Sourcefire 3D System Security Target

(Sourcefire Defense Center: models DC500, DC1000, and DC3000; and

Sourcefire 3D Sensor licensed for IPS: models 3D500, 3D1000, 3D2000, 3D2100, 3D2500, 3D3500, 3D3800, 3D4500, 3D5800, 3D6500, and 3D9800)

Version 4.8.2.1 (SEU 259)

Version 1.15 June 10, 2010

Prepared For

Sourcefire, Incorporated

9770 Patuxent Woods Drive Columbia, MD 21046

Prepared By



7925 Jones Branch Drive + Suite 5200 + McLean, VA 22102-3321 + 703 848-0883 + Fax 703 848-0960

Table of Contents

S	Section	Page
1	SECURITY TARGET INTRODUCTION	7
	1.1 SECURITY TARGET REFERENCE	7
	1.1.1 References	
	1.2 TOE REFERENCE	8
	1.3 TOE OVERVIEW	8
	1.3.1 TOE Type	
	1.3.2 Hardware/Firmware/Software Required by the TOE	
	1.4 TOE DESCRIPTION	
	1.4.1 Acronyms	
	1.4.2 Terminology	
	1.4.3 Product Description 1.4.3.1 Sourcefire 3D Sensor licensed for IPS (3D Sensor with IPS)	
	1.4.3.2 Sourcefire Defense Center (Defense Center)	
	1.4.4 Data	
	1.4.5 Users	
	1.4.6 Product Guidance	
	1.4.7 Physical Scope of the TOE	21
	1.4.7.1 Included in the TOE:	
	1.4.7.2 Excluded from the TOE:	
	1.4.8 Logical Scope of the TOE	
	1.4.8.1 Security Audit 1.4.8.2 Identification and Authentication	
	1.4.8.3 Security Management	
	1.4.8.4 Protection of Security Functions	
	1.4.8.5 TOE Access Functions	
	1.4.8.6 System Data Collection	
	1.4.8.7 System Data Analysis 1.4.8.8 System Data Review, Availability and Loss	
	1.4.8.9 Excluded Functionality	
2	-	
2		
	2.1 COMMON CRITERIA CONFORMANCE	
	2.2 PROTECTION PROFILE CLAIM	
	2.3 PACKAGE CLAIM	33
3	SECURITY PROBLEM DEFINITION	34
	3.1 THREATS	34
	3.2 ORGANIZATIONAL SECURITY POLICIES (OSPS)	
	3.3 ASSUMPTIONS	35
4	SECURITY OBJECTIVES	
-		
	4.1.1 Security Objectives for the TOE	37 20
	 4.1.2 Security Objectives for the Operational Environment 4.2 SECURITY OBJECTIVES RATIONALE	
	4.2 SECURITY OBJECTIVES RATIONALE	
	4.2.1 Rationale for the Security Objectives for the Environment	
5		
Э		
	5.1 FIA_UAU_EXT.1 TIMING OF AUTHENTICATION	
	5.1.1 Class FIA: Identification and authentication	46

5.1.2	Family: User authentication (FIA_UAU)	
5.1.3	Family Behaviour	.46
5.1.4	Management	.46
5.1.5	Audit	.47
5.1.6	Definition	.47
5.1.7	Rationale	.47
5.2 IE	DS SDC EXT.1 SYSTEM DATA COLLECTION	.47
5.2.1	Class IDS: Intrusion Detection System	.47
5.2.2	Family: System Data Collection (IDS_SDC)	
5.2.3	Family Behavior	
5.2.4	Management	.47
5.2.5	Audit	
5.2.6	Definition	
5.2.7	Rationale	
	DS_ANL_EXT.1 ANALYSER ANALYSIS	
5.3.1	Class IDS: Intrusion Detection System	
5.3.2	Family: Analyser analysis (IDS_ANL)	
5.3.3	Family Behavior	
5.3.4	Management	
5.3.5	Audit	
5.3.6	Definition	
5.3.7	Rationale	
	DS RCT EXT.1 ANALYSER REACT	
5.4.1	Class IDS: Intrusion Detection System	
5.4.1	Family: Analyser react (IDS_RCT)	
5.4.2 5.4.3		
5.4.3 5.4.4	Family Behavior Management	
5.4.4 5.4.5		
	Audit	
5.4.6	Definition	
5.4.7		
	DS_RDR_EXT.1 RESTRICTED DATA REVIEW	
5.5.1	Class IDS: Intrusion Detection System	
5.5.2	Family: Security data review (IDS_RDR)	
5.5.3	Family Behavior	
5.5.4	Management	
5.5.5	Audit	
5.5.6	Definition	
5.5.7	Rationale	
	DS_STG_EXT.1 GUARANTEE OF SYSTEM DATA AVAILABILITY	
5.6.1	Class IDS: Intrusion Detection System	
5.6.2	Family: System data storage (IDS_STG)	
5.6.3	Family Behavior	
5.6.4	Management	
5.6.5	Audit	
5.6.6	Definition	
5.6.7	Rationale	
	DS_STG_EXT.2 PREVENTION OF SYSTEM DATA LOSS	
5.7.1	Class IDS: Intrusion Detection System	
5.7.2	Family: System data storage (IDS_STG)	
5.7.3	Family Behavior	
5.7.4	Management	
5.7.5	Audit	
5.7.6	Definition	.53

	5.7.7 R	ationale	54
6	SECURIT	Y REQUIREMENTS	55
	6.1 SECU	URITY FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS	55
	6.1.1 C	lass FAU: Security Audit	56
	6.1.1.1	FAU_GEN.1 Audit Data Generation	
	6.1.1.2	FAU_SAR.1 Audit Review	
	6.1.1.3	FAU_SAR.2 Restricted audit review	58
	6.1.1.4	FAU_SAR.3 Selectable audit review	
	6.1.1.5	FAU_SEL.1 Selective audit	
	6.1.1.6	FAU_STG.2 Guarantees of audit data availability	
	6.1.1.7	FAU_STG.4 Prevention of audit data loss	
	6.1.2 Ci	lass FIA: Identification and Authentication	59
	6.1.2.1	FIA_ATD.1 User attribute definition	
	6.1.2.2	FIA_UAU_EXT.1 Timing of authentication	
	6.1.2.3	FIA_UID.1 Timing of identification	
	6.1.3 C	lass FMT: Security Management	
	6.1.3.1	FMT_MOF.1 Management of security functions behavior	
	6.1.3.2	FMT_MTD.1 Management of TSF data	
	6.1.3.3	FMT_SMF.1 Specification of Management Functions	
	6.1.3.4	FMT_SMR.1 Security Roles	
		lass FPT: Protection of the TSF	67
	6.1.4.1	FPT_ITT.1 Basic internal TSF data transfer protection	
	6.1.5 CI	lass FTA: TOE Access	
	6.1.5.1	FTA_TAB.1 Default TOE access banners	
	6.1.6 CI	lass IDS: IDS Component Requirements	
	6.1.6.1	IDS_SDC_EXT.1 System Data Collection	
	6.1.6.2	IDS_ANL_EXT.1 Analyser analysis	
	6.1.6.3	IDS_RCT_EXT.1 Analyser react (EXT)	
	6.1.6.4	IDS_RDR_EXT.1 Restricted Data Review (EXT)	
	6.1.6.5	IDS_STG_EXT.1 Guarantee of System Data Availability	
	6.1.6.6	IDS_STG_EXT.2 Prevention of System data loss	70
		URITY ASSURANCE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE TOE	
		lass ADV: Development	
	6.2.1.1	ADV_ARC.1 Security architecture description	
	6.2.1.2	ADV_FSP.2 Security-enforcing functional specification	
	6.2.1.3	ADV_TDS.1 Basic design	
		lass AGD: Guidance documents	
	6.2.2.1	AGD_OPE.1 Operational user guidance	
	6.2.2.2	AGD_PRE.1 Preparative procedures	
		lass ALC: Life-cycle support	
	6.2.3.1	ALC_CMC.2 Use of a CM system	
	6.2.3.2	ALC_CMS.2 Parts of the TOE CM coverage	
	6.2.3.3	ALC_DEL.1 Delivery procedures	
	6.2.3.4	ALC_FLR.2 Flaw reporting procedures	
		lass ATE: Tests	
	6.2.4.1	ATE_COV.1 Evidence of coverage	
	6.2.4.2	ATE_FUN.1 Functional testing	
	6.2.4.3	ATE_IND.2 Independent testing - sample	
		lass AVA: Vulnerability assessment	
	6.2.5.1	AVA_VAN.2 Vulnerability analysis	
		URITY REQUIREMENTS RATIONALE	
		ependencies Satisfied	
		unctional Requirements	80
	6.3.3 As	ssurance Rationale	84
7	TOE SUM	IMARY SPECIFICATION	
-			

		05
	URITY FUNCTIONS	
7.1.1 Sec	urity Audit Functions	86
7.1.1.1	AU-1: Audit Generation	86
7.1.1.2	AU-2: Audit Review	87
7.1.1.3	AU-3: Audit Selection	87
7.1.1.4	AU-4: Audit Record Protection	
7.1.2 Use	r I&A Functions	90
7.1.2.1	IA-1: User Security Attributes	90
7.1.2.2	IA-2: User Identification & Authentication	91
7.1.3 Sec	urity Management Functions	
7.1.3.1	SM-1: Management Functions	93
7.1.3.2	SM-2: Management Security Roles	93
7.1.4 Pro	tection of Security Functions	95
7.1.4.1	PT-1: Internal Data Transfer Protection	95
7.1.5 TOE	E Access Functions	95
7.1.5.1	TA-1 TOE Login Information	
7.1.6 Intru	usion Detection System Functions	96
7.1.6.1	ID-1: System Data Collection	
7.1.6.2	ID-2: System Data Analysis	
7.1.6.3	ID-3: Analyzer Alarms	
7.1.6.4	ID-4: System Data Review	
7.1.6.5	ID-5: System Data Protection	
7.2 TOE P	ROTECTION AGAINST INTERFERENCE AND LOGICAL TAMPERING	
	ROTECTION AGAINST BYPASS OF SECURITY FUNCTIONS	

Figures and Tables

Figures	Page
FIGURE 1: SOURCEFIRE 3D SYSTEM WITH DEFENSE CENTER	
FIGURE 2: SOURCEFIRE 3D SYSTEM USING A STAND-ALONE 3D SENSOR WITH IPS	23
Tables	Page
TABLE 1-1: REFERENCES	
TABLE 1-2: PRODUCT AND CC ACRONYMS	
TABLE 1-3: PRODUCT AND CC TERMINOLOGY	10
TABLE 1-4: 3D SENSOR WITH IPS CATEGORIES	
TABLE 1-5: USER GUIDANCE DOCUMENTS	21
TABLE 3-1: TOE THREATS	
TABLE 3-2: IT SYSTEM THREATS	
TABLE 3-3: ORGANIZATIONAL SECURITY POLICIES	
TABLE 3-4: TOE USAGE ASSUMPTIONS	
TABLE 3-5: TOE PHYSICAL ASSUMPTIONS	
TABLE 3-6: TOE PERSONNEL ASSUMPTIONS	
TABLE 4-1: TOE SECURITY OBJECTIVES	
TABLE 4-2: SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE OPERATIONAL ENVIRONMENT	
TABLE 4-3: SECURITY OBJECTIVES AND SECURITY ENVIRONMENT MAPPING	
TABLE 5-1: EXTENDED COMPONENTS	-
TABLE 5-2: SYSTEM EVENTS	
TABLE 6-1: TOE SECURITY FUNCTIONAL COMPONENTS	
TABLE 6-2: AUDITABLE EVENTS	
TABLE 6-3: MANAGEMENT OF SECURITY FUNCTIONS BEHAVIOR	
TABLE 6-4: MANAGEMENT OF TSF DATA	
TABLE 6-5: SYSTEM EVENTS	
TABLE 6-6: EAL2+ ASSURANCE COMPONENTS	
TABLE 6-7: TOE DEPENDENCIES SATISFIED	
TABLE 6-8: REQUIREMENTS VS. OBJECTIVES MAPPING	
TABLE 7-1: SECURITY FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS MAPPED TO SECURITY FUNCTIONS	

1 Security Target Introduction

1.1 Security Target Reference

- ST Title: Sourcefire 3D System Security Target (Sourcefire Defense Center: models DC500, DC1000, and DC3000; and Sourcefire 3D Sensor licensed for IPS: models 3D500, 3D1000, 3D2000, 3D2100, 3D2500, 3D3500, 3D3800, 3D4500, 3D5800, 3D6500, and 3D9800) Version 4.8.2.1 (SEU 259)
- **ST Version:** v1.15
- **ST Author:** CygnaCom Solutions
- **ST Date:** June 10, 2010
- Assurance level: EAL2 augmented with ALC_FLR.2
- **Protection Profile**: U.S. Government Protection Profile Intrusion Detection System System For Basic Robustness Environments, Version 1.7, July 25, 2007
- **Keywords**: intrusion prevention, intrusion detection, intrusion detection system, intrusion prevention system, IDS, IPS, scanner, analyzer, sensor

1.1.1 References

Table 1-1 provides the references used to develop this Security Target.

Table 1-1: References

Reference Title	ID
Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation, CCMB-2007-	[CC]
09-002, Version 3.1, Revision 2	
Sourcefire 3D System - CC Supplement for Version 4.8.2.1, 2010-Jun-08	[CC-SUPP]
New Features from v3.2.3 to v4.8, 2008-Aug-7	[CHANGES]
Sourcefire 3D System - Defense Center Installation Guide, Version 4.8, 2008-Jul-	[DC-INSTALL]
23	
U.S. Government Protection Profile Intrusion Detection System System For Basic	[IDS_PP]
Robustness Environments, Version 1.7, July 25, 2007.	
Sourcefire 3D System - 3D Sensor Installation Guide, Version 4.8.2 2009-Oct-02	[SENS-INSTALL]
Sourcefire 3D System - Sourcefire 3D System User Guide, Version 4.8.2, 2009-	[USER]
Sep-01	

1.2 TOE Reference

TOE Identification: Sourcefire 3D System (Sourcefire Defense Center: models DC500, DC1000, and DC3000; and Sourcefire 3D Sensor licensed for IPS: models 3D500, 3D1000, 3D2000, 3D2100, 3D2500, 3D3500, 3D3800, 3D4500, 3D5800, 3D6500, and 3D9800) Version 4.8.2.1 (SEU 259)

TOE Vendor: Sourcefire, Inc.

1.3 TOE Overview

The Target of Evaluation (TOE) is an Intrusion Prevention and Detection System, which consists of the Sourcefire Defense Center and Sourcefire 3D Sensor licensed for IPS appliances and software. The Sourcefire Defense Center (Defense Center) and Sourcefire 3D Sensor licensed for IPS (3D Sensor with IPS) are components of the Sourcefire 3D System Version 4.8.2.1 (SEU 259)

The TOE provides the following security functionality: auditing of security relevant events; TOE user account administration; TOE user identification and authentication; security role based access to management functions; trusted communication between components; display of TOE access warning banners; and system data collection, analysis, review, availability and loss.

A previous version of the product, Sourcefire Intrusion Detection System Version 3.2.3, was evaluated and certified at EAL2 in May 2005.

1.3.1 TOE Type

The TOE is an Intrusion Prevention and Detection System that combines open-source and proprietary technology. The TOE is used to monitor incoming (and outgoing) network traffic, from either inside or outside a firewall. All packets on the monitored network are scanned, decoded, processed and compared against a set of rules to determine whether inappropriate traffic, such as system attacks, is being passed over the network. The system then notifies a designated TOE administrator of these attempts. The system generates these alerts when deviations of the expected network behavior are detected and when there is a match to a known attack pattern.

1.3.2 Hardware/Firmware/Software Required by the TOE

The Sourcefire 3D System Version 4.8.2.1 (SEU 259) software is embedded in the Defense Center and the 3D Sensor with IPS appliances. The appliance hardware, the underlying operating systems, and third-party applications installed on the appliances provide support for the intrusion detection functions and associated security management functions of the TOE, and are included in the TOE.

Each appliance includes a Linux-derived operating system. The hardware and operating system on which the Sourcefire 3D System application software operates provides the support necessary for the software applications to exist as processes and to access necessary disk, memory, and network connection resources.

Please see Sections 1.4.3.1 Sourcefire 3D Sensor licensed for IPS (3D Sensor with IPS) and 1.4.3.2 Sourcefire Defense Center (Defense Center) for a detailed description of the TOE components

The evaluated configuration of the TOE requires the following Operational Environment support:

- A Web Browser for the Defense Center or 3D Sensor with IPS management interfaces. Sourcefire 3D System Version 4.8.2.1 only supports the following three browsers:
 - Firefox Version (Minimum) 2.x
 - Microsoft Internet Explorer Version 6.0 with Service Pack 2
 - Microsoft Internet Explorer Version 7.0

The browser must support JavaScript, cookies, and Secure Sockets Layer (SSL). The TOE administrators must also ensure that SSL v3 and 128-bit encryption are enabled. (For Internet Explorer 7.0, the Active Scripting option in the security settings must be enabled.)

- A private, protected network between the Defense Center and the 3D Sensor(s) with IPS.
- The network(s) that are to be monitored.
- Network Authentication Services.
- A trusted DNS Server.
- An NTP Server to provide reliable time.
- An Email Server to send administrator alert notifications and warnings.

The following Operational Environment components are optional for the evaluated configuration of the TOE.

- An external Syslog Server for administrator alert notifications.
- An SNMP Trap Server for administrator alert notifications.
- An external authentication server (LDAP or RADIUS).

1.4 TOE Description

1.4.1 Acronyms

The following table defines product specific and CC specific acronyms used within this Security Target.

Acronym	Definition	
CC	Common Criteria [for IT Security Evaluation]	
CIDR	Classless Inter Domain Routing	
СМ	Configuration Management	
EAL	Evaluation Assurance Level	

Table 1-2: Product and CC Acronyms

Acronym	Definition	
FIPS	Federal Information Processing Standards Publication	
GB	Gigabyte	
HTTP	HyperText Transmission Protocol	
HTTPS	HyperText Transmission Protocol, Secure	
ICMP	Internet Control Message Protocol	
ID	Identifier	
IDS	Intrusion Detection System	
IPS	Intrusion Prevention System	
IT	Information Technology	
NIST	National Institute of Standards and Technology	
PP	Protection Profile	
RPC	Remote Procedure Call	
SEU	Security Enhancement Updates	
SF	Security Function	
SFIDS	Sourcefire Intrusion Detection System	
SFP	Security Function Policy	
SFR	Security Functional Requirements	
SNMP	Simple Network Management Protocol	
ST	Security Target	
TCP/IP	Transmission Control Protocol/Internet Protocol	
TOE	Target of Evaluation	
TSC	TSF Scope of Control	
TSF	TOE Security Functions	
TSFI	TOE Security Functions Interface	
TSP	TOE Security Policy	
UDP	User Datagram Protocol	
UI	User Interface	
URI	Uniform Resource Identifier	

1.4.2 Terminology

The following table defines product-specific and CC-specific terminology used within this Security Target.

Terminology	Definition
Access List	A list of computers can access the appliance on specific ports.
Analyzer data	Data collected by the analyzer functions.
Analyzer functions	The active part of the analyzer responsible for performing intrusion analysis of information that may be representative of vulnerabilities in and misuse of IT resources, as well as reporting of conclusions. The 3D Sensor with IPS performs the analyzer functions of the TOE.
Assets	Information or resources to be protected by the countermeasures of a TOE.
Attack	An attempt to bypass security controls on an IT System. The attack may alter, release, or deny data. Whether an attack will succeed depends on the vulnerability of the IT System and the effectiveness of existing countermeasures.

