must select one of the following two options, both of which completely overwrite the user document data (i.e., file).

- Secure Fast Erase (overwrite 1 time)
- Secure Sanitize Erase (overwrite 3 times)

### **1.5.3.6 Trusted Communications**

The TOE uses IPsec to protect the communications between the TOE and trusted IT entities as well as between the TOE and the Administrative Computer. IPsec provides assured identification of the endpoints. It implements IKEv1 and transport mode. The TOE also supports both X.509v3 certificates and pre-shared keys (PSKs) for endpoint authentication. For additional details on the TOE's IPsec features, see the TSS for FCS\_IPSEC\_EXT.1.

### 1.5.3.7 Administrative Roles

The TOE supports administrative and non-administrative roles. Assignment to these roles is controlled by the TOE's administrator. In the case of a user authenticated using an External Authentication mechanism (Windows Sign In and LDAP Sign In), the roles are implemented as permission sets. In the case of a user authenticated using an Internal Authentication mechanism (Local Device Sign In), only an administrative account exists.

In addition, the TOE provides security management capabilities for TOE functions, TSF data, and security attributes as defined by this ST.

### 1.5.3.8 Trusted Operation

TOE updates can be downloaded from the HP Inc. website. These updates are digitally signed by HP Inc. using the RSA 2048-bit algorithm, SHA2-256 algorithm, and PKCS#1 v1.5 signature generation. The TOE's EWS interface allows an administrator to install the update images. When installing an update image, the TOE validates the digital signature of the update image before installing the update image. For additional details, see the TSS for FPT\_TUD\_EXT.1.

The TOE contains TSF testing functionality referred to as Whitelisting to help ensure only authentic, known-good firmware files that have not been tampered with are loaded into memory. Whitelisting uses digital signatures based on the RSA 2048-bit algorithm, SHA2-256 algorithm, and PKCS#1 v1.5 to validate the firmware files. For additional details, see the TSS for FPT\_TST\_EXT.1.

## **1.5.4 TOE Boundaries**

### 1.5.4.1 Physical Boundary

The physical boundary of the TOE is the physical boundary of the HCD product. Options and add-ons that are not security relevant are not part of the evaluation but can be added to the TOE without any security implications.

Optional wireless add-ons are excluded from the TOE and are not part of the evaluation. Built-in wireless capabilities are disabled in the evaluated configuration.

The firmware, [CCECG], and other supporting files are packaged in a single ZIP file (i.e., a file in ZIP archive file format). This ZIP file is available for download from the HP Inc. website. The firmware is packaged in this ZIP file as a single firmware bundle file. This firmware bundle contains two firmware modules.

- System firmware
- Jetdirect Inside firmware

The evaluated firmware module versions are provided in Table 1.

As seen in Table 1, there are multiple System firmware versions. Notice the first set of digits in the System firmware versions are all the same, but the second set varies. The first set of digits represents the version of the OS and other code that implement the security functions of the TOE. The second set of digits represents the drivers used to control the physical features—paper trays, document feeders, and output bins—of the TOE. Because different sets of models do not contain the exact same set of physical features, the second set of digits differs.

The consumer receives the hardware independent of the ZIP file. The evaluated hardware models, which are defined in Table 1, are either already on the consumer's premises or must be obtained from HP Inc.

### 1.5.4.2 Logical Boundary

The security functionality provided by the TOE has been listed at the end of Section 1.5.3.

### **1.5.4.3 Evaluated Configuration**

The following items will need to be adhered to in the evaluated configuration.

- HP Digital Sending Software (DSS) must be disabled.
- Only one Administrative Computer is used to manage the TOE.
- Third-party solutions must not be installed on the TOE.
- Device USB must be disabled.
- Host USB plug and play must be disabled.
- Jetdirect Inside management via telnet and FTP must be disabled.
- HP Jetdirect XML Services must be disabled.
- Only X.509v3 certificates and pre-shared key are supported methods for IPsec authentication (IPsec authentication using Kerberos is not supported).
- IPsec Authentication Headers (AH) must be disabled.
- Control Panel Mandatory Sign-in must be enabled (this disables the Guest role).
- SNMP must be disabled.

- The Service PIN, used by a customer support engineer to access functions available to support personnel, must be disabled.
- Wireless functionality must be disabled:
  - Near Field Communication (NFC) must be disabled.
  - Bluetooth Low Energy (BLE) must be disabled.
  - Wireless Direct Print must be disabled.
  - Wireless station must be disabled.
- When using Windows Sign In, the Windows domain must reject Microsoft NT LAN Manager (NTLM) connections.
- Remote Control-Panel use is disallowed.
- Local Device Sign In accounts must not be created (i.e., only the built-in Device Administrator account is allowed as a Local Device Sign In account).
- Access must be blocked to the following Web Services (WS) using Jetdirect Inside's IPsec/Firewall:
  - Open Extensibility Platform device (OXPd) Web Services
  - WS\* Web Services
- Device Administrator Password must be set.
- Remote Configuration Password must not be set.
- OAUTH2 use is disallowed.
- SNMP over HTTP use is disallowed.
- HP Workpath Platform must be disabled.
- Licenses must not be installed to enable features beyond what is supported in the evaluated configuration.
- Internet Fax and LAN Fax must be disabled.
- Firmware updates through REST Web Services is disallowed.
- Remote User Auto Capture must be disabled.
- Smart Cloud Print must be disabled.

# 2 CC Conformance Claim

This Security Target is CC Part 2 extended and CC Part 3 conformant.

This Security Target claims conformance to the following Protection Profiles and PP packages:

- [HCDPP]: Protection Profile for Hardcopy Devices; IPA, NIAP, and the MFP Technical Community. Version 1.0 as of 2015-09-10; exact conformance.
- [HCDPP-ERRATA]: Protection Profile for Hardcopy Devices v1.0, Errata #1, June 2017. Version 1.0 as of 2017-06; exact conformance.

Common Criteria [CC] version 3.1 revision 5 is the basis for this conformance claim.

## 2.1 Protection Profile Tailoring and Additions

## 2.1.1 Protection Profile for Hardcopy Devices; IPA, NIAP, and the MFP Technical Community ([HCDPP])

Table 6 contains the NIAP Technical Decisions (TDs) for this protection profile at the time of the evaluation and a statement of applicability to the evaluation.

NIAP TD	TD description	Applicability	TD reference
TD0157	FCS_IPSEC_EXT.1.1 - Testing SPDs	Applicable. The TOE includes IPsec.	[CCEVS-TD0157]
TD0176	FDP_DSK_EXT.1.2 - SED Testing	Applicable. The TOE includes a field- replaceable SED.	[CCEVS-TD0176]
TD0219	NIAP Endorsement of Errata for HCD PP v1.0	Applicable.	[CCEVS-TD0219]
TD0253	Assurance Activities for Key Transport	Not applicable. FCS_COP.1(i) is not claimed.	[CCEVS-TD0253]
TD0261	Destruction of CSPs in flash	Applicable. The TOE stores one or more keys in flash memory.	[CCEVS-TD0261]
TD0299	Update to FCS_CKM.4 Assurance Activities	Not applicable. The "a new value of a key of the same size" is not selected in FCS_CKM.4.	[CCEVS-TD0299]
TD0393	Require FTP_TRP.1(b) only for printing	Applicable. Because the TOE is a scan- only device that does not have a remote, non-administrative interface, FTP_TRP.1(b) is not claimed.	[CCEVS-TD0393]
TD0474	Removal of Mandatory Cipher Suite in FCS_TLS_EXT.1	Not applicable. FCS_TLS_EXT.1 is not claimed.	[CCEVS-TD0474]

Table 6: NIAP TDs

NIAP TD	TD description	Applicability	TD reference
TD0494	Removal of Mandatory SSH Ciphersuite for HCD	Not applicable. FCS_SSH_EXT.1.7 is not claimed.	[CCEVS-TD0494]
TD0562	Test activity for Public Key Algorithms	Not applicable. FCS_SSH_EXT.1.5 is not claimed.	[CCEVS-TD0562]
TD0642	FCS_CKM.1.1(a) and FCS_COP.1.1(b) Requirements in HCD PP v1.0	Applicable.	[CCEVS-TD0642]

# **3** Security Problem Definition

## **3.1 Threat Environment**

The Security Problem Definition (SPD) is delivered into two parts. This first part describes Assets, Threats, and Organizational Security Policies, in narrative form. [Brackets] indicate a reference to the second part, formal definitions of Users, Assets, Threats, Organizational Security Policies, and Assumptions, which appear in Appendix A of [HCDPP].

### Users

A conforming TOE must define at least the following two User roles:

- 1. Normal Users [U.NORMAL] who are identified and authenticated and do not have an administrative role.
- 2. Administrators [U.ADMIN] who are identified and authenticated and have an administrative role.

A conforming TOE may allow additional roles, sub-roles, or groups. In particular, a conforming TOE may allow several administrative roles that have authority to administer different aspects of the TOE.

### Assets

For a User's perspective, the primary Asset to be protected in a TOE is User Document Data [D.USER.DOC]. A User's job instructions, User Job Data [D.USER.JOB] (information related to a User's Document or Document Processing Job), may also be protected if their compromise impacts the protection of User Document Data. Together, User Document Data and User Job Data are considered to be User Data.

From an Administrator's perspective, the primary Asset to be protected in a TOE is data that is used to configure and monitor the secure operation of the TOE. This kind of data is considered to be TOE Security Functionality (TSF) Data.

There are two broad categories for this kind of data:

- 1. Protected TSF Data, which may be read by any User but must be protected from unauthorized modification and deletion [D.TSF.PROT]; and,
- 2. Confidential TSF Data, which may neither be read nor modified or deleted except by authorized Users [D.TSF.CONF].

## **3.1.1** Threats Countered by the TOE

Tuble 7. Threads connected by the TOL	
Threat	Description
T.UNAUTHORIZED_ACCESS	An attacker may access (read, modify, or delete) User Document Data or change (modify or delete) User Job Data in the TOE through one of the TOE's interfaces.
T.TSF_COMPROMISE	An attacker may gain Unauthorized Access to TSF Data in the TOE through one of the TOE's interfaces.

### Table 7: Threats countered by the TOE

Threat	Description
T.TSF_FAILURE	A malfunction of the TSF may cause loss of security if the TOE is permitted to operate while in a degraded state.
T.UNAUTHORIZED_UPDATE	An attacker may cause the installation of unauthorized software on the TOE.
T.NET_COMPROMISE	An attacker may access data in transit or otherwise compromise the security of the TOE by monitoring or manipulating network communication.

## **3.2** Assumptions

## **3.2.1** Environment of Use of the TOE

### 3.2.1.1 Physical

### **Table 8: Physical assumptions**

Assumption	Description
A.PHYSICAL	Physical security, commensurate with the value of the TOE and the data it stores or processes, is assumed to be provided by the environment.

### 3.2.1.2 Personnel

### Table 9: Personnel assumptions

Assumption	Description
A.TRUSTED_ADMIN	TOE Administrators are trusted to administer the TOE according to site security policies.
A.TRAINED_USERS	Authorized Users are trained to use the TOE according to site security policies.

### 3.2.1.3 Connectivity

### **Table 10: Connectivity assumptions**

Assumption	Description
A.NETWORK	The Operational Environment is assumed to protect the TOE from direct, public access to its LAN interface.

# **3.3 Organizational Security Policies**

Organizational security policy	Description
P.AUTHORIZATION	Users must be authorized before performing Document Processing and administrative functions.
P.AUDIT	Security-relevant activities must be audited and the log of such actions must be protected and transmitted to an External IT Entity.
P.COMMS_PROTECTION	The TOE must be able to identify itself to other devices on the LAN.
P.STORAGE_ENCRYPTION	If the TOE stores User Document Data or Confidential TSF Data on Field-Replaceable Nonvolatile Storage Devices, it will encrypt such data on those devices.
P.KEY_MATERIAL	Cleartext keys, submasks, random numbers, or any other values that contribute to the creation of encryption keys for Field-Replaceable Nonvolatile Storage of User Document Data or Confidential TSF Data must be protected from unauthorized access and must not be stored on that storage device.
P.IMAGE_OVERWRITE	Upon completion or cancellation of a Document Processing job, the TOE shall overwrite residual image data from its Field-Replaceable Nonvolatile Storage Device.

 Table 11: Organizational security policies

# 4 Security Objectives

# 4.1 Objectives for the TOE

Security objective	Description
O.USER_I&A	The TOE shall perform identification and authentication of Users for operations that require access control, User authorization, or Administrator roles.
O.ACCESS_CONTROL	The TOE shall enforce access controls to protect User Data and TSF Data in accordance with security policies.
O.USER_AUTHORIZATION	The TOE shall perform authorization of Users in accordance with security policies.
O.ADMIN_ROLES	The TOE shall ensure that only authorized Administrators are permitted to perform administrator functions.
O.UPDATE_VERIFICATION	The TOE shall provide mechanisms to verify the authenticity of software updates.
O.TSF_SELF_TEST	The TOE shall test some subset of its security functionality to help ensure that subset is operating properly.
O.COMMS_PROTECTION	The TOE shall have the capability to protect LAN communications of User Data and TSF Data from Unauthorized Access, replay, and source/destination spoofing.
O.AUDIT	The TOE shall generate audit data, and be capable of sending it to a trusted External IT Entity. Optionally, it may store audit data in the TOE.
O.STORAGE_ENCRYPTION	If the TOE stores User Document Data or Confidential TSF Data in Field-Replaceable Nonvolatile Storage devices, then the TOE shall encrypt such data on those devices.
O.KEY_MATERIAL	The TOE shall protect from unauthorized access any cleartext keys, submasks, random numbers, or other values that contribute to the creation of encryption keys for storage of User Document Data or Confidential TSF Data in Field-Replaceable Nonvolatile Storage Devices; The TOE shall ensure that such key material is not stored in cleartext on the storage device that uses that material.
O.IMAGE_OVERWRITE	Upon completion or cancellation of a Document Processing job, the TOE shall overwrite residual image data from its Field-Replaceable Nonvolatile Storage Devices.

### Table 12: Security objectives for the TOE

## **4.2** Objectives for the Operational Environment

Security objective	Description
OE.PHYSICAL_PROTECTION	The Operational Environment shall provide physical security, commensurate with the value of the TOE and the data it stores or processes.
OE.NETWORK_PROTECTION	The Operational Environment shall provide network security to protect the TOE from direct, public access to its LAN interface.
OE.ADMIN_TRUST	The TOE Owner shall establish trust that Administrators will not use their privileges for malicious purposes.
OE.USER_TRAINING	The TOE Owner shall ensure that Users are aware of site security policies and have the competence to follow them.
OE.ADMIN_TRAINING	The TOE Owner shall ensure that Administrators are aware of site security policies and have the competence to use manufacturer's guidance to correctly configure the TOE and protect passwords and keys accordingly.

Table 13: Security objectives for the operational environment

## 4.3 Security Objectives Rationale

### 4.3.1 Coverage

The following table provides a mapping of TOE objectives to threats and policies, showing that each objective counters or enforces at least one threat or policy, respectively.

Objective	Threats/OSPs
O.USER_I&A	T.UNAUTHORIZED_ACCESS T.TSF_COMPROMISE P.AUTHORIZATION
O.ACCESS_CONTROL	T.UNAUTHORIZED_ACCESS T.TSF_COMPROMISE P.AUDIT
O.USER_AUTHORIZATION	P.AUTHORIZATION P.AUDIT
O.ADMIN_ROLES	T.UNAUTHORIZED_ACCESS T.TSF_COMPROMISE P.AUTHORIZATION
O.UPDATE_VERIFICATION	T.UNAUTHORIZED_UPDATE

 Table 14: Mapping of security objectives to threats and policies

Objective	Threats/OSPs
O.TSF_SELF_TEST	T.TSF_FAILURE
O.COMMS_PROTECTION	T.NET_COMPROMISE P.COMMS_PROTECTION
O.AUDIT	P.AUDIT
O.STORAGE_ENCRYPTION	P.STORAGE_ENCRYPTION
O.KEY_MATERIAL	P.KEY_MATERIAL
O.IMAGE_OVERWRITE	P.IMAGE_OVERWRITE

The following table provides a mapping of the objectives for the Operational Environment to assumptions, threats and policies, showing that each objective holds, counters or enforces at least one assumption, threat or policy, respectively.

Table 15:	Mapping of	of security	objecti	ives for	the (	Operational

Objective	Assumptions/Threats/OSPs
OE.PHYSICAL_PROTECTION	A.PHYSICAL
OE.NETWORK_PROTECTION	A.NETWORK
OE.ADMIN_TRUST	A.TRUSTED_ADMIN
OE.USER_TRAINING	A.TRAINED_USERS
OE.ADMIN_TRAINING	A.TRAINED_USERS

### Environment to assumptions, threats and policies

## 4.3.2 Sufficiency

The following rationale provides justification that the security objectives are suitable to counter each individual threat and that each security objective tracing back to a threat, when achieved, actually contributes to the removal, diminishing or mitigation of that threat.

Threat	Rationale for security objectives
T.UNAUTHORIZED_ACCESS	<ul> <li>O.ACCESS_CONTROL restricts access to User Data in the TOE to authorized Users.</li> <li>O.USER_I&amp;A provides the basis for access control.</li> <li>O.ADMIN_ROLES restricts the ability to authorize Users and set access controls to authorized Administrators.</li> </ul>
T.TSF_COMPROMISE	O.ACCESS_CONTROL restricts access to User Data in the TOE to authorized Users.

Table 16: Sufficiency of objectives countering threats

Threat	Rationale for security objectives
	O.USER_I&A provides the basis for access control. O.ADMIN_ROLES restricts the ability to authorize Users and set access controls to authorized Administrators.
T.TSF_FAILURE	O.TSF_SELF_TEST prevents the TOE from operating if a malfunction is detected.
T.UNAUTHORIZED_UPDATE	O.UPDATE_VERIFICATION verifies the authenticity of software updates.
T.NET_COMPROMISE	O.COMMS_PROTECTION protects LAN communications from sniffing, replay, and man-in-the-middle attacks.

The following rationale provides justification that the security objectives for the environment are suitable to cover each individual assumption, that each security objective for the environment that traces back to an assumption about the environment of use of the TOE, when achieved, actually contributes to the environment achieving consistency with the assumption, and that if all security objectives for the environment that trace back to an assumption are achieved, the intended usage is supported.

Assumption	Rationale for security objectives
A.PHYSICAL	OE.PHYSICAL_PROTECTION establishes a protected physical environment for the TOE.
A.TRUSTED_ADMIN	OE.ADMIN_TRUST establishes responsibility of the TOE Owner to have a trusted relationship with Administrators.
A.TRAINED_USERS	OE.ADMIN_TRAINING establishes responsibility of the TOE Owner to provide appropriate training for Administrators. OE.USER_TRAINING establishes responsibility of the TOE Owner to provide appropriate training for Users.
A.NETWORK	OE.NETWORK_PROTECTION establishes a protected LAN environment for the TOE.

Table 17: Sufficiency of objectives holding assumptions

The following rationale provides justification that the security objectives are suitable to cover each individual organizational security policy (OSP), that each security objective that traces back to an OSP, when achieved, actually contributes to the implementation of the OSP, and that if all security objectives that trace back to an OSP are achieved, the OSP is implemented.

OSP	Rationale for security objectives
P.AUTHORIZATION	O.USER_AUTHORIZATION restricts the ability to perform Document Processing and administrative functions to authorized Users. O.USER_I&A provides the basis for authorization.

OSP	Rationale for security objectives
	O.ADMIN_ROLES restricts the ability to authorize Users to authorized Administrators.
P.AUDIT	<ul> <li>O.AUDIT requires the generation of audit data.</li> <li>O.ACCESS_CONTROL restricts access to audit data in the TOE to authorized Users.</li> <li>O.USER_AUTHORIZATION provides the basis for authorization.</li> </ul>
P.COMMS_PROTECTION	O.COMMS_PROTECTION protects LAN communications from man-in-the-middle attacks.
P.STORAGE_ENCRYPTION	O.STORAGE_ENCRYPTION protects User Document Data and Confidential TSF Data stored in Field-Replaceable Nonvolatile Storage Devices from exposure if a device has been removed from the TOE and its Operational Environment.
P.KEY_MATERIAL	O.KEY_MATERIAL protects keys and key materials from unauthorized access and ensures that they any key materials are not stored in cleartext on the device that uses those materials for its own encryption.
P.IMAGE_OVERWRITE	O.IMAGE_OVERWRITE overwrites residual image data from Field- Replaceable Nonvolatile Storage Devices after Document Processing jobs are completed or cancelled.

# **5** Extended Components Definition

All the extended components definitions in this section are from [HCDPP]. Only the [HCDPP] extended components definitions used by this ST are listed in this section.

## 5.1 Class FAU: Security Audit

## 5.1.1 Extended: External Audit Trail Storage (FAU\_STG)

## Family behaviour

This family defines requirements for the TSF to ensure that secure transmission of audit data from TOE to an External IT Entity.

## Component leveling

FAU\_STG\_EXT.1.1 The TSF shall be able to transmit the generated audit data to an External IT Entity using a trusted channel according to FTP\_ITC.1.

## Management: FAU\_STG\_EXT.1

The following actions could be considered for the management functions in FMT:

a) The TSF shall have the ability to configure the cryptographic functionality.

## Audit: FAU\_STG\_EXT.1

There are no audit events foreseen.

### 5.1.1.1 FAU\_STG\_EXT.1 - Extended: Protected Audit Trail Storage

Hierarchical to:	No other components
Dependencies:	FAU_GEN.1 Audit data generation FTP_ITC.1 Inter-TSF trusted channel
FAU_STG_EXT.1.1	The TSF shall be able to transmit the generated audit data to an External IT Entity using a trusted channel according to FTP_ITC.1.
Rationale	The TSF is required that the transmission of generated audit data to an External IT Entity which relies on a non-TOE audit server for storage and review of audit records. The storage of these audit records and the ability to allow the administrator to review these audit records is provided by the Operational Environment in that case. The Common Criteria does not provide a suitable SFR for the transmission of audit data to an External IT Entity.
	This extended component protects the audit records, and it is therefore placed in the FAU class with a single component.

## **5.2 Class FCS: Cryptographic Support**

## 5.2.1 Cryptographic Key Management (FCS\_CKM)

## Family behaviour

This family addresses the management aspects of cryptographic keys. Especially, this extended component is intended for cryptographic key destruction.

## Component leveling

FCS\_CKM\_EXT.4 Cryptographic Key Material Destruction ensures not only keys but also key materials that are no longer needed are destroyed by using an approved method.

## Management: FCS\_CKM\_EXT.4

There are no management activities foreseen.

## Audit: FCS\_CKM\_EXT.4

There are no audit events foreseen.

### 5.2.1.1 FCS\_CKM\_EXT.4 - Extended: Cryptographic Key Material Destruction

Hierarchical to:	No other components
Dependencies:	FCS_CKM.1 Cryptographic key generation FCS_CKM.4 Cryptographic key destruction
FCS_CKM_EXT.4.1	The TSF shall destroy all plaintext secret and private cryptographic keys and cryptographic critical security parameters when no longer needed.
Rationale	Cryptographic Key Material Destruction is to ensure the keys and key materials that are no longer needed are destroyed by using an approved method, and the Common Criteria does not provide a suitable SFR for the Cryptographic Key Material Destruction.
	This extended component protects the cryptographic key and key materials against exposure, and it is therefore placed in the FCS class with a single component.

## 5.2.2 Extended: IPsec selected (FCS\_IPSEC)

## Family behaviour

This family addresses requirements for protecting communications using IPsec.

## Component leveling

FCS\_IPSEC\_EXT.1 IPsec requires that IPsec be implemented as specified.

# Management: FCS\_IPSEC\_EXT.1

There are no management activities foreseen.

# Audit: FCS\_IPSEC\_EXT.1

The following actions should be auditable if FAU\_GEN Security audit data generation is included in the PP/ST:

a) Minimal: Failure to establish an IPsec SA.

#### 5.2.2.1 FCS\_IPSEC\_EXT.1 – Extended: IPsec selected

Hierarchical to: No other components

Dependencies: FIA\_PSK\_EXT.1 Extended: Pre-Shared Key Composition FCS\_CKM.1 Cryptographic key generation FCS\_COP.1 Cryptographic operation FCS\_RBG\_EXT.1 Extended: Random Bit Generation

FCS\_IPSEC\_EXT.1.1 The TSF shall implement the IPsec architecture as specified in RFC 4301.

- FCS\_IPSEC\_EXT.1.2 The TSF shall implement [selection: tunnel mode, transport mode].
- FCS\_IPSEC\_EXT.1.3 The TSF shall have a nominal, final entry in the SPD that matches anything that is otherwise unmatched, and discards it.
- FCS\_IPSEC\_EXT.1.4The TSF shall implement the IPsec protocol ESP as defined by RFC 4303 using<br/>[selection: the cryptographic algorithms AES-CBC-128 (as specified by RFC 3602)<br/>together with a Secure Hash Algorithm (SHA)-based HMAC, AES-CBC-256 (as<br/>specified by RFC 3602) together with a Secure Hash Algorithm (SHA)-based<br/>HMAC, AES-GCM-128 as specified in RFC 4106, AES-GCM-256 as specified in<br/>RFC 4106].
- FCS\_IPSEC\_EXT.1.5The TSF shall implement the protocol: [selection: IKEv1, using Main Mode for Phase<br/>1 exchanges, as defined in RFCs 2407, 2408, 2409, RFC 4109, [selection: no other<br/>RFCs for extended sequence numbers, RFC 4304 for extended sequence numbers]<br/>and [selection: no other RFCs for hash functions, RFC 4868 for hash functions],<br/>IKEv2 as defined in RFCs 5996 [selection: with no support for NAT traversal, with<br/>mandatory support for NAT traversal as specified in section 2.23] and [selection: no<br/>other RFCs for hash functions, RFC 4868 for hash functions]].
- FCS\_IPSEC\_EXT.1.6 The TSF shall ensure the encrypted payload in the [selection: IKEv1, IKEv2] protocol uses the cryptographic algorithms AES-CBC-128, AES-CBC-256 as specified in RFC 3602 and [selection: AES-GCM-128, AES-GCM-256 as specified in RFC 5282, no other algorithm].

FCS\_IPSEC\_EXT.1.7 The TSF shall ensure that IKEv1 Phase 1 exchanges use only main mode.

FCS_IPSEC_EXT.1.8	The TSF shall ensure that [selection: <b>IKEv2 SA lifetimes can be established based on</b> [selection: number of packets/number of bytes, length of time, where the time values can be limited to: 24 hours for Phase 1 SAs and 8 hours for Phase 2 SAs], <b>IKEv1 SA</b> lifetimes can be established based on [selection: number of packets/number of bytes, length of time, where the time values can be limited to: 24 hours for Phase 1 SAs and 8 hours for Phase 2 SAs]].
FCS_IPSEC_EXT.1.9	The TSF shall ensure that all IKE protocols implement DH Groups 14 (2048-bit MODP), and [selection: 24 (2048-bit MODP with 256-bit POS), 19 (256-bit Random ECP), 20 (384-bit Random ECP, 5 (1536-bit MODP)), [assignment: other DH groups that are implemented by the TOE], no other DH groups].
FCS_IPSEC_EXT.1.10	The TSF shall ensure that all IKE protocols perform Peer Authentication using the [selection: <b>RSA, ECDSA</b> ] algorithm and Pre-shared Keys

Rationale IPsec is one of the secure communication protocols, and the Common Criteria does not provide a suitable SFR for the communication protocols using cryptographic algorithms.

This extended component protects the communication data using cryptographic algorithms, and it is therefore placed in the FCS class with a single component.