Table 1-3: Product and CC Terminology

Terminology	Definition
Audit	The independent examination of records and activities to ensure
	compliance with established controls, policy, and operational
	procedures, and to recommend indicated changes in controls, policy,
	or procedures.
Audit Log (Audit Trail)	In an IT System, a chronological record of system resource usage.
5()	This includes user login, file access, other various activities, and
	whether any actual or attempted security violations occurred,
	legitimate and unauthorized.
Authentication	To establish the validity of a claimed user or object.
Authentication Object	An object that contains the settings for connecting to and retrieving
	user data from an external authentication server.
Authorized Administrator	The authorized users that manage the TOE or a subset of its TSF
(TOE Administrator)	data and management functions.
Availability	Assuring information and communications services will be ready for
	use when expected.
Compromise	An intrusion into an IT System where unauthorized disclosure,
	modification or destruction of sensitive information may have
	occurred.
Confidentiality	Assuring information will be kept secret, with access limited to
,	appropriate persons.
Defense Center	The Sourcefire 3D System Defense Center appliance and the
	software installed upon it. A central management point that allows the
	management of the 3D Sensors and automatically aggregates the
	events they generate.
Detection Engine	The mechanism that is responsible for analyzing the traffic on the
Dottotion Engine	network segment where a sensor is connected.
Evaluation	Assessment of a PP, a ST or a TOE, against defined criteria.
Health Alert	An alert generated by the Defense Center when a specific health
	event occurs.
Health Event	An event that is generated when one of the appliances in a
	deployment meets (or fails to meet) performance criteria specified in a
	health module. Health events indicate which module triggered the
	event and when the event was triggered.
Health Module	A test of a particular performance aspect of one of the appliances in a
	deployment.
Health Policy	The criteria used when checking the health of an appliance in a
-	deployment. Health policies use health modules to indicate whether
	Sourcefire 3D System hardware and software are working correctly.
IDS component	A sensor, scanner, or analyzer. The 3D Sensor with IPS is the IDS
•	component of the TOE.
Incident	One or more intrusion events that are suspected of being involved in a
	possible violation of a security policy.
Information Technology (IT)	May range from a computer system to a computer network.
System	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Integrity	Assuring information will not be accidentally or maliciously altered or
	destroyed.
Interface Set	One or more sensing interfaces on a 3D Sensor that can be used to
	monitor network segments for one or more detection engines.
Intrusion	Any set of actions that attempt to compromise the integrity,
	confidentiality or availability of a resource.
	connectionity of availability of a robouroe.

Intrusions and storing attack data for security analysis. Intrusion Detection System (IDS) A combination of sensors, scanners, and analyzers that monitor an IT System for activity that may inappropriately affect the IT System's assets and react appropriately. Intrusion Detection System Analyzer (analyzer) The component of an IDS that accepts data from sensors, scanners and other IT System resources, and then applies analytical processes and information to derive conclusions about intrusions (past, present, or future). The 3D Sensor with IPS is the analyzer component of the TOE. Intrusion Detection System Scanner (scanner) The component of an IDS that collects static configuration information that might be indicative of the potential for a future intrusion or the occurrence of a past intrusion of an IT System. The 3D Sensor with IPS is the scanner component of the TOE. Sensor (sensor) The component of an IDS that collects real-time events that may be indicative of vulnerabilities in or misuse of IT resources. The 3D Sensor with IPS is the sensor component of the TOE. Intrusion Policy Intrusion policies include a variety of components that are configured to inspect network traffic that violated an intrusion policy. Intrusion Protection The concept of intrusion detection with the added ability to block or alter malicous traffic as it travels across a network. Intrusion Rule A set of keywords and arguments that, when applied to captured network traffic, identify potential intrusions, policy violations, and security breaches. IPS compares packets against the conditions speciffed in each rule and, if the packet data matches all th	Terminology	Definition
intrusions and storing attack data for security analyzes. Intrusion Detection System A combination of sensors, scanners, and analyzers that monitor an IT System for activity that may inappropriately affect the IT System's assets and react appropriately. Intrusion Detection System The component of an IDS that accepts data from sensors, scanners and other IT System resources, and then applies analytical processes and information to derive conclusions about intrusions (past, present, or future). The 3D Sensor with IPS is the analyzer component of the TOE. Intrusion Detection System The component of an IDS that collects static configuration information that might be indicative of the potential for a future intrusion or the occurrence of a past intrusion of an IT System. The 3D Sensor with IPS is the scanner component of the TOE. Intrusion Detection System The component of an IDS that collects real-time events that may be indicative of vulnerabilities in or misuse of IT resources. The 3D Sensor (sensor) Sensor (sensor) Intrusion policies include a variety of component of the TOE. Intrusion Policy Intrusion policies include a variety of component st that inspect the protocol header values, payload content, and certain packet size characteristics; and tools that control how often events are logged and displayed. Intrusion Rule A set of keywords and arguments that, when applied to captured network traffic, identify potential intrusions, policy violations, and security breaches. IPS compares packets against the conditions specified in each rule and, if the packet data matches all the conditions specified in the rule, the rule trigger	Intrusion Detection	The process of passively analyzing network traffic for potential
Intrusion Detection System (IDS) A combination of sensors, scanners, and analyzer that monitor an IT System for activity that may inappropriately affect the IT System's assets and react appropriately. Intrusion Detection System Analyzer (analyzer) The component of an IDS that accepts data from sensors, scanners and other IT System resources, and then applies analytical processes and information to derive conclusions about intrusions (past, present, or future). The 3D Sensor with IPS is the analyzer component of the TOE. Intrusion Detection System Scanner (scanner) The component of an IDS that collects static configuration information that might be indicative of the potential for a future intrusion or the occurrence of a past intrusion of an IT System. The 3D Sensor with IPS is the scanner component of the TOE. Intrusion Detection System Sensor (sensor) The component of an IDS that collects real-time events that may be indicative of vulnerabilities in or misuse of IT resources. The 3D Sensor with IPS is the sensor component of the TOE. Intrusion Policy Intrusion policies include a variety of components that are configured to inspect network traffic for intrusions and policy violations. These components include preprocessors; intrusion rules that inspect the protocol header values, payload content, and certain packet size characteristics; and tools that control how often events are logged and displayed. Intrusion Rule A set of keywords and arguments that, when applied to captured network traffic, identify potential intrusions, policy violations, and security breaches. IPS compares packets against the conditions specified in each rule and, if the packet data matches all the conditions specified in the rule, the rul		
(IDS) System for activity that may inappropriately affect the IT System's assets and react appropriately. Intrusion Detection System Analyzer (analyzer) The component of an IDS that accepts data from sensors, scanners and other IT System resources, and then applies analytical processes and information to derive conclusions about intrusions (past, present, or future). The 3D Sensor with IPS is the analyzer component of the TOE. Intrusion Detection System Scanner (scanner) The component of an IDS that collects static configuration information that might be indicative of the potential for a future intrusion or the occurrence of a past intrusion of an IT System. The 3D Sensor with IPS is the scanner component of the TOE. Intrusion Detection System Sensor (sensor) The component of an IDS that collects real-time events that may be indicative of vulnerabilities in or misuse of IT resources. The 3D Sensor with IPS is the sensor component of the TOE. Intrusion Event A record of the network traffic that violated an intrusion policy. Intrusion Policy Intrusion policies include a values, payload content, and certain packet size characteristics; and tools that control how often events are logged and displayed. Intrusion Rule A set of keywords and arguments that, when applied to captured network traffic, identify potential intrusions, policy violations, and security breaches. IPS compares packets against the conditions specified in each rule and, if the packet data matches all the conditions specified in use on rules that mate continging functionality designed for use or incorporation within a multiplicity of system anacet of keywords and arguments that, when applied to cap	Intrusion Detection System	
assets and react appropriately. Intrusion Detection System Analyzer (analyzer) The component of an IDS that accepts data from sensors, scanners and other IT System resources, and then applies analytical processes and information to derive conclusions about intrusions (past, present, or future). The 3D Sensor with IPS is the analyzer component of the TOE. Intrusion Detection System Scanner (scanner) The component of an IDS that collects static configuration information that might be indicative of the potential for a future intrusion or the occurrence of a past intrusion of an IT System. The 3D Sensor with IPS is the scanner component of the TOE. Intrusion Detection System Sensor (sensor) The component of an IDS that collects real-time events that may be indicative of vulnerabilities in or misuse of IT resources. The 3D Sensor with IPS is the sensor component of the TOE. Intrusion Policy Intrusion policies include a variety of components that are configured to inspect network traffic for intrusion and policy violations. These components include preprocessors; intrusion rules that inspect the protocol header values, payload content, and certain packet size characteristics; and tools that control how often events are logged and displayed. Intrusion Rule A set of keywords and arguments that, when applied to captured network traffic, identify potential intrusions, policy violations, and security breaches. IPS compares packets against the conditions specified in each rule and, if the packet data matches all the conditions specified in the rule, the rule triggers and generates an intrusion event. IT Product A package of IT software, firmware and/or hardware, providing functionality designed for use o	-	
Intrusion Detection System Analyzer (analyzer) The component of an IDS that accepts data from sensors, scanners and other IT System resources, and then applies malytical processes and information to derive conclusions about intrusions (past, present, or future). The 3D Sensor with IPS is the analyzer component of the TOE. Intrusion Detection System Scanner (scanner) The component of an IDS that collects static configuration information that might be indicative of the potential for a future intrusion or the occurrence of a past intrusion of an IT System. The 3D Sensor with IPS is the scanner component of the TOE. Intrusion Detection System Sensor (sensor) The component of an IDS that collects real-time events that may be indicative of vulnerabilities in or misuse of IT resources. The 3D Sensor with IPS is the sensor component of the TOE. Intrusion Policy Intrusion policies include a variety of components that are configured to inspect network traffic for intrusions and policy violations. These components include preprocessors; intrusion rules that inspect the protocol header values, payload content, and certain packet size characteristics; and tools that control how often events are logged and displayed. Intrusion Rule A set of keywords and arguments that, when applied to captured network traffic, identify potential intrusions, policy violations, and security breaches. IPS compares packets against the conditions specified in each rule and, if the packet data matches all the conditions specified in the rule, the rule triggers and generates an intrusion event. IT Product A package of IT software, firmware and/or hardware, providing functionality designed for use or incorporation within a multiplicity of systems. <th>(-)</th> <th></th>	(-)	
Analyzer (analyzer) and other IT System resources, and then applies analytical processes and information to derive conclusions about intrusions (past, present, or future). The 3D Sensor with IPS is the analyzer component of the TOE. Intrusion Detection System The component of an IDS that collects static configuration information that might be indicative of the potential for a future intrusion or the occurrence of a past intrusion of an IT System. The 3D Sensor with IPS is the scanner component of the TOE. Intrusion Detection System The component of an IDS that collects real-time events that may be indicative of vulnerabilities in or misuse of IT resources. The 3D Sensor with IPS is the sensor component of the TOE. Intrusion Event A record of the network traffic that violated an intrusion policy. Intrusion Policy Intrusion policies include a variety of components that are configured to inspect network traffic for intrusions and policy violations. These components include preprocessors; intrusion rules that inspect the protocol header values, payload content, and certain packet size characteristics; and tools that control how often events are logged and displayed. Intrusion Rule A set of keywords and arguments that, when applied to captured network traffic, identify potential intrusions, policy violations, and security breaches. IPS compares packets against the conditions specified in each rule and, if the packet data matches all the conditions specified in the rule, the rule triggers and generates an intrusion event. IT Product A package of IT software, firmware and/or hardware, providing functionality designed for use or incorporation within a multiplicity of systems.	Intrusion Detection System	
and information to derive conclusions about intrusions (past, present, or future). The 3D Sensor with IPS is the analyzer component of the TOE. Intrusion Detection System Scanner (scanner) The component of an IDS that collects static configuration information that might be indicative of the potential for a future intrusion or the occurrence of a past intrusion of an IT System. The 3D Sensor with IPS is the scanner component of the TOE. Intrusion Detection System Sensor (sensor) The component of an IDS that collects real-time events that may be indicative of vulnerabilities in or misuse of IT resources. The 3D Sensor with IPS is the sensor component of the TOE. Intrusion Event A record of the network traffic that violated an intrusion policy. Intrusion Policy Intrusion clude preprocessors; intrusion rules that inspect the protocol header values, payload content, and certain packet size characteristics; and tools that control how often events are logged and displayed. Intrusion Rule A set of keywords and arguments that, when applied to captured network traffic is at it travels across a network. IT Product A package of IT software, firmware and/or hardware, providing functionality designed for use or incorporation within a multiplicity of systems. Network Two or more machines interconnected for communications. Packet A block of data sent over the network transmitting the identifies of the sending and receiving stations, error-control information, and message. Preprocessor A feature of IPS that normalizes traffic and helps identify network layer and tr		
or future). The 3D Sensor with IPS is the analyzer component of the TOE. Intrusion Detection System Scanner (scanner) The component of an IDS that collects static configuration information that might be indicative of the potential for a future intrusion or the occurrence of a past intrusion of an IT System. The 3D Sensor with IPS is the scanner component of the TOE. Intrusion Detection System Sensor (sensor) The component of an IDS that collects real-time events that may be indicative of vulnerabilities in or misuse of IT resources. The 3D Sensor with IPS is the sensor component of the TOE. Intrusion Event A record of the network traffic that violated an intrusion policy. Intrusion Policy Intrusion policies include a variety of components that are configured to inspect network traffic for intrusions and policy violations. These components include preprocessors; intrusion rules that inspect the protocol header values, payload content, and certain packet size characteristics; and tools that control how often events are logged and displayed. Intrusion Protection The concept of intrusion detection with the added ability to block or alter malicious traffic as it travels across a network. Intrusion Rule A set of keywords and arguments that, when applied to captured network traffic, identify potential intrusions, policy violations, and security breaches. IPS compares packet data matches all the conditions specified in the rule, the rule triggers and generates an intrusion event. IT Product A package of IT software, firmware and/or hardware, providing functionality designed for use or incorporation within a multiplicity of systems.<	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
TOE. Intrusion Detection System Scanner (scanner) The component of an IDS that collects static configuration information that might be indicative of the potential for a future intrusion or the occurrence of a past intrusion of an IT System. The 3D Sensor with IPS is the scanner component of the TOE. Intrusion Detection System Sensor (sensor) The component of an IDS that collects real-time events that may be indicative of vulnerabilities in or misuse of IT resources. The 3D Sensor with IPS is the sensor component of the TOE. Intrusion Event A record of the network traffic that violated an intrusion policy. Intrusion Policy Intrusion policies include a variety of components that are configured to inspect network traffic for intrusions and policy violations. These components include preprocessors; intrusion rules that inspect the protocol header values, payload content, and certain packet size characteristics; and tools that control how often events are logged and displayed. Intrusion Rule A set of keywords and arguments that, when applied to captured network traffic, identify potential intrusions, policy violations, and security breaches. IPS compares packet data matches all the conditions specified in the rule, the rule triggers and generates an intrusion event. IT Product A backage of IT software, firmware and/or hardware, providing functionality designed for use or incorporation within a multiplicity of systems. Network Two or more machines interconnected for communications. Packet A bolock of data sent over the network transmitting the identities of the sending and recei		
Scanner (scanner) that might be indicative of the potential for a future intrusion or the occurrence of a past intrusion of an IT System. The 3D Sensor with IPS is the scanner component of the TOE. Intrusion Detection System The component of an IDS that collects real-time events that may be indicative of vulnerabilities in or misuse of IT resources. The 3D Sensor with IPS is the sensor component of the TOE. Intrusion Event A record of the network traffic that violated an intrusion policy. Intrusion Policy Intrusion policies include a variety of components that are configured to inspect network traffic for intrusions and policy violations. These components include preprocessors; intrusion rules that inspect the protocol header values, payload content, and certain packet size characteristics; and tools that control how often events are logged and displayed. Intrusion Rule A set of keywords and arguments that, when applied to captured network traffic, identify potential intrusions, policy violations, and security breaches. IPS compares packets against the conditions specified in each rule and, if the packet data matches all the conditions specified in each rule, the rule triggers and generates an intrusion event. IT Product A package of IT software, firmware and/or hardware, providing functionality designed for use or incorporation within a multiplicity of systems. Network Two or more machines interconnected for communications. Packet A block of data sent over the network traffic and helps identify network layer and transport layer protocol anomalies by identifying inappropriate header options, defragmenting IP		
Scanner (scanner) that might be indicative of the potential for a future intrusion or the occurrence of a past intrusion of an IT System. The 3D Sensor with IPS is the scanner component of the TOE. Intrusion Detection System The component of an IDS that collects real-time events that may be indicative of vulnerabilities in or misuse of IT resources. The 3D Sensor with IPS is the sensor component of the TOE. Intrusion Event A record of the network traffic that violated an intrusion policy. Intrusion Policy Intrusion policies include a variety of components that are configured to inspect network traffic for intrusions and policy violations. These components include preprocessors; intrusion rules that inspect the protocol header values, payload content, and certain packet size characteristics; and tools that control how often events are logged and displayed. Intrusion Rule A set of keywords and arguments that, when applied to captured network traffic, identify potential intrusions, policy violations, and security breaches. IPS compares packets against the conditions specified in each rule and, if the packet data matches all the conditions specified in each rule, the rule triggers and generates an intrusion event. IT Product A package of IT software, firmware and/or hardware, providing functionality designed for use or incorporation within a multiplicity of systems. Network Two or more machines interconnected for communications. Packet A block of data sent over the network transmitting the identities of the sending and receiving stations, error-control information, and message. <t< th=""><th>Intrusion Detection System</th><th>The component of an IDS that collects static configuration information</th></t<>	Intrusion Detection System	The component of an IDS that collects static configuration information
occurrence of a past intrusion of an IT System. The 3D Sensor with IPS is the scanner component of the TOE. Intrusion Detection System Sensor (sensor) The component of an IDS that collects real-time events that may be indicative of vulnerabilities in or misuse of IT resources. The 3D Sensor with IPS is the sensor component of the TOE. Intrusion Event A record of the network traffic that violated an intrusion policy. Intrusion Policy Intrusion policies include a variety of components that are configured to inspect network traffic for intrusions and policy violations. These components include preprocessors; intrusion rules that inspect the protocol header values, payload content, and certain packet size characteristics; and tools that control how often events are logged and displayed. Intrusion Protection The concept of intrusion detection with the added ability to block or alter malicious traffic as it travels across a network. Intrusion Rule A set of keywords and arguments that, when applied to captured network traffic, identify potential intrusions, policy violations, and security breaches. IPS compares packets against the conditions specified in each rule and, if the packet data matches all the conditions specified in the rule, the rule triggers and generates an intrusion event. IT Product A package of IT software, firmware and/or hardware, providing functionality designed for use or incorporation within a multiplicity of systems. Network Two or more machines interconnected for communications. Packet A device or program that monitors the data traveling between computers		
IPS is the scanner component of the TOE. Intrusion Detection System Sensor (sensor) The component of an IDS that collects real-time events that may be indicative of vulnerabilities in or misuse of IT resources. The 3D Sensor with IPS is the sensor component of the TOE. Intrusion Event A record of the network traffic that violated an intrusion policy. Intrusion Policy Intrusion policies include a variety of components that are configured to inspect network traffic for intrusions and policy violations. These components include preprocessors; intrusion rules that inspect the protocol header values, payload content, and certain packet size characteristics; and tools that control how often events are logged and displayed. Intrusion Protection The concept of intrusion detection with the added ability to block or alter malicious traffic as it travels across a network. Intrusion Rule A set of keywords and arguments that, when applied to captured network traffic, identify potential intrusions, policy violations, and security breaches. IPS compares packets against the conditions specified in each rule and, if the packet data matches all the conditions specified in the rule, the rule triggers and generates an intrusion event. IT Product A package of IT software, firmware and/or hardware, providing functionality designed for use or incorporation within a multiplicity of systems. Network Two or more machines interconnected for communications. Packet A device or program that monitors the data traveling between computers on a network. Preprocessor		
Intrusion Detection System Sensor (sensor) The component of an IDS that collects real-time events that may be indicative of vulnerabilities in or misuse of IT resources. The 3D Sensor with IPS is the sensor component of the TOE. Intrusion Event A record of the network traffic that violated an intrusion policy. Intrusion Policy Intrusion policies include a variety of components that are configured to inspect network traffic for intrusions and policy violations. These components include preprocessors; intrusion rules that inspect the protocol header values, payload content, and certain packet size characteristics; and tools that control how often events are logged and displayed. Intrusion Protection The concept of intrusion detection with the added ability to block or alter malicious traffic as it travels across a network. Intrusion Rule A set of keywords and arguments that, when applied to captured network traffic, identify potential intrusions, policy violations, and security breaches. IPS compares packets against the conditions specified in each rule and, if the packet data matches all the conditions specified in the rule, the rule triggers and generates an intrusion event. IT Product A package of IT software, firmware and/or hardware, providing functionality designed for use or incorporation within a multiplicity of systems. Network Two or more machines interconnected for communications. Packet A block of data sent over the network transmitting the identifies of the sending and receiving stations, error-control information, and message. Preprocessor A fe		
Sensor (sensor) indicative of vulnerabilities in or misuse of IT resources. The 3D Sensor with IPS is the sensor component of the TOE. Intrusion Event A record of the network traffic that violated an intrusion policy. Intrusion Policy Intrusion policies include a variety of components that are configured to inspect network traffic for intrusions and policy violations. These components include preprocessors, intrusion rules that inspect the protocol header values, payload content, and certain packet size characteristics; and tools that control how often events are logged and displayed. Intrusion Protection The concept of intrusion detection with the added ability to block or alter malicious traffic as it travels across a network. Intrusion Rule A set of keywords and arguments that, when applied to captured network traffic, identify potential intrusions, policy violations, and security breaches. IPS compares packets agains the conditions specified in each rule and, if the packet data matches all the conditions specified in the rule, the rule triggers and generates an intrusion event. IT Product A package of IT software, firmware and/or hardware, providing functionality designed for use or incorporation within a multiplicity of systems. Network Two or more machines interconnected for communications. Packet A block of data sent over the network transmitting the identities of the sending and receiving stations, error-control information, and message. Packet Sniffer A device or program that monitors the data traveling between computers on a network. Prep	Intrusion Detection System	
Sensor with IPS is the sensor component of the TOE. Intrusion Event A record of the network traffic that violated an intrusion policy. Intrusion Policy Intrusion policies include a variety of components that are configured to inspect network traffic for intrusions and policy violations. These components include preprocessors; intrusion rules that inspect the protocol header values, payload content, and certain packet size characteristics; and tools that control how often events are logged and displayed. Intrusion Protection The concept of intrusion detection with the added ability to block or alter malicious traffic as it travels across a network. Intrusion Rule A set of keywords and arguments that, when applied to captured network traffic, identify potential intrusions, policy violations, and security breaches. IPS compares packets against the conditions specified in each rule and, if the packet data matches all the conditions specified in each rule and, if the packet data matches all the conditions specified in the rule, the rule triggers and generates an intrusion event. IT Product A package of IT software, firmware and/or hardware, providing functionality designed for use or incorporation within a multiplicity of systems. Network Two or more machines interconnected for communications. Packet A block of data sent over the network transmitting the identities of the sending and receiving stations, error-control information, and message. Packet Sniffer A feature of IPS that normalizes traffic and helps identify network layer and transport layer protocol anomalies by identi		
Intrusion Event A record of the network traffic that violated an intrusion policy. Intrusion Policy Intrusion policies include a variety of components that are configured to inspect network traffic for intrusions and policy violations. These components include preprocessors; intrusion rules that inspect the protocol header values, payload content, and certain packet size characteristics; and tools that control how often events are logged and displayed. Intrusion Protection The concept of intrusion detection with the added ability to block or alter malicious traffic as it travels across a network. Intrusion Rule A set of keywords and arguments that, when applied to captured network traffic, identify potential intrusions, policy violations, and security breaches. IPS compares packets against the conditions specified in each rule and, if the packet data matches all the conditions specified in the rule and, if the packet data matches all the conditions specified in the rule and/or hardware, providing functionality designed for use or incorporation within a multiplicity of systems. Network Two or more machines interconnected for communications. Packet A block of data sent over the network transmitting the identities of the sending and receiving stations, error-control information, and message. Preprocessor A feature of IPS that normalizes traffic and helps identify network layer and transport layer protocol anomalies by identifying inappropriate header options, defragmenting IP datagrams, providing TCP stateful inspection and stream reassembly, and vaildating checksums.		
Intrusion Policy Intrusion policies include a variety of components that are configured to inspect network traffic for intrusions and policy violations. These components include preprocessors; intrusion rules that inspect the protocol header values, payload content, and certain packet size characteristics; and tools that control how often events are logged and displayed. Intrusion Protection The concept of intrusion detection with the added ability to block or alter malicious traffic as it travels across a network. Intrusion Rule A set of keywords and arguments that, when applied to captured network traffic, identify potential intrusions, policy violations, and security breaches. IPS compares packets against the conditions specified in each rule and, if the packet data matches all the conditions specified in the rule, the rule triggers and generates an intrusion event. IT Product A package of IT software, firmware and/or hardware, providing functionality designed for use or incorporation within a multiplicity of systems. Network Two or more machines interconnected for communications. Packet A block of data sent over the network transmitting the identities of the sending and receiving stations, error-control information, and message. Packet Sniffer A feature of IPS that normalizes traffic and helps identify network layer and transport layer protocol anomalies by identifying inappropriate header options, defragmenting IP datagrams, providing TCP stateful inspection and stream reassembly, and validating checksums. Protection Profile (PP) An implementation-independent set of security requirements for a	Intrusion Event	
to inspect network traffic for intrusions and policy violations. These components include preprocessors; intrusion rules that inspect the protocol header values, payload content, and certain packet size characteristics; and tools that control how often events are logged and displayed.Intrusion ProtectionThe concept of intrusion detection with the added ability to block or alter malicious traffic as it travels across a network.Intrusion RuleA set of keywords and arguments that, when applied to captured network traffic, identify potential intrusions, policy violations, and security breaches. IPS compares packets against the conditions specified in each rule and, if the packet data matches all the conditions specified in the rule, the rule triggers and generates an intrusion event.IT ProductA package of IT software, firmware and/or hardware, providing functionality designed for use or incorporation within a multiplicity of systems.NetworkTwo or more machines interconnected for communications.PacketA block of data sent over the network transmitting the identities of the sending and receiving stations, error-control information, and message.Packet SnifferA device or program that monitors the data traveling between computers on a network.PreprocessorA feature of IPS that normalizes traffic and helps identify network layer and transport layer protocol anomalies by identifying inappropriate header options, defragmenting IP datagrams, providing TCP stateful inspection and stream reassembly, and validating checksums.Protection Profile (PP)An implementation-independent set of security requirements for a		
components include preprocessors; intrusion rules that inspect the protocol header values, payload content, and certain packet size characteristics; and tools that control how often events are logged and displayed.Intrusion ProtectionThe concept of intrusion detection with the added ability to block or alter malicious traffic as it travels across a network.Intrusion RuleA set of keywords and arguments that, when applied to captured network traffic, identify potential intrusions, policy violations, and security breaches. IPS compares packets against the conditions specified in each rule and, if the packet data matches all the conditions specified in the rule, the rule triggers and generates an intrusion event.IT ProductA package of IT software, firmware and/or hardware, providing functionality designed for use or incorporation within a multiplicity of systems.NetworkTwo or more machines interconnected for communications.PacketA block of data sent over the network transmitting the identities of the sending and receiving stations, error-control information, and message.Packet SnifferA feature of IPS that normalizes traffic and helps identify network layer and transport layer protocol anomalies by identifying inappropriate header options, defragmenting IP datagrams, providing TCP stateful inspection and stream reassembly, and validating checksums.Protection Profile (PP)An implementation-independent set of security requirements for a		
protocol header values, payload content, and certain packet size characteristics; and tools that control how often events are logged and displayed.Intrusion ProtectionThe concept of intrusion detection with the added ability to block or alter malicious traffic as it travels across a network.Intrusion RuleA set of keywords and arguments that, when applied to captured network traffic, identify potential intrusions, policy violations, and security breaches. IPS compares packets against the conditions specified in each rule and, if the packet data matches all the conditions specified in the rule, the rule triggers and generates an intrusion event.IT ProductA package of IT software, firmware and/or hardware, providing functionality designed for use or incorporation within a multiplicity of systems.NetworkTwo or more machines interconnected for communications.PacketA block of data sent over the network transmitting the identities of the sending and receiving stations, error-control information, and message.Packet SnifferA device or program that monitors the data traveling between computers on a network.PreprocessorA feature of IPS that normalizes traffic and helps identify network layer and transport layer protocol anomalies by identifying inappropriate header options, defragmenting IP datagrams, providing TCP stateful inspection and stream reassembly, and validating checksums.Protection Profile (PP)An implementation-independent set of security requirements for a		
characteristics; and tools that control how often events are logged and displayed.Intrusion ProtectionThe concept of intrusion detection with the added ability to block or alter malicious traffic as it travels across a network.Intrusion RuleA set of keywords and arguments that, when applied to captured network traffic, identify potential intrusions, policy violations, and security breaches. IPS compares packets against the conditions specified in each rule and, if the packet data matches all the conditions specified in the rule, the rule triggers and generates an intrusion event.IT ProductA package of IT software, firmware and/or hardware, providing functionality designed for use or incorporation within a multiplicity of systems.NetworkTwo or more machines interconnected for communications.PacketA block of data sent over the network transmitting the identities of the sending and receiving stations, error-control information, and message.Packet SnifferA device or program that monitors the data traveling between computers on a network.PreprocessorA feature of IPS that normalizes traffic and helps identify network layer and transport layer protocol anomalies by identifying inappropriate header options, defragmenting IP datagrams, providing TCP stateful inspection and stream reassembly, and validating checksums.Protection Profile (PP)An implementation-independent set of security requirements for a		
displayed.Intrusion ProtectionThe concept of intrusion detection with the added ability to block or alter malicious traffic as it travels across a network.Intrusion RuleA set of keywords and arguments that, when applied to captured network traffic, identify potential intrusions, policy violations, and security breaches. IPS compares packets against the conditions specified in each rule and, if the packet data matches all the conditions specified in the rule, the rule triggers and generates an intrusion event.IT ProductA package of IT software, firmware and/or hardware, providing functionality designed for use or incorporation within a multiplicity of systems.NetworkTwo or more machines interconnected for communications.PacketA block of data sent over the network transmitting the identities of the sending and receiving stations, error-control information, and message.Packet SnifferA device or program that monitors the data traveling between computers on a network.PreprocessorA feature of IPS that normalizes traffic and helps identify network layer and transport layer protocol anomalies by identifying inappropriate header options, defragmenting IP datagrams, providing TCP stateful inspection and stream reassembly, and validating checksums.Protection Profile (PP)An implementation-independent set of security requirements for a		
Intrusion ProtectionThe concept of intrusion detection with the added ability to block or alter malicious traffic as it travels across a network.Intrusion RuleA set of keywords and arguments that, when applied to captured network traffic, identify potential intrusions, policy violations, and security breaches. IPS compares packets against the conditions specified in each rule and, if the packet data matches all the conditions specified in the rule, the rule triggers and generates an intrusion event.IT ProductA package of IT software, firmware and/or hardware, providing functionality designed for use or incorporation within a multiplicity of systems.NetworkTwo or more machines interconnected for communications.PacketA block of data sent over the network transmitting the identities of the sending and receiving stations, error-control information, and message.Packet SnifferA device or program that monitors the data traveling between computers on a network.PreprocessorA feature of IPS that normalizes traffic and helps identify network layer and transport layer protocol anomalies by identifying inappropriate header options, defragmenting IP datagrams, providing TCP stateful inspection and stream reassembly, and validating checksums.Protection Profile (PP)An implementation-independent set of security requirements for a		
alter malicious traffic as it travels across a network.Intrusion RuleA set of keywords and arguments that, when applied to captured network traffic, identify potential intrusions, policy violations, and security breaches. IPS compares packets against the conditions specified in each rule and, if the packet data matches all the conditions specified in the rule, the rule triggers and generates an intrusion event.IT ProductA package of IT software, firmware and/or hardware, providing functionality designed for use or incorporation within a multiplicity of systems.NetworkTwo or more machines interconnected for communications.PacketA block of data sent over the network transmitting the identities of the sending and receiving stations, error-control information, and message.Packet SnifferA device or program that monitors the data traveling between computers on a network.PreprocessorA feature of IPS that normalizes traffic and helps identify network layer and transport layer protocol anomalies by identifying inappropriate header options, defragmenting IP datagrams, providing TCP stateful inspection and stream reassembly, and validating checksums.Protection Profile (PP)An implementation-independent set of security requirements for a	Intrusion Protection	
Intrusion RuleA set of keywords and arguments that, when applied to captured network traffic, identify potential intrusions, policy violations, and security breaches. IPS compares packets against the conditions specified in each rule and, if the packet data matches all the conditions specified in the rule, the rule triggers and generates an intrusion event.IT ProductA package of IT software, firmware and/or hardware, providing functionality designed for use or incorporation within a multiplicity of systems.NetworkTwo or more machines interconnected for communications.PacketA block of data sent over the network transmitting the identities of the sending and receiving stations, error-control information, and message.Packet SnifferA device or program that monitors the data traveling between computers on a network.PreprocessorA feature of IPS that normalizes traffic and helps identify network layer and transport layer protocol anomalies by identifying inappropriate header options, defragmenting IP datagrams, providing TCP stateful inspection and stream reassembly, and validating checksums.Protection Profile (PP)An implementation-independent set of security requirements for a		
network traffic, identify potential intrusions, policy violations, and security breaches. IPS compares packets against the conditions specified in each rule and, if the packet data matches all the conditions specified in the rule, the rule triggers and generates an intrusion event.IT ProductA package of IT software, firmware and/or hardware, providing functionality designed for use or incorporation within a multiplicity of systems.NetworkTwo or more machines interconnected for communications.PacketA block of data sent over the network transmitting the identities of the sending and receiving stations, error-control information, and message.Packet SnifferA device or program that monitors the data traveling between computers on a network.PreprocessorA feature of IPS that normalizes traffic and helps identify network layer and transport layer protocol anomalies by identifying inappropriate header options, defragmenting IP datagrams, providing TCP stateful inspection and stream reassembly, and validating checksums.Protection Profile (PP)An implementation-independent set of security requirements for a	Intrusion Rule	
security breaches. IPS compares packets against the conditions specified in each rule and, if the packet data matches all the conditions specified in the rule, the rule triggers and generates an intrusion event.IT ProductA package of IT software, firmware and/or hardware, providing functionality designed for use or incorporation within a multiplicity of systems.NetworkTwo or more machines interconnected for communications.PacketA block of data sent over the network transmitting the identities of the sending and receiving stations, error-control information, and message.Packet SnifferA device or program that monitors the data traveling between computers on a network.PreprocessorA feature of IPS that normalizes traffic and helps identify network layer and transport layer protocol anomalies by identifying inappropriate header options, defragmenting IP datagrams, providing TCP stateful inspection and stream reassembly, and validating checksums.Protection Profile (PP)An implementation-independent set of security requirements for a		
specified in each rule and, if the packet data matches all the conditions specified in the rule, the rule triggers and generates an intrusion event.IT ProductA package of IT software, firmware and/or hardware, providing functionality designed for use or incorporation within a multiplicity of systems.NetworkTwo or more machines interconnected for communications.PacketA block of data sent over the network transmitting the identities of the sending and receiving stations, error-control information, and message.Packet SnifferA device or program that monitors the data traveling between computers on a network.PreprocessorA feature of IPS that normalizes traffic and helps identify network layer and transport layer protocol anomalies by identifying inappropriate header options, defragmenting IP datagrams, providing TCP stateful inspection and stream reassembly, and validating checksums.Protection Profile (PP)An implementation-independent set of security requirements for a		security breaches. IPS compares packets against the conditions
intrusion event.IT ProductA package of IT software, firmware and/or hardware, providing functionality designed for use or incorporation within a multiplicity of systems.NetworkTwo or more machines interconnected for communications.PacketA block of data sent over the network transmitting the identities of the sending and receiving stations, error-control information, and message.Packet SnifferA device or program that monitors the data traveling between computers on a network.PreprocessorA feature of IPS that normalizes traffic and helps identify network layer and transport layer protocol anomalies by identifying inappropriate header options, defragmenting IP datagrams, providing TCP stateful inspection and stream reassembly, and validating checksums.Protection Profile (PP)An implementation-independent set of security requirements for a		specified in each rule and, if the packet data matches all the
IT ProductA package of IT software, firmware and/or hardware, providing functionality designed for use or incorporation within a multiplicity of systems.NetworkTwo or more machines interconnected for communications.PacketA block of data sent over the network transmitting the identities of the sending and receiving stations, error-control information, and message.Packet SnifferA device or program that monitors the data traveling between computers on a network.PreprocessorA feature of IPS that normalizes traffic and helps identify network layer and transport layer protocol anomalies by identifying inappropriate header options, defragmenting IP datagrams, providing TCP stateful inspection and stream reassembly, and validating checksums.Protection Profile (PP)An implementation-independent set of security requirements for a		conditions specified in the rule, the rule triggers and generates an
functionality designed for use or incorporation within a multiplicity of systems. Network Two or more machines interconnected for communications. Packet A block of data sent over the network transmitting the identities of the sending and receiving stations, error-control information, and message. Packet Sniffer A device or program that monitors the data traveling between computers on a network. Preprocessor A feature of IPS that normalizes traffic and helps identify network layer and transport layer protocol anomalies by identifying inappropriate header options, defragmenting IP datagrams, providing TCP stateful inspection and stream reassembly, and validating checksums. Protection Profile (PP) An implementation-independent set of security requirements for a		intrusion event.
systems. Network Two or more machines interconnected for communications. Packet A block of data sent over the network transmitting the identities of the sending and receiving stations, error-control information, and message. Packet Sniffer A device or program that monitors the data traveling between computers on a network. Preprocessor A feature of IPS that normalizes traffic and helps identify network layer and transport layer protocol anomalies by identifying inappropriate header options, defragmenting IP datagrams, providing TCP stateful inspection and stream reassembly, and validating checksums. Protection Profile (PP) An implementation-independent set of security requirements for a	IT Product	A package of IT software, firmware and/or hardware, providing
Network Two or more machines interconnected for communications. Packet A block of data sent over the network transmitting the identities of the sending and receiving stations, error-control information, and message. Packet Sniffer A device or program that monitors the data traveling between computers on a network. Preprocessor A feature of IPS that normalizes traffic and helps identify network layer and transport layer protocol anomalies by identifying inappropriate header options, defragmenting IP datagrams, providing TCP stateful inspection and stream reassembly, and validating checksums. Protection Profile (PP) An implementation-independent set of security requirements for a		functionality designed for use or incorporation within a multiplicity of
Packet A block of data sent over the network transmitting the identities of the sending and receiving stations, error-control information, and message. Packet Sniffer A device or program that monitors the data traveling between computers on a network. Preprocessor A feature of IPS that normalizes traffic and helps identify network layer and transport layer protocol anomalies by identifying inappropriate header options, defragmenting IP datagrams, providing TCP stateful inspection and stream reassembly, and validating checksums. Protection Profile (PP) An implementation-independent set of security requirements for a		systems.
sending and receiving stations, error-control information, and message. Packet Sniffer A device or program that monitors the data traveling between computers on a network. Preprocessor A feature of IPS that normalizes traffic and helps identify network layer and transport layer protocol anomalies by identifying inappropriate header options, defragmenting IP datagrams, providing TCP stateful inspection and stream reassembly, and validating checksums. Protection Profile (PP) An implementation-independent set of security requirements for a	Network	Two or more machines interconnected for communications.
message. Packet Sniffer A device or program that monitors the data traveling between computers on a network. Preprocessor A feature of IPS that normalizes traffic and helps identify network layer and transport layer protocol anomalies by identifying inappropriate header options, defragmenting IP datagrams, providing TCP stateful inspection and stream reassembly, and validating checksums. Protection Profile (PP) An implementation-independent set of security requirements for a	Packet	A block of data sent over the network transmitting the identities of the
Packet Sniffer A device or program that monitors the data traveling between computers on a network. Preprocessor A feature of IPS that normalizes traffic and helps identify network layer and transport layer protocol anomalies by identifying inappropriate header options, defragmenting IP datagrams, providing TCP stateful inspection and stream reassembly, and validating checksums. Protection Profile (PP) An implementation-independent set of security requirements for a		sending and receiving stations, error-control information, and
computers on a network. Preprocessor A feature of IPS that normalizes traffic and helps identify network layer and transport layer protocol anomalies by identifying inappropriate header options, defragmenting IP datagrams, providing TCP stateful inspection and stream reassembly, and validating checksums. Protection Profile (PP) An implementation-independent set of security requirements for a		
PreprocessorA feature of IPS that normalizes traffic and helps identify network layer and transport layer protocol anomalies by identifying inappropriate header options, defragmenting IP datagrams, providing TCP stateful inspection and stream reassembly, and validating checksums.Protection Profile (PP)An implementation-independent set of security requirements for a	Packet Sniffer	A device or program that monitors the data traveling between
and transport layer protocol anomalies by identifying inappropriate header options, defragmenting IP datagrams, providing TCP stateful inspection and stream reassembly, and validating checksums.Protection Profile (PP)An implementation-independent set of security requirements for a		
header options, defragmenting IP datagrams, providing TCP stateful inspection and stream reassembly, and validating checksums.Protection Profile (PP)An implementation-independent set of security requirements for a	Preprocessor	
inspection and stream reassembly, and validating checksums.Protection Profile (PP)An implementation-independent set of security requirements for a		
Protection Profile (PP) An implementation-independent set of security requirements for a		
category of TOEs that meet specific consumer peeds	Protection Profile (PP)	
		category of TOEs that meet specific consumer needs.
Reviewed Event An intrusion event that has been examined by an administrator who		
has determined that the event does not represent a threat to network	Reviewed Event	An intrusion event that has been examined by an administrator who
security and who has marked the event as reviewed.	Reviewed Event	