# 5.2.3 Extended: Cryptographic Operation (Key Chaining) (FCS\_KYC)

# Family behaviour

This family provides the specification to be used for using multiple layers of encryption keys to ultimately secure the protected data encrypted on the storage.

# Component leveling

FCS\_KYC\_EXT Key Chaining, requires the TSF to maintain a key chain and specifies the characteristics of that chain.

# Management: FCS\_KYC\_EXT.1

There are no management activities foreseen.

# Audit: FCS\_KYC\_EXT.1

There are no audit events foreseen.

### 5.2.3.1 FCS\_KYC\_EXT.1 – Extended: Key Chaining

Hierarchical to: No other components

Dependencies: [FCS\_COP.1(e) Cryptographic operation (Key Wrapping), FCS\_SMC\_EXT.1 Extended: Submask Combining, FCS\_COP.1(i) Cryptographic operation (Key Transport),

FCS\_KDF\_EXT.1 Cryptographic Operation (Key Derivation), and/or FCS\_COP.1(f) Cryptographic operation (Key Encryption)]

FCS\_KYC\_EXT.1.1The TSF shall maintain a key chain of: [selection: one, using a submask as the BEV or<br/>DEK, intermediate keys originating from one or more submask(s) to the BEV or<br/>DEK using the following method(s): [selection: key wrapping as specified in<br/>FCS\_COP.1(e), key combining as specified in FCS\_SMC\_EXT.1, key encryption as<br/>specified in FCS\_COP.1(f), key derivation as specified in FCS\_KDF\_EXT.1, key<br/>transport as specified in FCS\_COP.1(i)]] while maintaining an effective strength of<br/>[selection: 128 bits, 256 bits].

RationaleKey Chaining ensures that the TSF maintains the key chain, and also specifies the<br/>characteristics of that chain. However, the Common Criteria does not provide a suitable<br/>SFR for the management of multiple layers of encryption key to protect encrypted data.

This extended component protects the TSF data using cryptographic algorithms, and it is therefore placed in the FCS class with a single component.

# 5.2.4 Extended: Cryptographic Operation (Random Bit Generation) (FCS\_RBG)

# Family behaviour

This family defines requirements for random bit generation to ensure that it is performed in accordance with selected standards and seeded by an entropy source.

# Component leveling

FCS\_RBG\_EXT.1 Random Bit Generation requires random bit generation to be performed in accordance with selected standards and seeded by an entropy source.

# Management: FCS\_RBG\_EXT.1

There are no management activities foreseen.

# Audit: FCS\_RBG\_EXT.1

There are no audit events foreseen.

### 5.2.4.1 FCS\_RBG\_EXT.1 – Extended: Random Bit Generation

Hierarchical to:	No other components
Dependencies:	No dependencies
FCS_RBG_EXT.1.1	The TSF shall perform all deterministic random bit generation services in accordance with [selection: ISO/IEC 18031:2011, NIST SP 800-90A] using [selection: Hash_DRBG (any), HMAC_DRBG (any), CTR_DRBG (AES)].

# FCS\_RBG\_EXT.1.2 The deterministic RBG shall be seeded by an entropy source that accumulates entropy from [selection: [assignment: number of software-based sources] software-based noise source(s), [assignment: number of hardware-based sources] hardware-based noise source(s)] with a minimum of [selection: 128 bits, 256 bits] of entropy at least equal to the greatest security strength, according to ISO/IEC 18031:2011 Table C.1 "Security strength table for hash functions", of the keys and hashes that it will generate.

RationaleRandom bits/number will be used by the SFRs for key generation and destruction, and the<br/>Common Criteria does not provide a suitable SFR for the random bit generation.

This extended component ensures the strength of encryption keys, and it is therefore placed in the FCS class with a single component.

# 5.3 Class FDP: User Data Protection

# **5.3.1 Extended: Protection of Data on Disk (FDP\_DSK)**

# Family behaviour

This family is to mandate the encryption of all protected data written to the storage.

# Component leveling

FDP\_DSK\_EXT.1 Extended: Protection of Data on Disk, requires the TSF to encrypt all the Confidential TSF and User Data stored on the Field-Replaceable Nonvolatile Storage Devices in order to avoid storing these data in plaintext on the devices.

# Management: FDP\_DSK\_EXT.1

There are no management activities foreseen.

# Audit: FDP\_DSK\_EXT.1

There are no audit events foreseen.

### 5.3.1.1 FDP\_DSK\_EXT.1 – Extended: Protection of Data on Disk

Hierarchical to:	No other components
Dependencies:	FCS_COP.1 Cryptographic operation
FDP_DSK_EXT.1.1	The TSF shall be [selection: <b>perform encryption in accordance with FCS_COP.1(d)</b> , <b>use a self-encrypting Field-Replaceable Nonvolatile Storage Device that is separately</b> <b>CC certified to conform to the FDE EE cPP</b> ] such that any Field-Replaceable Nonvolatile Storage Device contains no plaintext User Document Data and no plaintext confidential TSF Data.
FDP_DSK_EXT.1.2	The TSF shall encrypt all protected data without user intervention.

# Rationale Extended: Protection of Data on Disk is to specify that encryption of any confidential data without user intervention, and the Common Criteria does not provide a suitable SFR for the Protection of Data on Disk.

This extended component protects the Data on Disk, and it is therefore placed in the FDP class with a single component.

# 5.4 Class FIA: Identification and Authentication

# 5.4.1 Extended: Password Management (FIA\_PMG)

# Family behaviour

This family defines requirements for the attributes of passwords used by administrative users to ensure that strong passwords and passphrases can be chosen and maintained.

# Component leveling

FIA\_PMG\_EXT.1 Password management requires the TSF to support passwords with varying composition requirements, minimum lengths, maximum lifetime, and similarity constraints.

# Management: FIA\_PMG\_EXT.1

There are no management activities foreseen.

# Audit: FIA\_PMG\_EXT.1

There are no audit events foreseen.

### 5.4.1.1 FIA\_PMG\_EXT.1 – Extended: Password Management

Hierarchical to:	No other components
Dependencies:	No dependencies
FIA_PMG_EXT.1.1	<ul> <li>The TSF shall provide the following password management capabilities for User passwords:</li> <li>Passwords shall be able to be composed of any combination of upper and lower case letters, numbers, and the following special characters [selection: "!", "@", "#", "\$", "%", "^", "&amp;", "*", "(", ")", [assignment: other characters]]</li> <li>Minimum password length shall be settable by an Administrator, and have the capability to require passwords of 15 characters or greater.</li> </ul>

# Rationale Password Management is to ensure the strong authentication between the endpoints of communication, and the Common Criteria does not provide a suitable SFR for the Password Management.

This extended component protects the TOE by means of password management, and it is therefore placed in the FIA class with a single component.

# 5.4.2 Extended: Pre-Shared Key Composition (FIA\_PSK)

# Family behaviour

This family defines requirements for the TSF to ensure the ability to use pre-shared keys for IPsec.

# Component leveling

FIA\_PSK\_EXT.1 Pre-Shared Key Composition, ensures authenticity and access control for updates.

# Management: FIA\_PSK\_EXT.1

There are no management activities foreseen.

# Audit: FIA\_PSK\_EXT.1

There are no audit events foreseen.

### 5.4.2.1 FIA\_PSK\_EXT.1 – Extended: Pre-Shared Key Composition

Hierarchical to:	No other components
Dependencies:	FCS_RBG_EXT.1 Extended: Random Bit Generation
FIA_PSK_EXT.1.1	The TSF shall be able to use pre-shared keys for IPsec.
FIA_PSK_EXT.1.2	<ul> <li>The TSF shall be able to accept text-based pre-shared keys that are:</li> <li>22 characters in length and [selection: [assignment: other supported lengths], no other lengths]</li> <li>composed of any combination of upper and lower case letters, numbers, and special characters (that include: "!", "@", "#", "\$", "%", "^", "&amp;", "*", "(", and ")").</li> </ul>
FIA_PSK_EXT.1.3	The TSF shall condition the text-based pre-shared keys by using [selection: SHA-1, SHA2-256, SHA2-512, [assignment: method of conditioning text string]] and be able to [selection: use no other pre-shared keys, accept bit-based pre-shared keys, generate bit-based pre-shared keys using the random bit generator specified in FCS_RBG_EXT.1].

# Rationale Pre-shared Key Composition is to ensure the strong authentication between the endpoints of communications, and the Common Criteria does not provide a suitable SFR for the Pre-shared Key Composition.

This extended component protects the TOE by means of strong authentication, and it is therefore placed in the FIA class with a single component.

# 5.5 Class FPT: Protection of the TSF

# 5.5.1 Extended: Protection of Key and Key Material (FPT\_KYP)

# Family behaviour

This family addresses the requirements for keys and key materials to be protected if and when written to nonvolatile storage.

# Component leveling

FPT\_KYP\_EXT.1 Extended: Protection of key and key material, requires the TSF to ensure that no plaintext key or key materials are written to nonvolatile storage.

# Management: FPT\_KYP\_EXT.1

There are no management activities foreseen.

# Audit: FPT\_KYP\_EXT.1

There are no audit events foreseen.

### 5.5.1.1 FPT\_KYP\_EXT.1 – Extended: Protection of Key and Key Material

Hierarchical to:	No other components
Dependencies:	No dependencies
FPT_KYP_EXT.1.1	The TSF shall not store plaintext keys that are part of the keychain specified by FCS_KYC_EXT.1 in any Field-Replaceable Nonvolatile Storage Device, and not store any such plaintext key on a device that uses the key for its encryption.
Rationale	Protection of Key and Key Material is to ensure that no plaintext key or key material are written to nonvolatile storage, and the Common Criteria does not provide a suitable SFR for the protection of key and key material.
	This extended component protects the TSF data, and it is therefore placed in the FPT class with a single component.

# 5.5.2 Extended: Protection of TSF Data (FPT\_SKP)

# Family behaviour

This family addresses the requirements for managing and protecting the TSF data, such as cryptographic keys. This is a new family modelled as the FPT Class.

# Component leveling

FPT\_SKP\_EXT.1 Protection of TSF Data (for reading all symmetric keys), requires preventing symmetric keys from being read by any user or subject. It is the only component of this family.

# Management: FPT\_SKP\_EXT.1

There are no management activities foreseen.

# Audit: FPT\_SKP\_EXT.1

There are no audit events foreseen.

### 5.5.2.1 FPT\_SKP\_EXT.1 – Extended: Protection of TSF Data

Hierarchical to:	No other components
Dependencies:	No dependencies
FPT_SKP_EXT.1.1	The TSF shall prevent reading of all pre-shared keys, symmetric keys, and private keys.
Rationale	Protection of TSF Data is to ensure the pre-shared keys, symmetric keys and private keys are protected securely, and the Common Criteria does not provide a suitable SFR for the protection of such TSF data.
	This extended component protects the TOE by means of strong authentication using Pre- shared Key, and it is therefore placed in the FPT class with a single component.

# 5.5.3 Extended: TSF Testing (FPT\_TST)

# Family behaviour

This family addresses the requirements for self-testing the TSF for selected correct.

# Component leveling

FPT\_TST\_EXT.1 TSF testing requires a suite of self-testing to be run during initial start-up in order to demonstrate correct operation of the TSF.

# Management: FPT\_TST\_EXT.1

There are no management activities foreseen.

# Audit: FPT\_TST\_EXT.1

There are no audit events foreseen.

#### 5.5.3.1 FPT\_TST\_EXT.1 – Extended: TSF Testing

Hierarchical to:	No other components
Dependencies:	No dependencies
FPT_TST_EXT.1.1	The TSF shall run a suite of self-tests during initial start-up (and power on) to demonstrate the correct operation of the TSF.
Rationale	TSF testing is to ensure the TSF can be operated correctly, and the Common Criteria does not provide a suitable SFR for the TSF testing. In particular, there is no SFR defined for TSF testing.
	This extended component protects the TOE, and it is therefore placed in the FPT class with a single component.

# 5.5.4 Extended: Trusted Update (FPT\_TUD)

# Family behaviour

This family defines requirements for the TSF to ensure that only administrators can update the TOE firmware/software, and that such firmware/software is authentic.

# Component leveling

FPT\_TUD\_EXT.1 Trusted Update, ensures authenticity and access control for updates.

# Management: FPT\_TUD\_EXT.1

There are no management activities foreseen.

# Audit: FPT\_TUD\_EXT.1

There are no audit events foreseen.

#### 5.5.4.1 FPT\_TUD\_EXT.1 – Extended: Trusted Update

Hierarchical to:	No other components
Dependencies:	[FCS_COP.1 Cryptographic operation]
FPT_TUD_EXT.1.1	The TSF shall provide authorized administrators the ability to query the current version of the TOE firmware/software.
FPT_TUD_EXT.1.2	The TSF shall provide authorized administrators the ability to initiate updates to TOE firmware/software.

# **FPT\_TUD\_EXT.1.3** The TSF shall provide a means to verify firmware/software updates to the TOE using a digital signature mechanism and [**published hash, no other functions**] prior to installing those updates.

Rationale Firmware/software is a form of TSF Data, and the Common Criteria does not provide a suitable SFR for the management of firmware/software. In particular, there is no SFR defined for importing TSF Data.

This extended component protects the TOE, and it is therefore placed in the FPT class with a single component.

# **6** Security Requirements

# 6.1 TOE Security Functional Requirements

The following table shows the SFRs for the TOE, and the operations performed on the components according to CC part 1: iteration (Iter.), refinement (Ref.), assignment (Ass.) and selection (Sel.).

Security	Security functional requirement	Base security functional component	Source	Operations			
functional group				Iter.	Ref.	Ass.	Sel.
FAU - Security audit	FAU_GEN.1 Audit data generation		HCDPP	No	No	Yes	No
	FAU_GEN.2 User identity association		HCDPP	No	No	No	No
	FAU_STG_EXT.1 Extended: Audit Trail Storage		HCDPP	No	No	No	No
FCS - Cryptographic support	FCS_CKM.1(a) Cryptographic key generation (for asymmetric keys)	FCS_CKM.1	HCDPP	Yes	No	No	Yes
	FCS_CKM.1(b) Cryptographic key generation (Symmetric Keys)	FCS_CKM.1	HCDPP	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
	FCS_CKM_EXT.4 Extended: Cryptographic key material destruction		HCDPP	No	No	No	No
	FCS_CKM.4 Cryptographic key destruction		HCDPP	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
	FCS_COP.1(a) Cryptographic Operation (Symmetric encryption/decryption)	FCS_COP.1	HCDPP	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
	FCS_COP.1(b) Cryptographic Operation (for signature generation/verification)	FCS_COP.1	HCDPP	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
	FCS_COP.1(c) Cryptographic operation (Hash algorithm)	FCS_COP.1	HCDPP	Yes	No	No	Yes
	FCS_COP.1(g) Cryptographic operation (for keyed-hash message authentication)	FCS_COP.1	HCDPP	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Security functional group	Security functional	Security functional Base security requirement functional component	Source	Operations			
	requirement			Iter.	Ref.	Ass.	Sel.
	FCS_IPSEC_EXT.1 Extended: IPsec selected		HCDPP	No	No	Yes	Yes
	FCS_KYC_EXT.1 Extended: Key chaining		HCDPP	No	No	No	Yes
	FCS_RBG_EXT.1 Extended: Cryptographic Operation (Random Bit Generation)		HCDPP	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
FDP - User data protection	FDP_ACC.1 Subset access control		HCDPP	No	No	No	No
	FDP_ACF.1 Security attribute based access control		HCDPP	No	No	Yes	No
	FDP_DSK_EXT.1 Extended: Protection of Data on Disk		HCDPP	No	No	No	Yes
	FDP_RIP.1(a) Subset residual information protection	FDP_RIP.1	HCDPP	Yes	No	No	No
FIA - Identification and	FIA_AFL.1 Authentication failure handling		HCDPP	No	No	Yes	Yes
authentication	FIA_ATD.1 User attribute definition		HCDPP	No	No	Yes	No
	FIA_PMG_EXT.1 Extended: Password Management		HCDPP	No	No	Yes	Yes
	FIA_PSK_EXT.1 Extended: Pre-shared key composition		HCDPP	No	No	Yes	Yes
	FIA_UAU.1 Timing of authentication		HCDPP	No	No	Yes	No
	FIA_UAU.7 Protected authentication feedback		HCDPP	No	No	Yes	No
	FIA_UID.1 Timing of identification		HCDPP	No	No	Yes	No
	FIA_USB.1 User-subject binding		HCDPP	No	No	Yes	No

Security	Security functional	Base security	Source	Operations			
functional group	requirement	functional component		Iter.	Ref.	Ass.	Sel.
FMT - Security management	FMT_MOF.1 Management of security functions behaviour		HCDPP	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
	FMT_MSA.1 Management of security attributes		HCDPP	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
	FMT_MSA.3 Static attribute initialisation		HCDPP	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
	FMT_MTD.1 Management of TSF data		HCDPP	No	No	Yes	Yes
	FMT_SMF.1 Specification of Management Functions		HCDPP	No	Yes	Yes	No
	FMT_SMR.1 Security roles		HCDPP	No	No	No	No
FPT - Protection of the TSF	FPT_KYP_EXT.1 Extended: Protection of Key and Material		HCDPP	No	No	No	No
	FPT_SKP_EXT.1 Extended: Protection of TSF data		HCDPP	No	No	No	No
	FPT_STM.1 Reliable time stamps		HCDPP	No	No	No	No
	FPT_TST_EXT.1 Extended: TSF testing		HCDPP	No	No	No	No
	FPT_TUD_EXT.1 Extended: Trusted Update		HCDPP	No	No	No	Yes
FTA - TOE access	FTA_SSL.3 TSF-initiated termination		HCDPP	No	No	Yes	No
FTP - Trusted path/channels	FTP_ITC.1 Inter-TSF trusted channel		HCDPP	No	No	Yes	Yes
	FTP_TRP.1(a) Trusted path (for Administrators)	FTP_TRP.1	HCDPP	Yes	No	No	Yes

# 6.1.1 Security audit (FAU)

#### 6.1.1.1 Audit data generation (FAU\_GEN.1)

none.

FAU_GEN.1.1	The TS	The TSF shall be able to generate an audit record of the following auditable events:				
	a)	Start-up and shutdown of the audit functions;				
	b)	All auditable events for the not specified level of audit; and				
	c)	All auditable events specified in Table 20, none.				
FAU_GEN.1.2	The TS	F shall record within each audit record at least the following information:				
	a)	Date and time of the event, type of event, subject identity (if applicable), and the				
		outcome (success or failure) of the event; and				
	b)	For each audit event type, based on the auditable event definitions of the functional				
		components included in the PP/ST, additional information specified in Table 20,				

Auditable event	Relevant SFR(s)	Additional information	Origin
Job completion	FDP_ACF.1	Type of job	[HCDPP]
Unsuccessful user authentication	FIA_UAU.1	Required by [HCDPP]: • None	[HCDPP]
Unsuccessful user identification	FIA_UID.1	Required by [HCDPP]: • None Added by vendor: • The attempted user identity	[HCDPP]
Use of management functions	FMT_SMF.1	None	[HCDPP]
Modification to the group of Users that are part of a role	FMT_SMR.1	None	[HCDPP]
Changes to the time	FPT_STM.1	Required by [HCDPP]: • None Added by vendor: • New date and time • Old date and time	[HCDPP]
Failure to establish session	FTP_ITC.1 FTP_TRP.1(a) FTP_TRP.1(b)	Required by [HCDPP]: • Reason for failure Added by vendor:	[HCDPP]

#### Table 20: Auditable events

Auditable event	Relevant SFR(s)	Additional information	Origin
		• Non-TOE endpoint of connection (e.g., IP address)	
Locking an account	FIA_AFL.1	User name associated with account	Vendor
Unlocking an account	FIA_AFL.1	User name associated with account	Vendor

TSS Link: TSS for FAU\_GEN.1.

#### 6.1.1.2 User identity association (FAU\_GEN.2)

**FAU\_GEN.2.1** For audit events resulting from actions of identified users, the TSF shall be able to associate each auditable event with the identity of the user that caused the event.

**TSS Link:** *TSS for FAU\_GEN\_2*.

#### 6.1.1.3 Extended: Audit Trail Storage (FAU\_STG\_EXT.1)

**FAU\_STG\_EXT.1.1** The TSF shall be able to transmit the generated audit data to an External IT Entity using a trusted channel according to FTP\_ITC.1.

**TSS Link:** *TSS for FAU\_STG\_EXT\_1*.

# 6.1.2 Cryptographic support (FCS)

#### 6.1.2.1 Cryptographic key generation (asymmetric keys) (FCS\_CKM.1(a))

FCS\_CKM.1.1(a) The TSF shall generate asymmetric cryptographic keys used for key establishment in accordance with

- NIST Special Publication 800-56A, "Recommendation for Pair-Wise Key Establishment Schemes Using Discrete Logarithm Cryptography" for finite field-based key establishment schemes
- NIST Special Publication 800-56A, "Recommendation for Pair-Wise Key Establishment Schemes Using Discrete Logarithm Cryptography" for elliptic curve-based key establishment schemes and implementing "NIST curves" P-256, P-384 and P-521 (as defined in FIPS PUB 186-4, "Digital Signature Standard")

and specified cryptographic key sizes equivalent to, or greater than, a symmetric key strength of 112 bits.

Table 21:	Asymmetric	key generation
-----------	------------	----------------

Usage	Implementation	Purpose	Algorithm	Key sizes	Related SFRs
IKE	HP FutureSmart	KAS FFC	DH (dhEphem)	P=2048, SHA2-256	FCS_COP.1(c)

Usage	Implementation	Purpose	Algorithm	Key sizes	<b>Related SFRs</b>
	QuickSec 5.1		DSA	L=2048, N=224; L=2048, N=256; L=3072, N=256	FCS_IPSEC_EXT.1 FCS_RBG_EXT.1

**TSS Link:** *TSS for FCS\_CKM.1(a).* 

#### 6.1.2.2 Cryptographic key generation (symmetric keys) (FCS\_CKM.1(b))

**FCS\_CKM.1.1(b)** The TSF shall generate symmetric cryptographic keys using a Random Bit Generator as specified in FCS\_RBG\_EXT.1 and specified cryptographic key sizes *defined in Table 22* that meet the following: No Standard.

Usage	Implementation	Purpose	Key sizes	Related SFRs
Drive-lock password (BEV)	HP FutureSmart OpenSSL FIPS Object Module 2.0.4	BEV generation	256 bits	FCS_KYC_EXT.1, FCS_RBG_EXT.1

**TSS Link:** *TSS for FCS\_CKM.1(b)*.

#### 6.1.2.3 Extended: Cryptographic key material destruction (FCS\_CKM\_EXT.4)

**FCS\_CKM\_EXT.4.1** The TSF shall destroy all plaintext secret and private cryptographic keys and cryptographic critical security parameters when no longer needed.

**TSS Link**: *TSS for FCS\_CKM\_EXT.4*.

#### 6.1.2.4 Cryptographic key destruction (FCS\_CKM.4)

FCS\_CKM.4.1(a) The TSF shall destroy cryptographic keys in accordance with a specified cryptographic key destruction method

• For volatile memory, the destruction shall be executed by a removal of power to the memory;

that meets the following: No Standard.

**TSS Link**: *TSS for FCS\_CKM.4*.

## 6.1.2.5 Cryptographic Operation (Symmetric encryption/decryption) (FCS\_COP.1(a))

# **FCS\_COP.1.1(a)** The TSF shall perform encryption and decryption in accordance with a specified cryptographic algorithm AES operating in **the modes defined in Table 23** and cryptographic key sizes 128-bits and 256-bits that meets the following:

- FIPS PUB 197, "Advanced Encryption Standard (AES)"
- NIST SP 800-38A

Usage	Implementation	Purpose	Algorithm	Modes	Key sizes	Related SFRs
IKE	HP FutureSmart QuickSec 5.1	Data encryption and decryption	AES	CBC	128 bits, 256 bits	FCS_IPSEC_EXT.1
		Encryption in CTR_DRBG(AES)	AES	ECB	256 bits	
IPsec	HP FutureSmart QuickSec 5.1	Data encryption and decryption	AES	CBC	128 bits, 256 bits	FCS_IPSEC_EXT.1
Drive-lock	HP FutureSmart	Encryption in	AES	CTR	256 bits	FCS_KYC_EXT.1
password (BEV)	OpenSSL FIPS Object Module 2.0.4	CTR_DRBG(AES)	AES	ECB	256 bits	FCS_RBG_EXT.1

 Table 23: AES encryption/decryption algorithms

**TSS Link**: *TSS for FCS\_COP.1(a)*.

# 6.1.2.6 Cryptographic Operation (for signature generation/verification) (FCS\_COP.1(b))

**FCS\_COP.1.1(b)** The TSF shall perform cryptographic signature services in accordance with a

• RSA Digital Signature Algorithm (rDSA) with key sizes (modulus) of the bit sizes defined in Table 24

that meets the following

Case: RSA Digital Signature Algorithm

• FIPS PUB 186-4, "Digital Signature Standard".

#### Table 24: Asymmetric algorithms for signature generation/verification

Usage	Implementation	Purpose	Algorithm	Key sizes	Related SFRs
IKE	HP FutureSmart QuickSec 5.1	Signature generation and verification based on PKCS#1 v1.5	RSA	2048 bits, 3072 bits	FCS_IPSEC_EXT.1
Trusted update	HP FutureSmart Rebex Total Pack 2017 R1 2470159	Signature verification based on PKCS#1 v1.5	RSA	2048 bits	FPT_TUD_EXT.1
TSF testing	HP FutureSmart Windows Mobile Enhanced Cryptographic Provider (RSAENH) 6.00.1937	Signature verification based on PKCS#1 v1.5	RSA	2048 bits	FPT_TST_EXT.1

**TSS Link**: *TSS for FCS\_COP.1(b)*.

#### 6.1.2.7 Cryptographic operation (Hash algorithm) (FCS\_COP.1(c))

FCS\_COP.1.1(c)The TSF shall perform cryptographic hashing services in accordance with the algorithms<br/>in Table 25 that meet the following: [ISO/IEC 10118-3:2004].

Usage	Implementation	Purpose	Algorithm	Related SFRs
IKE	HP FutureSmart QuickSec 5.1	Pre-shared keys	SHA-1, SHA2-256, SHA2-512	FIA_PSK_EXT.1
		KAS FFC	SHA2-256	FCS_CKM.1(a)
		RSA digital signature generation	SHA2-256, SHA2-384, SHA2-512	FCS_COP.1(b)
		RSA digital signature verification	SHA-1, SHA2-256, SHA2-384, SHA2-512	
		НМАС	SHA2-256, SHA2-384, SHA2-512	FCS_COP.1(g)
IPsec	HP FutureSmart QuickSec 5.1	HMAC	SHA-1, SHA2-256, SHA2-384, SHA2-512	FCS_COP.1(g)
Trusted update	HP FutureSmart Rebex Total Pack 2017 R1 2470159	RSA digital signature verification	SHA2-256	FPT_TUD_EXT.1
TSF testing	HP FutureSmart Windows Mobile Enhanced Cryptographic Provider (RSAENH) 6.00.1937	RSA digital signature verification	SHA2-256	FPT_TST_EXT.1

#### Table 25: Hash algorithms

**TSS Link**: *TSS for FCS\_COP.1(c)*.

# 6.1.2.8 Cryptographic operation (for keyed-hash message authentication) (FCS\_COP.1(g))

 FCS\_COP.1.1(g)
 The TSF shall perform keyed-hash message authentication in accordance with a specified cryptographic algorithm HMAC- defined in Table 26, key size defined in Table 26 and message digest sizes defined in Table 26 in bits that meet the following: FIPS PUB 198-1, "The Keyed-Hash Message Authentication Code, and FIPS PUB 180-3, "Secure Hash Standard."

Usage	Implementation	Algorithm	Key size	Digest size	Related SFRs
IKE	HP FutureSmart QuickSec 5.1	HMAC-SHA2- 256-128	256 bits	256 bits	FCS_IPSEC_EX T.1
		HMAC-SHA2- 384-192	384 bits	384 bits	
		HMAC-SHA2- 512-256	512 bits	512 bits	
IPsec	HP FutureSmart QuickSec 5.1	HMAC-SHA1- 96	160 bits	160 bits	FCS_IPSEC_EX T.1
		HMAC-SHA2- 256-128	256 bits	256 bits	
		HMAC-SHA2- 384-192	384 bits	384 bits	
		HMAC-SHA2- 512-256	512 bits	512 bits	

Table	26:	HMAC	algorithms
Lanc	40.	INIAC	argorithms

**TSS Link**: *TSS for FCS\_COP.1(g)*.

#### 6.1.2.9 Extended: IPsec selected (FCS\_IPSEC\_EXT.1)

- FCS\_IPSEC\_EXT.1.1 The TSF shall implement the IPsec architecture as specified in RFC 4301.
- FCS\_IPSEC\_EXT.1.2 The TSF shall implement transport mode.
- **FCS\_IPSEC\_EXT.1.3** The TSF shall have a nominal, final entry in the SPD that matches anything that is otherwise unmatched, and discards it.
- FCS\_IPSEC\_EXT.1.4The TSF shall implement the IPsec protocol ESP as defined by RFC 4303 using the<br/>cryptographic algorithms AES-CBC-128 (as specified by RFC 3602) together with a<br/>Secure Hash Algorithm (SHA)-based HMAC, AES-CBC-256 (as specified by RFC<br/>3602) together with a Secure Hash Algorithm (SHA)-based HMAC.

- FCS\_IPSEC\_EXT.1.5The TSF shall implement the protocol: IKEv1, using Main Mode for Phase 1<br/>exchanges, as defined in RFCs 2407, 2408, 2409, RFC 4109, no other RFCs for<br/>extended sequence numbers and RFC 4868 for hash functions.
- FCS\_IPSEC\_EXT.1.6 The TSF shall ensure the encrypted payload in the IKEv1 protocol uses the cryptographic algorithms AES-CBC-128, AES-CBC-256 as specified in RFC 3602 and no other algorithm.
- FCS\_IPSEC\_EXT.1.7 The TSF shall ensure that IKEv1 Phase 1 exchanges use only main mode.
- FCS\_IPSEC\_EXT.1.8 The TSF shall ensure that IKEv1 SA lifetimes can be established based on length of time, where the time values can be limited to: 24 hours for Phase 1 SAs and 8 hours for Phase 2 SAs.
- FCS\_IPSEC\_EXT.1.9The TSF shall ensure that all IKE protocols implement DH Groups 14 (2048-bit MODP),<br/>and DH Group 15 (3072-bit MODP), DH Group 16 (4096-bit MODP), DH Group 17<br/>(6144-bit MODP), DH Group 18 (8192-bit MODP).
- FCS\_IPSEC\_EXT.1.10 The TSF shall ensure that all IKE protocols perform Peer Authentication using the RSA algorithm and Pre-shared Keys.

TSS Link: TSS for FCS\_IPSEC\_EXT.1.

#### 6.1.2.10Extended: Key chaining (FCS\_KYC\_EXT.1)

**FCS\_KYC\_EXT.1.1** The TSF shall maintain a key chain of: **one, using submasks as the BEV or DEK** while maintaining an effective strength of **256 bits**.

#### TSS Link: TSS for FCS\_KYC\_EXT.1.

### 6.1.2.11Extended: Cryptographic Operation (Random Bit Generation) (FCS\_RBG\_EXT.1)

- FCS\_RBG\_EXT.1.1The TSF shall perform all deterministic random bit generation services in accordance<br/>with NIST SP 800-90A using *the algorithm defined in Table 27*.
- FCS\_RBG\_EXT.1.2 The deterministic RBG shall be seeded by at least one entropy source that accumulates entropy from the number defined in Table 27 of hardware-based noise source(s) with a minimum of *bits defined in Table 27* of entropy at least equal to the greatest security strength, according to ISO/IEC 18031:2011 Table C.1 "Security Strength Table for Hash Functions", of the keys and hashes that it will generate.

Usage	Implementation	Algorithm	Hardware noise sources	Minimum entropy bits	Related SFRs
IKE/IPsec	HP FutureSmart QuickSec 5.1	CTR_DRBG(AES)	1	256 bits	FCS_CKM.1(a) FCS_COP.1(a) FCS_IPSEC_EXT.1

#### Table 27: DRBG algorithms

Usage	Implementation	Algorithm	Hardware noise sources	Minimum entropy bits	Related SFRs
Drive-lock password (BEV)	HP FutureSmart OpenSSL FIPS Object Module 2.0.4	CTR_DRBG(AES)	1	256 bits	FCS_CKM.1(b) FCS_COP.1(a) FCS_KYC_EXT.1

**TSS Link**: *TSS for FCS\_RBG\_EXT.1*.

# 6.1.3 User data protection (FDP)

#### 6.1.3.1 Subset access control (FDP\_ACC.1)

FDP\_ACC.1.1The TSF shall enforce the User Data Access Control SFP on subjects, objects, and<br/>operations among subjects and objects specified in Table 28 and Table 29.

#### **TSS Link**: *TSS for FDP\_ACC.1*.

#### 6.1.3.2 Security attribute based access control (FDP\_ACF.1)

- FDP\_ACF.1.1The TSF shall enforce the User Data Access Control SFP to objects based on the<br/>following: subjects, objects, and attributes specified in Table 28 and Table 29.
- FDP\_ACF.1.2The TSF shall enforce the following rules to determine if an operation among controlled<br/>subjects and controlled objects is allowed: rules governing access among controlled<br/>subjects and controlled objects using controlled operations on controlled objects specified<br/>in Table 28 and Table 29.
- FDP\_ACF.1.3The TSF shall explicitly authorise access of subjects to objects based on the following<br/>additional rules: none.
- **FDP\_ACF.1.4** The TSF shall explicitly deny access of subjects to objects based on the following additional rules: **none**.

		"Create"	"Read"	"Modify"	"Delete"
Scan	Operation:	Submit a document for scanning	View scanned image	Modify stored image	Delete stored image
	Job owner	allowed	allowed	denied by design	allowed
	U.ADMIN	denied	denied	denied by design	allowed
	U.NORMAL	denied	denied	denied by design	denied

#### Table 28: D.USER.DOC Access Control SFP

	Unauthenticated	denied	denied	denied by design	denied
--	-----------------	--------	--------	---------------------	--------

		"Create"	"Read"	"Modify"	"Delete"	
Scan	Operation:	Create scan job	Create scan job View scan Modify s status / log job		Cancel scan job	
	Job owner	allowed (note 2)	allowed	denied by design	allowed	
	U.ADMIN	denied allowed		denied by design	allowed	
	U.NORMAL	denied	Status: <b>allowed</b> Log: <b>denied</b>	denied by design	denied	
	Unauthenticated	denied	denied	denied by design	denied	

#### Table 29: D.USER.JOB Access Control SFP

**TSS Link**: *TSS for FDP\_ACF.1*.

Note 1: Job Owner is assigned to an authorized User as part of the process of submitting a scan Job.

#### 6.1.3.3 Extended: Protection of Data on Disk (FDP\_DSK\_EXT.1)

- FDP\_DSK\_EXT.1.1The TSF shall use a self-encrypting Field-Replaceable Nonvolatile Storage Device<br/>that is separately CC certified to conform to the FDE EE cPP, such that any Field-<br/>Replaceable Nonvolatile Storage Device contains no plaintext User Document Data and<br/>no plaintext confidential TSF Data.
- **FDP\_DSK\_EXT.1.2** The TSF shall encrypt all protected data without user intervention.

#### **TSS Link**: *TSS for FDP\_DSK\_EXT.1*.

#### 6.1.3.4 Subset residual information protection (FDP\_RIP.1(a))

**FDP\_RIP.1.1(a)** The TSF shall ensure that any previous information content of a resource is made unavailable by overwriting data upon the deallocation of the resource from the following objects: D.USER.DOC.

**TSS Link**: *TSS for FDP\_RIP.1(a)*.

# 6.1.4 Identification and authentication (FIA)

#### 6.1.4.1 Authentication failure handling (FIA\_AFL.1)

- FIA\_AFL.1.1The TSF shall detect when an administrator configurable positive integer within 3 to<br/>10 unsuccessful authentication attempts occur related to the last successful<br/>authentication for the indicated user identity for the following interfaces
  - Control Panel, EWS, and REST
    - Local Device Sign In
- FIA\_AFL.1.2When the defined number of unsuccessful authentication attempts has been met, the TSF<br/>shall lock the account.

**TSS Link**: *TSS for FIA\_AFL.1*.

#### 6.1.4.2 User attribute definition (FIA\_ATD.1)

**FIA\_ATD.1.1** The TSF shall maintain the following list of security attributes belonging to individual users:

- Control Panel users
  - Internal Authentication (Local Device Sign In)
    - Identifier: Display name
    - Authenticator: Password
    - PS: Device Administrator PS
  - External Authentication (LDAP Sign In and Windows Sign In)
    - PS: Network user PS
- EWS users
  - Internal Authentication (Local Device Sign In)
    - Identifier: Display name
    - Authenticator: Password
    - Role: (implied U.ADMIN)
  - External Authentication (LDAP Sign In and Windows Sign In)
    - Role: (implied U.ADMIN)
- REST users
  - Internal Authentication (Local Device Sign In)
    - Identifier: Display name
    - Authenticator: Password
    - Role: (implied U.ADMIN)
  - External Authentication (Windows Sign In)
    - Role: (implied U.ADMIN)

**TSS Link**: *TSS for FIA\_ATD.1*.

#### 6.1.4.3 Extended: Password Management (FIA\_PMG\_EXT.1)

**FIA\_PMG\_EXT.1.1** The TSF shall provide the following password management capabilities for User passwords:

- a) Passwords shall be able to be composed of any combination of upper and lower case letters, numbers, and the following special characters
  - o Device Administrator Password
    - "!", "@", "#", "\$", "%", "^", "&", "\*", "(", ")", """, "", "`", "+", ",", "-", ".", "/", "\", ":", ";", "<", "=", ">", "?", "[", "]", "\_", "|", "~", "{", "}"
- b) Minimum password length shall be settable by an Administrator, and have the capability to require passwords of 15 characters or greater.

#### **TSS Link**: *TSS for FIA\_PMG\_EXT.1*.

**Application Note**: This SFR applies to the Device Administrator Password—which is used by the Control Panel, EWS, and REST interfaces.

#### 6.1.4.4 Extended: Pre-shared key composition (FIA\_PSK\_EXT.1)

FIA\_PSK\_EXT.1.1 The TSF shall be able to use pre-shared keys for IPsec.
FIA\_PSK\_EXT.1.2 The TSF shall be able to accept text-based pre-shared keys that are:

a) 22 characters in length and up to 128 characters in length;
b) composed of any combination of upper and lower case letters, numbers, and special characters (that include: "!", "@", "#", "\$", "%", "^", "&", "\*", "(", and ")").

FIA\_PSK\_EXT.1.3 The TSF shall condition the text-based pre-shared keys by using SHA-1, SHA2-256, SHA2-512 and be able to accept bit-based pre-shared keys.

TSS Link: TSS for FIA\_PSK\_EXT.1.

#### 6.1.4.5 Timing of authentication (FIA\_UAU.1)

FIA\_UAU.1.1 The TSF shall allow

- Control Panel:
  - View the Welcome message
  - **Reset the session**
  - Select the Sign In button
  - Select a sign-in method from Sign In screen
  - View the device status information
  - $\circ$   $\,$   $\,$  Change the display language for the session  $\,$
  - Place the device into sleep mode
  - View the network connectivity status information
  - View the help information

- View the system time
- EWS:
  - Select a sign in method
- REST:
  - Discover a subset of the Web Services
  - Obtain the X.509v3 certificate on the print engine
  - Obtain the secure configuration settings on the print engine
  - o Obtain list of installed licenses
  - Install a digitally signed license
  - Delete a license (if the license in the payload of the request is digitally signed)
  - Obtain Web Services registration status

on behalf of the user to be performed before the user is authenticated.

**FIA\_UAU.1.2** The TSF shall require each user to be successfully authenticated before allowing any other TSF-mediated actions on behalf of that user.

**TSS Link:** *TSS for FIA\_UAU.1*.

#### 6.1.4.6 Protected authentication feedback (FIA\_UAU.7)

FIA\_UAU.7.1 The TSF shall provide only **dots** to the user while the authentication is in progress.

TSS Link: TSS for FIA\_UAU.7.

#### 6.1.4.7 Timing of identification (FIA\_UID.1)

- FIA\_UID.1.1 The TSF shall allow
  - Control Panel:
    - View the Welcome message
    - Reset the session
    - Select the Sign In button
    - Select a sign-in method from Sign In screen
    - View the device status information
    - Change the display language for the session
    - Place the device into sleep mode
    - View the network connectivity status information
    - View the help information
    - View the system time
  - EWS:
    - Select a sign-in method
  - **REST**:
    - Discover a subset of the Web Services
    - Obtain the X.509v3 certificate on the print engine

- Obtain the secure configuration settings on the print engine
- Obtain list of installed licenses
- Install a digitally signed license
- Delete a license (if the license in the payload of the request is digitally signed)
- Obtain Web Services registration status

on behalf of the user to be performed before the user is identified.

**FIA\_UID.1.2** The TSF shall require each user to be successfully identified before allowing any other TSF-mediated actions on behalf of that user.

#### **TSS Link**: *TSS for FIA\_UID.1*.

#### 6.1.4.8 User-subject binding (FIA\_USB.1)

**FIA\_USB.1.1** The TSF shall associate the following user security attributes with subjects acting on the behalf of that user:

#### 1) User identifier

- Control Panel users:
  - Local Device Sign In method: Display name
  - LDAP Sign In method: LDAP username
  - Windows Sign In method: Windows username
  - EWS users:
    - Local Device Sign In: Display name
    - LDAP Sign In: LDAP username
    - Windows Sign In: Windows username
  - **REST users:** 
    - Local Device Sign In: Display name
    - Windows Sign In: Windows username

#### 2) User role

- Control Panel users: U.ADMIN and U.NORMAL (User session PS)
- EWS users: U.ADMIN
- REST users: U.ADMIN

FIA\_USB.1.2 The TSF shall enforce the following rules on the initial association of user security attributes with subjects acting on the behalf of users: Control Panel and EWS user session PS:

- Internal Authentication (Local Device Sign In)
  - Device Administrator session PS = Device
     Administrator PS
- External Authentication (LDAP Sign In and Windows Sign In)

If a PS is associated with a network user account, then: User

session PS = Network user PS + Device Guest PS

#### Else, if the network user is associated with one or more network

group PSs, then: User session PS = Network group PSs +
Device Guest PS

**Else:** User session PS = External Authentication method PS + Device Guest PS

• If the "Allow users to choose alternate sign-in methods" function is disabled, the user's session PS calculated above will be reduced to exclude the permissions of applications whose sign in method does not match the sign in method used by the user to sign in.

# **FIA\_USB.1.3** The TSF shall enforce the following rules governing changes to the user security attributes associated with subjects acting on the behalf of users:

• None—The TOE does not allow a subject to change its in-session security attributes.

TSS Link: TSS for FIA\_USB.1.

### 6.1.5 Security management (FMT)

#### 6.1.5.1 Management of security functions behaviour (FMT\_MOF.1)

FMT\_MOF.1.1The TSF shall restrict the ability to *perform the actions defined in Table 30 on* the<br/>functions **defined in Table 30** to U.ADMIN.

Function	Actions	Related SFRs	Application note
Allow users to choose alternate sign-in methods at the product control panel	Enable, disable	FIA_USB.1	The "Allow users to choose alternate sign-in methods at the product control panel" function affects how the TOE authorizes Control Panel users.
Control Panel Mandatory Sign-in	Enable, disable	FIA_ATD.1 FIA_UAU.1 FIA_UID.1	In the evaluated configuration, the "Control Panel Mandatory Sign-in" function must be enabled.
Windows Sign In	Enable, disable		In the evaluated configuration, at least one External Authentication mechanism (Windows Sign In or LDAP Sign In) must be enabled.
LDAP Sign In	Enable, disable		In the evaluated configuration, at least one External Authentication mechanism (Windows Sign In or LDAP Sign In) must be enabled.

#### **Table 30: Management of functions**

Function	Actions	<b>Related SFRs</b>	Application note
Account lockout	Enable, disable	FIA_AFL.1	In the evaluated configuration, account lockout for Device Administrator account must be enabled.
Enhanced security event logging	Enable, disable	FAU_GEN.1	In the evaluated configuration, enhanced security event logging must be enabled.
Managing Temporary Job Files (i.e., image overwrite)	Determine the behavior of, modify the behavior of	FDP_RIP.1(a)	The TOE offers three options: Non-Secure Fast Erase (no overwrite), Secure Fast Erase (overwrite 1 time), and Secure Sanitize Erase (overwrite 3 times). In the evaluated configuration, the administrator must select either Secure Fast Erase or Secure Sanitize Erase.
IPsec	Enable, disable	FCS_IPSEC_EXT.1	In the evaluated configuration, IPsec must be enabled.
Automatically synchronize with a Network Time Service	Enable, disable	FPT_STM.1	In the evaluated configuration, NTS must be enabled.

**TSS Link**: *TSS for FMT\_MOF.1*.

#### 6.1.5.2 Management of security attributes (FMT\_MSA.1)

# FMT\_MSA.1.1The TSF shall enforce the User Data Access Control SFP to restrict the ability to<br/>perform the restricted operations defined in Table 31 on the security attributes<br/>defined in Table 31 to the authorized identified roles defined in Table 31.

TOE component	Security attribute	Available operations	Restricted operations	Authorized identified roles	Default value property	Default value override roles
Control Panel and EWS subject attributes	Account identity (Internal Authentication mechanism)	None	None	n/a	n/a	No role
	Account identity (External Authentication mechanisms)	None	None	n/a	n/a	No role
	Device Administrator	View	View	U.ADMIN	Permissive	No role

 Table 31: Management of security attributes

TOE component	Security attribute	Available operations	Restricted operations	Authorized identified roles	Default value property	Default value override roles
	permission set permissions					
	Device User and Device Guest permission set permissions	Modify, view	Modify, view	U.ADMIN	Restrictive	No role
	Custom permission set permissions	Create, modify, delete, view	Create, modify, delete, view	U.ADMIN	Restrictive	No role

**TSS Link**: *TSS for FMT\_MSA.1*.

### 6.1.5.3 Static attribute initialisation (FMT\_MSA.3)

 FMT\_MSA.3.1
 The TSF shall enforce the User Data Access Control SFP to provide the properties

 defined in Table 31 of the default values for security attributes that are used to enforce the SFP.

# FMT\_MSA.3.2The TSF shall allow the *default value override role defined in Table 31* to specify<br/>alternative initial values to override the default values when an object or information is<br/>created.

#### **TSS Link**: *TSS for FMT\_MSA.3*.

**HCDPP Application Note**: FMT\_MSA.3.2 applies only to security attributes whose default values can be overridden.

#### 6.1.5.4 Management of TSF data (FMT\_MTD.1)

FMT\_MTD.1.1The TSF shall restrict the ability to perform the specified operations on the specified TSF<br/>Data to the roles specified in Table 32.

Data	Operation	Authorized roles	Related SFR(s)	
List of TSF Data owned by U.NORMAL or associated with Documents or jobs owned by a U.NORMAL				
None	n/a	n/a	n/a	
List of TSF Data not owned by U.NORMAL				
Device Administrator password	Change	U.ADMIN	FIA_PMG_EXT.1	

Data	Operation	Authorized roles	Related SFR(s)
Permission set associations (except on the Device Administrator account)	Add, delete, view	U.ADMIN	FDP_ACF.1 FMT_MSA.1
Permission set associations (only on the Device Administrator account)	View	U.ADMIN	
List of software, firmware, and related config	uration data		
IPsec CA and identity certificates	Import, delete	U.ADMIN	FCS_IPSEC_EXT.1
IPsec pre-shared keys	Set, change	U.ADMIN	FIA_PSK_EXT.1
NTS server configuration data	Change	U.ADMIN	FPT_STM.1
Minimum password length	Change	U.ADMIN	FIA_PMG_EXT.1
Account lockout maximum attempts	Change	U.ADMIN	FIA_AFL.1
Account lockout interval	Change	U.ADMIN	
Account reset lockout counter interval	Change	U.ADMIN	
Session inactivity timeout	Change	U.ADMIN	FTA_SSL.3

**TSS Link**: *TSS for FMT\_MTD.1*.

#### 6.1.5.5 Specification of Management Functions (FMT\_SMF.1)

FMT\_SMF.1.1The TSF shall be capable of performing the following management functions: defined in<br/>Table 33.

Management function	SFR	TSS page number	Objectives
Management of Device Administrator password	FMT_MTD.1	125	O.USER_AUTHORIZATION, O.USER_I&A
Management of account lockout policy	FMT_MTD.1	125	O.USER_I&A
Management of minimum length password settings	FMT_MTD.1	125	
Management of Internal and External authentication mechanisms	FMT_MOF.1	122	
Management of "Allow users to choose alternate sign-in methods at the product control panel" function	FMT_MOF.1	122	
Management of session inactivity timeouts	FMT_MTD.1	125	

 Table 33: Specification of management functions

Management function	SFR	TSS page number	Objectives
Management of permission set associations	FMT_MTD.1	125	O.ADMIN_ROLES
Management of permission set permissions	FMT_MSA.1	124	O.ACCESS_CONTROL
Management of IPsec pre-shared keys	FMT_MTD.1	125	O.COMMS_PROTECTION
Management of CA and identity certificates for IPsec authentication	FMT_MTD.1	125	
Management of enhanced security event logging	FMT_MOF.1	122	O.AUDIT
Management of NTS configuration data	FMT_MTD.1	125	
Management of image overwrite option in "Managing Temporary Job Files"	FMT_MOF.1	122	O.IMAGE_OVERWRITE

**TSS Link**: *TSS for FMT\_SMF.1*.

#### 6.1.5.6 Security roles (FMT\_SMR.1)

**FMT\_SMR.1.1** The TSF shall maintain the roles U.ADMIN, U.NORMAL.

**FMT\_SMR.1.2** The TSF shall be able to associate users with roles.

**TSS Link**: *TSS for FMT\_SMR.1*.

### **6.1.6** Protection of the TSF (FPT)

#### 6.1.6.1 Extended: Protection of Key and Material (FPT\_KYP\_EXT.1)

**FPT\_KYP\_EXT.1.1** The TSF shall not store plaintext keys that are part of the keychain specified by FCS\_KYC\_EXT.1 in any Field-Replaceable Nonvolatile Storage Device.

**TSS Link**: *TSS for FPT\_KYP\_EXT.1*.

#### 6.1.6.2 Extended: Protection of TSF data (FPT\_SKP\_EXT.1)

**FPT\_SKP\_EXT.1.1** The TSF shall prevent reading of all pre-shared keys, symmetric keys, and private keys.

#### **TSS Link**: *TSS for FPT\_SKP\_EXT.1*.

**HCDPP Application Note**: The intent of the requirement is that an administrator is unable to read or view the identified keys (stored or ephemeral) through "normal" interfaces. While it is understood that the administrator could directly read memory to view these keys, doing so is not a trivial task and may require substantial work on the part of an administrator. Since the administrator is considered a trusted agent, it is assumed they would not engage in such an activity.

#### 6.1.6.3 Reliable time stamps (FPT\_STM.1)

**FPT\_STM.1.1** The TSF shall be able to provide reliable time stamps.

**TSS Link**: *TSS for FPT\_STM.1*.

#### 6.1.6.4 Extended: TSF testing (FPT\_TST\_EXT.1)

**FPT\_TST\_EXT.1.1** The TSF shall run a suite of self-tests during initial start-up (and power on) to demonstrate the correct operation of the TSF.

**TSS Link**: *TSS for FPT\_TST\_EXT.1*.

#### 6.1.6.5 Extended: Trusted Update (FPT\_TUD\_EXT.1)

FPT_TUD_EXT.1.1	The TSF shall provide authorized administrators the ability to query the current version of the TOE firmware/software.
FPT_TUD_EXT.1.2	The TSF shall provide authorized administrators the ability to initiate updates to TOE firmware/software.
FPT_TUD_EXT.1.3	The TSF shall provide a means to verify firmware/software updates to the TOE using a digital signature mechanism and <b>no other functions</b> prior to installing those updates.

#### **TSS Link**: *TSS for FPT\_TUD\_EXT.1*.

**Application Note**: The HP Inc. Software Depot kiosk provides a SHA2-256 published hash of the update image and a Windows OS utility program that can be downloaded and used to verify the hash. Once downloaded, the update image can be verified on a separate computer prior to installation on the TOE using the published hash and the Windows OS utility program. Because the published hash verification is not performed by the TSF, the SHA2-256 published hash verification method is excluded from this SFR.

## 6.1.7 TOE access (FTA)

#### 6.1.7.1 TSF-initiated termination (FTA\_SSL.3)

**FTA\_SSL.3.1** The TSF shall terminate an interactive session after a **administrator-configurable amount of time of user inactivity**.

**TSS Link**: *TSS for FTA\_SSL.3*.

### 6.1.8 Trusted path/channels (FTP)

#### 6.1.8.1 Inter-TSF trusted channel (FTP\_ITC.1)

FTP\_ITC.1.1The TSF shall use IPsec to provide a trusted communication channel between itself and<br/>authorized IT entities supporting the following capabilities: authentication server, DNS<br/>server, FTP server, NTS server, SharePoint server, SMB server, SMTP server,<br/>syslog server, and WINS server that is logically distinct from other communication

channels and provides assured identification of its end points and protection of the channel data from disclosure and detection of modification of the channel data.

# **FTP\_ITC.1.2** The TSF shall permit the TSF, or the authorized IT entities, to initiate communication via the trusted channel.

# FTP\_ITC.1.3The TSF shall initiate communication via the trusted channel for authentication server,<br/>DNS server, FTP server, NTS server, SharePoint server, SMB server, SMTP server,<br/>syslog server, and WINS server.

**TSS Link**: *TSS for FTP\_ITC.1*.

#### 6.1.8.2 Trusted path (for Administrators) (FTP\_TRP.1(a))

FTP_TRP.1.1(a)	The TSF shall use <b>IPsec</b> to provide a trusted communication path between itself and remote administrators that is logically distinct from other communication paths and provides assured identification of its end points and protection of the communicated data from disclosure and detection of modification of the communicated data.
FTP_TRP.1.2(a)	The TSF shall permit remote administrators to initiate communication via the trusted path.
FTP_TRP.1.3(a)	The TSF shall require the use of the trusted path for initial administrator authentication and all remote administration actions.

**TSS Link**: *TSS for FTP\_TRP.1(a)*.

# 6.2 Security Functional Requirements Rationale

### 6.2.1 Coverage

The following table provides a mapping of SFR to the security objectives, showing that each security functional requirement addresses at least one security objective.