Terminology	Definition
Root (root user, root	The superuser, a user on Unix-like systems, usually with full
account)	administrative privileges.
Scanner data	Data collected by the scanner functions.
Scanner functions	The active part of the scanner responsible for collecting configuration
	information that may be representative of vulnerabilities in and misuse
	of IT resources (i.e., scanner data).
Security	A condition that results from the establishment and maintenance of
-	protective measures that ensures a state of inviolability from hostile
	acts or influences.
Security Policy	The set of laws, rules, and practices that regulate how an organization
	manages, protects, and distributes sensitive information.
Security Target (ST)	A set of security requirements and specifications to be used as the
	basis for evaluation of an identified TOE.
Sensor (3D Sensor with IPS)	An appliance-based sensor that, as part of the Sourcefire 3D System,
	can run the IPS component. The 3D Sensor with IPS includes the
	appliance hardware and the Sourcefire application software, Linux
	derived operating system, and supporting 3rd party software installed
	on the appliance.
Sensor data	Data collected by the Sensor functions.
Sensor functions	The active part of the Sensor responsible for collecting information
	that may be representative of vulnerabilities in and misuse of IT
	resources (i.e., Sensor data).
Signatures	Patterns of network traffic that can be used to detect attacks or
	exploits.
System Policy	Settings that are likely to be similar for multiple appliances in a
	deployment, such as access configuration, authentication profiles,
	database limits, DNS cache settings, the mail relay host, a notification
	address for database prune messages, and time synchronization
	settings.
Target of Evaluation (TOE)	An IT product or system and its associated administrator and user
—	guidance documentation that is the subject of an evaluation.
Threat	The means through which the ability or intent of a threat agent to
	adversely affect an automated system, facility, or operation can be
	manifest. A potential violation of security.
TOE Security Functions	A set consisting of all hardware, software, and firmware of the TOE
	that must be relied upon for the correct enforcement of the TSP.
TOE Security Policy (TSP)	A set of rules that regulate how assets are managed, protected, and
Troion Horoo	distributed within a TOE.
Trojan Horse	An apparently useful and innocent program containing additional
	hidden code that allows the unauthorized collection, exploitation,
TSF data	falsification, or destruction of data. Data created by and for the TOE, which might affect the operation of
i Si Uala	the TOE.
TSF Scope of Control (TSC)	The set of interactions that can occur with or within a TOE and are
ise scope of control (13C)	
User	subject to the rules of the TSP. Any entity (human user or external IT entity) outside the TOE that
0361	5 5 (
Virus	interacts with the TOE.
VIIUS	A program that can "infect" other programs by modifying them to
	include a, possibly evolved, copy of itself.

Terminology	Definition
Vulnerability	Hardware, firmware, or software flow that leaves an IT System open for potential exploitation. A weakness in automated system security procedures, administrative controls, physical layout, internal controls, and so forth, which could be exploited by a threat to gain unauthorized access to information or disrupt critical processing.
Workflow	A series of Web pages available on the TOE's WebUI that the administrators can use to view and evaluate events by moving from a broad view of event data to a more focused view that contains only the events of interest.

1.4.3 *Product Description*

Sourcefire markets an integrated Enterprise Threat Management (ETM) solution. To provide the entire ETM solution, Sourcefire 3D System integrates four core products: Sourcefire IPS, Sourcefire RNA, Sourcefire RUA, and the Sourcefire Defense Center. Sourcefire offers these products as individual components or as a system to a meet a variety of IT security needs and budgets. Each product is sold separately and requires a separate license to run. This evaluation includes two of the four core products: Sourcefire IPS (the Sourcefire 3D Sensor licensed for IPS) and the Sourcefire Defense Center.

The Sourcefire 3D System monitors a customer's network for traffic that could affect the availability, integrity, and confidentiality of a host and its data. By placing 3D Sensors with IPS on key network segments, the packets that traverse the network can be examined for malicious activity. The TOE allows authorized administrators to monitor the network for attacks that might affect the availability, integrity, or confidentiality of hosts on the network either directly from the 3D Sensors with IPS or through a central Defense Center.

The Sourcefire Intrusion Prevention System (also called IPS) is one of the components of the Sourcefire 3D System that can be run on the Sourcefire 3D Sensors. Each Sourcefire 3D Sensor licensed for IPS uses rules, decoders, and preprocessors to look for the broad range of exploits that attackers have developed. The Sourcefire 3D Sensors licensed for IPS allow the Sourcefire 3D System to be used as an intrusion detection system and/or an intrusion prevention system.

The Sourcefire 3D System TOE consists of the following components:

- The Sourcefire 3D Sensor licensed for IPS
- The Sourcefire Defense Center

1.4.3.1 Sourcefire 3D Sensor licensed for IPS (3D Sensor with IPS)

The Sourcefire 3D Sensor is based on an enhanced version of Snort, which is an open source IDS. Snort (as modified and included in the TOE) is used to read all the packets on the monitored network, and then analyzes them against the rule set that has been created by the TOE administrators. Snort Version: v2.8.3 is included in the CC evaluated version of the Sourcefire 3D System.

A detection engine is the mechanism on a Sourcefire 3D Sensor that is responsible for analyzing the traffic on the network segment where the sensor is connected. A detection engine has two main components:

- an interface set, which can include one or more sensing interfaces
- a detection resource, which is a portion of the sensor's computing resources

Depending on which components are licensed on the sensor, Sourcefire 3D Sensors can support three types of detection engines:

- Intrusion Prevention System (IPS)
- Real-Time Network Awareness (RNA)
- Real-Time User Awareness (RUA)

Only IPS is included in the scope of this evaluation.

Each 3D Sensor with IPS uses rules, decoders, and preprocessors to look for the broad range of exploits that attackers have developed. Sourcefire 3D Sensors that are licensed to use IPS are packaged with a set of intrusion rules developed by the Sourcefire Vulnerability Research Team (VRT). The TOE administrators can choose to enable rules that would detect the attacks most likely to occur on the monitored network. Custom intrusion rules and policies can also be created for a customer's operating environment.

When a 3D Sensor with IPS identifies a possible intrusion, it generates an intrusion event, which is a record of the date, time, the type of exploit, and contextual information about the source of the attack and its target. For packet-based events, a copy of the packet or packets that triggered the event is also recorded.

3D Sensors with IPS can be deployed either inline, where "live" traffic passes through the appliance, or passively, in which case traffic is being only monitored. When used inline, IPS can block malicious code and attacks in real-time so that the 3D Sensor with IPS is used as an intrusion prevention device.

In a passive deployment, the sensing interfaces connected to the network are configured in stealth mode so that, to other devices on the network, the sensor itself does not appear to be connected to the network at all. A benefit of passive deployment is that almost all of the sensor bandwidth and computational power are devoted to monitoring traffic, reconstructing datagrams and streams, normalizing packets, detecting anomalies, and sending alerts of possible intrusions. Moreover, because the sensor is deployed out of band and operates in stealth mode, attackers are unlikely to know of its existence, which renders it less of a target for attacks. However, in a passive deployment the 3D Sensor with IPS can only perform passive intrusion detection and send alerts when it detects malicious traffic packets, but it cannot affect the flow of network traffic.

Both the inline and passive deployments are included in the evaluated configuration.

In addition, 3D Sensors with IPS run decoders and preprocessors against detected network traffic to normalize traffic and detect malicious packets. If the 3D Sensor with IPS is deployed inline on the network and creates what is called an inline detection engine, the 3D Sensor with IPS can be configured to drop or replace packets that are known to be harmful.

In a Sourcefire 3D System deployment that includes 3D Sensors with IPS and a Defense Center, the sensors transmit events and sensor statistics to the Defense Center where the aggregated data can be viewed.

Some models of the 3D Sensor with IPS provide a local web interface (WebUI) to create intrusion policies and review the resulting intrusion events and therefore can be run standalone, without using a Defense Center for management.

The command line interface (CLI) of the appliance's operating system is used for the initial configuration and off-line maintenance of the 3D Sensor with IPS. Use of the CLI is also required to configure audit suppression lists (See Section 7.1.1.3 AU-3: Audit Selection). By default, port 443 (Secure Sockets Layer, or SSL), which is used to access the web interface (WebUI), and port 22 (Secure Shell, or SSH), which is used to access the shell (CLI), are enabled for any IP address. By default, access to the appliance is not restricted. To operate the appliance in a more secure environment, an access list should be created during the initial configuration of the system, which restricts shell access to the appliance to specific IP addresses. Ideally, only the IP address for one console will be enabled for shell access per system. Since the CLI is needed for system maintenance, and creating and editing audit suppression lists, the administrator must be careful not to disable all access to the system.

Users who have shell access to the 3D Sensor with IPS and can access the operating system's *root* account should also be restricted to only those administrators who need to configure and maintain the system.

Each 3D Sensor with IPS includes a MySQL (v4.1.22) database that stores audit data, configuration data (intrusion policies, system policies ...), and IDS system data. The database storage options are configurable. A TOE administrator can choose to send the intrusion events to the Defense Center that manages the sensor, send the events to the Defense Center and keep a local copy in sensor's database, or if the sensor is used as a stand-alone system, all events are stored locally in the database. There is no direct access to the MySQL database through the management WebUI.

The same Sourcefire application software is installed on all models of the 3D Sensor with IPS appliances. Therefore, each 3D Sensor with IPS appliance model has the same security functionality, with the exception of the availability of the web interface noted above. However, although the Version 4.8.2.1 source code is the same for all models of the appliance, there are separate builds of the software that depend on the version of the operating system installed on the appliance. The 3D Sensors with IPS can therefore be categorized by the Linux-derived operating system installed on the appliance as follows:

Sourcefire 3D Sensor Category	Sourcefire 3D Sensor Appliance Model
SBLinux v4.8	3D Sensor 3800 *
	3D Sensor 5800 *
Bivio OS which is based on SUSE 7.3	
SVLinux v4.8	3D Sensor 9800 *
Bivio OS which is based on Fedora Core 5	
SFLinux v4.8	3D Sensor 500
	3D Sensor 1000
Sourcefire Proprietary Linux-derived OS	3D Sensor 2000
	3D Sensor 2100
	3D Sensor 2500
	3D Sensor 3500
	3D Sensor 4500
	3D Sensor 6500

Table 1-4: 3D Sensor with IPS Categories

* These sensors (usually referred to as the 3Dx800 sensors) do not have a web interface and must be managed with a Defense Center.

Each category of the sensors will be tested in this evaluation.

Besides the appliance hardware, Sourcefire application software, MySQL database and the operating systems mentioned above, the following supporting 3rd party software is included in the 3D Sensor with IPS TOE Component:

- Network connectivity provided through third-party encryption software (OpenSSL v0.9.8g)
- Protocol standards including HTTPS, SMTP, SSH, and SNMP (v2 or v3) implementations
- Perl (v5.8.3)
- Shell access through OpenSSH (v4.7pl)
- Web Server (Tomcat Apache version 1.3.39) Note: The Tomcat Web Server is not included in the 3Dx800 sensors

Note: The cryptography used in this product has not been FIPS certified nor has it been analyzed or tested to conform to cryptographic standards during this evaluation. All cryptography has only been asserted as tested by the vendor.

1.4.3.2 Sourcefire Defense Center (Defense Center)

The Sourcefire Defense Center provides a centralized management interface for the Sourcefire 3D System. The Defense Center is used to manage the full range of sensors that are a part of the Sourcefire 3D System, and to aggregate, analyze, and respond to the threats they detect on the monitored network.

The Defense Center provides the following functionality through a web-based GUI (WebUI):

- An interface which displays all the data collected by the managed 3D Sensors with IPS allowing:
 - monitoring of the information that the sensors are reporting in relation to one another
 - o assessment of the overall activity occurring on the monitored network
 - An interface to analyze and respond to the alerts generated by the sensors
- The aggregation and correlation of intrusion events, network discovery information, and sensor performance data
- The ability to create and configure rules and policies for managed sensors and push the rules and policies to the sensors
- The ability to push health policies to the sensors and monitor their health status
- TOE configuration and management capabilities including configuration and management of user accounts and auditing

The command line interface (CLI) of the appliance's operating system is used for the initial configuration and off-line maintenance of the Defense Center. Use of the CLI is required to configure audit suppression lists (See Section 7.1.1.3 AU-3: Audit Selection). By default, port 443 (Secure Sockets Layer, or SSL), which is used to access the web interface (WebUI), and port 22 (Secure Shell, or SSH), which is used to access the shell (CLI), are enabled for any IP address. By default, access to the appliance is not restricted. To operate the appliance in a more secure environment for Common Criteria compliance, an access list must be created during the initial configuration of the system, which restricts shell access to the appliance to specific IP addresses. Ideally, only the IP address for one console will be enabled for shell access per system. Since the CLI is needed for system maintenance, and creating and editing audit suppression lists, the administrator must be careful not to disable all access to the system.

Users who have shell access to the Defense Center and can access the operating system's *root* account must also be restricted to only those administrators who need to configure and maintain the system.

The Defense Center includes the Sourcefire proprietary Linux-derived OS, SFLinux v4.8, and a MySQL (v.4.1.22) database repository. The database is used to store intrusion, audit, and health events, as well as other events that are outside the TOE. Configuration information is also stored in the database. This information includes intrusion, health, and system policies. Intrusion rules are delivered as flat files that are later stored in the database. There is no direct access to the MySQL database through the management WebUI.

The Sourcefire 3D System has the capability of using an external LDAP or RADIUS server for user authentication in a configuration that uses a Defense Center. User accounts are stored in the Defense Center's MySQL database, including the initial RADIUS and LDAP information if external authentication of users is configured. When RADIUS or LDAP authentication is used, an additional file is written to disk.

The Defense Center also provides the capability to download and import Security Enhancement Updates (SEUs) that contain new intrusion rules, which are provided by the Sourcefire Vulnerability Research Team (VRT). The SEUs can be downloaded and imported by authorized administrators on command and are not necessarily automatic. However, these

SEU releases may also contain new and updated decoders and preprocessors, and updates to the 3D Sensor with IPS code. Because the SEUs can contain binaries that modify the TOE software, once applied, the Sourcefire 3D System may no longer be in the evaluated configuration. Therefore, the SEU feature cannot be used in the evaluated configuration. Detailed information about the changes to rules contained in each SEU release can be obtained on the Sourcefire customer support web site for those customers who wish to make the updates manually.

The CC evaluation version of the Sourcefire 3D System includes SEU Version 259 (which in turn includes Snort Version 2.8.3).

A Master Defense Center can be used to aggregate and analyze data from up to ten Defense Centers within a Sourcefire 3D System deployment. Master Defense Centers allow the product to monitor a large-scale enterprise. A Master Defense Center will not be included in the scope of the evaluation.

The product also includes a High Availability feature that uses redundant Defense Centers to manage groups of sensors. If the primary Defense Center fails, the secondary Defense Center is used to monitor the network without interruption. The High Availability feature is not included in the evaluated configuration of the TOE.

Besides the appliance hardware, Sourcefire application software, MySQL database and the operating system mentioned above, the following supporting third party software is included in the Defense Center TOE component:

- Network connectivity provided through third-party encryption software (OpenSSL v0.9.8g)
- Protocol standards including HTTPS, SMTP, SSH, and SNMP (v2 or v3) implementations
- Perl (v5.8.3)
- Shell access through OpenSSH (v4.7pl)
- Web Server (Tomcat Apache version 1.3.39)

Note: The cryptography used in this product has not been FIPS certified nor has it been analyzed or tested to conform to cryptographic standards during this evaluation. All cryptography has only been asserted as tested by the vendor.

The Sourcefire software, operating system, database, and third party products are the same for all models of the Defense Center appliances (Defense Center Models DC500, DC1000 and DC3000).

1.4.4 Data

The data managed by the TOE can be categorized as:

- Data used to configure, manage, and operate the TOE such as: user accounts and IDS rules and policies
- Audit data produced by the TOE for security significant events

• Data collected from the monitored network assets such as: Identification and authentication events, Data accesses, and Network traffic (system data)

All TOE data is considered TSF Data.

1.4.5 Users

The TOE maintains defined user roles, each with its own set of administrative privileges. When a new user account is created, it must be assigned a role. A user may be assigned more than one role. No access is allowed to the system until a user has been authenticated and access to TSF data and functions is controlled by the TOE's interfaces only to the data and functions allowed to the authenticated user's role.

All users of the TOE have access to TSF data and management functions, and therefore are considered administrators for the purposes of this evaluation. The terms "TOE user," TOE administrator" and "authorized administrator" are used in this ST to refer collectively to all authorized TOE users.