Security functional requirements	Objectives
FAU_GEN.1	O.AUDIT
FAU_GEN.2	O.AUDIT
FAU_STG_EXT.1	O.AUDIT
FCS_CKM.1(a)	O.COMMS_PROTECTION
FCS_CKM.1(b)	O.COMMS_PROTECTION O.STORAGE_ENCRYPTION
FCS_CKM_EXT.4	O.COMMS_PROTECTION O.STORAGE_ENCRYPTION

Security functional requirements	Objectives	
FCS_CKM.4	O.COMMS_PROTECTION O.STORAGE_ENCRYPTION	
FCS_COP.1(a)	O.COMMS_PROTECTION	
FCS_COP.1(b)	O.COMMS_PROTECTION O.UPDATE_VERIFICATION	
FCS_COP.1(c)	O.COMMS_PROTECTION O.STORAGE_ENCRYPTION O.UPDATE_VERIFICATION	
FCS_COP.1(g)	O.COMMS_PROTECTION	
FCS_IPSEC_EXT.1	O.COMMS_PROTECTION	
FCS_KYC_EXT.1	O.STORAGE_ENCRYPTION	
FCS_RBG_EXT.1	O.COMMS_PROTECTION O.STORAGE_ENCRYPTION	
FDP_ACC.1	O.ACCESS_CONTROL O.USER_AUTHORIZATION	
FDP_ACF.1	O.ACCESS_CONTROL O.USER_AUTHORIZATION	
FDP_DSK_EXT.1	O.STORAGE_ENCRYPTION	
FDP_RIP.1(a)	O.IMAGE_OVERWRITE	
FIA_AFL.1	O.USER_I&A	
FIA_ATD.1	O.USER_AUTHORIZATION	
FIA_PMG_EXT.1	O.USER_I&A	
FIA_PSK_EXT.1	O.COMMS_PROTECTION	
FIA_UAU.1	O.USER_I&A	
FIA_UAU.7	O.USER_I&A	
FIA_UID.1	O.ADMIN_ROLES, O.USER_I&A	
FIA_USB.1	O.USER_I&A	
FMT_MOF.1	O.ADMIN_ROLES	

Security functional requirements	Objectives
FMT_MSA.1	O.ACCESS_CONTROL, O.USER_AUTHORIZATION
FMT_MSA.3	O.ACCESS_CONTROL, O.USER_AUTHORIZATION
FMT_MTD.1	O.ACCESS_CONTROL
FMT_SMF.1	O.ACCESS_CONTROL, O.ADMIN_ROLES, O.USER_AUTHORIZATION
FMT_SMR.1	O.ACCESS_CONTROL, O.ADMIN_ROLES, O.USER_AUTHORIZATION
FPT_KYP_EXT.1	O.KEY_MATERIAL
FPT_SKP_EXT.1	O.COMMS_PROTECTION
FPT_STM.1	O.AUDIT
FPT_TST_EXT.1	O.TSF_SELF_TEST
FPT_TUD_EXT.1	O.UPDATE_VERIFICATION
FTA_SSL.3	O.USER_I&A
FTP_ITC.1	O.AUDIT O.COMMS_PROTECTION
FTP_TRP.1(a)	O.COMMS_PROTECTION

# 6.2.2 Sufficiency

The following rationale provides justification for each security objective for the TOE, showing that the security functional requirements are suitable to meet and achieve the security objectives.

Table 35: Security object	ives for the TOE rationale
---------------------------	----------------------------

Security objectives	SFR	Relationship	Rationale
O.USER_I&A	FIA_AFL.1	Supports	This SFR protects the authentication function by limiting the number of unauthorized authentication attempts that can be made, thereby reducing the likelihood of impersonation.

Security objectives	SFR	Relationship	Rationale
	FIA_PMG_EXT.1	Satisfies	This SFR protects the authentication function by providing for strong credentials that are difficult to guess or derive.
	FIA_UAU.1	Satisfies	This SFR defines the TOE functions that can be performed without authentication and the functions that require authentication for use.
	FIA_UAU.7	Satisfies	This SFR protects the authentication function by hiding the authentication credential as it is being input.
	FIA_UID.1	Satisfies	This SFR defines the TOE functions that can be performed without identification and the functions that require identification for use.
	FIA_USB.1	Satisfies	This requirement provides assurance that an identified user is associated with attributes that govern their authorizations to the TSF upon successful authentication to the TOE.
	FTA_SSL.3	Satisfies	This SFR helps prevent User or Administrator impersonation by terminating unattended sessions.
O.ACCESS_CONTROL	FDP_ACC.1	Satisfies	This SFR defines the access control policy that is used to protect access to User Data and TSF Data.
	FDP_ACF.1	Satisfies	This SFR defines the specific rule-set that constitutes the access control policy, identifying the conditions under which access to resources, functions, and data are authorized or denied."
	FMT_MSA.1	Supports	The management of the product configuration, security settings, and user attributes and authorizations is critical to maintaining operational security. These management functions, as a group, provide for the ability of authorized administrators to configure the system, add and delete users, grant user-specific authorizations to system data, resources, and functions, introduce code (e.g., updates) into the
	FMT_MSA.3	Supports	
	FMT_MTD.1	Supports	
	FMT_SMF.1	Supports	
	FMT_SMR.1	Supports	

Security objectives	SFR	Relationship	Rationale
			system, and assign users to roles. Additionally, the SFRs also require that management functions be limited to users who have been explicitly authorized to perform management functions.
O.USER_AUTHORIZATION	FDP_ACC.1	Supports	This SFR enforces User Access Control SFP on subjects, objects, and operations in accordance with user authorization.
	FDP_ACF.1	Supports	This SFR enforces the User Access Control SFP to objects based on attributes in accordance with user authorization.
	FIA_ATD.1	Supports	This SFR defines the attributes that are associated with Users that can be used to define their authorizations.
	FMT_MSA.1	Satisfies	This SFR defines the authorizations that are required to access data that is protected by the TSF.
	FMT_MSA.3	Satisfies	This SFR defines the default security posture for enforcement of the access control policy that governs access to data that is protected by the TSF.
	FMT_SMF.1	Satisfies	This SFR defines the management functions provided by the TOE that can be used to define User authorizations.
	FMT_SMR.1	Satisfies	This SFR defines administrative roles that can be used to define authorizations to groups of Users.
O.ADMIN_ROLES	FIA_UID.1	Supports	This SFR defines the TOE management functions that can be accessed without requiring Administrator authorization.
	FMT_MOF.1	Satisfies	This SFR defines the authorizations that are required for Administrators to access TOE functions.
	FMT_SMF.1	Satisfies	This SFR defines the administrative functions that are provided by the TSF.
	FMT_SMR.1	Satisfies	This SFR defines the different roles that can be assigned to Administrators for the

Security objectives	SFR	Relationship	Rationale
			purposes of determining authentication and authorization.
O.UPDATE_VERIFICATION	FCS_COP.1(b)	Selection	This SFR defines the digital signature service(s) used to verify the authenticity TOE updates.
	FCS_COP.1(c)	Selection	This SFR defines the hashing algorithm(s) used to verify the integrity of TOE updates.
	FPT_TUD_EXT.1	Satisfies	This SFR defines the ability of the TOE to be updated and the method(s) by which the updates are known to be trusted.
O.TSF_SELF_TEST	FPT_TST_EXT.1	Satisfies	This SFR defines the ability of the TSF to perform self-tests which assert the security properties of the TOE.
O.COMMS_PROTECTION	FCS_CKM.1(a)	Satisfies	This SFR defines the use of secure algorithms for key pair generation that can be used for key transport during protected communications.
	FCS_CKM.1(b)	Satisfies	This SFR defines the use of secure algorithms for key generation that can be used for protection communications.
	FCS_CKM.4	Supports	This SFR defines the method of data erasure used by FCS_CKM_EXT.4 that provides assurance that cryptographic keys that need to be erased cannot be recovered.
	FCS_CKM_EXT.4	Supports	This SFR ensures that residual cryptographic data cannot be used to compromise protected communications.
	FCS_COP.1(a)	Satisfies	This SFR defines the use of a secure symmetric key algorithm that can be used for protected communications.
	FCS_COP.1(b)	Satisfies	This SFR defines the digital signature services(s) used for protected communications.
	FCS_COP.1(c)	Selection	This mapping is missing from [HCDPP] Table 17. This SFR defines the hashing algorithm(s) used to condition the IPsec text-based pre-shared keys.

Security objectives	SFR	Relationship	Rationale
	FCS_COP.1(g)	Satisfies	This SFR defines the use of a secure HMAC algorithm that can be used for protected communications.
	FCS_IPSEC_EXT.1	Selection	This SFR defines secure communications protocols that can be used to protect the transmission of security-relevant data.
	FCS_RBG_EXT.1	Supports	This SFR supports protected communications by defining a secure method of random bit generation that allows cryptographic functions to operate with their theoretical maximum strengths.
	FIA_PSK_EXT.1	Selection	This SFR defines the use of pre-shared keys in IPsec which allows for the secure implementation of that protocol.
	FPT_SKP_EXT.1	Satisfies	This SFR prevents the compromise of protected communications by ensuring that secret cryptographic data is protected against unauthorized access.
	FTP_ITC.1	Satisfies	This SFR defines the interfaces over which protected communications are required and the methods used to protect the communications used to transit those interfaces.
	FTP_TRP.1(a)	Satisfies	This SFR defines the protected communications path that is used to secure Administrator interaction with the TOE.
O.AUDIT	FAU_GEN.1	Satisfies	This SFR defines the auditable events for which the TOE generates audit data and the fields that are included in each audit record.
	FAU_GEN.2	Satisfies	This SFR defines the ability of the TOE to apply attribution to all activities performed by a user or Administrator.
	FAU_STG_EXT.1	Satisfies	This SFR defines the ability of the TSF to transmit generated audit data to an external entity using a protected channel.
	FPT_STM.1	Supports	This SFR ensures that audit data is labeled with accurate timestamps.

Security objectives	SFR	Relationship	Rationale
	FTP_ITC.1	Supports	This SFR defines the protected communications channel(s) over which audit data can be transmitted.
O.STORAGE_ENCRYPTION	FCS_CKM.1(b)	Selection	This SFR defines the use of secure algorithms for key generation that can be used for storage encryption.
	FCS_CKM_EXT.4	Supports	This SFR helps define the requirements for the proper destruction of cryptographic keys in order to ensure that stored data is unrecoverable should the storage device(s) be separated from the TOE.
	FCS_COP.1(c)	Not supported	This PP dependency is not implemented by the TOE. Instead, the TOE uses an SED as the field-replaceable nonvolatile storage device to fulfill this requirement.
	FCS_KYC_EXT.1	Satisfies	This SFR defines the key chaining method used by the TOE to provide multiple layers of security for key material.
	FCS_RBG_EXT.1	Supports	This SFR defines the random bit generation algorithm used to ensure that the TOE's cryptographic algorithms function with the theoretical maximum level of security.
	FDP_DSK_EXT.1	Satisfies	This SFR requires the TSF to encrypt the data that is stored to disk.
O.KEY_MATERIAL	FPT_KYP_EXT.1	Satisfies	This SFR defines the ability of the TSF from storing unprotected key data in insecure locations.
O.IMAGE_OVERWRITE	FDP_RIP.1(a)	Satisfies	This SFR defines the ability of the TSF to overwrite user document data upon its deallocation.

## 6.2.3 Security requirements dependency analysis

The following table demonstrates the dependencies of the SFRs modeled in CC Part 2, [HCDPP] and [HCDPP-ERRATA], and how the SFRs for the TOE resolve those dependencies.

Security functional requirement	Dependencies CC Part 2	Dependencies HCDPP	Dependencies HCDPP Errata	Resolution
FAU_GEN.1	FPT_STM.1	FPT_STM.1	FPT_STM.1	FPT_STM.1
FAU_GEN.2	FAU_GEN.1	FAU_GEN.1	Not applicable	FAU_GEN.1
	FIA_UID.1	FIA_UID.1	Not applicable	FIA_UID.1
FAU_STG_EXT.1	Not applicable	FAU_GEN.1	Not applicable	FAU_GEN.1
	Not applicable	FTP_ITC.1	Not applicable	FTP_ITC.1
FCS_CKM.1(a)	[FCS_CKM.2 or FCS_COP.1]	[ <del>FCS_CKM.2, or</del> FCS_COP.1(b)]	[FCS_CKM.2, or FCS_COP.1(b) <del>]</del> FCS_COP.1(i)]	FCS_COP.1(b)
	FCS_CKM.4	Not applicable	Not applicable	This dependency has been removed by the PP.
	Not applicable	FCS_CKM_EXT.4	FCS_CKM_EXT.4	FCS_CKM_EXT.4
FCS_CKM.1(b)	[FCS_CKM.2 or FCS_COP.1]	[ <del>FCS_CKM.2, or</del> FCS_COP.1(f)]	[FCS_CKM.2, or FCS_COP.1(a) FCS_COP.1(d) FCS_COP.1(e) FCS_COP.1(f) <del>]</del> FCS_COP.1(g) FCS_COP.1(h)]	FCS_COP.1(a) FCS_COP.1(g)
	FCS_CKM.4	Not applicable	Not applicable	This dependency has been removed by the PP.
	Not applicable	FCS_CKM_EXT.4	FCS_CKM_EXT.4	FCS_CKM_EXT.4
	Not applicable	FCS_RBG_EXT.1	FCS_RBG_EXT.1	FCS_RBG_EXT.1
FCS_CKM_EXT.4	Not applicable	FCS_CKM.1(a) or FCS_CKM.1(b)	FCS_CKM.1(a) or FCS_CKM.1(b)	FCS_CKM.1(a) FCS_CKM.1(b)
	Not applicable	FCS_CKM.4	FCS_CKM.4	FCS_CKM.4
FCS_CKM.4	[FDP_ITC.1 or FDP_ITC.2 or FCS_CKM.1]	FCS_CKM.1(a) or FCS_CKM.1(b)	FCS_CKM.1(a) or FCS_CKM.1(b)	FCS_CKM.1(a) FCS_CKM.1(b)

Security functional requirement	Dependencies CC Part 2	Dependencies HCDPP	Dependencies HCDPP Errata	Resolution
FCS_COP.1(a)	[FDP_ITC.1 or FDP_ITC.2 or FCS_CKM.1]	[ <del>FDP_ITC.1, or</del> F <del>DP_ITC.2, or</del> FCS_CKM.1(b)]	Not applicable	FCS_CKM.1(b)
	FCS_CKM.4	Not applicable	Not applicable	This dependency has been removed by the PP.
	Not applicable	FCS_CKM_EXT.4	Not applicable	FCS_CKM_EXT.4
FCS_COP.1(b)	[FDP_ITC.1 or FDP_ITC.2 or FCS_CKM.1]	[ <del>FDP_ITC.1, or</del> F <del>DP_ITC.2, or</del> F <del>CS_CKM.1</del> ]	[ <del>FDP_ITC.1, or</del> F <del>DP_ITC.2, or</del> F <del>CS_CKM.1</del> FCS_CKM.1(a)]	RSA keys are imported by the TOE via X.509v3 certificates, not generated by the TOE. FCS_CKM.1(a) is for the generation of DH and DSA keys.
	FCS_CKM.4	Not applicable	Not applicable	This dependency has been removed by the PP.
	Not applicable	FCS_CKM_EXT.4	FCS_CKM_EXT.4	FCS_CKM_EXT.4
FCS_COP.1(c)	[FDP_ITC.1 or FDP_ITC.2 or FCS_CKM.1]	No dependencies	Not applicable	This dependency has been removed by the PP.
	FCS_CKM.4	No dependencies	Not applicable	This dependency has been removed by the PP.
FCS_COP.1(g)	[FDP_ITC.1 or FDP_ITC.2 or FCS_CKM.1]	[ <del>FDP_ITC.1, or</del> F <del>DP_ITC.2, or</del> FCS_CKM.1(b)]	[FDP_ITC.1, or FDP_ITC.2, or FCS_CKM.1(b)]	FCS_CKM.1(b)
	FCS_CKM.4	Not applicable	Not applicable	This dependency has been removed by the PP.
	Not applicable	FCS_CKM_EXT.4	FCS_CKM_EXT.4	FCS_CKM_EXT.4
FCS_IPSEC_EXT.1	Not applicable	Not applicable	FCS_CKM.1(a)	FCS_CKM.1(a)

Security functional requirement	Dependencies CC Part 2	Dependencies HCDPP	Dependencies HCDPP Errata	Resolution
	Not applicable	FCS_COP.1(g)	FCS_COP.1(a) FCS_COP.1(b) FCS_COP.1(c) FCS_COP.1(g)	FCS_COP.1(a) FCS_COP.1(b) FCS_COP.1(c) FCS_COP.1(g)
	Not applicable	Not applicable	FCS_RBG_EXT.1	FCS_RBG_EXT.1
	Not applicable	FIA_PSK_EXT.1	FIA_PSK_EXT.1	FIA_PSK_EXT.1
FCS_KYC_EXT.1	Not applicable	FCS_COP.1(e)	Not applicable	FCS_COP.1(e) is excluded from the ST. See Section 6.2.4 for exclusion rationale.
	Not applicable	FCS_COP.1(i)	Not applicable	FCS_COP.1(i) is excluded from the ST. See Section 6.2.4 for exclusion rationale.
	Not applicable	FCS_COP.1(f)	Not applicable	FCS_COP.1(f) is excluded from the ST. See Section 6.2.4 for exclusion rationale.
	Not applicable	FCS_KDF_EXT.1	Not applicable	FCS_KDF_EXT.1 is excluded from the ST. See Section 6.2.4 for exclusion rationale.
	Not applicable	FCS_SMC_EXT.1	Not applicable	FCS_SMC_EXT.1 is excluded from the ST. See Section 6.2.4 for exclusion rationale.
FCS_RBG_EXT.1	Not applicable	No dependencies	Not applicable	
FDP_ACC.1	FDP_ACF.1	FDP_ACF.1	FDP_ACF.1	FDP_ACF.1
FDP_ACF.1	FDP_ACC.1	FDP_ACC.1	FDP_ACC.1	FDP_ACC.1
	FMT_MSA.3	FMT_MSA.3	FMT_MSA.3	FMT_MSA.3

Security functional requirement	Dependencies CC Part 2	Dependencies HCDPP	Dependencies HCDPP Errata	Resolution
FDP_DSK_EXT.1	Not applicable	FCS_COP.1(d)	Not applicable	FCS_COP.1(d) is excluded from the ST. See Section 6.2.4 for exclusion rationale.
FDP_RIP.1(a)	No dependencies	No dependencies	Not applicable	
FIA_AFL.1	FIA_UAU.1	FIA_UAU.1	Not applicable	FIA_UAU.1
FIA_ATD.1	No dependencies	No dependencies	Not applicable	
FIA_PMG_EXT.1	Not applicable	No dependencies	Not applicable	
FIA_PSK_EXT.1	Not applicable	FCS_RBG_EXT.1	Not applicable	FCS_RBG_EXT.1
FIA_UAU.1	FIA_UID.1	FIA_UID.1	Not applicable	FIA_UID.1
FIA_UAU.7	FIA_UAU.1	FIA_UAU.1	Not applicable	FIA_UAU.1
FIA_UID.1	No dependencies	No dependencies	Not applicable	
FIA_USB.1	FIA_ATD.1	FIA_ATD.1	Not applicable	FIA_ATD.1
FMT_MOF.1	FMT_SMR.1	FMT_SMR.1	Not applicable	FMT_SMR.1
	FMT_SMF.1	FMT_SMF.1	Not applicable	FMT_SMF.1
FMT_MSA.1	[FDP_ACC.1 or FDP_IFC.1]	[FDP_ACC.1, <del>or</del> FDP_IFC.1]	Not applicable	FDP_ACC.1
	FMT_SMR.1	FMT_SMR.1	Not applicable	FMT_SMR.1
	FMT_SMF.1	FMT_SMF.1	Not applicable	FMT_SMF.1
FMT_MSA.3	FMT_MSA.1	FMT_MSA.1	Not applicable	FMT_MSA.1
	FMT_SMR.1	FMT_SMR.1	Not applicable	FMT_SMR.1
FMT_MTD.1	FMT_SMR.1	FMT_SMR.1	FMT_SMR.1	FMT_SMR.1
	FMT_SMF.1	FMT_SMF.1	FMT_SMF.1	FMT_SMF.1
FMT_SMF.1	No dependencies	No dependencies	No dependencies	
FMT_SMR.1	FIA_UID.1	FIA_UID.1	Not applicable	FIA_UID.1
FPT_KYP_EXT.1	Not applicable	No dependencies	No dependencies	
FPT_SKP_EXT.1	Not applicable	No dependencies	Not applicable	

Security functional requirement	Dependencies CC Part 2	Dependencies HCDPP	Dependencies HCDPP Errata	Resolution
FPT_STM.1	No dependencies	No dependencies	Not applicable	
FPT_TST_EXT.1	Not applicable	No dependencies	Not applicable	
FPT_TUD_EXT.1	Not applicable	[FCS_COP.1(b), or FCS_COP.1(c)]	FCS_COP.1(b), <del>or</del> FCS_COP.1(c) <del>]</del> .	FCS_COP.1(b) FCS_COP.1(c)
FTA_SSL.3	No dependencies	No dependencies	Not applicable	
FTP_ITC.1	No dependencies	[FCS_IPSEC_EXT. 1, or FCS_TLS_EXT.1, or FCS_SSH_EXT.1, or FCS_HTTPS_EXT. 1]	[FCS_IPSEC_EXT. 1, or FCS_TLS_EXT.1, or FCS_SSH_EXT.1, or FCS_HTTPS_EXT. 1]	FCS_IPSEC_EXT.1
FTP_TRP.1(a)	No dependencies	[FCS_IPSEC_EXT. 1, or FCS_TLS_EXT.1, or FCS_SSH_EXT.1, or FCS_HTTPS_EXT. 1]	[FCS_IPSEC_EXT. 1, or FCS_TLS_EXT.1, or FCS_SSH_EXT.1, or FCS_HTTPS_EXT. 1]	FCS_IPSEC_EXT.1

## 6.2.4 HCDPP SFR reconciliation

This ST excludes the follow SFRs found in [HCDPP].

### Table 37: HCDPP SFRs excluded from the ST

Excluded PP SFR	Туре	Rationale
FAU_SAR.1	Optional	Optional.
FAU_SAR.2	Optional	Optional.
FAU_STG.1	Optional	Optional.
FAU_STG.4	Optional	Optional.

Excluded PP SFR	Туре	Rationale
FCS_COP.1(d)	Selection-based	O.STORAGE_ENCRYPTION: FCS_COP.1(d) is for AES data encryption and decryption of stored data on field-replaceable nonvolatile storage devices by the TOE. The TOE does not perform AES data encryption and decryption of stored data on field- replaceable nonvolatile storage devices. Instead, the TOE uses an SED for data encryption and decryption. The SED performs its own data encryption and decryption.
FCS_COP.1(e)	Selection-based	O.STORAGE_ENCRYPTION: FCS_COP.1(e) is defined in [HCDPP] for key wrapping within the key chain. The TOE does not use key wrapping in the key chain; thus, key wrapping is not selected in FCS_KYC_EXT.1.
FCS_COP.1(f)	Selection-based	O.STORAGE_ENCRYPTION: FCS_COP.1(f) is defined in [HCDPP] for AES encryption of keys in the key chain. The TOE does not use symmetric encryption algorithms to encrypt keys in the key chain; thus, AES key encryption is not selected in FCS_KYC_EXT.1.
FCS_COP.1(h)	Selection-based	O.STORAGE_ENCRYPTION: FCS_COP.1(h) is defined in [HCDPP] for keyed-hash message authentication algorithms for creating the BEV. The TOE does not use HMACs to create the BEV.
FCS_COP.1(i)	Selection-based	O.STORAGE_ENCRYPTION: FCS_COP.1(i) is defined in [HCDPP] for key transport encryption within the key chain. The TOE does not use key transport encryption in the key chain; thus, key transport is not selected in FCS_KYC_EXT.1.
FCS_HTTPS_EXT.1	Selection-based	All communication channels are protected by IPsec. See FCS_IPSEC_EXT.1 for more information.
FCS_KDF_EXT.1	Selection-based	O.STORAGE_ENCRYPTION: FCS_KDF_EXT.1 is defined in [HCDPP] for generating intermediate keys. The TOE does not generate or use intermediate keys related to O.STORAGE_ENCRYPTION.
FCS_PCC_EXT.1	Selection-based	O.STORAGE_ENCRYPTION: FCS_PCC_EXT.1 is defined in [HCDPP] for cryptographic password construction and conditioning of the BEV. The TOE generates the BEV from the RBG instead of from a password.
FCS_SMC_EXT.1	Selection-based	O.STORAGE_ENCRYPTION: FCS_SMC_EXT.1 is defined in [HCDPP] for submask combining. The TOE does not use submask combining in the key chain; thus, submask combining is not selected in FCS_KYC_EXT.1.

Excluded PP SFR	Туре	Rationale
FCS_SNI_EXT.1	Selection-based	O.STORAGE_ENCRYPTION: FCS_SNI_EXT.1 is defined in [HCDPP] for generation of salts, nonces, and initialization vectors when manual entry of a drive encryption passphrase is supported by the TOE. The TOE does not support manual entry of a drive encryption passphrase.
FCS_SSH_EXT.1	Selection-based	All communication channels are protected by IPsec. See FCS_IPSEC_EXT.1 for more information.
FCS_TLS_EXT.1	Selection-based	All communication channels are protected by IPsec. See FCS_IPSEC_EXT.1 for more information.
FDP_RIP.1(b)	Optional	O.PURGE_DATA is not supported in the evaluated configuration.

# 6.3 Security Assurance Requirements

The security assurance requirements (SARs) for the TOE correspond to the following assurance components: ASE\_CCL.1, ASE\_ECD.1, ASE\_INT.1, ASE\_OBJ.1, ASE\_REQ.1, ASE\_SPD.1, ASE\_TSS.1, ADV\_FSP.1, AGD\_OPE.1, AGD\_PRE.1, ALC\_CMC.1, ALC\_CMS.1, ATE\_IND.1 and AVA\_VAN.1.

The following table shows the SARs, and the operations performed on the components according to CC part 3: iteration (Iter.), refinement (Ref.), assignment (Ass.) and selection (Sel.).

Security assurance	G	G	Operations			
class	Security assurance requirement	Source	Iter.	Ref.	Ass.	Sel.
ASE Security Target	ASE_CCL.1 Conformance claims	CC Part 3	No	No	No	No
evaluation	ASE_ECD.1 Extended components definition	CC Part 3	No	No	No	No
	ASE_INT.1 ST introduction	CC Part 3	No	No	No	No
	ASE_OBJ.1 Security objectives for the operational environment	CC Part 3	No	No	No	No
	ASE_REQ.1 Stated security requirements	CC Part 3	No	No	No	No
	ASE_SPD.1 Security problem definition	CC Part 3	No	No	No	No
	ASE_TSS.1 TOE summary specification	CC Part 3	No	No	No	No
ADV Development	ADV_FSP.1 Basic functional specification	CC Part 3	No	No	No	No
AGD Guidance	AGD_OPE.1 Operational user guidance	CC Part 3	No	No	No	No
documents	AGD_PRE.1 Preparative procedures	CC Part 3	No	No	No	No

### Table 38: Security assurance requirements

Security assurance	Samulta anno 10 million ant	Samoa	Operations			
class	Security assurance requirement	Source	Iter.	Ref.	Ass.	Sel.
ALC Life-cycle	ALC_CMC.1 Labelling of the TOE	CC Part 3	No	No	No	No
support	ALC_CMS.1 TOE CM coverage	CC Part 3	No	No	No	No
ATE Tests	ATE_IND.1 Independent testing - conformance	CC Part 3	No	No	No	No
AVA Vulnerability assessment	AVA_VAN.1 Vulnerability survey	CC Part 3	No	No	No	No

# 6.4 Security Assurance Requirements Rationale

The rationale for choosing these security assurance requirements is that they define a minimum security baseline that is based on the anticipated threat level of the attacker, the security of the Operational Environment in which the TOE is deployed, and the relative value of the TOE itself. The assurance activities throughout the PP are used to provide tailored guidance on the specific expectations for completing the security assurance requirements.

# 7 TOE Summary Specification

## 7.1 TOE Security Functionality

The TSS page numbers in Table 39 provide a quick index to each SFR's TSS entry in Table 40 of the next section.

SFR	TSS page	SFR	TSS page	SFR	TSS page	SFR	TSS page
FAU_GEN.1	85	FCS_IPSEC_EXT.1	103	FIA_UAU.1	115	FPT_SKP_EXT.1	129
FAU_GEN.2	92	FCS_KYC_EXT.1	108	FIA_UAU.7	118	FPT_STM.1	130
FAU_STG_EXT.1	92	FCS_RBG_EXT.1	108	FIA_UID.1	119	FPT_TST_EXT.1	131
FCS_CKM.1(a)	93	FDP_ACC.1	109	FIA_USB.1	120	FPT_TUD_EXT.1	131
FCS_CKM.1(b)	95	FDP_ACF.1	109	FMT_MOF.1	122	FTA_SSL.3	132
FCS_CKM_EXT.4	95	FDP_DSK_EXT.1	110	FMT_MSA.1	124	FTP_ITC.1	133
FCS_CKM.4	95	FDP_RIP.1(a)	111	FMT_MSA.3	125	FTP_TRP.1(a)	133
FCS_COP.1(a)	98	FIA_AFL.1	112	FMT_MTD.1	125		
FCS_COP.1(b)	99	FIA_ATD.1	113	FMT_SMF.1	128		
FCS_COP.1(c)	100	FIA_PMG_EXT.1	114	FMT_SMR.1	128		
FCS_COP.1(g)	102	FIA_PSK_EXT.1	114	FPT_KYP_EXT.1	129		

### Table 39: TSS index

### 7.1.1 TOE SFR compliance rationale

Table 40 provides the rationale for how the TOE complies with each of the SFRs in Section 6.1. Table 40 uses the following abbreviations.

- AA—Assurance Activity
- n/a—Not applicable
- Resp—Response

### Table 40: TOE SFR compliance rationale

TOE SFRs	TOE SFR compliance rationale
FAU_GEN.1 (Audit generation)	Objective(s):O.AUDITSummary:The TOE generates audit records for the audit events specified in [HCDPP]. It also generates audit records for additional vendor-specific audit events defined in FAU_GEN.1.

TOE SFRs	TOE SFR compliance rational	le					
	To generate the proper set of audit events, the TOE's enhanced security event logging must be enabled. For information on this, see the TSS for FMT_MOF.1.						
	The complete audit record format and audit record details are provided in the [CCECG] in chapter 7 <i>Enhanced security event logging messages</i> in section <i>Syslog messages</i> . The [CCECG] groups the events into event categories in the section <i>Syslog messages</i> .						
	Table 41 provides a mapping of FAU_GEN.1. (The ST author's audit events listed in the [CCEC] events in the [CCECG] that map	intent is to not consume 30 page G], but to refer the ST reader to	s of the ST by repeating the the appropriate category of				
	Each audit record includes the d applicable), and the outcome (su		f event, subject identity (if				
		Table 41: TOE audit records					
	Auditable event	Additional information	CCECG "Syslog messages" category and records				
	Start-up and shutdown of the audit functions	None	Enhanced security event logging: Auditing was started during boot up Auditing was stopped using EWS Auditing was restarted using EWS				
	Job completion	Type of job	<ul> <li>Job completion:</li> <li>Email job completion</li> <li>Save (scan) to SharePoint job completion</li> <li>Save (scan) to Network Folder job completion</li> <li>Job Notification completion</li> </ul>				
	Unsuccessful user authentication	[HCDPP]: • None	Local device sign in: • Local Device sign-in method failed				

TOE SFRs	TOE SFR compliance ratio	nale	
			Windows sign in:         • Windows sign-in         method failed for the         specified user
			LDAP sign in: • LDAP sign-in method failed for the specified user
	Unsuccessful user identification	[HCDPP]: • None Vendor: • Attempted user identity	Same categories and records as the "Unsuccessful user authentication" auditable events
	Use of the management functions	None	Device administrator password: • Device Administrator Password modified
			Account lockout policy:• Account Lockout Policy enabled• Account Lockout Policy disabled• Account Lockout Policy setting modified
			Minimum password length         settings:         • Minimum Password         Length Policy         setting modified

TOE SFRs	TOE SFR compliance rationale
	Windows Sign In:         • Windows Sign In         enabled         • Windows Sign In         disabled         • Windows Sign In         configuration         modified
	LDAP Sign In: LDAP Sign In enabled LDAP Sign In disabled LDAP Sign In disabled LDAP Sign In disabled LDAP Sign In disabled Modified
	"Allow users to choose alternate sign-in methods at the product control panel" function:         • Sign In and Permission Policy settings modified
	Session inactivity timeout:         • Control Panel         Inactivity Timeout         Changed         • EWS Session         Timeout modified
	Permission set associations:     Default Permission     set for sign-in     method modified

TOE SFRs	TOE SFR compliance rationale
	<ul> <li>User to Permission Set Relationship added</li> <li>User to Permission Set Relationship deleted</li> <li>Group to Permission Set Relationship added</li> <li>Group to Permission Set Relationship added</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li><u>Custom permission sets:</u> <ul> <li>Permission Set added</li> <li>Permission Set modified</li> <li>Permission Set copied</li> <li>Permission Set deleted</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
	Permissions associated with permission sets:         • Permission Set modified         IPsec pre-shared keys:         • IPsec policy added         • IPsec policy modified         • IPsec policy deleted
	EX and identity contributes <u>used for IPsec authentication:</u> Device CA     certificate installed

TOE SFRs	TOE SFR compliance rational	le	
			<ul> <li>Device CA certificate deleted</li> <li>Device Identity certificate and private key installed</li> <li>Device Identity certificate for network identity selected</li> <li>Device Identity certificate deleted</li> <li>Device Identity certificate deleted</li> <li>Device Identity certificate deleted</li> <li>CCC logging started</li> <li>CCC logging stopped</li> <li>NTS configuration data:         <ul> <li>Date and Time configuration modified</li> </ul> </li> <li>Image overwrite option in "Managing Temporary Job Files":         <ul> <li>File Erase Mode for erasing temporary job files modified</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
	Modifications to the group of users that are part of a role	None	Network user to permissionset relationships:• User to PermissionSet Relationshipadded• User to PermissionSet Relationshipdeleted

TOE SFRs	TOE SFR compliance rational	le	
			Network group to permission set relationships:         • Group to Permission Set Relationship added         • Group to Permission Set Relationship deleted
	Changes to the time	[HCDPP]: • None Vendor: • New date and time • Old date and time	System time: • System time changed
	Failure to establish session (trusted channel/path)	<ul> <li>[HCDPP]:</li> <li>Reason for failure</li> <li>Vendor:</li> <li>Non-TOE endpoint of connection (e.g., IP address)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>IKEv1 phase 1 negotiations:         <ul> <li>IKEv1 phase 1 negotiation failed initiated by the remote peer</li> <li>IKEv1 phase 1 negotiation failed initiated by the local peer (TOE)</li> </ul> </li> <li>IKEv1 phase 2 negotiations:         <ul> <li>IKEv1 phase 2 negotiation failed initiated by the remote peer</li> <li>IKEv1 phase 2 negotiation failed initiated by the remote peer</li> <li>IKEv1 phase 2 negotiation failed initiated by the remote peer</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
	Locking an account	User name associated with account	Account entered lockout (protected) mode: Account Entered Lockout Mode

TOE SFRs	TOE SFR compliance rationale					
	Unlocking an account		User name associated with account	Account exited lockout (protected) mode: Account Exited Lockout Mode		
	AA	The evaluator shall check the TOE Summary Specification (TSS) to ensure that auditable events and its recorded information are consistent with the definition of the SFR.				
	Resp	Table 20 contains the au auditable events and rec	uditable events for FAU_GEN.1.	Table 41 contains the TSS		
FAU_GEN.2	<u>Object</u>	tive(s): O.AUDIT				
(Audit user identification)		<b>mmary</b> : Events resulting from actions of identified users are associated with the identity of e user that caused the event.				
	AA	The Assurance Activitie.	s for FAU_GEN.1 address this S	'FR.		
	Resp	n/a				
FAU_STG_EX	<u>Object</u>	tive(s): O.AUDIT				
T.1 (Audit trail storage)	storage channe	e and audit review. It uses	s the syslog protocol to transmit t	ernal syslog server for long-term the records over an IPsec d data and assured identification		
	<ul> <li>The TOE contains two in-memory audit record message queues. One queue is for network audit records (e.g., IKEv1 phase 1 negotiation events) generated and maintained by the Jetdirect Inside firmware, and the other queue is for HCD audit records (e.g., Control Panel Sign In events) generated and maintained by the System firmware. These in-memory message queues are not accessible through any TOE interface and, thus, are protected against unauthorized access.</li> <li>The network queue holds up to 15 audit records. New audit records are discarded when the network queue becomes full. The HCD queue holds up to 1000 audit records. New audit records replace the oldest audit records when the HCD queue becomes full.</li> <li>The TOE establishes a persistent connection to the external syslog server. An audit record is generated, added to a queue, immediately sent from the queue to the syslog server and written to the internal log file, then removed from the queue once the record has been successfully received by the syslog server.</li> </ul>					
	reestab	lish the connection where	(e.g., network outage), the TOE ve e each attempt lasts for approxim stablishment process again when	nately 30 seconds. If all attempts		

TOE SFRs	TOE S	TOE SFR compliance rationale					
		CD queue. Once the connection is reestablished, the records from both queues are liately sent to the syslog server.					
		FOE is powered off, any audit records remaining in the two in-memory messages queues time of power-off will be discarded.					
	the old record	The TOE also stores up to 2500 audit records in an internal log file on the SED replacing lest audit records with new audit records when the log file becomes full. These audit s can be exported via the EWS. In the evaluated configuration, access to the audit records function is restricted to U.ADMIN.					
	AA	The evaluator shall examine the TSS to ensure it describes the means by which the audit data are transferred to the external audit server, and how the trusted channel is provided. Testing of the trusted channel mechanism will be performed as specified in the associated assurance activities for the particular trusted channel mechanism.					
	Resp	The TOE uses the syslog protocol over an IPsec channel to transfer audit data to the external audit server.					
	AA	The evaluator shall examine the TSS to ensure it describes the amount of audit data that are stored locally; what happens when the local audit data store is full; and how these records are protected against unauthorized access. The evaluator shall also examine the operational guidance to determine that it describes the relationship between the local audit data and the audit data that are sent to the audit log server. For example, when an audit event is generated, is it simultaneously sent to the external server and the local store, or is the local store used as a buffer and "cleared" periodically by sending the data to the audit server.					
	Resp	There are two in-memory audit record message queues: network queue and HCD queue. The network queue holds up to 15 records and, if full, discards new records. The HCD queue holds up to 1000 records and, if full, replaces the oldest records with new records. When an audit record is added to a queue, it is immediately sent to the external syslog server (assuming a connection to the server exists) and written to the internal log file. Once an audit record is sent to the external syslog server, it is removed from the queue. No TOE interface is provided to access the two in-memory queues; thus, no unauthorized access is possible. Using the EWS or REST interface, U.ADMIN can export the audit records in the internal log file. Access to the audit records export function is restricted to U.ADMIN.					
FCS_CKM.1(a	<u>Objec</u>	tive(s): O.COMMS_PROTECTION					
) (Asymmetric key generation)	<b>Summary</b> : For IPsec IKEv1 KAS FFC, the TOE uses the DH key pair generation algorithm to establish a protected communication channel. A portion of the DH key generation algorithm is the same as the DSA key generation algorithm. Because of this, the CAVP testing for DH contains a prerequisite for testing the DSA key generation function used by the DH key						

TOE SFRs	TOE SFR compliance	rationale				
	generation function. Th FFC.	us, DSA key generation	is a prerequisite for and	included as part of KAS		
	<ul> <li>For KAS FFC, the TOE uses the DH ephemeral (dhEphem) scheme with SHA2-256 for key establishment as per the NIST Special Publication (SP) [SP800-56A-Rev3] standard Section 5.5.1.1 "FFC Domain Parameter Generation" tests FB and FC, Section 5.6.1.1 "FFC Key-Pair Generation," and Section 6.1.2.1 "dhEphem, C(2e, 0s, FFC DH) Scheme." The DH/DSA key pair generation supports the following values as per the [FIPS186-4] standard.</li> <li>L=2048, N=224</li> <li>L=2048, N=256</li> <li>L=3072, N=256</li> </ul>					
	For KAS FFC, any nec	essary key material is ob ined in FCS_RBG_EXT	•	ec 5.1		
	The TOE uses the HP F	FutureSmart QuickSec 5.	1 for all IKE/IPsec cryp	tography.		
	[SP800-56A-Rev3] star KDF was not tested thr by NIAP at the time of The TOE uses RSA-bas	The TOE does not implement the key derivation function (KDF) defined in the NIST SP [SP800-56A-Rev3] standard. Instead, the TOE implements the IPsec IKEv1 KDF. The IKEv1 KDF was not tested through the CAVP as CAVP testing of this KDF was considered optional by NIAP at the time of this evaluation. The TOE uses RSA-based X.509v3 certificates for IPsec/IKEv1 authentication using the IPsec				
	generation and verificat RSA certificates are get	authentication method. tion.) The TOE does not nerated by the Operation ir generation is not clain	perform RSA key pair g al Environment and imp	generation. Instead, the		
		Table 42: Asymm	etric key generation			
	Usage	Implementation	Algorithm	Modes and key sizes		
	IKE	HP FutureSmart QuickSec 5.1	DH (dhEphem)	SHA2-256		
	IKE			SHA2-256 L=2048, N=224; L=2048, N=256; L=3072, N=256		
	AA The evaluator s with 800-56A a indicate the sec	QuickSec 5.1 hall ensure that the TSS nd/or 800-56B, dependin tions in 800-56A and/or hall ensure that key estab	(dhEphem) DSA contains a description of ng on the selections made 800-56B that are imple	L=2048, N=224; L=2048, N=256; L=3072, N=256 of how the TSF complies le. This description shall mented by the TSF, and		

TOE SFRs	TOE S	SFR complia	nnce rationale				
	АА	alternative requirement the Key Ma	implementations allowers the TOE is to enfor	asions, processing that is not included in the documents, or tions allowed by the documents that may impact the security is to enforce shall be described in the TSS. The TSS may refer to Description (KMD), described in [HCDPP] Appendix F, that may to the public.			
	Resp		o TOE-specific extens TOE is the IKEv1 KI		ned in the Summary	v section, the KDF	
FCS_CKM.1(b ) (Symmetric key generation)	Summ CTR_l lock pa	<b>Objective(s):</b> O.COMMS_PROTECTION, O.STORAGE_ENCRYPTION <b>Summary</b> : The TOE uses the HP FutureSmart OpenSSL FIPS Object Module 2.0.4 CTR_DRBG(AES) defined in FCS_RBG_EXT.1 to generate the key used for the SED's driv lock password (BEV). Table 43 shows the purpose and key sizes generated and the standards which they conform. For information on how the TOE invokes the DRBG, see the [KMD]. Table 43: Symmetric key generation					
	Usag	e	Implementation	Purpose	Key size	Standard	
	Drive-lock password (BEV)		HP FutureSmart OpenSSL FIPS Object Module 2.0.4	BEV generation	256-bit	No standard	
	AA		valuator shall review the TSS to determine that it describes how the functionality bed by FCS_RBG_EXT.1 is invoked.				
	Resp	This inform	nation is provided in th	ne [KMD].			
FCS_CKM_E XT.4	<b>Objective(s)</b> : O.COMMS_PROTECTION, O.STORAGE_ENCRYPTION <b>Summary</b> : The TOE's plaintext secret and private cryptographic keys and cryptographic critical						
(Key material destruction)	<ul> <li>Summary. The FOE's plantext secret and private cryptographic keys and cryptographic critical security parameters (CSPs) are as follows.</li> <li>IPsec keys and key material (for O.COMMS_PROTECTION)</li> <li>Drive-lock password (for O.STORAGE_ENCRYPTION)</li> <li>TSS for FCS_CKM.4 contains an accounting of the keys and key material, when these values are no longer needed, and when to expect them to be destroyed.</li> </ul>						
	AA	AA The evaluator shall verify the TSS provides a high level description of what it means for keys and key material to be no longer needed and when then should be expected to be destroyed.					
	Resp	TSS for FC	S_CKM.4 contains th	e requested info	rmation on a per key	y basis.	
FCS_CKM.4 (Key destruction)	Summ	ary: As state	DMMS_PROTECTIO ed in the TSS for FCS and cryptographic cri	_CKM_EXT.4, 1	the TOE's plaintext	-	

TOE SFRs	TOE SFR com	pliance rational	le			
		keys and key mar				
		ns the list of the o longer needed		• •	•	-
	<u>Rationale for no</u>	o nonvolatile key	destruction			
	-	llowing keys res CKM.4 is not se		•		ction in the
	once by nonvol the TO the eva • IPsec F be stor • IPsec F	y the TOE in the latile storage (SP DE interfaces by a cluated configura Pre-shared keys- ed as ciphertext, RSA private key- tored as cipherte	e evaluated confi PI flash and EEP an administrator ation, thus, it is r —The PSKs are s not plaintext. —This private k	guration, stored ROM), is alway or non-administ never destroyed. stored on the SE ey is stored on th	in non-field rep s needed, is not trator, and is ne D and, thus, are he SED and, thu	viewable from ver modified in
	Secret type	Usage	Storage location	No longer needed	when destroyed	Destruction algorithm
	IPsec Diffie- Hellman (DH) private exponent	The private exponent used in DH exchange (generated by the TOE)	RAM	After DH shared secret generation	Power off	Power loss
	IPsec DH shared secret	Shared secret generated by the DH key exchange (generated by the TOE)	RAM	Session termination	Power off	Power loss

TOE SFRs	TOE SFR compliance rationale							
	IPsec SKEYID	Value derived from the shared secret within IKE exchange (generated by the TOE)	RAM	Session termination	Power off	Power loss		
	IPsec IKE session encrypt key	The IKE session encrypt key (generated by the TOE)	RAM	Session termination	Power off	Power loss		
	IPsec IKE session authenticatio n key	The IKE session authenticatio n key (generated by the TOE)	RAM	Session termination	Power off	Power loss		
	IPsec pre- shared key	The key used to generate the IKE SKEYID during pre- shared key authenticatio n (entered by the administrator )	RAM	After SKEYID generation	Power off	Power loss		
	IPsec IKE RSA private key	RSA private key for IKE authenticatio n	RAM	After session establishment	Power off	Power loss		
	IPsec encryption key	The IPsec encryption key (generated by the TOE)	RAM	Session termination	Power off	Power loss		

TOE SFRs	TOE S	TOE SFR compliance rationale						
	IPsec auther n key	nticatio	The IPsec authenticatio n key	RAM	Session termination	Power off	Power loss	
	Drive-lock password (BEV)		The SED password. Generated by the TOE.	RAM	After boot	Power off	Power loss	
	AA		luator shall veri l are destroyed.	fy the TSS provi	des a high level d	description of h	ow keys and key	
	Resp	The Sur	nmary section al	bove contains th	e requested info	rmation on a per	r key basis.	
FCS_COP.1(a)	<u>Object</u>	tive(s): O	.COMMS_PRO	TECTION				
(AES)			and IPsec support and decryption.	ort both AES CE	3C 128-bit and A	AES CBC 256-b	it for symmetric	
				•	ric encryption in PS197] and [SP8		RBG(AES) using the standards.	
	depend	lency on . Smart Op	AES ECB 256-b	it) for symmetri ject Module 2.0	c encryption in <b>(</b> .4 meeting both	CTR_DRBG(A)	VP testing, has a ES) using the HP [SP800-38A]	
					ES algorithms			
	Usage	e	Implem	entation	Algorithm	Mod sizes	es and key	
	IKE IPsec Drive-lock password (BEV)		HP Futur QuickSe		Data encryption		-CBC-128, -CBC-256	
					Encryption in CTR_DRBG(A		-ECB-256	
			HP Futur QuickSe		Data encryption and decryptionAES-CBC- AES-CBC-			
			HP Futur V) OpenSSI	reSmart L FIPS Object	AES encryption	n AES	-CTR-256	
			Module	2.0.4	AES encryption	n AES	-ECB-256	
	AA	None						
		lesp n/a						

TOE SFRs	TOE SFR compliance	rationale						
FCS_COP.1(b)	Objective(s): O.COMM	IS_PROTECTION, O.U	JPDATE_VERIFICATIO	ON				
(RSA)	uses the RSA 2048-bit a signature generation and generation is based on F signature verification is	and 3072-bit algorithms d verification) using HP PKCS#1 v1.5 and uses S based on PKCS#1 v1.5	for digital signature auth FutureSmart QuickSec 5	5.1. The RSA signature nd SHA2-512. The RSA -256, SHA2-384, and				
	PKCS#1 v1.5 for digita Total Pack 2017 R1 247 on trusted update, see th The TOE's TSF testing algorithm, and PKCS#1 FutureSmart Windows I implementation of the F	The TOE's trusted update function uses the RSA 2048-bit algorithm, SHA2-256 algorithm, and PKCS#1 v1.5 for digital signature verification. This function uses the HP FutureSmart Rebex Total Pack 2017 R1 2470159 implementation of the RSA 2048-bit algorithm. For more details on trusted update, see the TSS for FPT_TUD_EXT.1. The TOE's TSF testing (Whitelisting) function uses the RSA 2048-bit algorithm, SHA2-256 algorithm, and PKCS#1 v1.5 for digital signature verification. This function uses the HP FutureSmart Windows Mobile Enhanced Cryptographic Provider (RSAENH) 6.00.1937 implementation of the RSA 2048-bit algorithm. For more details on TSF testing, see the TSS for						
	FPT_TST_EXT.1.							
	All implementations meet the [FIPS186-4] standard. Table 46: Asymmetric algorithms for signature generation/verification							
	Usage	Implementation	Algorithm	Key sizes				
	IKE	HP FutureSmart QuickSec 5.1	RSA signature generation based on PKCS#1 v1.5 using SHA2-256, SHA2- 384, SHA2-512	2048-bits, 3072-bits				
			RSA signature verification based on PKCS#1 v1.5 using SHA-1, SHA2-256, SHA2-384, SHA2- 512	2048-bits, 3072-bits				
	Trusted update	HP FutureSmart Rebex Total Pack 2017 R1 2470159	RSA signature verification based on PKCS#1 v1.5 using SHA2-256	2048-bits				

TOE SFRs	TOE S	SFR compliance	rationale				
	TSF t	esting	HP FutureSmart Windows Mobile Enhanced Cryptographic Provider (RSAENH) 6.00.1937	RSA signature verification based on PKCS#1 v1.5 using SHA2-256	2048-bits		
	AA	None					
	Resp	n/a					
FCS_COP.1(c) (SHS)	•	O.UPDATE_VERIFICATION					
	<u>Summ</u>	lary:					
			ioning of text-based pre- nms as specified in FIA_		1, SHA2-256, and		
	IKE su	pports SHA2-250	6 for KAS FFC as specif	ied in FCS_CKM.1(a).			
	SHA2-		6, SHA2-384, and SHA2 and SHA2-512 for RSA	U			
		11	AC-SHA2-256, HMAC- and SHA2-512, respecti		-SHA2-512 which use		
	details genera	IKE uses the HP FutureSmart QuickSec 5.1 implementation for these algorithms. For more details on pre-shared keys, see the TSS for FIA_PSK_EXT.1. For more details on signature generation and verification, see the TSS for FCS_COP.1(b). For more details on the HMAC algorithms, see the TSS for FCS_COP.1(g).					
	<u>IPsec</u>						
			SHA-1, HMAC-SHA2-2 2-256, SHA2-384, and S				
			eSmart QuickSec 5.1 im gorithms, see the TSS fo	•	lgorithms. For more		
	<u>Trustee</u>	<u>d update</u>					

TOE SFRs	TOE SFR compliance rationale							
	verification. This f	The TOE's trusted update function uses the SHA2-256 algorithm for RSA digital signature verification. This function uses the HP FutureSmart Rebex Total Pack 2017 R1 2470159 implementation of the SHA2-256 algorithm. For more details on trusted update, see the TSS for EPT_TUD_EXT_1						
	<u>TSF testing</u>							
	signature verificati Cryptographic Prov	on. This function uses the	ion uses the SHA2-256 algo e HP FutureSmart Windows 937 implementation of the Sl r FPT_TST_EXT.1.	Mobile Enhanced				
	All implementation	ns meet the [ISO-10118-3	] standard.					
		Table 47	: SHS algorithms					
	Usage	Implementation	Purpose	Modes & key sizes				
	IKE	HP FutureSmart QuickSec 5.1	Pre-shared keys	SHA-1, SHA2-256, SHA2-512				
			KAS FFC	SHA2-256				
			RSA digital signature generation	SHA2-256, SHA2-384, SHA2-512				
			RSA digital signature verification	SHA-1, SHA2-256, SHA2-384, SHA2-512				
			НМАС	SHA2-256, SHA2-384, SHA2-512				
	IPsec	HP FutureSmart QuickSec 5.1	НМАС	SHA-1, SHA2-256, SHA2-384, SHA2-512				
	Trusted update	HP FutureSmart Rebex Total Pack 2017 R1 2470159	RSA digital signature verification	SHA2-256				

TOE SFRs	TOE S	SFR complia	nce rationale				
	TSF testing		HP FutureSmart Windows Mobile Enhanced Cryptographic Provider (RSAENH) 6.00.1937	RSA digital signature verification	SHA2-256		
	AA	cryptograph		essociation of the hash function e, the digital signature verifice			
	Resp	and SHA2-	•	t-based pre-shared keys using pecified in FIA_PSK_EXT.1. FIA_PSK_EXT.1.			
			ts SHA2-256 for KAS FFC as specified in TSS for FCS_CKM.1(a). For s on KAS FFC, see the TSS for FCS_CKM.1(a).				
		SHA-1, SH	rts SHA2-256, SHA2-384, and SHA2-512 for RSA signature generation and A2-256, SHA2-384, and SHA2-512 for RSA signature verification. For s on the signature generation and verification algorithms, see the TSS for 1(b).				
			supports HMAC algorithms using SHA2-256, SHA2-384, and SHA2-512. For ails on the HMAC algorithms, see the TSS for FCS_IPSEC_EXT.1.				
		SHA2-512	pports HMAC-SHA-1, HMAC-SHA2-256, HMAC-SHA2-384, and HMAC- 2 which use SHA-1, SHA2-256, SHA2-384, and SHA2-512, respectively. For ails on the HMAC algorithms, see the TSS for FCS_COP.1(g).				
			d update, the RSA digital signature verification uses the SHA2-256 hash For more details on digital signatures in trusted update, see the TSS for D_EXT.1.				
		For TSF testing (Whitelisting), the RSA digital signature verification uses the SHA2-256 hash algorithm. For more details on digital signatures in TSF testing, see the TSS for FPT_TST_EXT.1.					
FCS_COP.1(g) (HMAC)	<u>Objec</u>	Objective(s): O.COMMS_PROTECTION					
	IKE su 48 usin specifi actual	Summary: IKE supports the keyed-hash message authentication algorithms and key sizes specified in Table 48 using HP FutureSmart QuickSec 5.1 meeting [FIPS180-4] (which supersedes FIPS 180-3 specified in the SFR) and [FIPS198-1]. IKE uses truncated HMACs. Table 48 also shows the actual digest sizes and the IPsec truncated digest sizes. For more details on the required HMAC algorithms, see the TSS for FCS_IPSEC_EXT.1.					