The defined roles for TOE users are:

- "Administrator" Role: this role can perform all management, maintenance and analysis functions on the TOE.
- "Maintenance" Role: this role can view and manage status, security audit events, system time, and the reporting functionality of the product, and can perform system level maintenance related actions.
- "Intrusion Event Analyst" Role: this role can view, analyze, review, and delete intrusion events and can also create incidents and generate reports.
- "Intrusion Event Analyst (Read Only)" Role: this role has read-only access to IPS analysis features, including intrusion event views, incidents, and reports.
- "Restricted Event Analyst" Role: this role provides access to the same features as the Intrusion Event Analyst role, but is restricted to only those events that match specified search criteria (specific IP Addresses or subsets of data).
- "Restricted Event Analyst (Read Only)" Role: this role is the same as the Restricted Event Analyst role except that users have read-only access to the intrusion events that match the specified search criteria.
- "Policy and Response Administrator" Role: this role can create, modify, and implement intrusion policies and intrusion rules for the IDS.

1.4.6 *Product Guidance*

The Sourcefire 3D System documentation set includes online help and PDF files.

The following product guidance documents are provided with the TOE on the Documentation CD included with the product:

Table 1-5: User Guidance Documents

Sourcefire 3D System - 3D Sensor Installation Guide, Version 4.8.2 2009-Oct-02
Sourcefire 3D System - Defense Center Installation Guide, Version 4.8, 2008-Jul-23
Sourcefire 3D System - Sourcefire 3D System User Guide, Version 4.8.2, 2009-Sep-01

The most up-to-date versions of the documentation can be accessed on the Sourcefire Support web site (https://support.sourcefire.com/).

Online help can be accessed in two ways:

- by clicking the context-sensitive help links on each page
- by selecting Operations > Help > Online

The CC evaluated version of the Sourcefire 3D System, Version 4.8.2.1 (SEU 259) also includes *Sourcefire 3D System - CC Supplement for Version 4.8.2.1*, [CC-SUPP]. [CC-SUPP] documents how to install, configure and maintain the Sourcefire 3D System in the CC evaluated configuration. [CC-SUPP] is packaged with the appliances in hard-copy format.

1.4.7 Physical Scope of the TOE

The TOE consists of the two components described in Sections 1.4.3.1 and 1.4.3.2. The physical boundary of the TOE is the Sourcefire 3D Sensor licensed for IPS and the Sourcefire Defense Center appliances installed with the Sourcefire 3D System Version 4.8.2.1 (SEU 259) software, Linux-derived operating system, MySQL database, and supporting third party software as commercially available from the developer. The TOE Boundary is depicted in Figure 1 and Figure 2.




Figure 1: Sourcefire 3D System with Defense Center




Figure 2: Sourcefire 3D System using a stand-alone 3D Sensor with IPS

The Sourcefire application software is physically installed on the Sourcefire appliances that run Linux-derived operating systems. As such, its physical interfaces are based on and limited by services provided by the hardware. The application software uses process, disk, and memory management services of the appliance hardware and the operating system to execute and manage itself. The application software also uses network services provided by the appliance hardware and operating system: to access network traffic, including monitoring target networks; for communication between the 3D Sensor with IPS and Defense Center; and for the web-based user interfaces (3D Sensor with IPS and Defense Center GUIs).

The Operational Environment of the TOE includes:

- The web browsers that are used for the management interfaces of the TOE.
- The network(s) used for communications between the TOE components, which must be protected from unauthorized access, and separate from the network that is monitored by the TOE.
- The network(s) that are to be monitored.
- Network Authentication Services.
- A trusted DNS Server.
- An NTP Server for reliable time.
- An Email Server for administrator alert notifications and warnings.

- An optional external Syslog Server for administrator alert notifications and warnings.
- An optional SNMP Trap Server for administrator alert notifications.
- An optional external authentication server (LDAP or RADIUS).

As indicated in the figures above, the TOE can consist of a single 3D Sensor with IPS or any number of 3D Sensors with IPS combined with a single Defense Center.

1.4.7.1 Included in the TOE:

The evaluated configuration includes the following:

- The Sourcefire 3D Sensor, Version 4.8.2.1 (SEU 259)licensed to use the Sourcefire Intrusion Prevention System (IPS)
- The Sourcefire Defense Center, Version 4.8.2.1 (SEU 259)

All typical deployments as described in Chapter 1 of [SENS-INSTALL] are permitted in the evaluated configuration of the TOE.

The multi-site environment deployment as described in [SENS-INSTALL] is allowed in the evaluated configuration. To secure the data in a multi-site environment deployment, the 3D Sensors and Defense Center must be isolated from unprotected networks by transmitting the data stream from the 3D Sensors over a VPN or with some other secure tunneling protocol.

Testing included configurations that:

- Test the 3D Sensor with IPS for each category of appliance: SFLinux v4.8, SBLinux v4.8 and SVLinux v4.8.
- Test a stand-alone 3D Sensor with IPS configuration.
- Test one or more 3D Sensors with IPS managed by a single Defense Center.
- Test both inline and passive deployments of the 3D Sensors with IPS.

The following TOE components were used in testing:

- DC3000 (SFLinux)
- 3D2500 sensor (SFLinux)
- 3D5800 sensor (SBLinux)
- 3D9800 sensor (SVLinux)

Because of their identical functionality and behavior only one 3D Sensor appliance from each category of 3D Sensors was used in testing.

Note also that the sensors were located in the same physical location as the Defense Center in the testing scenarios. This is equivalent to deployment scenarios where the sensors are in multiple physical locations because the same SSL-encrypted communications channel is used between the sensors and Defense Center, except that it is transmitted over a VPN in the multisite scenario.

1.4.7.2 Excluded from the TOE:

The following product components and functionality are not included in the scope of the evaluation:

- Real-Time Network Awareness (RNA) RNA is a separate product that requires additional licensing
 - Vulnerability Assessment (VA) VA requires integration with Nessus and NMAP and is only applicable with RNA license
 - Network Behavior Analysis (NBA) NBA requires an RNA license
 - Collection of data from NetFlow devices
 – requires Cisco NetFlow and an RNA license
 - Adaptive IPS uses information from RNA
- Real-Time User Awareness (RUA) RUA is a separate product that requires additional licensing
 - NAC and Network Usage Control (NUC) requires RUA license
- Intrusion Agents Requires an existing installation of Snort
- Estreamer Application Programming Interface (API) Estreamer integration requires custom programming
- Security Enhancement Updates (SEU) The updates may include binary updates to the TOE software, which will take the product out of the evaluated configuration when installed
- A Master Defense Center (MDC) requires a multiple Defense Center configuration
- The High Availability feature requires a multiple Defense Center configuration
- IPS for Crossbeam Systems Security Switches (software-only sensors)
- Switched Stack System Interconnect ("stack") configuration (installation of an additional chassis using a stack cable)
- Integration with and remediation of traffic to firewalls, including:
 Integration with Cisco PIX and Checkpoint firewalls
- Integration with and remediation of traffic to external 3rd-party products, including:
 - Sending alerts through trouble ticket systems
 - o Interfaces with the Shavlik patch management system
- The Stream 4 data stream preprocessor (Only the Stream 5 preprocessor is allowed in the CC evaluated configuration and was used for CC testing)

Important: The customer must assume the risk of enabling excluded functionality that was not part of the evaluation and has not been tested and validated.

The following are Operational Environment components, which are excluded from the scope of the evaluation:

- The Web Browser for the Defense Center and 3D Sensor with IPS Management Interfaces. Only the following are supported for Sourcefire 3D System Version 4.8.2.1:
 - Firefox Version (Minimum) 2.x
 - Microsoft Internet Explorer Version 6.0 with Service Pack 2
 - Microsoft Internet Explorer Version 7.0
- The protected network(s) used for communications between the TOE components
- The network(s) that are to be monitored
- Network Authentication Services
- A trusted DNS Server
- An external NTP Server
- An external Email Server
- An optional Syslog Server

- An optional SNMP Trap Server
- An optional LDAP or RADIUS Authentication Server

1.4.8 Logical Scope of the TOE

The logical boundaries of the TOE are divided into two groups, one related to the administration and security of the system (Security Audit, Identification and Authentication, Security Management, and Protection of Security Functions), and the other related to the collection and analysis of the network traffic (System Data Collection, System Data Analysis and System Data Review, Availability and Loss).

The TOE provides the following security functionality:

1.4.8.1 Security Audit

The TOE is able to audit the use of the administration/management functions of the IDS. This audit is separate from the IDS functionality (recording network traffic), and relates specifically to the management functions of the TOE. This function records attempts to access the system itself, such as successful and failed authentication, as well as the actions taken by TOE users once they are authenticated. Auditable actions include changes to the IDS rules and viewing/modifying the audit records of both the system access and the IDS event log.

The audit data is protected by the access control mechanisms of the database and OS of the appliances and by the TOE management interface. Only users with the Administrator Role have access to the audit records. Users having the Administrator Role can view and sort the audit records. Suppression lists may be configured during installation and maintenance to limit the events recorded.

When the available audit storage is exhausted, the TOE automatically overwrites the oldest audit events. This ensures that the availability of the most recent audit events is limited only by the size of the audit trail.

Note: The administrator must perform periodic backups of the audit data (via the WebUI backup function) to prevent loss of data.

Security Audit depends on the Operational Environment to provide reliable time for the audit records. It depends on an Email Server in the Operational Environment to provide warnings to administrators when the audit records are overwritten. It also depends on the Operational Environment to provide a secure communications path between the TOE and the external servers.

1.4.8.2 Identification and Authentication

The TOE requires all users to provide unique identification and authentication data before any access to the system is granted. User identification and authentication is done by the TSF though username/password authentication or optionally by an external authentication server for configurations that include a Defense Center.

All authorized TOE users must have a user account with security attributes that control the user's access to TSF data and management functions. These security attributes include user name, password, and level(s) of authorization (roles) for TOE users.

Identification and Authentication depends on the Operational Environment to provide an external authentication server if that feature is configured. It also depends on the Operational Environment to provide a secure communications path between the TOE and the external authentication server.

1.4.8.3 Security Management

The TOE provides a web-based (using HTTPS) management interface for all run-time TOE administration, including the IDS rule sets, user accounts and roles, and audit functions. The ability to manage various security attributes, system parameters and all TSF data is controlled and limited to those users who have been assigned the appropriate administrative role.

The TOE also provides a command line interface the use of which must be restricted. This interface is only used for Security Management when creating or modifying Audit Suppression Lists.

Security management relies on a management console in the Operational Environment with a properly configured Web Browser to support the web-based management interfaces.

1.4.8.4 Protection of Security Functions

The TOE ensures that data transmitted between separate parts of the TOE are protected from disclosure or modification. This protection is ensured by transmission of data between the TOE Components over a secure, SSL-encrypted TCP tunnel.

Note: The cryptography used in this product has not been FIPS certified nor has it been analyzed or tested to conform to cryptographic standards during this evaluation. All cryptography has only been asserted as tested by the vendor.

1.4.8.5 TOE Access Functions

The TOE enhances the functionality of user session establishment by being able to display a warning banner regarding unauthorized use of the TOE when a user attempts to login.

1.4.8.6 System Data Collection

The TOE has the ability to set rules to govern the collection of data regarding potential intrusions. While the TOE contains default rules to detect currently known vulnerabilities and exploits, new rules can be created to detect new vulnerabilities as well as specific network traffic, allowing the TOE administrators complete control over the types of traffic that will be monitored.

System Data Collection depends on the Operational Environment to provide reliable timestamps for the collected data records. It also depends on the Operational Environment to provide a secure communications path between the TOE and the external time server.

1.4.8.7 System Data Analysis

To analyze the data collected by the 3D Sensors with IPS, the TOE uses signatures, decoders, and preprocessors. Signatures are patterns of traffic that can be used to detect potential attacks or exploits. Since many attacks or exploits require several network connections to work, the IDS also provides the ability to detect these more complex patterns through decoders and preprocessors that are included in the TOE. The TOE embodies signatures, decoders, and preprocessors in rules that can be designed and exercised by the TOE.

The TOE administrators can manage the signature identification capabilities by adding and editing rules to respond to the latest exploits. In addition, based upon results of analysis, the TOE administrators can trigger alarms for the notification of a problem.

System Data Analysis relies on the Operational Environment to support the notification of administrators via email and (optionally) SNMP and syslog alarms. It also depends on the Operational Environment to provide a secure communications path between the TOE and the external servers.

1.4.8.8 System Data Review, Availability and Loss

IDS event logs can only be viewed by authorized TOE users (users with the Administrator or Intrusion Event Analyst Roles). The data stores of the raw collection data are constantly monitored and if they become too full, new records will replace the oldest records to prevent active/current data loss.

Note: The administrator must perform periodic backups of the event data (via the WebUI backup function) to prevent loss of data.

System Data Review Availability and Loss depends on an Email Server in the Environment to provide warnings to administrators when the data records are overwritten. It also depends on the Operational Environment to provide a secure communications path between the TOE and the external email server.

1.4.8.9 Excluded Functionality

The following product functionality is not included in the scope of the evaluation:

- Functionality provided by the Real-Time Network Awareness (RNA) feature which includes:
 - Vulnerability Assessment (VA) (Nessus and NMAP integration)
 - Network Behavior Analysis (NBA)
 - NetFlow Functionality
 - Adaptive IPS
 - Compliance Policies
- Functionality provided by the Real-Time User Awareness (RUA) feature which includes:
 - NAC and Network Usage Control (NUC)
- Intrusion Agents Requires an existing installation of Snort

- Functionality provided by custom programming via the Estreamer Application Programming Interface (API)
- Security Enhancement Updates (SEU)

•

- Functionality provided by a Master Defense Center
- The High Availability feature provided by redundant Defense Centers
 - Integration with and remediation of traffic to firewalls, including: o Integration with Cisco PIX and Checkpoint firewalls
- Integration with and remediation of traffic to external 3rd-party products, including:
 - Interfaces with the Shavlik patch management system
 - Sending alerts through trouble ticket systems
- IPS for Crossbeam Systems Security Switches (software-only sensors)

2 Conformance Claims

2.1 Common Criteria Conformance

The TOE is Part 2 extended, Part 3 conformant, and meets the requirements of Evaluation Assurance Level (EAL) 2 augmented with ALC_FLR.2 from the Common Criteria Version 3.1 R2. This Security Target conforms to the Common Criteria Version 3.1 R2.

2.2 Protection Profile Claim

This ST claims Demonstrable Compliance to U.S. Government Protection Profile Intrusion Detection System System For Basic Robustness Environments, Version 1.7, July 25, 2007. (IDS System PP).

Demonstrable Compliance in CC v3.1 R2 is defined as follows:

demonstrable conformance: there is no subset-superset type relation between the PP and the ST. The PP and the ST may contain entirely different statements that discuss different entities, use different concepts etc. However, the ST shall contain a rationale on why the ST is considered to be "equivalent or more restrictive" than the PP (see Section D.3). Demonstrable conformance allows a PP author to describe a common security problem to be solved and provide generic guidelines to the requirements necessary for its resolution, in the knowledge that there is likely to be more than one way of specifying a resolution. Demonstrable conformance is also suitable for a TOE type where several similar PPs already exist (or likely to exist in the future), thus allowing the ST author to claim conformance to all these PPs simultaneously, thereby saving work. (Paragraph. 436 of CC Part 1)

Paragraph 445 of CC Part 1 provides additional details on what is required for *demonstrable* compliance:

Security problem definition:

The conformance rationale in the ST shall demonstrate that the security problem definition in the ST is equivalent (or more restrictive) than the security problem definition in the PP. This means that:

- All TOEs that would meet the security problem definition in the ST also meet the security problem definition in the PP;
- All operational environments that would meet the security problem definition in the PP would also meet the security problem definition in the ST.

This Security Target includes all of the threats, organizational security policies, and assumption statements described in the PP, verbatim. Therefore, the security problem definition in this ST is equivalent to the security problem definition in the PP.

Security objectives:

The conformance rationale in the ST shall demonstrate that the security objectives in the ST is equivalent (or more restrictive) than the security objectives in the PP. This means that:

- All TOEs that would meet the security objectives for the TOE in the ST also meet the security objectives for the TOE in the PP;
- All operational environments that would meet the security objectives for the operational environment in the PP would also meet the security objectives for the operational environment in the ST.

This Security Target includes all of the TOE Security Objectives from the PP. In addition the PP Operational Environment Objectives: OE.AUDIT PROTECTION and OE.AUDIT_SORT have been made TOE objectives: O.AUDIT_PROTECTION, O.AUDIT_SORT, since these security objectives are met by the functionality of the TOE itself. Therefore, the ST is more restrictive than the PP in the case of the TOE objectives.

This Security Target includes all of the Operational Environment Objectives of the PP with the following exceptions:

OE.AUDIT PROTECTION and OE.AUDIT_SORT have been made TOE Objectives as noted above.

OE.ALARMS has been added to cover the functionality of an Email Server in the environment to send an alarm when a possible intrusion occurs. Based on the following PP requirement, the ST can define where the alarm's destination is located:

"IDS_RCT.1.1 The System shall send an alarm to [assignment: alarm destination] and take [assignment: appropriate actions] when an intrusion is detected. (EXT) IDS_RCT.1.1

Application Note: There must be an alarm, though the ST should refine the nature of the alarm and define its target (e.g., administrator console, audit log). The Analyser may optionally perform other actions when intrusions are detected; these actions should be defined in the ST. An intrusion in this requirement applies to any conclusions reached by the analyser related to past, present, and future intrusions or intrusion potential."

OE.XAUTH has been added to cover the functionality of an external authentication service that can be invoked by the TOE to support user authentication. The PP does not define the authentication mechanism(s) required. Since the external user authentication service is invoked by the TOE, this objective is equivalent to the functionality required by the PP.

OE.PROTECTCOMM has been added to state that the Operational Environment must provide secure communications between the TOE and the servers in the environment that support the security functionality of the TOE. This objective is the equivalent of a sub-clause that could be added to or assumed from OE.TIME, OE.ALARMS and OE.XAUTH stating that the services provided by the Operational Environment are secure and reliable.

The security objectives in this ST are therefore equivalent to or more restrictive than the security objective in the PP.

Security requirements:

The ST shall contain all SFRs and SARs in the PP, but may claim additional or hierarchically stronger SFRs and SARs. The completion of operations in the ST must be consistent with that in the PP; either the same completion will be used in the ST as that in the PP or one that makes the requirement more restrictive (the rules of refinement apply).

This Security Target includes all of the Security Assurance Requirements from the PP.

This Security Target includes all of the Security Functional Requirement from the PP with the following modifications:

FIA_UAU.1 has been modified into the explicitly stated requirement FIA_UAU_EXT.1 by adding the text *"either by the TSF or by an authentication service in the Operational Environment invoked by the TSF"*. This modification was necessary to provide for the use of an authentication service in the environment that is invoked by the TOE.

The completion of the assignments in FMT_MOF.1 and FMT_SMR.1 differ from those in the PP since the TOE does not maintain the role: *authorized System administrator*. The assignments have been made more restrictive to include only those administrative roles that are maintained by the TOE.

FMT_SMF.1 has been added to satisfy the dependencies of FMT_MOF.1 and FMT_MTD.1.

FTA_TAB.1 has been added to reflect the TOE's functionality.

Those SFRs exclusively related to authenticating or communicating TSF data with external IT products, specifically: FIA_AFL.1, FPT_ITA.1, FPT_ITC.1, and FPT_ITI.2, have been replaced by FPT_ITT.1 through the precedence of PD-0097. FPT_ITT.1 has been refined to specify the specific mechanisms used by the TOE for secure internal data transfer.

FPT_STM.1 was deleted since reliable timestamps are provided by the Operational Environment (OE.TIME).

These changes have been approved by NIAP in the PDs: *PD-0151: Acceptable Demonstrable Assurance for the IDS System PP v1.7 (BR)* and *PD-0152: Internal Inconsistency within the IDS System PP regarding FPT_STM.*

Therefore, the security requirements in this ST are equivalent to or more restrictive than the security requirements in the PP.