TOE SFRs	TOE S	SFR complia	ance rationale			
	48 usir specifi IPsec u digest	ng HP Future ed in the SF uses truncate	eSmart QuickSec 5. R) and [FIPS198-1] d HMACs. Table 4 ore details on the re	1 meeting [FIPS18 8 also shows the ac	0-4] (which sup	
			Table	e 48: HMAC algo	rithms	
	Usag	e	Implementation	Algorithm	Key size	Actual/Trunc. Digest size
	IKE		HP FutureSmart QuickSec 5.1	HMAC-SHA2- 256	256 bits	256/128 bits
				HMAC-SHA2- 384	384 bits	384/192 bits
				HMAC-SHA2- 512	512 bits	512/256 bits
	IPsec		HP FutureSmart QuickSec 5.1	HMAC-SHA1- 96	160 bits	160/96 bits
				HMAC-SHA2- 256-128	256 bits	256/128 bits
				HMAC-SHA2- 384-192	384 bits	384/192 bits
				HMAC-SHA2- 512-256	512 bits	512/256 bits
	AA	None				
	Resp	n/a				
FCS_IPSEC_E	<u>Objec</u>	t <b>ive(s)</b> : 0.C0	OMMS_PROTECT	ION		
XT.1 (IPsec)	O.COM manag	<b>Summary</b> : The TOE uses IPsec to protect all communication channels required to satisfy O.COMMS_PROTECTION. IPsec must be enabled in the evaluated configuration. The management function for enabling IPsec is specified in the TSS for FMT_MOF.1.				
	Securit (ISAK	ty Payload (I MP), and Int	n PSKs and X.509v. ESP), Internet Secur ternet Key Exchang	rity Association an e version 1 (IKEv1	d Key Managen	
	IKEv1		e following cryptog hem) P=2048, SHA		<b>f</b> .1(a))	

TOE SFRs	TOE SFR compliance rationale
	<ul> <li>DSA (FCS_CKM.1(a)) <ul> <li>L=2048, N=224</li> <li>L=2048, N=256</li> <li>L=3072, N=256</li> </ul> </li> <li>RSA 2048-bit and 3072-bit signature generation/verification (FCS_COP.1(b))</li> <li>AES-CBC-128, AES-CBC-256, and AES-ECB-256 (FCS_COP.1(a))</li> <li>HMAC-SHA-1, HMAC-SHA2-256, HMAC-SHA2-384, and HMAC-SHA2-512 (FCS_COP.1(g))</li> <li>CTR_DRBG(AES) (FCS_RBG_EXT.1)</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>IPsec ESP supports the following cryptographic algorithms.</li> <li>AES-CBC-128 and AES-CBC-256 (FCS_COP.1(a))</li> <li>HMAC-SHA-1, HMAC-SHA2-256, HMAC-SHA2-384, and HMAC-SHA2-512 (FCS_COP.1(g))</li> </ul>
	The TOE imports the RSA keys—in the form of X.509v3 certificates—used by IKEv1 in the evaluated configuration. It does not generate RSA keys. During the TOE's initial configuration, the administrator imports the TOE's RSA-based identity certificate and the matching RSA-based Certificate Authority (CA) root certificate from the Operational Environment as described in the [CCECG] section <i>Certificates</i> . The administrator also imports any other RSA-based CA certificates necessary for peer authentication. For more information on the TOE's certificate management capabilities, see the TSS for FMT_MTD.1 for certificate importing.
	IPsec IKEv1 supports DH/DSA in phase 1 to establish a protected connection using KAS FFC. Random values generated for the KAS FFC are generated by the TOE using the CTR_DRBG(AES) DRBG specified in FCS_RBG_EXT.1 and described in the TSS for FCS_RBG_EXT.1. The CTR_DRBG(AES) DRBG uses the AES-ECB-256 algorithm.
	For IKEv1, the TOE supports peer authentication using either RSA-based digital signatures (RSA 2048-bit and 3072-bit) or pre-shared keys. IKEv1 uses only Main Mode for Phase 1 exchanges to provide identity protection. (Aggressive Mode is not supported and is not a configurable option.)
	The encrypted IKEv1 payloads are required to use either AES-CBC-128 or AES-CBC-256. No other payload algorithms are allowed in the evaluated configuration.
	<ul> <li>The TOE's IKEv1 supports the following DH Groups. The DH groups are specified using a defined group description as specified in [RFC3526].</li> <li>DH Group 14 (2048-bit MODP)</li> <li>DH Group 15 (3072-bit MODP)</li> <li>DH Group 16 (4096-bit MODP)</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>DH Group 17 (6144-bit MODP)</li> <li>DH Group 18 (8192-bit MODP)</li> </ul>

TOE SFRs	TOE SFR compliance rationale				
	All TOE cryptographic functions used by IKE are implemented in the HP FutureSmart QuickSec 5.1 ([QuickSec51]) which is produced by INSIDE Secure.				
	The TOE's Security Association (SA) lifetimes can be established based on the length of time, where the time values can be limited to 24 hours for Phase 1 SAs and 8 hours for Phase 2 SAs.				
	The TOE's IPsec processes packets following the policy order defined in the Security Policy Database (SPD). The first matching policy is used to process the packet. The final policy in the SPD matches all unmatched packets and causes the TOE to discard the packet.				
	The TOE's IPsec is conformant to the MUST/MUST NOT requirements of the following Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) Request for Comments (RFCs). • [RFC3602] for use of AES-CBC-128 and AES-CBC-256 in IPsec • [RFC4301] for IPsec • [RFC4303] for ESP • [RFC4304] for extended sequence numbers • [RFC2407] and [RFC2408] for ISAKMP • [RFC2409] and [RFC4109] for IKEv1 • [RFC4868] for SHA-2 HMAC in IPsec				
	<u>IPsec/Firewall</u>				
	The TOE's IPsec implementation contains a firewall. The firewall allows administrators to block and/or restrict access to TOE ports. Because [HCDPP] does not contain firewall requirements, the functionality of the firewall is not claimed in this ST, but its function is included in the packet processing description below.				
	Incoming packet processing				
	In a network context, the TOE is an endpoint versus being an intermediary such as a network switch. Thus, packets originate from and terminate at the TOE.				
	When the TOE receives an incoming packet, it determines whether or not the packet is destined for the TOE. If not destined for the TOE, the packet is discarded. If destined for the TOE, the firewall rules are applied. The firewall rules map address templates to service templates. In essence, the rules map IP addresses to ports. The default rule is to discard (i.e., drop) all packets that do not match a firewall rule. This default rule can be modified by an administrator. Also, if the packet is not an IPsec protected packet, the packet is discarded except for the DHCPv4/BOOTP, DHCPv6, ICMPv4, and ICMPv6 service packets which are bypassed. The TOE's simplicity of the rule configuration helps to avoid overlapping rules, but if one or more overlapping rules exist, the first matching rule is the rule that is enforced. Administrators can add, delete, enable, and disable rules as well as modify the processing order of existing rules.				
	If the packet is a request for a new connection, then the IKE negotiation is performed to establish SAs based on the connection rules in the SPD. This negotiation supports both pre- shared keys and certificates. Next, the packet is compared against the set of known SAs. If the packet fails to match an SA, the packet is discarded. The SA is checked to ensure that the SA's lifetime has not expired and that the amount of data allowed by the SA has not been exceeded. If				

TOE SFRs	TOE S	TOE SFR compliance rationale				
	any of these checks fail, the packet is discarded. If all the checks succeed, the IPsec portion of the packet processing is considered complete and the packet is processed as part of the connection's flow.					
	<u>Outgoi</u>	Outgoing packet processing				
	The TOE originates packets over established IPsec connections. Because of this, only protected (encrypted) packets are sent from the TOE to connected IT entities. The exceptions being for the DHCPv4/BOOTP, DHCPv6, ICMPv4, and ICMPv6 service packets which are bypassed. The TOE does not forward packets received from other devices.					
	Protected packets being transmitted are compared to the SPD rules for that interface. Again, the first matching rule applies. Packets matching an SPD rule are encrypted and sent to the IT entity. All other packets are discarded. If this is the first transmission, an SA is created based on the SPD connection rules.					
	AA	<u>As per NIAP Technical Decision</u> [CCEVS-TD0157] FCS_IPSEC_EXT.1.1: The evaluator shall examine the TSS and determine that it describes what takes place when packet is processed by the TOE, e.g., the algorithm used to process the packet. The TSS describes how the SPD is implemented and the rules for processing both inbound and outbound packets in terms of the IPsec policy. The TSS describes the rules that are available and the resulting actions available after matching a rule. The TSS describes how those rules and actions form the SPD in terms of the BYPASS (e.g., no encryption), DISCARD (e.g., drop the packet) and PROTECT (e.g., encrypt the packet) actions defined in RFC 4301.				
		As noted in section 4.4.1 of [RFC4301], the processing of entries in the SPD is non- trivial and the evaluator shall determine that the description in the TSS is sufficient to determine which rules will be applied given the rule structure implemented by the TOE. For example, if the TOE allows specification of ranges, conditional rules, etc., the evaluator shall determine that the description of rule processing (for both inbound and outbound packets) is sufficient to determine the action that will be applied, especially in the case where two different rules may apply. This description shall cover both the initial packets (that is, no SA is established on the interface or for that particular packet) as well as packets that are part of an established SA.				
	Resp	The Summary section above provides a description of the packet processing.				
	AA	FCS_IPSEC_EXT.1.2: The evaluator checks the TSS to ensure it states that the VPN can be established to operate in tunnel mode and/or transport mode (as selected).				
	Resp	The VPN operates in transport mode only in the evaluated configuration.				
	AA	FCS_IPSEC_EXT.1.3: The evaluator shall examine the TSS to verify that the TSS provides a description of how a packet is processed against the SPD and that if no "rules" are found to match, that a final rule exists, either implicitly or explicitly, that causes the network packet to be discarded.				

Database policy in the	
icket.	
the symmetric hm, if AES- SHA-based Cryptographic	
ИАС-SHA2-	Resp
IKEv1 and/or	АА
	Resp
lgorithms used AES-CBC-128, he requirement,	
bad.	Resp
t, in the ressive mode is It may be that	
supported and	Resp
roups specified re than one w a particular	
ed in	Resp
t description of option covers ent.	
keys.	<b>├───</b>
t, in the ressive mo It may be t supported roups spec re than on- w a partic ed in ed in	AA Resp AA Resp AA

TOE SFRs	TOE SFR compliance rationale						
FCS_KYC_EX	Objective(s): O.STORAGE_ENCRYPTION						
T.1 (Key chaining)	<b>Summary</b> : The TOE uses a 256-bit drive-lock password (a.k.a. BEV) to unlock the TOE's field-replaceable SED. This BEV is stored as a key chain of one in a non-field replaceable nonvolatile storage (SPI flash and EEPROM) located inside the TOE. The TOE generates this BEV by making a single invocation request for 256-bits of data from the HP FutureSmart OpenSSL FIPS Object Module 2.0.4 DRBG specified in FCS_RBG_EXT.1.						
	The BEV is automatically generated by the TOE when the TOE is first initialized and stored in non-field replaceable, nonvolatile memory. Afterwards, the BEV is never changed in the evaluated configuration; therefore, there are no claimed security management functions for the BEV in this ST. It is also never destroyed. No interfaces are provided to view the BEV or to retrieve the BEV; therefore, the BEV is never seen by a human (i.e., it is only known by the TOE).						
	AA The evaluator shall verify the TSS contains a high-level description of the BEV sizes – that it supports BEV outputs of no fewer [than] 128 bits for products that support only AES-128, and no fewer than 256 bits for products that support AES-256.						
	Resp The drive-lock password (a.k.a. BEV) is a 256-bit binary value and generated using FCS_RBG_EXT.1.						
FCS_RBG_EX	<b>Objective</b> (s): O.COMMS_PROTECTION, O.STORAGE_ENCRYPTION						
T.1 (DRBG)	<b>Summary</b> : IKE and IPsec uses the CTR_DRBG(AES) DRBG algorithm from HP FutureSmart QuickSec 5.1. This DRBG supports the AES 256-bit algorithm. The AES-ECB-256 algorithm is claimed in FCS_COP.1(a).						
	The SED drive-lock password generation mechanism uses the CTR_DRBG(AES) algorithm from the HP FutureSmart OpenSSL FIPS Object Module 2.0.4 to generate the password (BEV). This DRBG supports the AES 256-bit algorithm. The AES-CTR-256 algorithm claimed in FCS_COP.1(a) for OpenSSL 2.0.4 is used by this DRBG.						
		Both DRBGs are seeded by a hardware-based entropy noise source. This entropy source provides at least 256 bits of minimum entropy.					
	Table 49: DRBG algorithms						
	Usage	e	Implementation	Modes and key sizes			
	IKE/IPsec		HP FutureSmart QuickSec 5.1	CTR_DRBG(AES-256)			
	Drive-lock password (BEV)		HP FutureSmart OpenSSL FIPS Object Module 2.0.4	CTR_DRBG(AES-256)			
	AA For any RBG services provided by a third party, the evaluator shall ensure the TSS includes a statement about the expected amount of entropy received from such a source, and a full description of the processing of the output of the third-party source. The evaluator shall verify that this statement is consistent with the selection made in FCS_RBG_EXT.1.2 for the seeding of the DRBG. If the ST specifies more than one						

TOE SFRs	TOE SFR compliance rationale		
		DRBG, the evaluator shall examine the TSS to verify that it identifies the usage of each DRBG mechanism.	
	Resp	The TOE implements two DRBGs. One is used by IKE/IPsec and the other is used for the SED drive-lock password (BEV) generation.	
FDP_ACC.1	<u>Object</u>	tive(s): O.ACCESS_CONTROL, O.USER_AUTHORIZATION	
(Subset access control)	<b>Summary</b> : [HCDPP] predefines the subjects, objects, and operations. Table 28 and Table 29 of this ST list these values and enumerates the operations between the subjects and objects.		
	AA	It is covered by assurance activities for FDP_ACF.1.	
	Resp	n/a	
FDP_ACF.1	<u>Object</u>	tive(s): O.ACCESS_CONTROL, O.USER_AUTHORIZATION	
(Security attribute based	Summ	ary: In this section, Table 28 is explained first followed by Table 29.	
access control)	<u>Scan</u> C	Create/Read/Modify/Delete D.USER.DOC in Table 28	
	In order to scan a document, the user must be logged into the TOE via the Control Panel. When the job is scanned, the job is owned by the logged in user. Neither an administrator (U.ADMIN) nor another user (U.NORMAL) can create a scan job under a different user identity. The job owner can create, read, and delete a scan job. The U.ADMIN can delete a scan job. By design, the D.USER.DOC information of a scan job cannot be modified by anyone.		
	Required security attributes: • Subject: Control Panel user identity/role • Object: Job owner		
	<u>Scan</u> C	Create/Read/Modify/Delete(Cancel) D.USER.JOB in Table 29	
	In order to scan a document, the user must be logged into the TOE via the Control Panel. When the job is scanned (i.e., created), the job is owned by the logged in user. Neither U.ADMIN nor another user can create a scan job under a different user identity. The job owner can create, view scan status/log, and cancel a scan job owned by the job owner. An administrator (U.ADMIN) can view the scan status/log, and cancel a scan job. Other U.NORMAL users can view the scan status, but not the scan log. By design, the D.USER.JOB information of a scan job cannot be modified by anyone.		
	Requir •	ed security attributes: Subject: Control Panel user identity/role Object: Job owner	
	AA	The evaluator shall check to ensure that the TSS describes the functions to realize SFP defined in Table 28 and Table 29.	
	Resp	See the description above.	
	<u>Object</u>	tive(s): O.STORAGE_ENCRYPTION	

TOE SFRs	TOE SFR compliance rationale		
FDP_DSK_EX T.1 (Disk data	<b><u>Summary</u></b> : The TOE contains one field-replaceable, nonvolatile storage device. This storage device is a disk-based self-encrypting drive (SED).		
protection)	HCDPP] states that SEDs must be CC certified using the Full Disk Encryption (FDE) ncryption Engine (EE) collaborative PP (cPP). The field-replaceable SED model used by TOE nodels is CC certified using the FDE EE cPP.		
	<ul> <li>he following is the product name, model, hardware version, and firmware version for the SED:</li> <li>Name: Seagate Secure® TCG SSC Self-Encrypting Drive</li> <li>Model: ST500LM033</li> <li>Hardware version: 1RD17D</li> </ul>		
	• Firmware version: RTE2		
	<ul> <li>he following is information for the CC certification of the SED:</li> <li>NIAP: VID11209</li> </ul>		
	• Security Target: Version 1.2, May 20, 2022		
	The SED performs all of the storage encryption and decryption internally (i.e., the SED corresponds to the FDE EE) without any TOE or user intervention. The encryption and decryption implementation is built into the SED. The data is encrypted and stored by the SEI the SED receives the data. The SED decrypts the data when a read request is made. The stan Serial AT Attachment (SATA) interface is used to interface the TOE to the drive.		
	The TOE provides an SED drive-lock password (a.k.a. BEV) to the SED. The SED uses this password to decrypt the symmetric key it uses to encrypt and decrypt the data on the SED (i.e. the TOE corresponds the FDE AA). Only when the TOE provides the correct password to the SED can the SED's symmetric key be decrypted.		
	The TOE generates the initial drive-lock password when the TOE is initialized and stores it in the TOE's internal non-field replaceable nonvolatile storage (SPI flash and EEPROM). This password is never changed and is not accessible by any user.		
	SEDs typically have a small portion of space on the drive that is not encrypted. This unencrypted space is used by the drive to store its own key chains needed to encrypt and decrypt the rest of the storage. The SED uses the drive-lock password (BEV) provided by the TOE to encrypt and decrypt this key chain. The TOE has no control over this unencrypted space.		
	or more information on the SED drive-lock password, see the TSS for FCS_KYC_EXT.1.		
	A As per NIAP Technical Decision [CCEVS-TD0176]		
	If the self-encrypting device option is selected, the device must be certified in conformance to the current Full Disk Encryption Protection Profile. The tester shall confirm that the specific SED is listed in the TSS, documented and verified to be CC certified against the FDE EE cPP.		
	The evaluator shall examine the TSS to ensure that the description is comprehensive in how the data is written to the Device and the point at which the encryption function is applied.		

TOE SFRs	TOE SFR compliance rationale		
		For the cryptographic functions that are provided by the Operational Environment, the evaluator shall check the TSS to ensure it describes the interface(s) used by the TOE to invoke this functionality.	
		The evaluator shall verify that the TSS describes the initialization of the Device at shipment of the TOE, or by the activities the TOE performs to ensure that it encrypts all the storage devices entirely when a user or administrator first provisions the Device. The evaluator shall verify the TSS describes areas of the Device that it does not encrypt (e.g., portions that do not contain confidential data boot loaders, partition tables, etc.). If the TOE supports multiple Device encryptions, the evaluator shall examine the administration guidance to ensure the initialization procedure encrypts all Devices.	
	Resp	The Summary section above provides the necessary description for this assurance activity.	
FDP_RIP.1(a)	<u>Object</u>	tive(s): O.IMAGE_OVERWRITE	
(Subset residual information	<b>Note:</b> The O.IMAGE_OVERWRITE objective limits the scope of this requirement to field-replaceable nonvolatile storage devices.		
protection)	<b>Summary</b> : User document data are stored on a field-replaceable nonvolatile storage device, specifically a disk drive that is also an SED. This user document data is stored in the form of job files. When a job file is deleted (either automatically by the system or by request of a user), the TOE will overwrite the file.		
	The TOE calls this image overwrite feature "Managing Temporary Job Files." This feature contains three options of which only two are allowed to be used in the evaluated configuration. This restriction is documented in the [CCECG] section <i>Managing temporary job files</i> and must be enforced by the administrator.		
	<ul> <li>The administrator can select between either one of these two allowed options.</li> <li>Secure Fast Erase (overwrite 1 time)</li> </ul>		
	•	Secure Sanitize Erase (overwrite 3 times)	
	Secure Fast Erase overwrites a job file once using a static byte value of 0x48. Then the file is unlinked (deallocated) from the file system and the disk blocks comprising the file reassigned to free space in the file system.		
	Secure Sanitize Erase overwrites a job file three times. The first pass uses a static byte value of 0x48. The second pass uses a static byte value of 0xB7. The third pass uses pseudo-random values. Then, the file is unlinked (deallocated) from the file system and the disk blocks comprising the file reassigned to free space in the file system.		
	The third option is called "Non-Secure Fast Erase (no overwrite)." This option must not be selected in the evaluated configuration.		
	AA	The evaluator shall examine the TSS to ensure that the description is comprehensive in describing where image data is stored and how and when it is overwritten.	

TOE SFRs	TOE SFR compliance rationale		
	Resp	The TOE has one field-replaceable, nonvolatile storage device. User document data is in the form of job files on this storage device. When a job file is deleted (either automatically by the system or by requested of a user), the TOE will overwrite the file.	
		The administrator can select between two options of file overwrite performed by the TOE. The Secure Fast Erase option performs a single pass overwrite using a static value. The Secure Sanitize Erase option performs a three pass overwrite where the first pass uses a static value, the second pass uses a different static value, and the third pass uses pseudo-random values. After the overwrite completes, the file is unlinked (deallocated) from the file system.	
FIA_AFL.1	<u>Objec</u>	tive(s): O.USER_I&A	
(Authentication failure handling)	<b>Summary</b> : This SFR applies to the Local Device Sign In mechanism (used by the Control Panel, EWS, and REST interfaces). The only account associated with this mechanism is the Device Administrator account.		
	The lo	ckout mechanism uses the following control values.	
	•	Account lockout maximum attempts	
	•	Account lockout interval	
	•	Account reset lockout counter interval	
	The account lockout maximum attempts value allows an administrator to control the number of failed authentication attempts on an account before the account is locked. The administrator can choose a value between 3 and 10 inclusively. Consecutive failed authentication attempts using the same authentication credential count as a single failed authentication attempt. The counted failed attempts must happen within the value set for the account reset lockout counter interval value; otherwise, the maximum attempts counter is reset to zero. When the maximum attempts count has been met, the account is locked for the amount of time specified by the account lockout interval value.		
	The account lockout interval value allows an administrator to control the length of time that the account remains locked. The administrator can choose a value between 60 seconds (1 minute) and 1800 seconds (30 minutes) inclusively in the evaluated configuration.		
	The account reset lockout counter interval value allows an administrator to specify the time (in seconds) in which the failed login attempts must occur before the account lockout maximum attempts counter is reset to zero. This value must be equal to or greater than the account lockout interval value.		
	AA	The evaluator shall check to ensure that the TSS contains a description of the actions in the case of authentication failure (types of authentication events, the number of unsuccessful authentication attempts, actions to be conducted), which is consistent with the definition of the SFR.	
	Resp	When the administrator specified 3 to 10 authentication failures on an account are met, the account is locked for the period of time specified by the lockout interval. Caveats are:	

TOE SFRs	TOE SFR compliance rationale
	<ul> <li>Consecutive failed authentication attempts using the same authentication credential count as a single failed authentication attempt.</li> <li>The failures must occur during the time value specified by the account reset lockout counter interval value; otherwise, the account lockout maximum attempts counter is reset to zero.</li> </ul>
FIA_ATD.1	Objective(s): O.USER_AUTHORIZATION
(User attribute definition)	Summary:
definition)	Control Panel users
	For Internal Authentication (i.e., the Local Device Sign In method), only one account exists in the evaluated configuration: Device Administrator. This account is a built-in account and is permanently assigned the Device Administrator PS which makes its role U.ADMIN. The user identifier is the Display name and the authenticator is a password. The Device Administrator Password's composition requirements are defined in FIA_PMG_EXT.1.
	For each External Authentication method (i.e., LDAP Sign In and Windows Sign In), the user identifiers and passwords are stored on and verified by the External Authentication server. Also, the network group memberships are stored on the External Authentication server. Because these security attributes are not stored on and maintained by the TOE, they are not listed in FIA_ATD.1.
	User accounts from External Authentication methods are known as network user accounts. Each network user account can have zero or one PS (i.e., network user PS) associated with it that is used in calculating the user's session PS (i.e., the user's role). These PSs are stored on and maintained by the TOE. User session PS formulas are provided in FIA_USB.1 and described in the TSS for FIA_USB.1.
	<u>EWS users</u>
	The EWS authentication works very similarly to the Control Panel authentication.
	For Internal Authentication (i.e., the Local Device Sign In method), only one account exists in the evaluated configuration: Device Administrator. This account is a built-in account and is permanently assigned the Device Administrator PS which makes its role U.ADMIN. It contains a user identifier known as the Display name and a password known as the Device Administrator Password. The Device Administrator Password's composition requirements are defined in FIA_PMG_EXT.1.
	For each External Authentication method (i.e., LDAP Sign In and Windows Sign In), the user identifiers and passwords are stored on and verified by the External Authentication server. Also, the network group memberships are stored on the External Authentication server. Because these security attributes are not stored on and maintained by the TOE, they are not listed in FIA_ATD.1.
	<u>REST users</u>

TOE SFRs	TOE SFR compliance rationale			
	For the REST interface, this interface is an administrator-only interface used to manage the TOE over IPsec.			
	which Display mainta Windo	ernal Authentication, the REST interface supports the Local Device Sign In method requires the administrator to authenticate using the Device Administrator account. The y name is used as the identifier and password is used as the authenticator. Both are ined internally by the TOE. For External Authentication, the REST interface supports the ws Sign In method which requires the user to be associated with the Device istrator permission set.		
	AA	The evaluator shall check to ensure that the TSS contains a description of the user security attributes that the TOE uses to implement the SFR, which is consistent with the definition of the SFR.		
	Resp	See the Summary section above.		
FIA_PMG_EX	<u>Object</u>	tive(s): O.USER_I&A		
T.1 (Password management)	<ul> <li>Summary: The TOE manages the following password.</li> <li>Device Administrator Password</li> </ul>			
	This value is composed of any combination of upper and lower case letters, numbers, and the special characters specified in FIA_PMG_EXT.1. Its length is configurable by the administrator and can be set to have a minimum of 15 or more characters. For more information on the TOE's password length management capabilities, see the TSS for FMT_MTD.1.			
	The Device Administrator Password is used by the Control Panel, EWS, and REST interfaces and can be managed through the EWS.			
	AA	None		
	Resp	n/a		
FIA_PSK_EX	<u>Object</u>	tive(s): O.COMMS_PROTECTION		
T.1 (Pre-shared key	<b>Summary</b> : The TOE supports IPsec text-based pre-shared keys and accepts bit-based pre-shared keys.			
composition)	The text-based keys can be from 22 characters to 128 characters in length and be composed of any combination of upper and lower case letters, numbers, and special characters that include the characters: "!", "@", "#", "\$", "%", "^", "&", "*", "(", and ")". The text-based keys are conditioned using the administrator selectable SHA-1, SHA2-256, or SHA2-512 hash algorithms specified in FCS_COP.1(c).			
	The TOE accepts bit-based pre-shared keys generated outside of the TOE. It does not generate bit-based keys except from the text-based keys mentioned above. It allows the administrator to enter a hexadecimal bit-based pre-shared key. For information on this, see the TSS for FMT_MTD.1.			

TOE SFRs	TOE SFR compliance rationale		
	AA	The evaluator shall examine the TSS to ensure that it states that text-based pre-shared keys of 22 characters are supported, and that the TSS states the conditioning that takes place to transform the text-based pre-shared key from the key sequence entered by the user (e.g., ASCII representation) to the bit string used by IPsec, and that this conditioning is consistent with the first selection in the FIA_PSK_EXT.1.3 requirement. If the assignment is used to specify conditioning, the evaluator will confirm that the TSS describes this conditioning. If "bit-based pre-shared keys" is selected, the evaluator shall confirm the operational guidance contains instructions for either entering bit-based pre-shared keys for each protocol identified in the requirement, or generating a bit-based pre-shared key (or both). The evaluator shall also examine the TSS to ensure it describes the process by which the bit-based pre-shared keys are generated (if the TOE supports this functionality), and confirm that this process uses the RBG specified in FCS_RBG_EXT.1.	
	Resp	Text-based keys are 22 to 128 characters in length, composed of the characters described in the Summary above, and are conditioned using SHA-1, SHA2-256, or SHA2-512. Hexadecimal bit-based keys can be entered into the TOE as well.	
FIA_UAU.1	Object		
(Timing of authentication)	<u>Objective(s)</u> : O.USER.I&A <u>Summary</u> :		
authentication)	on) <u>Control Panel</u>		
	From t	<ul> <li>he Control Panel, the user can perform the following actions prior to authentication.</li> <li>View the Welcome message</li> <li>Reset the session</li> <li>Select the Sign In button</li> <li>Select a sign-in method from Sign In screen</li> <li>View the device status information</li> <li>Change the display language for the session</li> <li>Place the device into sleep mode</li> <li>View the network connectivity status information</li> <li>View the help information</li> <li>View the system time</li> </ul>	
	been so Users s depend Contro	ontrol Panel user cannot perform any other TSF-mediated actions until after the user has uccessfully authenticated. select the sign in method from a menu of sign in methods. The menu options vary ding on the number of External Authentication methods configured for the TOE. The of Panel supports the following Internal and External Authentication methods in the ted configuration.	

TOE SFRs	TOE SFR com	pliance rationale					
	• Interna	l Authentication met	hod				
	0	<ul> <li>Local Device Sign In</li> </ul>					
	• Externa	al Authentication me	thods				
	0	LDAP Sign In					
	0	Windows Sign In	(via Kerberos)				
	only one account The username (a Control Panel, th Code (a.k.a. Dev	The Local Device Sign In method is always available in the TOE. Local Device Sign In contains only one account—the built-in Device Administrator account—in the evaluated configuration. The username (display name) and password are maintained internally by the TOE. At the Control Panel, the user selects the Local Device Sign In method, selects Administrator Access Code (a.k.a. Device Administrator account) from a menu, and is then prompted for the Device Administrator Password.					
	If an LDAP Sign In method is configured, that method will be one of the possible External Authentication methods displayed in the menu. This method allows for the use of an LDAP server, such as the Microsoft Active Directory server, for I&A. Both the username and password are maintained by the LDAP server. The TOE uses the LDAP version 3 protocol over IPsec to communicate to the LDAP server. If a user selects this method, the user must enter a valid LDAP account's username and password to be granted access to the TOE.						
	If a Windows Sign In method is configured, that method will be one of the possible Externa Authentication methods displayed in the menu. This method allows for the use of a Window domain server for I&A. Both the username and password are maintained by the Windows domain server. The TOE uses the Kerberos version 5 protocol over IPsec to communicate t Windows domain server. If a user selects this method, the user must enter a valid Windows domain account's username and password to be granted access to the TOE.						
	Network interfa	<u>Network interfaces</u>					
	Most of the client network interfaces protected by IPsec perform authentication. Table 50 provides a list of the available IPsec client interfaces to the TOE, whether or not there's an authentication mechanism associated with the client interface, and a list of TSF-mediated actions prior to authentication, if any.						
		Tabl	e 50: IPsec client interfaces				
	IPsec client interface	Authentication?	TSF-mediated actions prior to authentication?				
	EWS	Yes	Select a sign in method				

TOE SFRs	TOE SFR compliance rationale			
	REST	<ul> <li>P Yes</li> <li>Discover a subset of the Web Services</li> <li>Obtain the X.509v3 certificate on the print engine</li> <li>Obtain the secure configuration settings on the print engine</li> <li>Obtain list of installed licenses</li> <li>Install a digitally signed license</li> <li>Delete a license (if the license in the payload of the request is digitally signed)</li> <li>Obtain Web Services registration status</li> </ul>		
		wer IPsec		
	The EWS interface is a web browser-based administrative interface used to manage the TOE over IPsec. The EWS interface requires the user to sign in using the same sign in method menu options as provided by the Control Panel (i.e., Local Device Sign In, LDAP Sign In, and Windows Sign In when configured for these sign in methods). Table 50 shows any TSF-mediated actions prior to authentication for this protocol.			
	<u>REST over IPsec</u>			
	The RI	EST interface is an administrative interface used to manage the TOE over IPsec.		
	admini passwo interfa Device	EST interface supports the Local Device Sign In method for I&A which requires the istrator to authenticate using the Device Administrator account. The Display name and ord are maintained internally by the TOE. For External Authentication, the REST ce supports the Windows Sign In method which requires the user to be associated with the e Administrator permission set. Table 50 shows any TSF-mediated actions prior to tication for this protocol.		
	AA	The evaluator shall check to ensure that the TSS describes all the identification and authentication mechanisms that the TOE provides (e.g., Internal Authentication and authentication by external servers).		
	Resp	The Control Panel provides the Local Device Sign In method as the internal I&A mechanism and provides an LDAP Sign In method and Windows Sign In method as external I&A mechanisms.		
		Over the IPsec channel, EWS provides the same sign in methods as the Control Panel. The REST interface provides the Local Device Sign In and Windows Sign In methods.		
	AA	The evaluator shall check to ensure that the TSS identifies all the interfaces to perform identification and authentication (e.g., identification and authentication from operation panel or via Web interfaces).		
	Resp	The Control Panel, EWS, and REST interfaces perform I&A.		

TOE SFRs	TOES	TOE SFR compliance rationale		
	AA	The evaluator shall check to ensure that the TSS describes the protocols (e.g., LDAP, Kerberos, OCSP) used in performing identification and authentication when the TOE exchanges identification and authentication with External Authentication servers.		
	Resp	External Authentication server	Protocol	
		LDAP server	LDAP version 3	
		Windows domain server	Kerberos version 5	
	AA	The evaluator shall check to ensure that the TSS contains a description of the permitted actions before performing identification and authentication, which is consistent with the definition of the SFR.		
	Resp	<ul> <li>On the Control Panel, the user can perform</li> <li>View the Welcome message</li> <li>Reset the session</li> <li>Select the Sign In button</li> <li>Select a sign-in method from Sig</li> <li>View the device status information</li> <li>Change the display language for</li> <li>Place the device into sleep mode</li> <li>View the network connectivity st</li> <li>View the help information</li> <li>View the of system time</li> </ul> For EWS, the user can select a sign in method for REST, the user can perform the follow <ul> <li>Discover a subset of the Web Series</li> <li>Obtain the X.509v3 certificate or</li> <li>Obtain list of installed licenses</li> <li>Install a digitally signed license</li> <li>Delete a license (if the license in</li> <li>Obtain Web Services registration</li> </ul>	n In screen on the session atus information hod. ving actions prior to I&A: vices a the print engine ettings on the print engine the payload of the request is digitally signed)	
FIA_UAU.7 (Protected authentication feedback)	Summ Interna	Objective(s):       O.USER.I&A         Summary:       The Control Panel (for Internal and External Authentication methods) and EWS (for Internal and External Authentication methods) display a dot for each password character typed by the user.		

TOE SFRs	TOE SFR compliance rationale		
	AA	The evaluator shall check to ensure that the TSS contains a description of the authentication information feedback provided to users while the authentication is in progress, which is consistent with the definition of the SFR.	
	Resp	A dot is displayed for each password character typed by the user on the Control Panel and EWS for both Internal and External Authentication methods.	
FIA_UID.1	Object	tive(s): O.ADMIN_ROLES, O.USER.I&A	
(Timing of identification)	<b>Summary</b> : From the Control Panel, the user can perform the following actions prior to identification.		
	•	View the Welcome message	
	•	Reset the session	
	•	Select the Sign In button	
	•	Select a sign-in method from Sign In screen	
	•	View the device status information	
	•	Change display language for the session	
	•	Place the device into sleep mode	
	•	View the network connectivity status information	
	•	View the help information	
	•	View the system time	
	Once the IPsec channel is successfully established, the following interfaces identification mechanisms. The following shows their TSF-mediated action identification. <ul> <li>EWS:</li> </ul>		
		• Select a sign in method	
	•	REST:	
		• Discover a subset of the Web Services	
		• Obtain the X.509v3 certificate on the print engine	
		• Obtain the secure configuration settings on the print engine	
		• Obtain list of installed licenses	
		• Install a digitally signed license	
		<ul> <li>Delete a license (if the license in the payload of the request is digitally signed)</li> <li>Obtain Web Services registration status</li> </ul>	
	In all cases, the user cannot perform any other TSF-mediated actions than the ones list until after the user has been successfully identified. For additional information on I&A, see the TSS for FIA_UAU.1.		
	AA	It is covered by the assurance activities for FIA_UAU.1.	
	Resp	n/a	

TOE SFRs	TOE SFR compliance rationale
FIA_USB.1	Objective(s): O.USER.I&A
(User-subject binding)	Summary:
oniding)	Control Panel User Identity Binding
	Once a Control Panel user has successfully signed in, a username and a role are bound to the subjects acting on behalf of that user.
	For Internal Authentication, if the user signs in using the Local Device Sign In method, the bound username will be the Display name. Because the Device Administrator is the only Local Device Sign In account in the evaluated configuration, the username will be the Device Administrator account's Display name.
	For External Authentication, if the user signs in using the LDAP Sign In method, the bound username will be the user's LDAP username. Similarly, if the user signs in using the Windows Sign In method, the bound username will be the user's Windows username.
	Control Panel and EWS User Role Binding
	The Control Panel user's role is determined by the user's session permission set (PS) that is bound to the subjects acting on behalf of that user. The Internal Authentication mechanism has one PS per user. The External Authentication mechanisms have one PS per authentication method, zero or one PS per user, and zero or one PS per network group to which the user belongs. For more information on permission sets, see the TSS for FMT_SMR.1.
	The role associated with the Local Device Sign In method's Device Administrator account is always U.ADMIN. The TOE accomplishes this by setting the Device Administrator's session PS to the Device Administrator PS.
	Device Administrator session PS = Device Administrator PS.
	The role associated with an External Authentication method's user account (a.k.a. network user account) can be either U.ADMIN or U.NORMAL. The TOE accomplishes this using various combinations of permission sets (PSs) depending on the existence of certain types of PSs as described in the following paragraphs.
	External user accounts introduce the concept of network groups. A network group (a.k.a. group) is a collection of zero or more external user accounts. Each External Authentication method defines and maintains its own groups. The members of a group are comprised of the external user accounts from that External Authentication method. An external user account can be associated with zero or more groups.
	A TOE administrator can associate zero or one PS to each group and zero or one PS to each external user account. These PS associations are stored and maintained on the TOE. A TOE administrator can create, modify, and delete these associations. By default, there are no PS associations for external user accounts and groups. For more information on the TOE's permission set association management, see the TSS for FMT_MSA.1.
	A PS is associated with each External Authentication method. These associations are also stored and maintained on the TOE. A TOE administrator can modify these associations.

TOE SFRs	TOE SFR compliance rationale
	The TOE combines these various PSs using one of the following three methods.
	<u>Method #1</u> : If the external user account has a PS association, then the TOE combines the external user account's PS and the Device Guest PS to create the external user's session PS.
	$User\ session\ PS = External\ user\ account\ PS + Device\ Guest\ PS.$
	<u>Method #2</u> : If the external user account does not have an associated PS, the TOE obtains the groups to which the external user account is a member. For each of these groups, the TOE looks for matching group-to-PS associations. For each group-to-PS association match, the TOE combines that group's PS with any previously found group PSs. Once all matches have been found, the TOE combines these group PSs with the Device Guest PS to create the external user's session PS.
	User session PS = Network group PSs + Device Guest PS.
	<u>Method #3</u> : If there are no group-to-PS associations found for the external user account and the external user account does not have an associated PS, then the TOE combines the External Authentication method's PS and the Device Guest PS to create the external user's session PS.
	User session PS = External Authentication method PS + Device Guest PS.
	An administrator can associate one sign in method to a Control Panel application. This association limits the application to run only when the user signs in using the associated sign in method. For example, if an application is only associated with the LDAP Sign In method, a user must sign in using the LDAP Sign In method in order to run that application. The enforcement of this association is controlled by the "Allow users to choose alternate sign-in methods" function. If this function is enabled, then the sign in method permissions are ignored. If this function is disabled, then the user's session PS calculated above will be reduced to exclude the permissions of applications whose sign in method does not match the sign in method used by the user to sign in.
	Remote User Identity Binding
	Once an IPsec peer has performed a successful IPsec connection with the TOE, the TOE uses the peer's IP address as the peer's user identifier for IPsec-related audit records.
	The EWS and REST interfaces support I&A mechanisms and use some form of username (e.g., Display name, Windows username) in audit records.
	In the case of EWS, the interface provides the same options as the Control Panel for sign in methods. Because of this, the EWS identity will be the Display name if the Local Device Sign In method is selected by the user, the LDAP username if the LDAP Sign In method is selected by the user. From an auditing and access control perspective, the IP address is used by IPsec when generating IPsec-related and network-related audit records. The EWS identity (i.e., Display name, LDAP username, Windows username) is used for all other identity-related purposes such as management-related tasks and audit records and access control enforcement and audit records.

TOE SFRs	TOE S	SFR compliance rationale		
	In the case of the REST interface, both the Local Sign In method and Windows Sign In method are used for I&A. When authenticating via the Local Sign In Method, the REST identity will be the Display name. When authenticating via the Windows Sign In Method, the REST identity will be the Windows username.			
	From an auditing and access control perspective, the IP address is used by IPsec when generating IPsec-related and network-related audit records. The REST identity is used for all other identity-related purposes such as management-related tasks and audit records and access control enforcement and audit records.			
	<u>Remote</u>	e User Role Binding		
	In the case of EWS, the role is determined by the login account used by the user when logging in to the EWS interface.			
	In the case of the REST interface, the role is determined by the login account used by the user when logging in to the REST interface.			
	<u>Other</u>			
		TOE I&A, once a user is signed in, the TOE does not provide the user with a way to their bound username and role.		
	AA	The evaluator shall check to ensure that the TSS contains a description of rules for associating security attributes with the users who succeed identification and authentication, which is consistent with the definition of the SFR.		
	Resp	See the explanation in the Summary section above.		
FMT_MOF.1	Object	tive(s): O.ADMIN_ROLES		
(Management of functions)	Summary:			
	<i>Allow users to choose alternate sign-in methods at the product control panel</i> : With the "Allow users to choose alternate sign-in methods at the product control panel" function, the TOE provides an administrator the ability to enable and disable this function. When this function is disabled, it requires the user to sign in using the sign-in method associated with the selected application in order to access that application. This function is restricted to U.ADMIN and can be performed through the EWS interface. For related information, see the TSS for FIA_USB.1.			
	<i>Control Panel Mandatory Sign-in</i> : With the "Control Panel Mandatory Sign-in" function, the TOE provides an administrator the ability to enable and disable this function. This function must be enabled in the evaluated configuration. This function is restricted to U.ADMIN and can be performed through the EWS interface.			
	<i>Windows Sign In</i> : With the Windows Sign In function, the TOE provides an administrator the ability to enable and disable the Windows Sign In method. This function is restricted to U.ADMIN and can be performed through the EWS interface. At least one External Authentication mechanism must be enabled in the evaluated configuration. For related information, see the TSS for FIA_ATD.1 and TSS for FIA_UAU.1.			

TOE SFRs	TOE SFR compliance rationale		
	to enab can be must b	<i>Sign In</i> : With the LDAP Sign In function, the TOE provides an administrator the ability ole and disable the LDAP Sign In method. This function is restricted to U.ADMIN and performed through the EWS interface. At least one External Authentication mechanism e enabled in the evaluated configuration. For related information, see the TSS for TD.1 and TSS for FIA_UAU.1.	
	ability This fu U.ADN	<i>nt lockout</i> : With the account lockout function, the TOE provides an administrator the to enable and disable the account lockout function of the Device Administrator account. Inction must be enabled in the evaluated configuration. This function is restricted to MIN. The Device Administrator's account lockout function can be enabled and disabled h the EWS interface. For related information, see the TSS for FIA_AFL.1.	
	provide events. to U.A	<i>aced security event logging</i> : With the enhanced security event logging function, the TOE es an administrator the ability to enable and disable the generation of additional security . This function must be enabled in the evaluated configuration. This function is restricted DMIN and can be performed through the EWS interface. For related information, see the or FAU_GEN.1.	
	<i>Managing Temporary Job Files</i> : With this image overwrite function, the TOE provides an administrator the ability to determine which one of the three overwrite options is currently selected (i.e., determine the behavior of the overwrite function) and to modify the selection (i.e., modify the behavior of the overwrite function). In the evaluated configuration, an administrator must select between either Secure Fast Erase or Secure Sanitize Erase. The Non-Secure Fast Erase option must not be selected in the evaluated configuration. This function is restricted to U.ADMIN and can be performed through the EWS interface. For related information, see the TSS for FDP_RIP.1(a).		
	<i>IPsec</i> : With the IPsec function, the TOE provides an administrator the ability to enable a disable IPsec. IPsec must be enabled in the evaluated configuration. This function is restrue. ADMIN and can be performed through the EWS interface. For related information, see TSS for FCS_IPSEC_EXT.1. <i>Automatically synchronize with a Network Time Service</i> : With the "Automatically syncwith a Network Time Service" function, the TOE provides an administrator the ability to and disable NTS. NTS must be enabled in the evaluated configuration. This function is restruce U.ADMIN and can be performed through the EWS interface. For related information, TSS for FPT_STM.1. Also see the management operations for "NTS server configuration in the TSS for FMT_MTD.1.		
	AA	The evaluator shall check to ensure that the TSS contains a description of the management functions that the TOE provides as well as user roles that are permitted to manage the functions, which is consistent with the definition of the SFR. The evaluator shall check to ensure that the TSS identifies interfaces to operate the	
	Resp	management functions.         The required information is provided in the Summary section above.	
	Kesp	The required information is provided in the Summary section above.	

TOE SFRs	TOE SFR compliance rationale
FMT_MSA.1	Objective(s): O.ACCESS_CONTROL, O.USER_AUTHORIZATION
(Management of attributes)	<b>Summary</b> : Depending on the interface used to access the TOE, the security attributes used by the TOE's access control mechanism described in FDP_ACF.1 vary.
	Control Panel and EWS identities
	The TOE's access control mechanism uses the identities supplied by the Control Panel and EWS interfaces to control access to objects. This makes identities a subject security attribute of the access control mechanism.
	The TOE supports both Internal and External Authentication mechanisms in the evaluated configuration.
	<i>Account identity (Internal Authentication mechanism</i> ): The Internal Authentication mechanisms contains only one account in the evaluated configuration. This account is the predefined Device Administrator account. This account has a Display name (i.e., subject identity). This Display name could be used by the access control mechanism to compare job ownership, but since this account has the Device Administrator permission set permanently associated with it, this account is granted administrative access by default. The TOE does not provide any management operations for this account's identity. This is reflected in FMT_MSA.1 in Table 31. Because there are no management operations, the authorized roles entry is marked as not applicable (n/a) in Table 31. There is no default value property for the Display name because the account is predefined, thus, Table 31 shows this as not applicable (n/a). Similarly, no role can override the default value.
	<i>Account identity (External Authentication mechanisms)</i> : The External Authentication mechanisms are part of the Operational Environment. An external account's identity (a.k.a. user name or account name) is used as a subject security attribute to grant or deny access to access controlled objects (a.k.a. jobs) on the TOE. The external account identities are maintained by and on the External Authentication mechanisms. The TOE does not support any management operations on the account identities maintained by the External Authentication mechanisms as shown in FMT_MSA.1 in Table 31. Because the TOE has no control over these external account identities, there is no default value property (marked as n/a in Table 31) and no default value to override, thus, no role can override the default value.
	Control Panel and EWS roles
	The TOE's access control mechanism also uses permission sets to control access to objects on the TOE. Permission sets are used to determine user roles on the TOE. The TSS for FMT_SMR.1 contains an explanation of permission sets. Permission sets can be associated with internal user accounts, external user accounts (network users), network groups, and to External Authentication mechanisms. When a user logs in via the Control Panel or EWS, the user's session permission set is calculated by the TOE based on the rules described in the TSS for FIA_USB.1. The user's session permission set is used to determine a user's access to access controlled objects (a.k.a. jobs) on the TOE.
	<i>Device Administrator permission set permissions</i> : For the Device Administrator permission set permissions, the TOE provides the "view" management operation. This management operation

TOE SFRs	TOE S	TOE SFR compliance rationale		
	is restricted to U.ADMIN. This permission set comes predefined in the TOE. Its default value property is considered permissive because its predefined value allows access to everything. Because this value is predefined, there is no default value override role associated with it.			
	<b>Device User and Device Guest permission set permissions</b> : For the Device User permissions and the Device Guest permission set permissions, the TOE provides the "mathematication of the set of the se			
the "create, modify, delete, and view" management operations. These management of are restricted to U.ADMIN. A custom permission set's default value property is const restrictive because its initial value upon creation is an empty permission set. This def		<i>n permission set permissions</i> : For custom permission set permissions, the TOE provides eate, modify, delete, and view" management operations. These management operations tricted to U.ADMIN. A custom permission set's default value property is considered tive because its initial value upon creation is an empty permission set. This default value ty cannot be overridden, therefore, there is no role that can override this default value.		
		The evaluator shall check to ensure that the TSS contains a description of possible operations for security attributes and given roles to those security attributes, which is consistent with the definition of the SFR.		
	Resp	n/a		
FMT_MSA.3	<b>Objective</b> (s): O.ACCESS_CONTROL, O.USER_AUTHORIZATION			
(Initialization of attributes)	<b><u>Summary</u></b> : The descriptions have been provided in the TSS for FMT_MSA.1.			
	AA	The evaluator shall check to ensure that the TSS describes mechanisms to generate security attributes which have properties of default values, which are defined in the SFR.		
	Resp	The descriptions have been provided in the TSS for FMT_MSA.1.		
FMT_MTD.1 (Management of TSF data)	Objective(s): O.ACCESS_CONTROL Summary:			
of for data)	TSF Data owned by U.NORMAL or associated with Documents or jobs owned by a U.NORMAN			
		U.NORMAL doesn't own any TSF Data on the TOE. The security attributes associated ocuments or jobs owned by U.NORMAL are covered by FMT_MSA.1.		
	<u>List of</u>	TSF Data not owned by U.NORMAL		
	<i>Device Administrator password</i> : For the Device Administrator password, the TOE provides to "change" operation. The change operation allows U.ADMIN to change the Device Administrator's password. This operation is restricted to U.ADMIN. For related information, the TSS for FIA_PMG_EXT.1.			

TOE SFRs	TOE SFR compliance rationale
	<i>Permission set associations (except on the Device Administrator account)</i> : For all permission set associations for any external user account, network group, and External Authentication mechanism, the TOE provides the "add, delete, change, and view" management operations. These management operations are restricted to U.ADMIN. For related information, see the TSS for FDP_ACF.1 and TSS for FMT_MSA.1.
	<i>Permission set associations (only on the Device Administrator account)</i> : The Device Administrator account is the only internal, built-in account in the evaluated configuration. This account has the Device Administrator permission set permanently associated with it. The only management operation provided for the Device Administrator account's permission set association is the "view" operation. This can only be performed by a U.ADMIN (including the Device Administrator). For related information, see the TSS for FDP_ACF.1 and TSS for FMT_MSA.1.
	<b>Note:</b> Although audit records are TSF Data not owned by U.NORMAL, the TOE does not provide the ability to management audit records.
	List of software, firmware, and related configuration data
	<i>IPsec CA and identity certificates</i> : For the IPsec CA certificates, the TOE provides the "import and delete" operations through the EWS interface. The import operation adds a CA certificate to the TOE. The delete operation removes the selected CA certificate from the TOE. These operations are restricted to U.ADMIN. The TOE may contain one or more CA certificates.
	For the IPsec identity certificates, the TOE provides the "import and delete" operations for CA- signed identity certificates through the EWS interface. The import operation adds a CA-signed identity certificate to the TOE. The delete operation removes the CA-signed identity certificate from the TOE. These operations are restricted to U.ADMIN.
	The TOE initially comes with a self-signed identity certificate for IPsec. This self-signed identity certificate is generated during manufacturing of the TOE and cannot be deleted. This self-signed identity certificate must not be used in the evaluated configuration. Instead, the <i>Certificates</i> section of the [CCECG] instructs the U.ADMIN to import a CA-signed identity certificate and to set this CA-signed identity certificate as the TOE's network identity certificate. The TOE only allows one certificate to be its network identity certificate.
	<i>IPsec pre-shared keys</i> : For the IPsec pre-shared keys, the TOE provides the "set and change" operations. The set operation is used to set an initial pre-shared key value. The change operation allows an administrator to change the pre-shared key value. This operation is restricted to U.ADMIN. The hash algorithm used on the pre-shared key is selectable. The pre-shared keys are part of the IPsec policy. For related information on pre-shared keys, see the TSS for FIA_PSK_EXT.1.
	<i>NTS server configuration data</i> : For the NTS server settings, the TOE provides the "change" operation. The change operation allows an administrator to change the configuration data associated with the NTS server. This operation is restricted to U.ADMIN. For related information, see the TSS for FPT_STM.1. The NTS server function must be enabled for the NTS server configuration data to have an effect. For more information on the NTS server

TOE SFRs	TOE SFR compliance rationale
	enablement, see the "Automatically synchronize with a Network Time Service" function in the TSS for FMT_MOF.1.
	<i>Minimum password length</i> : For the minimum password length settings, the TOE provides the "change" operation. The TOE provides minimum password length setting for the Device Administrator account. This operation is restricted to U.ADMIN. For related information, see the TSS for FIA_PMG_EXT.1.
	Account lockout maximum attempts: For the account lockout maximum attempts value, the TOE provides the "change" operation. This value allows an administrator to control the number of failed login attempts before the account is locked. The administrator can choose a value between 3 and 10 inclusively. Consecutive failed authentication attempts using the same authentication credential count as a single failed authentication attempt. The counted failed attempts must happen within the value set for the account reset lockout counter interval value; otherwise, the maximum attempts counter is reset. The account lockout maximum attempt value affects the Device Administrator account. The change operation is restricted to U.ADMIN. For more information on account lockout in general, see the TSS for FIA_AFL.1. The account lockout function must be enabled for the account lockout maximum attempts value to have an effect. For information on the account lockout enablement function, see the TSS for FMT_MOF.1.
	Account lockout interval: For the account lockout interval value, the TOE provides the "change" operation. This value allows an administrator to control the length of time that the account remains locked. The administrator can choose a value between 60 and 1800 seconds inclusively in the evaluated configuration. The account lockout interval value affects the Device Administrator account. The change operation is restricted to U.ADMIN. For more information on account lockout in general, see the TSS for FIA_AFL.1. The account lockout function must be enabled for the account lockout interval value to have an effect. For information on the account lockout enablement function, see the TSS for FMT_MOF.1.
	Account reset lockout counter interval: For the account reset lockout counter interval value, the TOE provides the "change" operation. This value allows an administrator to specify the time (in seconds) in which the failed login attempts must occur before the account lockout maximum attempts counter is reset. This value must be equal to or greater than the account lockout interval value. The account reset lockout counter interval value affects the Device Administrator account. The change operation is restricted to U.ADMIN. For more information on account lockout in general, see the TSS for FIA_AFL.1. The account lockout function must be enabled for the account reset lockout counter interval value to have an effect. For information on the account lockout enablement function, see the TSS for FMT_MOF.1.
	<i>Session inactivity timeout</i> : For the session inactivity timeout, the TOE provides the "change" operation. The change operation allows an administrator to change the amount of time of inactivity before automatically logging out the user from an interactive session. This timeout works for both Control Panel and EWS sessions. The Control Panel and EWS interfaces have independent session inactivity timeout values. The change operation is restricted to U.ADMIN for both interfaces. For related information, see the TSS for FTA_SSL.3.