2.3 Package Claim

This ST claims conformance to the assurance requirements package: Evaluation Assurance Level (EAL) 2 augmented with ALC_FLR.2.

3 Security Problem Definition

The IDS System PP provides the following policies, threats and assumptions about the TOE.

3.1 Threats

The following are threats identified for the TOE and the IT System the TOE monitors. The TOE itself has threats and the TOE is responsible for addressing threats to the environment in which it resides. The assumed level of expertise of the attacker for all the threats is unsophisticated. This section identifies the threats applicable to the IDS System PP as specified in the Protection Profile, verbatim.

TO	TOE Threats		
1	T.COMINT	An unauthorized user may attempt to compromise the integrity of the data collected and produced by the TOE by bypassing a security mechanism.	
2	T.COMDIS	An unauthorized user may attempt to disclose the data collected and produced by the TOE by bypassing a security mechanism.	
3	T.LOSSOF	An unauthorized user may attempt to remove or destroy data collected and produced by the TOE.	
4	T.NOHALT	An unauthorized user may attempt to compromise the continuity of the system's collection and analysis functions by halting execution of the TOE.	
5	T.PRIVIL	An unauthorized user may gain access to the TOE and exploit system privileges to gain access to TOE security functions and data.	
6	T.IMPCON	An unauthorized user may inappropriately change the configuration of the TOE causing potential intrusions to go undetected.	
7	T.INFLUX	An unauthorized user may cause malfunction of the TOE by creating an influx of data that the TOE cannot handle.	
8	T.FACCNT	Unauthorized attempts to access TOE data or security functions may go undetected.	

Table 3-1: TOE Threats

The following table identifies threats to the IT System that may be indicative of vulnerabilities in or misuse of IT resources.

Table 3-2: IT System Threats

IT S	System Threats	
9	T.SCNCFG	Improper security configuration settings may exist in the IT System the TOE monitors.
10	T.SCNMLC	Users could execute malicious code on an IT System that the TOE monitors which causes modification of the protected IT System data or undermines the IT System security functions.
11	T.SCNVUL	Vulnerabilities may exist in the IT System the TOE monitors.

IT S	IT System Threats		
12	T.FALACT	The TOE may fail to react to identified or suspected vulnerabilities or inappropriate activity.	
13	T.FALREC	The TOE may fail to recognize vulnerabilities or inappropriate activity based on IDS data received from each data source.	
14	T.FALASC	The TOE may fail to identify vulnerabilities or inappropriate activity based on association of IDS data received from all data sources.	
15	T.MISUSE	Unauthorized accesses and activity indicative of misuse may occur on an IT System the TOE monitors.	
16	T.INADVE	Inadvertent activity and access may occur on an IT System the TOE monitors.	
17	T.MISACT	Malicious activity, such as introductions of Trojan horses and viruses, may occur on an IT System the TOE monitors.	

3.2 Organizational Security Policies (OSPs)

An organizational security policy is a set of rules, practices, and procedures imposed by an organization to address its security needs. This section identifies the organizational security policies applicable to the IDS System PP as specified in the Protection Profile, verbatim.

Org	ganizational Security	Policies
1	P.DETECT	Static configuration information that might be indicative of the potential for a future intrusion or the occurrence of a past intrusion of an IT System or events that are indicative of inappropriate activity that may have resulted from misuse, access, or malicious activity of IT System assets must be collected.
2	P.ANALYZ	Analytical processes and information to derive conclusions about intrusions (past, present, or future) must be applied to IDS data and appropriate response actions taken.
3	P.MANAGE	The TOE shall only be managed by authorized users.
4	P.ACCESS	All data collected and produced by the TOE shall only be used for authorized purposes.
5	P.ACCACT	Users of the TOE shall be accountable for their actions within the IDS.
6	P.INTGTY	Data collected and produced by the TOE shall be protected from modification.
7	P.PROTCT	The TOE shall be protected from unauthorized accesses and disruptions of TOE data and functions.

Table 3-3: Organizational Security Policies

3.3 Assumptions

This section contains assumptions regarding the security environment and the intended usage of the TOE applicable to the IDS System PP as specified in the Protection Profile, verbatim.

то	TOE Intended Usage Assumptions		
1	A.ACCESS	The TOE has access to all the IT System data it needs to perform its functions.	
2	A.DYNMIC	The TOE will be managed in a manner that allows it to appropriately address changes in the IT System the TOE monitors.	
3	A.ASCOPE	The TOE is appropriately scalable to the IT System the TOE monitors.	

Table 3-4: TOE Usage Assumptions

Table 3-5: TOE Physical Assumptions

то	TOE Physical Assumptions	
4	A.PROTCT	The TOE hardware and software critical to security policy enforcement will be protected from unauthorized physical modification.
5	A.LOCATE	The processing resources of the TOE will be located within controlled access facilities, which will prevent unauthorized physical access.

Table 3-6: TOE Personnel Assumptions

ТО	TOE Personnel Assumptions		
6	A.MANAGE	There will be one or more competent individuals assigned to manage the TOE and the security of the information it contains.	
7	A.NOEVIL	The authorized administrators are not careless, willfully negligent, or hostile, and will follow and abide by the instructions provided by the TOE documentation.	
8	A.NOTRST	The TOE can only be accessed by authorized users.	

4 Security Objectives

This section defines the security objectives of the TOE and its supporting environment. These security objectives, categorized as IT security objectives for either the TOE or its environment are taken from the IDS System PP as specified in the Protection Profile, verbatim, with the following exceptions:

- OE.AUDIT PROTECTION and OE.AUDIT_SORT have been made TOE objectives: O.AUDIT_PROTECTION and O.AUDIT_SORT, since these security objectives are met by the functionality of the TOE itself.
- OE.ALARMS has been added to cover the functionality of an Email Server in the environment to send an alarm when a possible intrusion occurs.
- OE.XAUTH has been added to cover the functionality of an external authentication service that can be invoked by the TOE to support user authentication.
- OE.PROTECTCOMM has been added to state that the Operational Environment must provide secure communications between the TOE and the servers in the environment that support the security functionality of the TOE.

4.1.1 Security Objectives for the TOE

The following are the TOE security objectives:

TO	E Security Objectives	
1	O.PROTCT	The TOE must protect itself from unauthorized modifications and access to its functions and data.
2	O.IDSCAN	The scanner must collect and store static configuration information that might be indicative of the potential for a future intrusion or the occurrence of a past intrusion of an IT System.
3	O.IDSENS	The sensor must collect and store information about all events that are indicative of inappropriate activity that may have resulted from misuse, access, or malicious activity of IT System assets and the IDS.
4	O.IDANLZ	The analyzer must accept data from IDS sensors or IDS scanners and then apply analytical processes and information to derive conclusions about intrusions (past, present, or future).
5	O.RESPON	The TOE must respond appropriately to analytical conclusions.
6	O.EADMIN	The TOE must include a set of functions that allow effective management of its functions and data.
7	O.ACCESS	The TOE must allow authorized users to access only appropriate TOE functions and data.
8	O.IDAUTH	The TOE must be able to identify and authenticate users prior to allowing access to TOE functions and data.
9	O.OFLOWS	The TOE must appropriately handle potential audit and system data storage overflows.

Table 4-1: TOE Security Objectives

TOE	TOE Security Objectives						
10	O.AUDITS	The TOE must record audit records for data accesses and use of the system functions.					
11	O.INTEGR	The TOE must ensure the integrity of all audit and system data.					
12	O.EXPORT When any IDS component makes its data available to another IDS component, the TOE will ensure the confidentiality of the system data						
13	O.AUDIT_PROTECTION	The TOE must provide the capability to protect audit information.					
14	O.AUDIT_SORT	The TOE must provide the capability to sort the audit information.					

4.1.2 Security Objectives for the Operational Environment

The TOE's operating environment must satisfy the following objectives.

	Table 4-2: Security Objectives for the Operational Environment					
Sec	Security Objectives for the Operational Environment					
16	OE.INSTAL	Those responsible for the TOE must ensure that the TOE is delivered, installed, managed, and operated in a manner, which is consistent with IT security.				
17	OE.PHYCAL	Those responsible for the TOE must ensure that those parts of the TOE critical to security policy are protected from any physical attack.				
18	OE.CREDEN	Those responsible for the TOE must ensure that all access credentials are protected by the users in a manner, which is consistent with IT security.				
19	OE.PERSON	Personnel working as authorized administrators shall be carefully selected and trained for proper operation of the system.				
20	OE.INTROP	The TOE is interoperable with the IT System it monitors.				
21	OE.TIME	The Operational Environment will provide reliable timestamps to the TOE.				
22	OE.ALARMS	The Operational Environment will provide mechanisms to notify responsible personnel of a possible problem.				
23	OE.PROTECTCOMM	The Operational Environment must provide a mechanism to establish a trusted communications path which provides for the protection of the data from modification or disclosure while being exchanged between TOE components and external entities.				
24	OE.XAUTH *	The Operational Environment must provide an authentication service for user identification and authentication that can be invoked by the TSF to control a user's logical access to the TOE.				

Table 4-2: Security Objectives for the Operational Environment

* Note: OE.XAUTH is only applicable when the TOE is configured to use an external LDAP or RADIUS authentication service.

4.2 Security Objectives Rationale

This section provides the rationale for the selection of the IT security requirements, objectives, assumptions, and threats. In particular, it shows that the IT security requirements are suitable to meet the security objectives, which in turn are shown to be suitable to cover all aspects of the TOE security environment.

4.2.1 Rationale for the IT Security Objectives

This section provides a rationale for the existence of each assumption, threat, and policy statement that compose the IDS System PP. Table 4-3: Security Objectives and Security Environment Mapping demonstrates the mapping between the assumptions, threats, and policies to the security objectives is complete. The following discussion provides detailed evidence of coverage for each assumption, threat, and policy.

	O.PROTCT	O.IDSCAN	O.IDSENS	O.IDANLZ	O.RESPON	O.EADMIN	O.ACCESS	O.IDAUTH	O.OFLOWS	O.AUDITS	O.INTEGR	O.EXPORT	O.AUDIT_SORT	O.AUDIT_PROTECTION	OE.INSTAL	OE.PHYCAL	OE.CREDEN	OE.PERSON	OE.INTROP	OE.ALARMS	OE.TIME	OE.PROTECTCOMM	OE.XAUTH
A.ACCESS																			Х				
A.DYNMIC																		Х	Х				
A.ASCOPE																			Х				
A.PROTCT																Х							
A.LOCATE																Х							
A.MANAGE																		Х					
A.NOEVIL															Х	Х	Х						
A.NOTRST																Х	Х						
T.COMINT	Х						Х	Х			Х											Х	Х
T.COMDIS	Х						Х	Х				Х										Х	Х
T.LOSSOF	Х						Х	Х			Х											Х	Х
T.NOHALT		Х	Х	Х			Х	Х														Х	Х
T.PRIVIL	Х						Х	Х														Х	Х
T.IMPCON						Х	Х	Х							Х							Х	Х
T.INFLUX									Х											Х		Х	
T.FACCNT										Х													
T.SCNCFG		Х																					
T.SCNMLC		Х																					
T.SCNVUL		Х																					
T.FALACT					Х															Х		Х	
T.FALREC				Х																			
T.FALASC				Х																			
T.MISUSE			Х																				
T.INADVE			Х																				
T.MISACT			Х																				
P.DETECT		Х	Х							Х											Х	Х	
P.ANALYZ				Х																			
P.MANAGE	Х					Х	Х	Х							Х		Х	Х				Х	Х
P.ACCESS	Х						Х	Х						Х						Х		Х	X
P.ACCACT								Х		Х			Х								Х	Х	X
P.INTGTY											Х												
P.PROTCT									Х							Х							

Table 4-3: Security Objectives and Security Environment Mapping

A.ACCESS: The TOE has access to all the IT System data it needs to perform its functions. The OE.INTROP objective ensures the TOE has the needed access.

A.DYNMIC: The TOE will be managed in a manner that allows it to appropriately address changes in the IT System the TOE monitors.

The OE.INTROP objective ensures the TOE has the proper access to the IT System. The OE.PERSON objective ensures that the TOE will be managed appropriately.

A.ASCOPE: The TOE is appropriately scalable to the IT System the TOE monitors. The OE.INTROP objective ensures the TOE has the necessary interactions with the IT System it monitors.

A.PROTCT: The TOE hardware and software critical to security policy enforcement will be protected from unauthorized physical modification.

The OE.PHYCAL provides for the physical protection of the TOE hardware and software.

A.LOCATE: The processing resources of the TOE will be located within controlled access facilities, which will prevent unauthorized physical access.

The OE.PHYCAL provides for the physical protection of the TOE.

A.MANAGE: There will be one or more competent individuals assigned to manage the TOE and the security of the information it contains.

The OE.PERSON objective ensures all authorized administrators are qualified and trained to manage the TOE.

A.NOEVIL: The authorized administrators are not careless, willfully negligent, or hostile, and will follow and abide by the instructions provided by the TOE documentation.

The OE.INSTAL objective ensures that the TOE is properly installed and operated and the OE.PHYCAL objective provides for physical protection of the TOE by authorized administrators. The OE.CREDEN objective supports this assumption by requiring protection of all authentication data.

A.NOTRST: The TOE can only be accessed by authorized users.

The OE.PHYCAL objective provides for physical protection of the TOE against unauthorized access. The OE.CREDEN objective supports this assumption by requiring protection of all authentication data.

T.COMINT: An unauthorized user may attempt to compromise the integrity of the data collected and produced by the TOE by bypassing a security mechanism.

The O.IDAUTH and OE.XAUTH objectives provide for authentication of users prior to any TOE data access. The O.ACCESS objective builds upon the O.IDAUTH and OE.XAUTH objectives by only permitting authorized users to access TOE data. The O.INTEGR objective ensures no TOE data will be modified. The O.PROTCT objective addresses this threat by providing TOE self-protection. OE.PROTECTCOMM provides for secure communications between the TOE and the external authentication server.

T.COMDIS: An unauthorized user may attempt to disclose the data collected and produced by the TOE by bypassing a security mechanism.

The O.IDAUTH and OE.XAUTH objectives provide for authentication of users prior to any TOE data access. The O.ACCESS objective builds upon the O.IDAUTH and OE.XAUTH objectives by only permitting authorized users to access TOE data. The O.EXPORT objective ensures that confidentiality of TOE data will be maintained. The O.PROTCT objective addresses this threat by providing TOE self-protection. OE.PROTECTCOMM provides for secure communications between the TOE and the external authentication server.

T.LOSSOF: An unauthorized user may attempt to remove or destroy data collected and produced by the TOE.

The O.IDAUTH and OE.XAUTH objectives provide for authentication of users prior to any TOE data access. The O.ACCESS objective builds upon the O.IDAUTH and OE.XAUTH objectives by only permitting authorized users to access TOE data. The O.INTEGR objective ensures no TOE data will be deleted. The O.PROTCT objective addresses this threat by providing TOE self-protection. OE.PROTECTCOMM provides for secure communications between the TOE and the external authentication server.

T.NOHALT: An unauthorized user may attempt to compromise the continuity of the system's collection and analysis functions by halting execution of the TOE.

The O.IDAUTH and OE.XAUTH objectives provide for authentication of users prior to any TOE data access. The O.ACCESS objective builds upon the O.IDAUTH and OE.XAUTH objectives by only permitting authorized users to access TOE data. The O.IDSCAN, O.IDSENS, and O.IDANLZ objectives address this threat by requiring the TOE to collect and analyze system data, which includes attempts to halt the TOE. OE.PROTECTCOMM provides for secure communications between the TOE and the external authentication server.

T.PRIVIL: An unauthorized user may gain access to the TOE and exploit system privileges to gain access to TOE security functions and data.

The O.IDAUTH and OE.XAUTH objectives provide for authentication of users prior to any TOE data access. The O.ACCESS objective builds upon the O.IDAUTH and OE.XAUTH objectives by only permitting authorized users to access TOE data. The O.PROTCT objective addresses this threat by providing TOE self-protection. OE.PROTECTCOMM provides for secure communications between the TOE and the external authentication server.

T.IMPCON: An unauthorized user may inappropriately change the configuration of the TOE causing potential intrusions to go undetected.

The OE.INSTAL objective states the authorized administrators will configure the TOE properly. The O.EADMIN objective ensures the TOE has all the necessary administrator functions to manage the product. The O.IDAUTH and OE.XAUTH objectives provide for authentication of users prior to any TOE data access. The O.ACCESS objective builds upon the O.IDAUTH and OE.XAUTH objectives by only permitting authorized users to access TOE data. OE.PROTECTCOMM provides for secure communications between the TOE and the external authentication server.

T.INFLUX: An unauthorized user may cause malfunction of the TOE by creating an influx of data that the TOE cannot handle.

The O.OFLOWS objective counters this threat by requiring that the TOE must handle data storage overflows. OE.ALARMS provides Operational Environment support to send warnings when the audit and/or collected system data is about to be overwritten. OE.PROTECTCOMM provides for secure communications between the TOE and the external server that provides the warnings.

T.FACCNT: Unauthorized attempts to access TOE data or security functions may go undetected.

The O.AUDITS objective counters this threat by requiring the TOE to audit attempts for data accesses and use of TOE functions.

T.SCNCFG: Improper security configuration settings may exist in the IT System the TOE monitors.

The O.IDSCAN objective counters this threat by requiring a TOE, which contains a scanner, collect and store static configuration information that might be indicative of a configuration setting change. The ST will state whether this threat must be addressed by a scanner.

T.SCNMLC: Users could execute malicious code on an IT System that the TOE monitors which causes modification of the protected IT System data or undermines the IT System security functions.

The O.IDSCAN objective counters this threat by requiring a TOE, which contains a scanner, collect and store static configuration information that might be indicative of malicious code. The ST will state whether this threat must be addressed by a scanner.

T.SCNVUL: Vulnerabilities may exist in the IT System the TOE monitors.

The O.IDSCAN objective counters this threat by requiring a TOE, which contains a scanner, collect and store static configuration information that might be indicative of a vulnerability. The ST will state whether this threat must be addressed by a scanner.

T.FALACT: The TOE may fail to react to identified or suspected vulnerabilities or inappropriate activity.

The O.RESPON objective ensures the TOE reacts to analytical conclusions about suspected vulnerabilities or inappropriate activity. The OE.ALARMS objective provides Operational Environment support mechanisms for alarms to notify responsible personnel of possible intrusions. OE.PROTECTCOMM provides for secure communications between the TOE and the external servers that provide the alarm mechanisms.

T.FALREC: The TOE may fail to recognize vulnerabilities or inappropriate activity based on IDS data received from each data source.

The O.IDANLZ objective provides the function that the TOE will recognize vulnerabilities or inappropriate activity from a data source.

T.FALASC: The TOE may fail to identify vulnerabilities or inappropriate activity based on association of IDS data received from all data sources.

The O.IDANLZ objective provides the function that the TOE will recognize vulnerabilities or inappropriate activity from multiple data sources.

T.MISUSE: Unauthorized accesses and activity indicative of misuse may occur on an IT System the TOE monitors.

The O.AUDITS and O.IDSENS objectives address this threat by requiring a TOE that contains a sensor, collect audit and sensor data.

T.INADVE: Inadvertent activity and access may occur on an IT System the TOE monitors. The O.AUDITS and O.IDSENS objectives address this threat by requiring a TOE that contains a sensor, collect audit and sensor data.

T.MISACT: Malicious activity, such as introductions of Trojan horses and viruses, may occur on an IT System the TOE monitors.

The O.AUDITS and O.IDSENS objectives address this threat by requiring a TOE that contains a sensor, collect audit and sensor data.

P.DETECT: Static configuration information that might be indicative of the potential for a future intrusion or the occurrence of a past intrusion of an IT System or events that are indicative of inappropriate activity that may have resulted from misuse, access, or malicious activity of IT System assets must be collected.

The O.AUDITS, O.IDSENS, and O.IDSCAN objectives address this policy by requiring collection of audit, sensor, and scanner data. OE.TIME supports the data collection by providing reliable timestamps for the collected audit, sensor, and scanner data. OE.PROTECTCOMM provides for secure communications between the TOE and the external time server.

P.ANALYZ: Analytical processes and information to derive conclusions about intrusions (past, present, or future) must be applied to IDS data and appropriate response actions taken. The O.IDANLZ objective requires analytical processes are applied to data collected from sensors and scanners.

P.MANAGE: The TOE shall only be managed by authorized users.

The OE.PERSON objective ensures competent administrators will manage the TOE and the O.EADMIN objective ensures there is a set of functions for administrators to use. The OE.INSTAL objective supports the OE.PERSON objective by ensuring administrators follow all provided documentation and maintain the security policy. The O.IDAUTH and OE.XAUTH objectives provide for authentication of users prior to any TOE data access. The O.ACCESS objective builds upon the O.IDAUTH and OE.XAUTH objectives by only permitting authorized users to access TOE data. The OE.CREDEN objective requires administrators to protect all authentication data. The O.PROTCT objective addresses this policy by providing TOE self-protection. OE.PROTECTCOMM provides for secure communications between the TOE and the external authentication server.

P.ACCESS: All data collected and produced by the TOE shall only be used for authorized purposes.

The O.IDAUTH and OE.XAUTH objectives provide for authentication of users prior to any TOE data access. The O.ACCESS objective builds upon the O.IDAUTH and OE.XAUTH objectives by only permitting authorized users to access TOE data. The O.PROTCT objective addresses this policy by providing TOE self-protection. O.AUDIT_PROTECTION provides for the protection of the audit data from unauthorized deletion and modification. OE.ALARMS provides Operational Environment support to send warnings when the audit and/or collected system data is about to be overwritten. OE.PROTECTCOMM provides for secure communications between the TOE and the external server that provides the warnings and between the TOE and the external authentication server.

- P.ACCACT: Users of the TOE shall be accountable for their actions within the IDS. The O.AUDITS objective implements this policy by requiring auditing of all data accesses and use of TOE functions. The O.IDAUTH and OE.XAUTH objectives support this policy by ensuring each user is uniquely identified and authenticated. OE.TIME supports the generation of audit data by providing reliable timestamps. OE.PROTECTCOMM provides for secure communications between the TOE and the external time server and between the TOE and the external authentication server. O.AUDIT_SORT supports the interpretation of the audit records by sorting the data.
- **P.INTGTY:** Data collected and produced by the TOE shall be protected from modification. The O.INTEGR objective ensures the protection of data from modification.

P.PROTCT: The TOE shall be protected from unauthorized accesses and disruptions of TOE data and functions.

The O.OFLOWS objective implements this policy by requiring the TOE handle disruptions. The OE.PHYCAL objective protects the TOE from unauthorized physical modifications.

4.2.2 Rationale for the Security Objectives for the Environment

The purpose for the environmental objectives is to provide protection for the TOE that cannot be addressed through IT measures. The defined objectives provide for physical protection of the TOE, proper management of the TOE, interoperability requirements on the TOE and for external components that support the TOE objectives. Together with the IT security objectives, these environmental objectives provide a complete description of the responsibilities of TOE in meeting security needs.

5 Extended Components Definition

All of the components defined below have been taken from the U.S. Government Protection Profile Intrusion Detection System System for Basic Robustness Environments, Version 1.7, July 25, 2007 (IDS System PP), verbatim, except for FIA_UAU_EXT.1, which has been modeled on FIA_UAU.1 from Part 2 of the CC Version 3.1 R2. The extended components are denoted by adding "_EXT" in the component name.

Item	SFR ID	SFR Title
1	FIA_UAU_EXT.1	Timing of authentication
2	IDS_SDC_EXT.1	System Data Collection
3	IDS_ANL_EXT.1	Analyzer analysis
4	IDS_RCT_EXT.1	Analyzer react
5	IDS_RDR_EXT.1	Restricted Data Review
6	IDS_STG_EXT.1	Guarantee of System Data Availability
7	IDS_STG_EXT.2	Prevention of System data loss

Table 5-1: Extended Components

5.1 FIA_UAU_EXT.1 Timing of authentication

5.1.1 Class FIA: Identification and authentication

See Section 12 of the Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation Part 2: Security functional components September 2007 Version 3.1 Revision 2.

5.1.2 *Family: User authentication (FIA_UAU)*

5.1.3 Family Behavior

This family defines the types of user authentication mechanisms supported by the TSF. This family also defines the required attributes on which the user authentication mechanisms must be based.

5.1.4 Management

The following actions could be considered for the management functions in FMT:

- Management of the authentication data by an administrator
- Management of the authentication data by the user associated with this data

5.1.5 Audit

The following actions should be auditable if FAU_GEN Security audit data generation is included in the PP/ST:

- Minimal: Unsuccessful use of the authentication mechanism
- Basic: All use of the authentication mechanism

5.1.6 Definition

FIA_UAU_EXT.1 Timing of authentication

Hierarchical to: No other components

Dependencies: FIA_UID.1 Timing of identification

- FIA_UAU_EXT.1.1 The TSF shall allow *[assignment: list of TSF mediated actions]* on behalf of the user to be performed before the user is authenticated.
- FIA_UAU_EXT.1.2 The TSF shall require each user to be successfully authenticated either by the TSF or by an authentication service in the Operational Environment invoked by the TSF before allowing any other TSF-mediated actions on behalf of that user.

5.1.7 Rationale

FIA_UAU_EXT.1 is modeled closely on the standard component FIA_UAU.1: Timing of authentication. FIA_UAU_EXT.1 needed to be defined as an extended component because the functionality of the standard component was extended by adding the text *"either by the TSF or by an authentication service in the Operational Environment invoked by the TSF"*.

5.2 IDS_SDC_EXT.1 System Data Collection

- 5.2.1 Class IDS: Intrusion Detection System
- 5.2.2 Family: System Data Collection (IDS_SDC)

5.2.3 Family Behavior

This family defines the requirements for the TSF to be able to collect information from targeted IT System resources.

5.2.4 Management

The following actions could be considered for the management functions in FMT:

- the management (addition, removal, or modification) of specific IDS information that will be obtained from targeted IT System resource(s);
- the management (addition, removal, or modification) of specific targeted IT System resources.

5.2.5 Audit

There are no auditable events foreseen.

5.2.6 Definition

IDS_SDC_EXT.1 System Data Collection

- Hierarchical to: No other components
- Dependencies: FPT_STM.1 Reliable time stamps
- IDS_SDC_EXT.1.1 The TSF shall be able to collect the following information from the targeted IT System resource(s): [selection: Start-up and shutdown, identification and authentication events, data accesses, service requests, network traffic, security configuration changes, data introduction, detected malicious code, access control configuration, service configuration, authentication configuration, accountability policy configuration, detected known vulnerabilities]; and [assignment: other specifically defined events].

IDS_SDC_EXT.1.2 At a minimum, the TSF shall collect and record the following information

- a) Date and time of the event, type of event, subject identity, and the outcome (success or failure) of the event; and
- b) The additional information specified in the Details column of Table 5-2: System Events.

Component	Event	Details
IDS_SDC.1	Start-up and shutdown	none
IDS_SDC.1	Identification and authentication events	User identity, location, source address, destination address
IDS_SDC.1	Data accesses	Object IDS, requested access, source address, destination address
IDS_SDC.1	Service Requests	Specific service, source address, destination address
IDS_SDC.1	Network traffic	Protocol, source address, destination address
IDS_SDC.1	Security configuration changes	Source address, destination address
IDS_SDC.1	Data introduction	Object IDS, location of object, source address, destination address
IDS_SDC.1	Start-up and shutdown of audit functions	none

Table	5-2:	System	Events
-------	------	--------	---------------

Component	Event	Details
IDS_SDC.1	Detected malicious code	Location, identification of code
IDS_SDC.1	Access control configuration	Location, access settings
IDS_SDC.1	Service configuration	Service identification (name or port), interface, protocols
IDS_SDC.1	Authentication configuration	Account names for cracked, passwords, account policy, parameters
IDS_SDC.1	Accountability policy configuration	Accountability policy configuration parameters
IDS_SDC.1	Detected known vulnerabilities	Identification of the known, vulnerability

5.2.7 Rationale

IDS_SDC_EXT.1 is taken from the U.S. Government Protection Profile Intrusion Detection System System for Basic Robustness Environments, Version 1.7, July 25, 2007. IDS_SDC_EXT.1 had to be defined because the Common Criteria v3.1 Part 2 does not provide a Security Functional Requirement for Intrusion Detection functionality, specifically System data collection.

5.3 IDS_ANL_EXT.1 Analyzer analysis

5.3.1 Class IDS: Intrusion Detection System

5.3.2 Family: Analyzer analysis (IDS_ANL)

5.3.3 Family Behavior

This family defines the requirements for the TSF to be able to analyze the IDS data that has been gathered from targeted IT System resources.

5.3.4 Management

The following actions could be considered for the management functions in FMT:

• the management (addition, removal, or modification) of specific IDS information that will be obtained from targeted IT System resource(s).

5.3.5 Audit

There are no auditable events foreseen.

5.3.6 Definition

IDS_ANL_EXT.1 Analyzer analysis

Hierarchical to: No other components

Dependencies: IDS_SDC_EXP.1

- IDS_ANL_EXT.1.1 The TSF shall perform the following analysis function(s) on all IDS data received:
 - a) [selection: statistical, signature, integrity]; and
 - b) [assignment: other analytical functions].

IDS_ANL_EXT.1.2 The TSF shall record within each analytical result at least the following information:

- a) Date and time of the result, type of result, identification of data source; and
- b) [assignment: other security relevant information]

5.3.7 Rationale

IDS_ANL_EXT.1 is taken from the U.S. Government Protection Profile Intrusion Detection System System for Basic Robustness Environments, Version 1.7, July 25, 2007. IDS_ANL_EXT.1 had to be defined because the Common Criteria v3.1 Part 2 does not provide a Security Functional Requirement for Intrusion Detection functionality, specifically the analysis function.

5.4 IDS_RCT_EXT.1 Analyzer react

5.4.1 Class IDS: Intrusion Detection System

5.4.2 Family: Analyzer react (IDS_RCT)

5.4.3 *Family Behavior*

This family defines the requirements for the TSF to be able to send an alarm and react when an intrusion is detected.

5.4.4 Management

The following actions could be considered for the management functions in FMT:

• the management (addition, removal, or modification) of actions

5.4.5 Audit

There are no auditable events foreseen.

5.4.6 Definition

IDS_RCT_EXT.1 Analyzer react

Hierarchical to: No other components

Dependencies: IDS_SDC_EXP.1

IDS_RCT_EXT.1.1 The TSF shall send an alarm to *[assignment: alarm destination]* and take *[assignment: appropriate actions]* when an intrusion is detected.

5.4.7 Rationale

IDS_RCT_EXT.1 is taken from the U.S. Government Protection Profile Intrusion Detection System System for Basic Robustness Environments, Version 1.7, July 25, 2007. IDS_RCT_EXT.1 had to be defined because the Common Criteria v3.1 Part 2 does not provide a Security Functional Requirement for Intrusion Detection functionality, specifically the alarm and reaction function.

5.5 IDS_RDR_EXT.1 Restricted Data Review

5.5.1 Class IDS: Intrusion Detection System

5.5.2 Family: Security data review (IDS_RDR)

5.5.3 Family Behavior

This family defines the requirements for data tools that should be available to authorized users to assist in the review of system data.

5.5.4 Management

There are no management activities foreseen.

5.5.5 Audit

There are no auditable events foreseen.

5.5.6 Definition

IDS_RDR_EXT.1 Restricted Data Review

Hierarchical to: No other components

Dependencies: IDS_SDC_EXP.1

IDS_RDR_EXT.1.1 The TSF shall provide **[assignment: authorized users]** with the capability to read **[assignment: list of system data]** from the system data.

- IDS_RDR_EXT.1.2 The TSF shall provide the system data in a manner suitable for the user to interpret the information.
- IDS_RDR_EXT.1.3 The TSF shall prohibit all users read access to the system data, except those users that have been granted explicit read-access.

5.5.7 Rationale

IDS_RDR_EXT.1 is taken from the U.S. Government Protection Profile Intrusion Detection System System for Basic Robustness Environments, Version 1.7, July 25, 2007. IDS_RDR_EXT.1 had to be defined because the Common Criteria v3.1 Part 2 does not provide a Security Functional Requirement for Intrusion Detection functionality, specifically the restricted data review function.

5.6 IDS_STG_EXT.1 Guarantee of System Data Availability

5.6.1 Class IDS: Intrusion Detection System

5.6.2 *Family:* System data storage (IDS_STG)

5.6.3 Family Behavior

This family defines the requirements for the TSF to be able to secure system data.

5.6.4 Management

There are no management activities foreseen.

5.6.5 Audit

There are no auditable events foreseen.

5.6.6 Definition

IDS_STG_EXT.1 Guarantee of System Data Availability

Hierarchical to: No other components

Dependencies: IDS_SDC_EXT.1

- IDS_STG_EXT.1.1 The TSF shall protect the stored system data from unauthorized deletion.
- IDS_STG_EXT.1.2 The TSF shall protect the stored system data from modification.
- IDS_STG_EXT.1.3 The TSF shall ensure that *[assignment: metric for saving system data]* system data will be maintained when the following condition occurs: *[selection: system data storage exhaustion, failure, attack].*

5.6.7 Rationale

IDS_STG_EXT.1 is taken from the U.S. Government Protection Profile Intrusion Detection System System for Basic Robustness Environments, Version 1.7, July 25, 2007. IDS_STG_EXT.1 had to be defined because the Common Criteria v3.1 Part 2 does not provide a Security Functional Requirement for Intrusion Detection functionality, specifically the guarantee of System data availability.

5.7 IDS_STG_EXT.2 Prevention of System data loss

5.7.1 Class IDS: Intrusion Detection System

5.7.2 Family: System data storage (IDS_STG)

5.7.3 Family Behavior

This family defines the requirements for the TSF to be able to secure system data.

5.7.4 Management

The following actions could be considered for the management functions in FMT:

• the maintenance (deletion, modification, addition) of actions to be taken in case of audit storage failure

5.7.5 Audit

There are no auditable events foreseen.

5.7.6 Definition

IDS_STG_EXT.2 Prevention of System data loss (EXT)

Hierarchical to: No other components

Dependencies: IDS_SDC_EXT.1

IDS_STG_EXT.2.1 The TSF shall [selection: 'ignore system data', 'prevent system data, except those taken by the authorized user with special rights', 'overwrite the oldest stored system data '] and send an alarm if the storage capacity has been reached.

5.7.7 Rationale

IDS_STG_EXT.2 is taken from the U.S. Government Protection Profile Intrusion Detection System System for Basic Robustness Environments, Version 1.7, July 25, 2007. IDS_STG_EXT.2 had to be defined because the Common Criteria v3.1 Part 2 does not provide a Security Functional Requirement for Intrusion Detection functionality, specifically the prevention of system data loss

6 Security Requirements

6.1 Security Functional Requirements

Conventions

The following conventions have been applied in this document:

- Security Functional Requirements Part 2 of the CC defines the approved set of operations that may be applied to functional requirements: iteration, assignment, selection, and refinement.
 - Iteration: allows a component to be used more than once with varying operations. In the ST, iteration is indicated by a letter in parenthesis placed at the end of the component. For example FDP_ACC.1(a) and FDP_ACC.1(b) indicate that the ST includes two iterations of the FDP_ACC.1 requirement, a and b.
 - Assignment: allows the specification of an identified parameter. Assignments are indicated using bold italics and are surrounded by brackets (e.g., [assignment]).
 - Selection: allows the specification of one or more elements from a list.
 Selections are indicated using bold italics and are surrounded by brackets (e.g., *[selection]*).
 - Refinement: are identified with "Refinement:" right after the short name.
 Additions to the CC text are specified in *italicized bold and underlined text*.

Note: Operations already performed in the corresponding Protection Profile are not identified in this Security Target.

- **Application notes** provide additional information for the reader, but do not specify requirements. Application notes are denoted by *italicized text*.
- Explicitly stated Security Functional Requirements (i.e., those not found in Part 2 of the CC) are identified "_EXT" in the component name.

The TOE security functional requirements are listed in Table 6-1. All SFRs are based on requirements defined in Part 2 of the Common Criteria or the U.S. Government Protection Profile Intrusion Detection System System for Basic Robustness Environments, Version 1.7, July 25, 2007.

No.	Component	Component Name	
1	FAU_GEN.1	Audit data generation	
2	FAU_SAR.1	Audit review	
3	FAU_SAR.2	Restricted audit review	
4	FAU_SAR.3	Selectable audit review	
5	FAU_SEL.1	Selective audit	
6	FAU_STG.2	Guarantees of data availability	
7	FAU_STG.4	Prevention of audit data loss	
8	FIA_ATD.1	User attribute definition	
9	FIA_UAU_EXT.1	Timing of authentication	
10	FIA_UID.1	Timing of identification	
11	FMT_MOF.1	Management of security functions behavior	
12	FMT_MTD.1	Management of TSF data	
13	FMT_SMF.1	Specification of Management Functions	
14	FMT_SMR.1	Security roles	
15	FPT_ITT.1	Basic internal TSF data transfer protection	
16	FTA_TAB.1	Default TOE access banners	
17	IDS_SDC_EXT.1	System Data Collection	
18	IDS_ANL_EXT.1	Analyzer analysis	
19	IDS_RCT_EXT.1	Analyzer react	
20	IDS_RDR_EXT.1	Restricted Data Review	
21	IDS_STG_EXT.1	Guarantee of System Data Availability	
22	IDS_STG_EXT.2	Prevention of System data loss	

 Table 6-1: TOE Security Functional Components

6.1.1 Class FAU: Security Audit

6.1.1.1 FAU_GEN.1 Audit Data Generation

Hierarchical to: No other components

Dependencies: FPT_STM.1 Reliable time stamps

FAU_GEN.1.1 The TSF shall be able to generate an audit record of the following auditable events:

- a) Start-up and shutdown of the audit functions;
- b) All auditable events for the basic level of audit; and
- c) Access to the System and access to the TOE and system data

Application Note: The IDS_SDC and IDS_ANL requirements address the recording of results from IDS scanning, sensing, and analyzing tasks (i.e., system data).

FAU_GEN.1.2 The TSF shall record within each audit record at least the following information:

- a) Date and time of the event, type of event, subject identity, and the outcome (success or failure) of the event; and
- b) For each audit event type, based on the auditable event definitions of the functional components included in the PP/ST, the additional information specified in the Details column of Table 6-2: Auditable Events.

Component	Event	Details
FAU_GEN.1	Start-up and shutdown of audit functions	
FAU_GEN.1	Access to System	
FAU_GEN.1	Access to the TOE and System data	Object ID, Requested access
FAU_SAR.1	Reading of information from the audit records	
FAU_SAR.2	Unsuccessful attempts to read information from the audit records	
FAU_SEL.1	All modifications to the audit configuration that occur while the audit collection functions are operating	
FAU_STG.2	None	
FAU_STG.4	Actions taken due to the audit storage failure	
FIA_ATD.1	None	
FIA_UAU_EXT.1	All use of the authentication mechanism	User identity, location
FIA_UID.1	All use of the user identification mechanism	User identity, location
FMT_MOF.1	All modifications in the behavior of the functions of the TSF	
FMT_MTD.1	All modifications to the values of TSF data	
FMT_SMF.1	Use of the management functions	User identity
FMT_SMR.1	Modifications to the group of users that are part of a role	User identity
FPT_ITT.1	None	
FTA_TAB.1	None	
IDS_SDC_EXT.1	None	
IDS_ANL_EXT.1	None	
IDS_RCT_EXT.1	None	
IDS_RDR_EXT.1	None	
IDS_STG_EXT.1	None	
IDS_STG_EXT.1	None	

Table 6-2: Auditable Events

6.1.1.2 FAU_SAR.1 Audit Review

Hierarchical to: No other components

Dependencies: FAU_GEN.1 Audit data generation

FAU_SAR.1.1 The TSF shall provide *[users with the Administrator Role]* with the capability to read *[all audit information]* from the audit records.