TOE SFRs	TOE SFR compliance rationale	
	AA	None
	Resp	n/a
FMT_SMF.1 (Management functions)	Object Summ respect page. T functio	tive(s): O.ACCESS_CONTROL, O.ADMIN_ROLES, O.USER_AUTHORIZATION ary: Table 33 in FMT_SMF.1 provides a mapping of each management function to its tive management SFR, to its objectives, and to the respective management SFR's TSS The SFR's TSS provides a more detailed description of the matching management
	• AA Resp	O.UPDATE_VERIFICATION         The evaluator shall check the TSS to ensure that the management functions are consistent with the assignment in the SFR.         n/a
FMT_SMR.1	-	tive(s): O.ACCESS_CONTROL, O.ADMIN_ROLES, O.USER_AUTHORIZATION
(Security roles)	Summ • • The TO	<ul> <li><u>ary</u>: The TOE supports two roles:</li> <li>U.ADMIN</li> <li>U.NORMAL</li> <li>DE can associate users with roles, but there is an account that is always associated with a c role. Specifically, the Device Administrator account (available through the Control</li> </ul>
	Panel,	EWS, and REST interfaces) is of type U.ADMIN.
	The TO determ interfa	Ession sets DE implements roles through the use of permission sets. Permission sets are used to ine which Control Panel applications a Control Panel user can access and which EWS ces an EWS user can access. A permission set contains a list of allowed permissions each permission determines access to a single Control Panel application or a single EWS ce.
	•	DE contains the following built-in permission sets. Device Administrator—Grants administrative capabilities Device User—Grants typical user capabilities Device Guest—Grants capabilities to non-signed in users
	permis	built-in permission sets cannot be renamed or deleted. The Device Administrator sion set cannot be modified, but an administrator can modify the permissions in the e User and Device Guest permission sets. In the evaluated configuration, the Device Guest

TOE SFRs	TOE S	TOE SFR compliance rationale			
	permission set is empty (i.e., contains no permissions) by default. (Device Guest is mentioned here because its definition is used in the TSS for FIA_USB.1.)				
	As an alternative to built-in permission sets, administrators can create custom permission sets that allow an administrator to better map the TOE's permissions to the usage model of their organization. Administrators can also modify and delete any existing custom permission sets. By default, the TOE comes with no custom permission sets.				
	Sign Ir accourt single	as user accounts, permission sets can also be assigned to sign in methods—Local Device n, LDAP Sign In, and Windows Sign In—and network groups to which an external user at is a member. (A network group is a collection of external user accounts located on a External Authentication mechanism. The network group and group members are defined External Authentication mechanism.)			
		a user logs in to the TOE, their session permission set is determined by a combination of . For more details on how permission sets are determined, see the TSS for FIA_USB.1.			
permission sets for		rmission sets are stored and maintained locally on the TOE. This means that the sion sets for the internal user accounts, external user accounts, authentication nisms, and network groups are all stored and maintained locally on the TOE.			
	AA	The evaluator shall check to ensure that the TSS contains a description of security related roles that the TOE maintains, which is consistent with the definition of the SFR.			
	Resp	n/a			
FPT_KYP_EX T.1 (Key chain key protection)	T.1 (Key chain key chain key chain is a key chain of or BEV. The BEV is stored in non-field replaceable nonvolatile storage (S				
		I inside the TOE. For more information on the key chain and BEV, see the TSS for KYC_EXT.1.			
	AA	None			
	Resp	n/a			
FPT_SKP_EX	<u>Object</u>	tive(s): O.COMMS_PROTECTION			
T.1 (Key viewing protection)	<b>Summary</b> : The TOE is a closed system and does not provide an interface to read pre-shared keys, symmetric keys, or private keys. As a closed system, it does not allow administrators to read memory or to access storage directly.				
	The TOE's EWS provides an interface to enter IPsec pre-shared key values. This interface does not allow the administrator to query the current pre-shared key value. No other external interfaces allow for the entering or reading of pre-shared keys.				
	The TOE stores the IPsec pre-shared keys in a file on the field-replaceable SED. This file accessible through any interface. For more details on the IPsec pre-shared keys, see the FCS_CKM.4, TSS for FCS_IPSEC_EXT.1, and TSS for FIA_PSK_EXT.1.				

TOE SFRs	TOE S	SFR compliance rationale
	The SED drive-lock password (a.k.a. BEV) can be considered a symmetric key. This password is stored in cleartext in SPI flash and EEPROM, but the TOE does not provide an interface to view this key or to access SPI flash or EEPROM. For more details on the SED drive-lock password, see the TSS for FCS_KYC_EXT.1.	
	-	eral asymmetric and symmetric keys created and used in IPsec sessions are inaccessible user because the TOE does not provide a user interface to read memory.
		DE's private asymmetric keys found in X.509v3 certificates (used by IPsec) can be ed by the TOE, but the EWS interface does not display the private keys contained in these cates.
	AA	The evaluator shall examine the TSS to determine that it details how any pre-shared keys, symmetric keys, and private keys are stored and that they are unable to be viewed through an interface designed specifically for that purpose, as outlined in the application note. If these values are not stored in plaintext, the TSS shall describe how they are protected/obscured.
	Resp	The TOE is a closed system and does not provide an interface to read pre-shared keys, symmetric keys, or private keys. The description above provides extended details.
FPT_STM.1 (Time stamps)	Objective(s):     O.AUDIT	
	Resp	The TOE contains an internal system clock that is synchronized using an NTS.

TOE SFRs	TOE SFR compliance rationale			
FPT_TST_EX	<u>Objec</u>	tive(s): O.TSF_SELF_TEST		
T.1 (TSF testing)	<b>Summary</b> : The TOE contains TSF testing functionality called Whitelisting to help ensure only authentic, known-good firmware files that have not been tampered with are loaded into memory.			
	During the load process, Whitelisting validates the integrity of firmware files using RSA-2048 with SHA2-256. If the integrity check of a firmware file fails, Whitelisting will reboot the HCD and the Basic Input/Output System (BIOS) will hold on boot with an error message displayed on the Control Panel UI.			
	The TOE Whitelists and checks dynamic-link libraries (DLLs) and executables that have been signed with Microsoft Authenticode signatures. This includes kernel files, device drivers, and applications.			
	Whitelisting uses the HP FutureSmart Windows Mobile Enhanced Cryptographic Provider (RSAENH) 6.00.1937 implementation for both the RSA 2048-bit and SHA2-256 algorithms. For additional details on these algorithms, see the TSS for FCS_COP.1(b) and TSS for FCS_COP.1(c).			
	AA	The evaluator shall examine the TSS to ensure that it details the self-tests that are run by the TSF on start-up; this description should include an outline of what the tests are actually doing (e.g., rather than saying "memory is tested", a description similar to "memory is tested by writing a value to each memory location and reading it back to ensure it is identical to what was written" shall be used). The evaluator shall ensure that the TSS makes an argument that the tests are sufficient to demonstrate that the TSF is operating correctly.		
	Resp	The TOE performs Whitelisting of firmware files while booting. If any of the files fail the integrity check, the TOE reboots and the BIOS will hold on boot with an error message displayed on the Control Panel UI. More detail is provided above.		
FPT_TUD_EX	<u>Objec</u>	tive(s): O.UPDATE_VERIFICATION		
T.1 (Trusted update)		<b>ary</b> : The TOE's firmware can be updated by an administrator by downloading an update and installing it on the TOE.		
upunc)	Each update image is digitally signed by HP using the RSA 2048-bit and SHA2-256 algorithms. Each HCD has a factory-installed public key certificate from HP used by the TOE for verifying the update image's digital signature.			
	Once the update image is downloaded from the kiosk and loaded onto the Administrative Computer, the update image can be uploaded to the TOE through the TOE's EWS interface. Once uploaded, the TOE performs digital signature verification on each update image prior to installing using the RSA 2048-bit and SHA2-256 algorithms and the factory installed certificate. If the TOE's signature verification fails, the TOE won't allow the update to proceed. The TOE uses the HP FutureSmart Rebex Total Pack 2017 R1 2470159 implementation of these algorithms. The RSA 2048-bit algorithm is defined in FCS_COP.1(b). The SHA2-256 hash algorithm is defined in FCS_COP.1(c). The <i>Updating TOE firmware</i> section of the [CCECG] describes the steps to update the TOE.			

TOE SFRs	TOE SFR compliance rationale			
	The current version of both the System firmware and the Jetdirect Inside firmware can be obtained through the following interfaces.  Control Panel  EWS			
		b obtain the firmware versions using the EWS is described in the [CCECG] section <i>Check</i> a of installed TOE firmware.		
	<b>Note:</b> The HP Inc. Software Depot kiosk provides a SHA2-256 published hash of the updat image and a Windows OS utility program that can be downloaded and used to verify the has Once downloaded, the update image can be verified on a separate computer prior to installat on the TOE using the published hash and the Windows OS utility program. Because the published hash verification is not performed by the TSF, the SHA2-256 published hash verification method is excluded from this SFR.			
	AA	The evaluator shall check to ensure that the TSS contains a description of mechanisms that verify software for update when performing updates, which is consistent with the definition of the SFR.		
		The evaluator shall check to ensure that the TSS identifies interfaces for administrators to obtain the current version of the TOE as well as interfaces to perform updates.		
	Resp	The TOE uses a digital signature to verify update images. The signature uses RSA 2048- bit and SHA2-256. The public key certificate used to validate the signatures is factory- installed on the TOE.		
		The TOE's update images can be downloaded from the HP Inc. Software Depot kiosk and installed using the TOE's EWS interface in the evaluated configuration.		
		<ul> <li>The current version of both the System firmware and the Jetdirect Inside firmware can be obtained through the following interfaces.</li> <li>Control Panel</li> <li>EWS</li> </ul>		
FTA_SSL.3	Objec	tive(s): O.USER_I&A		
(Interactive session termination)	<u>Summary</u> : This SFR applies to the interactive sessions for the Control Panel and TOE's REST interfaces do not support the concept of sessions.			
termination)	Control Panel			
	The TOE supports an inactivity timeout for Control Panel sessions. If a signed in user is inactive for longer than the specified period, the user is automatically signed off of the TOE. The inactivity period is configurable by the administrator via the EWS (HTTP) and Control Panel interfaces. A single Control Panel inactivity period setting exists per TOE. This setting is separate from the EWS setting. For more information on configuring the Control Panel's session timeout, see the TSS for FMT_MTD.1.			
	<u>EWS</u>			

TOE SFRs	TOE SFR compliance rationale			
	setting interfa	DE supports an inactivity timeout for EWS interactive sessions. The EWS session timeout is used to set the inactivity timeout period. This setting is configurable via the EWS ce. This setting is separate from the Control Panel setting. For more information on uring the EWS's session timeout, see the TSS for FMT_MTD.1.		
	AA	The evaluator shall check to ensure that the TSS describes the types of user sessions to be terminated (e.g., user sessions via operation panel or Web interfaces) after a specified period of user inactivity.		
	Resp	All Control Panel and EWS sessions support session termination. Both have administratively configurable timeout periods.		
FTP_ITC.1	Objective(s): O.AUDIT, O.COMMS_PROTECTION			
(Trusted channel)	all auth and pro	<b>Summary</b> : The TOE uses IPsec to provide a trusted communications channel between itself and all authorized IT entities. Each channel is logically distinct from other communication channels and provides assured identification of its end points and protection of the channel data from disclosure and detection of modification of the channel data.		
	The TOE provides and initiates trusted communication channels to the following authorized IT entities.			
	•	authentication server		
	•	DNS server		
	•	FTP server		
	•	NTS server		
	<ul> <li>SharePoint server</li> <li>SMB server</li> <li>SMTP server</li> <li>syslog server (audit server)</li> <li>WINS server</li> </ul>			
	For more information on IPsec, see the TSS for FCS_IPSEC_EXT.1.			
	AA	The evaluator shall examine the TSS to determine that, for all communications with authorized IT entities identified in the requirement, each communications mechanism is identified in terms of the allowed protocols for that IT entity. The evaluator shall also confirm that all protocols listed in the TSS are specified and included in the requirements in the ST. The evaluator shall confirm that the operational guidance contains instructions for establishing the allowed protocols with each authorized IT entity, and that it contains recovery instructions should a connection be unintentionally broken.		
	Resp	All trusted communications channels to authorized IT entities use IPsec.		
FTP_TRP.1(a)	Objective(s): O.COMMS_PROTECTION			

TOE SFRs	TOE S	TOE SFR compliance rationale		
(Administrator trusted path)	remote provid disclos The fo	<ul> <li>ummary: The TOE uses IPsec to provide a trusted communication path between itself and emote administrators. Each path is logically distinct from other communication paths and rovides assured identification of its end points and protection of the communicated data from sclosure and detection of modification of the communicated data.</li> <li>he following interfaces are the remote administrative interfaces of the TOE in the evaluated onfiguration.</li> <li>EWS (via a web browser)</li> <li>REST</li> </ul>		
	For mo	ore information on IPsec, see the TSS for FCS_IPSEC_EXT.1.		
	AA	The evaluator shall examine the TSS to determine that the methods of remote TOE administration are indicated, along with how those communications are protected. The evaluator shall also confirm that all protocols listed in the TSS in support of TOE administration are consistent with those specified in the requirement, and are included in the requirements in the ST.		
	Resp	All remote administrative interfaces use IPsec. The remote administrative interfaces are EWS and REST.		

## 8 Abbreviations, Terminology and References

## 8.1 Abbreviations

AA	Assurance Activity
AES	Advanced Encryption Standard
AH	Authentication Header (IPsec)
Arm	Advanced RISC Machine
ASCII	American Standard Code for Information Interchange
BEV	Border Encryption Value
CA	Certificate Authority
CAVP	Cryptographic Algorithm Validation Program
CBC	Cipher Block Chaining
CC	Common Criteria
CCEVS	Common Criteria Evaluation and Validation Scheme
CCITT	Consultative Committee for International Telephony and Telegraphy
cert	certificate
cPP	Collaborative Protection Profile
CSEC	The Swedish Certification Body for IT Security
CSP	Critical Security Parameter
CTR	Counter mode
CTR_DRBG	Counter mode DRBG
CVL	Component Validation List
DEK	Data Encryption Key
DH	Diffie-Hellman
DLL	Dynamic-Link Library
DNS	Domain Name System
DRBG	Deterministic Random Bit Generator
DSA	Digital Signature Algorithm
DSS	Digital Sending Software
EAL	Evaluated Assurance Level
ECB	Electronic Code Book
EE	Encryption Engine (FDE)
EEPROM	Electrically Erasable Programmable Read-Only Memory

EIA	Electronic Industries Alliance
ESN	Extended Sequence Numbers (IPsec)
ESP	Encapsulating Security Payload (IPsec)
EWS	Embedded Web Server
FDE	Full Drive Encryption
FFC	Finite Field Cryptography
FIPS	Federal Information Processing Standard
HCD	Hardcopy Device
HCDPP	Hardcopy Device Protection Profile
HMAC	Hashed Message Authentication Code
HP	Hewlett-Packard
I&A	Identification and Authentication
IETF	Internet Engineering Task Force
IKE	Internet Key Exchange (IPsec)
IP	Internet Protocol
IPv4	IP version 4
IPv6	IP version 6
IPsec	Internet Protocol Security
ISAKMP	Internet Security Association Key Management Protocol (IPsec)
ITU-T	International Telegraph Union Telecommunication Standardization Sector
KAS	Key Agreement Scheme
kbps	Kilobits Per Second
KDF	Key Derivation Function
LAN	Local Area Network
LDAP	Lightweight Directory Access Protocol
MFP	Multifunction Printer
MODP	Modular Exponential
n/a	Not applicable
NFC	Near Field Communication
NIAP	National Information Assurance Partnership
NIST	National Institute of Standards and Technology
NTLM	Microsoft NT LAN Manager
NTS	Network Time Service
Version: 1.0	

OSP	Organizational Security Policy
OXP	Open Extensibility Platform
OXPd	OXP device layer
PDF	Portable Document Format
PJL	Printer Job Language
PKCS	Public-Key Cryptography Standards
PP	Protection Profile
PS	Permission Set
PSK	Pre-Shared Key
PSTN	Public Switched Telephone Network
REST	Representational State Transfer
RFC	Request for Comments
RSA	Rivest-Shamir-Adleman
SA	Security Association
SAR	Security Assurance Requirement
SATA	Serial AT Attachment
SED	Self-Encrypting Drive
SFR	Security Functional Requirement
SHA	Secure Hash Algorithm
SHS	Secure Hash Standard
SMB	Server Message Block
SMTP	Simple Mail Transfer Protocol
SNMP	Simple Network Management Protocol
SP	Special Publication
SPD	Security Policy Database (IPsec)
SPD	Security Problem Definition (CC)
SPI	Serial Peripheral Interface
SSC	Security Subsystem Class
SSH	Secure Shell
ST	Security Target
TCG	Trusted Computing Group
TIA	Telecommunications Industry Association
TLS	Transport Layer Security

TOE	Target of Evaluation
TSF	TOE Security Functionality
TSP	TOE Security Policy
TSS	TOE Summary Specification
UI	User Interface
USB	Universal Serial Bus
W3C	World Wide Web Consortium
WINS	Windows Internet Name Service
WLAN	Wireless Local Area Network
WS	Web Services

## 8.2 Terminology

This section contains definitions of technical terms that are used with a meaning specific to this document. Terms defined in the [CC] are not reiterated here, unless stated otherwise.

Administrative User	This term refers to a user with administrative control of the TOE.
Authentication Data	This includes the Access Code and/or password for each user of the product.
Border Encryption Value (BEV)	A secret value passed to a storage encryption component such as a self- encrypting storage device.
Control Panel Application	An application that resides in the firmware and is selectable by the user via the Control Panel.
Data Encryption Key (DEK)	A key used to encrypt data-at-rest.
Device Administrator Password	The password used to restrict access to administrative tasks via EWS, REST, and the Control Panel interfaces. This password is also required to associate a user with the Administrator role. In product documentation, it may also be referred to as the Local Device Administrator Password, Local Device Administrator Access Code, the Device Password, or the Administrator Password.
External Interface	A non-hardcopy interface where either the input is being received from outside the TOE or the output is delivered to a destination outside the TOE.
Hardcopy Device (HCD)	This term generically refers to the product models in this Security Target.
Intermediate Key	A key used in a point between the initial user authorization and the DEK.
Near Field Communication (NFC)	Proximity (within a few inches) radio communication between two or more devices.
Submask	A submask is a bit string that can be generated and stored in a number of ways, such as passphrases, tokens, etc.

TOE Owner	A person or organizational entity responsible for protecting TOE assets and establishing related security policies.
User Security Attributes	Defined by functional requirement FIA_ATD.1, every user is associated with one or more security attributes which allow the TOE to enforce its security functions on this user.

## 8.3 References

8500_ N9120-UG	HP Digital Sender Flow 8500 fn2 Document Capture Workstation, HP ScanJet Enterprise Flow N9120 fn2 Document Scanner			
	User Guide			
	Author(s)	HP Inc.		
	Edition	1		
	Date	10/2017		
CC	Common (	Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation		
	Version	3.1R5		
	Date	April 2017		
	Location	http://www.commoncriteriaportal.org/files/ccfiles/CCPART1V3.1R5.pdf		
	Location	http://www.commoncriteriaportal.org/files/ccfiles/CCPART2V3.1R5.pdf		
	Location	http://www.commoncriteriaportal.org/files/ccfiles/CCPART3V3.1R5.pdf		
CCECG	Common Criteria Evaluated Configuration Guide for HP Document Scanners			
	-	Sender Flow 8500 fn2 Document Capture Workstation t Enterprise Flow N9120 fn2 Document Scanner		
	Author(s)	HP Inc.		
	Edition	1		
	Date	8/2023		
CCEVS-TD0157	FCS_IPSEC_EXT.1.1 - Testing SPDs			
	Date	2017-06-15		
	Location	https://www.niap-ccevs.org/Documents_and_Guidance/view_td.cfm?td_id=161		
CCEVS-TD0176	FDP_DSK	_EXT.1.2 - SED Testing		
	Date	2017-04-11		
	Location	https://www.niap-ccevs.org/Documents_and_Guidance/view_td.cfm?td_id=180		
CCEVS-TD0219	NIAP End	orsement of Errata for HCD PP v1.0		

	Date	2017-07-07	
	Location	https://www.niap-ccevs.org/Documents_and_Guidance/view_td.cfm?td_id=224	
CCEVS-TD0253	Assurance Activities for Key Transport		
	Date	2017-11-08	
	Location	https://www.niap-ccevs.org/Documents_and_Guidance/view_td.cfm?td_id=259	
CCEVS-TD0261	Destructio	n of CSPs in flash	
	Date	2017-11-14	
	Location	https://www.niap-ccevs.org/Documents_and_Guidance/view_td.cfm?td_id=267	
CCEVS-TD0299	Update to	FCS_CKM.4 Assurance Activities	
	Date	2018-03-16	
	Location	https://www.niap-ccevs.org/Documents_and_Guidance/view_td.cfm?td_id=305	
CCEVS-TD0393	Require F	TP_TRP.1(b) only for printing	
	Date	2019-02-26	
	Location	https://www.niap-ccevs.org/Documents_and_Guidance/view_td.cfm?td_id=403	
CCEVS-TD0474	Removal o	f Mandatory Cipher Suite in FCS_TLS_EXT.1	
	Date	2019-12-04	
	Location	https://www.niap-ccevs.org/Documents_and_Guidance/view_td.cfm?TD=0474	
CCEVS-TD0494	Removal o	f Mandatory SSH Ciphersuite for HCD	
	Date	2020-02-20	
	Location	https://www.niap-ccevs.org/Documents_and_Guidance/view_td.cfm?TD=0494	
CCEVS-TD0562	Test activi	ty for Public Key Algorithms	
	Date	2021-01-27	
	Location	https://m.niap-ccevs.org/Documents_and_Guidance/view_td.cfm?TD=0562	
CCEVS-TD0642	FCS_CKN	A.1(a) Requirement; P-384 keysize moved to selection	
	Date	2022-06-17	
	Location	https://www.niap-ccevs.org/Documents_and_Guidance/view_td.cfm?TD=0642	
FIPS180-4	Secure Ha	sh Standard (SHS)	
	Date	2015-08-04	
	Location	https://nvlpubs.nist.gov/nistpubs/FIPS/NIST.FIPS.180-4.pdf	
FIPS186-4	Digital Sig	nature Standard (DSS)	
	Date	2013-07-19	

	Location	https://nvlpubs.nist.gov/nistpubs/FIPS/NIST.FIPS.186-4.pdf		
FIPS197	Advanced Encryption Standard (AES)			
	Date	2001-11-26		
	Location	https://nvlpubs.nist.gov/nistpubs/FIPS/NIST.FIPS.197.pdf		
FIPS198-1	The Keyed	-Hash Message Authentication Code (HMAC)		
	Date	2008-07-16d		
	Location	https://nvlpubs.nist.gov/nistpubs/FIPS/NIST.FIPS.198-1.pdf		
HCDPP	Protection Communit	Profile for Hardcopy Devices; IPA, NIAP, and the MFP Technical y		
	Version	1.0		
	Date	2015-09-10		
	Location	https://www.niap-ccevs.org/pp/pp_hcd_v1.0.pdf		
HCDPP-ERRATA	Protection Profile for Hardcopy Devices - v1.0, Errata #1, June 2017			
	Version	1.0		
	Date	2017-06		
	Location	https://www.niap-ccevs.org/pp/pp_hcd_v1.0-err.pdf		
ISO-10118-3	Information technology Security techniques Hash-functions Part 3: Dedicated hash-functions			
	Version	ISO/IEC 10118-3:2004		
	Date	2004-03		
	Location	https://www.iso.org/standard/39876.html		
KMD	Key Management Description for HP Hardcopy Devices with 4.12 Firmware and Windows Embedded CE 6.0 R3			
	HP Digital Sender Flow 8500 fn2 Document Capture Workstation, HP ScanJet Enterprise Flow N9120 fn2 Document Scanner			
	Author(s)	HP Inc.		
	Version	1.0		
	Date	2023-01-20		
QuickSec51	QuickSec :	5.1 Toolkit Reference Manual		
	Author(s)	INSIDE Secure		
	Version	1.0		

	Date	December 2009	
RFC2407	The Internet IP Security Domain of Interpretation for ISAKMP		
	Author(s)	D. Piper	
	Date	1998-11-01	
	Location	http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2407.txt	
RFC2408	Internet Se	curity Association and Key Management Protocol (ISAKMP)	
	Author(s)	D. Maughan, M. Schertler, M. Schneider, J. Turner	
	Date	1998-11-01	
	Location	http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2408.txt	
RFC2409	The Interne	et Key Exchange (IKE)	
	Author(s)	D. Harkins, D. Carrel	
	Date	1998-11-01	
	Location	http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2409.txt	
RFC3526	More Modular Exponential (MODP) Diffie-Hellman groups for Internet Key Exchange (IKE)		
	Author(s)	Tero Kivinen, Mika Kojo	
	Date	May 2003	
	Location	https://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc3526.txt	
RFC3602	The AES-C	BC Cipher Algorithm and Its Use with IPsec	
	Author(s)	S. Frankel, R. Glenn, S. Kelly	
	Date	2003-09-01	
	Location	http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc3602.txt	
RFC4109	Algorithms for Internet Key Exchange version 1 (IKEv1)		
	Author(s)	P. Hoffman	
	Date	2005-05-01	
	Location	http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc4109.txt	
RFC4301	Security Ar	chitecture for the Internet Protocol	
	Author(s)	S. Kent, K. Seo	
	Date	2005-12-01	
	Location	http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc4301.txt	

RFC4303	IP Encaps	ulating Security Payload (ESP)
	Author(s)	S. Kent
	Date	2005-12-01
	Location	http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc4303.txt
RFC4304	Extended Sequence Number (ESN) Addendum to IPsec Domain of Interpretation (DOI) for Internet Security Association and Key Management Protocol (ISAKMP)	
	Author(s)	S. Kent
	Date	December 2005
	Location	https://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc4304.txt
RFC4868	Using HMAC-SHA-256, HMAC-SHA-384, and HMAC-SHA-512 with IPsec	
	Author(s)	S. Kelly, S. Frankel
	Date	2007-05-01
	Location	http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc4868.txt
SP800-38A	Recommendation for Block Cipher Modes of Operation: Methods and Techniques	
	Date	2001-12-01
	Location	https://nvlpubs.nist.gov/nistpubs/Legacy/SP/nistspecialpublication 800-38a.pdf
SP800-56A-Rev3	Recommendation for Pair-Wise Key Establishment Schemes Using Discrete Logarithm Cryptography	
	Date	April 2018
	Location	https://nvlpubs.nist.gov/nistpubs/SpecialPublications/NIST.SP.800-90Ar1.pdf