FAU_SAR.1.2 The TSF shall provide the audit records in a manner suitable for the user to interpret the information.

6.1.1.3 FAU_SAR.2 Restricted audit review

- Hierarchical to: No other components
- Dependencies: FAU_SAR.1 Audit review
- FAU_SAR.2.1 The TSF shall prohibit all users read access to the audit records, except those users who have been granted explicit read-access.

6.1.1.4 FAU_SAR.3 Selectable audit review

- Hierarchical to: No other components
- Dependencies: FAU_SAR.1 Audit review
- FAU_SAR.3.1 The TSF shall provide the ability to perform sorting of audit data based on date and time, subject identity, type of event, and success or failure of related event.

Application Note: Type of event is contained in the Subsystem field of the audit records. Success or Failure of the event is part of the Message field.

6.1.1.5 FAU_SEL.1 Selective audit

- Hierarchical to: No other components
- Dependencies: FAU_GEN.1 Audit data generation

FMT_MTD.1 Management of TSF data

- FAU_SEL.1.1 The TSF shall be able to include or exclude auditable events from the set of audited events based on the following attributes:
 - a) event type
 - b) [IP address, message, subsystem, and username]

6.1.1.6 FAU_STG.2 Guarantees of audit data availability

- Hierarchical to: FAU_STG.1
- Dependencies: FAU_GEN.1 Audit data generation
- FAU_STG.2.1 The TSF shall protect the stored audit records from unauthorized deletion.
- FAU_STG.2.2 The TSF shall be able to detect unauthorized modifications to the audit records in the audit trail.

FAU_STG.2.3 The TSF shall ensure that *[the most recent, limited by available audit storage, at least one]* audit records will be maintained when the following conditions occur: *[audit storage exhaustion].*

6.1.1.7 FAU_STG.4 Prevention of audit data loss

- Hierarchical to: FAU_STG.3
- Dependencies: FAU_STG.1 Protected audit trail storage
- FAU_STG.4.1 The TSF shall *[overwrite the oldest stored audit records]* and send an alarm if the audit trail storage is full.

6.1.2 Class FIA: Identification and Authentication

6.1.2.1 FIA_ATD.1 User attribute definition

- Hierarchical to: No other components
- Dependencies: No dependencies
- FIA_ATD.1.1 The TSF shall maintain the following list of security attributes belonging to individual users:
 - a) User identity;
 - b) Authentication data;
 - c) Authorizations; and
 - d) [
- Use External Authentication Method
- Force Password Reset on Login
- Password Strength Check
- Max Number of Failed Logins
- Password Expiration
- Warning Days
-].

6.1.2.2 FIA_UAU_EXT.1 Timing of authentication

Hierarchical to: No other components

Dependencies: FIA_UID.1 Timing of identification

- FIA_UAU_EXT.1.1 The TSF shall allow *[entry of identification and authentication data]* on behalf of the user to be performed before the user is authenticated.
- FIA_UAU_EXT.1.2 The TSF shall require each user to be successfully authenticated either by the TSF or by an authentication service in the Operational Environment invoked by the TSF before allowing any other TSF-mediated actions on behalf of that user

Application Note: Use of an external authentication server is allowed only for TOE configurations that include a Defense Center.

6.1.2.3 FIA_UID.1 Timing of identification

- Hierarchical to: No other components
- Dependencies: No dependencies
- FIA_UID.1.1 The TSF shall allow *[entry of identification and authentication data]* on behalf of the user to be performed before the user is identified.
- FIA_UID.1.2 The TSF shall require each user to be successfully identified before allowing any other TSF-mediated actions on behalf of that user.

6.1.3 Class FMT: Security Management

6.1.3.1 FMT_MOF.1 Management of security functions behavior

Hierarchical to: No other components

Dependencies: FMT_SMF.1 Specification of management functions

FMT_SMR.1 Security roles

FMT_MOF.1.1 The TSF shall restrict the ability to *[modify the behavior]* of the functions *[See column 1 of Table 6-3]* to *[See column 2 of Table 6-3]*.

Table 6-3: Management of Security Functions Behavior

Function	User Role	
system data collection	Admin	
(create, edit IPS Rules)	Policy and Response Administrator	
analysis and reaction	Admin	
(create, edit Detection Engines)		
audit data generation	CLI root user *	
(create, modify Audit Suppression Lists)		

* This is not a security role maintained by the TOE; it is maintained by the appliance OS and is equivalent to the system administrator of the OS.

6.1.3.2 FMT_MTD.1 Management of TSF data

- Hierarchical to: No other components
- Dependencies: FMT_SMF.1 Specification of Management Functions

FMT_MTD.1.1 The TSF shall restrict the ability to **[operations as specified in Table 6-4]** the **[TSF data as specified in Table 6-4]** to **[user security role as specified in Table 6-4]**.

User Security Role	Operations	TSF Data		
Administrator	Configure	Access lists		
	Verify	Appliance licenses		
	View, search	Audit records		
	Create, edit, delete *	Authentication objects		
	Create, upload, restore from	Backup files		
	Create, modify, delete	Backup profiles		
	Configure	Remote Backup Storage		
	View, edit, create, delete, export	Custom workflows		
	Add sensor to, delete sensor from *	Defense Center		
	Create, edit, delete	Detection engine groups		
	Create, edit, delete	Detection engines		
	Configure	DNS cache properties		
	Create (activate), edit, delete (deactivate)	Email alerts		
	Configure	Email settings		
	View	Event graphs		
	Configure *	External authentication of users		
	View, search, delete *	Health events		
	Run *	Health module tests		
	Create, edit, delete *	Health monitor alerts		
	Create, edit, delete, import, export, apply, disable (blacklist a sensor) *	Health policies		
	Push to sensors *	Health policies		
	View *	Health status of appliances		
	View, edit, create	Incidents		
	Create, edit, delete	Interface sets		
	View, configure, delete	Intrusion event notification suppression		
	View	Intrusion event statistics		
	View, configure, delete	Intrusion event thresholds		
	View, edit, import, export	Intrusion event workflows		
	View, search, delete	Intrusion events		

Table 6-4: Management of TSF data

User Security Role	Operations	TSF Data
	Create, edit, delete, apply,	Intrusion policies
	schedule application of, import,	
	export	
	Push to sensors *	Intrusion policies
	Enable, disable	Intrusion rules
	View	IPS performance statistics
	Enable, disable, configure	Latency Thresholding
	Import	Local rule file
	Configure	Login Banner
	Configure	Maximum number of records in database
	Configure	Network interfaces
	Configure	Network settings
	Change	Own password
	Configure	Preprocessors, Preprocessor options
	Configure, delete	Recurring tasks
	View, edit, create, delete	Report profiles
	View, move, download, delete	Reports
	View	Reviewed intrusion events
	Classify, view, create, edit,	Rules
	delete, search, filter	
	Push to sensors *	Rules
	Delete	Scheduled tasks
	Modify	3D Sensor with IPS system settings
	Modify *	Defense Center system settings
	Create, edit, delete *	3D Sensor with IPS groups
	View	3D Sensor with IPS and system statistics
	View *	Defense Center statistics
	View, add, delete, stop (shut-	3D Sensors with IPS
	down), restart (reboot), set time on, disable communications of *	
	Create, edit, delete, activate, deactivate	SNMP alerts
	View	Syslog
	Create, edit, delete, activate, deactivate	Syslog alerts
	Shutdown, restart	System
	Configure	System backups
	Create, edit, apply, delete,	System policy
	export	
	Push to sensors *	System policy
	Configure	System settings
	Create, edit, delete	System variables
	Synchronize	Time
	Configure	Time server
	View, add, modify, delete	User accounts
	Change	User passwords
Maintenance	Create, upload	Backup files
	Create, modify, delete	Backup profiles

User Security Role	Operations	TSF Data
	Configure	Remote Backup Storage
	View, search, delete *	Health events
	Run *	Health module tests
	Create, edit, delete, export,	Health policies
	apply, disable (blacklist a	
	sensor) *	
	Push to sensors *	Health policies
	View *	Health status of appliances
	Schedule application of	Intrusion policies
	View	IPS performance statistics
	Change	Own password
	Configure, delete	Recurring tasks
	Delete	Scheduled tasks
	View	3D Sensor with IPS and system
		statistics
	View *	Defense Center statistics
	Configure	System backups
Intrusion Event Analyst	View, edit, create, delete, export	Custom workflows
	View	Event graphs
	View, search *	Health events
	Run *	Health module tests
	View *	Health status of appliances
	View, edit, create	Incidents
	View	Intrusion event statistics
	View, edit, export	Intrusion event workflows
	View, search, delete	Intrusion events
	Change	Own password
	View, edit, create, delete	Report profiles
	View, move, download, delete	Reports
	View	Reviewed intrusion events
Intrusion Event Analyst	View, edit, create, delete, export	Custom workflows
(Read Only)	View	Event graphs
	View, search *	Health events
	Run *	Health module tests
	View *	Health status of appliances
	View, edit, create	Incidents
	View	Intrusion event statistics
	View, edit, export	Intrusion event workflows
	View, search	Intrusion events
	Change	Own password
	View, edit, create, delete	Report profiles
	View, move, download, delete	Reports
	View	Reviewed intrusion events
Restricted Event Analyst	View, edit, create, delete, export	Custom workflows
	View, edit, export	Intrusion event workflows
	View, search, delete	Intrusion events
	Change	Own password
	View	Reviewed intrusion events
Restricted Event Analyst	View, edit, create, delete, export	Custom workflows

User Security Role	Operations	TSF Data
(Read Only)	View, edit, export	Intrusion event workflows
	View, search	Intrusion events
	Change	Own password
	View	Reviewed intrusion events
Policy and Response	Create (activate), edit, delete	Email alerts
Administrator	(deactivate)	
	Create, edit, delete *	Health monitor alerts
	View, configure, delete	Intrusion event notification suppression
	View, configure, delete	Intrusion event thresholds
	Create, edit, delete, apply,	Intrusion policies
	schedule application of, import,	
	export	
	Push to sensors *	Intrusion policies
	Enable, disable	Intrusion rules
	Enable, disable, configure	Latency Thresholding
	Import	Local rule file
	Change	Own password
	Configure	Preprocessors, Preprocessor options
	Classify, view, create, edit, delete, search, filter	Rules
	Push to sensors *	Rules
	Create, edit, delete, activate, deactivate	SNMP alerts
	View	Syslog
	Create, edit, delete, activate,	Syslog alerts
	deactivate	
	Create, edit, delete	System variables
CLI root user **	Create, modify	Audit suppression lists

* These functions are available only on the Defense Center WebUI, they cannot be run from the management interface of a stand-alone 3D Sensor with IPS.

** This is not a security role maintained by the TOE; it is maintained by the appliance OS and is equivalent to the system administrator of the OS.

6.1.3.3 FMT_SMF.1 Specification of Management Functions

- Hierarchical to: No other components
- Dependencies: No dependencies
- FMT_SMF.1.1 The TSF shall be capable of performing the following security management functions: [
 - Configure Access Lists
 - Verify Appliance Licenses

- View and Search Audit Records
- Create, Edit, and Delete Authentication Objects
- Create, Upload, and Restore from Backup Files
- Create, Modify, and Delete Backup Profiles
- Configure Remote Backup Storage
- View, Edit, Create, Delete, and Export Custom Workflows
- Add 3D Sensor with IPS To and Delete 3D Sensor with IPS From Defense Center
- Create, Edit, and Delete Detection Engine Groups
- Create, Edit, and Delete Detection Engines
- Configure DNS Cache Properties
- Create (activate), Edit, and Delete (deactivate) Email Alerts
- Configure Email Settings
- View Event Graphs
- Configure External Authentication of Users
- View and Search Health Events
- Run Health Module Tests
- Create, Edit, and Delete Health Monitor Alerts
- Create, Edit, Delete, Import, Export, Apply, and Disable (blacklist a sensor) Health Policies
- Push Health Policies to 3D Sensors with IPS
- View Health Status of Appliances
- View, Edit, and Create Incidents
- Create, Edit, and Delete Interface Sets
- View, Configure, and Delete Intrusion Event Notification Suppression
- View Intrusion Event Statistics
- View, Configure, and Delete Intrusion Event Thresholds
- View, Edit, Import, and Export Intrusion Event Workflows
- View, Search, and Delete Intrusion Events
- Create, Edit, Delete, Apply, Schedule Application of, Import, and Export Intrusion Policies
- Push Intrusion Policies to 3D Sensors with IPS
- Enable and Disable Intrusion Rules
- View IPS Performance Statistics
- Configure Maximum Number of Records in the Appliance Databases
- Configure Network Interfaces

- Configure Network Settings
- Configure Preprocessors and Preprocessor Options
- Configure and Delete Recurring Tasks
- View, Edit, Create, and Delete Report Profiles
- View, Move, Download, and Delete Reports
- View Reviewed Intrusion Events
- Classify, View, Create, Edit, Delete, Search, and Filter Rules
- Push Rules to 3D Sensors with IPS
- Delete Scheduled Tasks
- Modify 3D Sensor with IPS System Settings
- Modify Defense Center System Settings
- Create, Edit, and Delete 3D Sensor with IPS Groups
- View 3D Sensor with IPS, Defense Center, and System Statistics
- View, Add, Delete, Stop (shut-down), Restart (reboot), Set Time on, and Disable Communications of 3D Sensor with IPS
- Create, Edit, Delete, Activate, and Deactivate SNMP Alerts
- View Syslog
- Create, Edit, Delete, Activate, and Deactivate Syslog Alerts
- Shutdown and Restart System
- Configure System Backups
- Create, Edit, Apply, Delete, and Export System Policy
- Push System Policy to 3D Sensors with IPS
- Configure System Settings
- Create, Edit, and Delete System Variables
- Synchronize Time on Appliances
- Configure Time Server
- View, Add, Modify, and Delete User Accounts
- Change User Passwords
- Enable, Disable and Configure Latency Thresholding
- Import Local Rule Files
- Configure Login Banners
- Create and Modify Audit Suppression Lists

].

Application Note: FMT_SMF.1 is not included in the IDS System PP; however, it needed to be included to satisfy the dependencies of the SFRs FMT_MOF.1 and FMT_MTD.1.

6.1.3.4 FMT_SMR.1 Security Roles

Hierarchical to: No other components

Dependencies: FIA_UID.1 Timing of identification

FMT_SMR.1.1 The TSF shall maintain the roles [

- Administrator
- Maintenance
- Intrusion Event Analyst
- Intrusion Event Analyst (Read Only)
- Restricted Event Analyst
- Restricted Event Analyst (Read Only)
- Policy and Response Administrator

].

FMT_SMR.1.2 The TSF shall be able to associate users with roles.

6.1.4 Class FPT: Protection of the TSF

6.1.4.1 FPT_ITT.1 Basic internal TSF data transfer protection

Hierarchical to: No other components

Dependencies: No dependencies

FPT_ITT.1.1 Refinement: The TSF shall protect TSF data from [disclosure, modification] when it is transmitted between the Defense Center and the 3D Sensor(s) with IPS by using a secure, SSL-encrypted TCP tunnel that uses OpenSSL Version 0.9.8g and Cipher AES256-SHA (strength:256 bits).

Application Note: FPT_ITT.1 replaces the IDS System PP SFRs: FIA_AFL.1, FPT_ITA.1, FPT_ITC.1, and FPT_ITI.2, through the precedence of PD-0097.

6.1.5 Class FTA: TOE Access

6.1.5.1 FTA_TAB.1 Default TOE access banners

Hierarchical to: No other components.

Dependencies: No dependencies.

FTA_TAB.1.1 Before establishing a user session, the TSF shall display an advisory warning message regarding unauthorized use of the TOE.

6.1.6 Class IDS: IDS Component Requirements

6.1.6.1 IDS_SDC_EXT.1 System Data Collection

Hierarchical to: No other components

Dependencies: FPT_STM.1 Reliable time stamps

- IDS_SDC_EXT.1.1 The TSF shall be able to collect the following information from the targeted IT System resource(s):
 - a) [network traffic]; and
 - b) [no other events]

IDS_SDC_EXT.1.2 At a minimum, the TSF shall collect and record the following information

- a) Date and time of the event, type of event, subject identity, and the outcome (success or failure) of the event; and
- b) The additional information specified in the Details column of Table 6-5: System Events.

Table 6-5: System Events

Component	Event	Details
IDS_SDC_EXT.1	Network traffic	Protocol
		Source address
		Destination address

6.1.6.2 IDS_ANL_EXT.1 Analyzer analysis

Hierarchical to: No other components

Dependencies: IDS_SDC_EXP.1 System Data Collection

IDS_ANL_EXT.1.1 The TSF shall perform the following analysis function(s) on all IDS data received:

- a) [signature]; and
- b) [
- Checksum Verification
- Packet IP Defragmentation
- Stateful Inspection & TCP Stream Reassembly
- RPC Decode
- Back Orifice Detection
- HTTP Decode and Normalization
- SMTP Decode and Normalization
- Telnet Decode and Normalization
- FTP Decode and Normalization
- DCE/RPC Inspection
- DNS Inspection
- o SSL Inspection
- o **Portscan**
-].

IDS_ANL_EXT.1.2 The TSF shall record within each analytical result at least the following information:

- a) Date and time of the result, type of result, identification of data source; and
- b) [The packets analyzed to determine the result]

6.1.6.3 IDS_RCT_EXT.1 Analyzer react (EXT)

Hierarchical to: No other components

Dependencies: IDS_SDC_EXP.1 System Data Collection

IDS_RCT_EXT.1.1 The TSF shall send an alarm to [

- A defined email administrative address, and/or
- Syslog facilities, and/or
- An SNMP Trap Server

] and take [

- no actions for passive deployment of 3D Sensors with IPS
- actions to Drop, Replace packets containing suspicious network traffic according to configured rules for inline deployment of 3D Sensors with IPS

] when an intrusion is detected.

6.1.6.4 IDS_RDR_EXT.1 Restricted Data Review (EXT)

Hierarchical to: No other components

Dependencies: IDS_SDC_EXP.1 System Data Collection

- IDS_RDR_EXT.1.1 The TSF shall provide *[users with the Administrator or Intrusion Event Analyst Role]* with the capability to read *[all captured IDS data]* from the system data.
- IDS_RDR_EXT.1.2 The TSF shall provide the system data in a manner suitable for the user to interpret the information.

IDS_RDR_EXT.1.3 The TSF shall prohibit all users read access to the system data, except those users that have been granted explicit read-access.

6.1.6.5 IDS_STG_EXT.1 Guarantee of System Data Availability

- Hierarchical to: No other components
- Dependencies: No dependencies
- IDS_STG_EXT.1.1 The TSF shall protect the stored system data from unauthorized deletion.
- IDS_STG_EXT.1.2 The TSF shall protect the stored system data from modification.
- IDS_STG_EXT.1.3 The TSF shall ensure that [the most recent, limited by available system data storage, at least one] system data will be maintained when the following condition occurs: [system data storage exhaustion].

6.1.6.6 IDS_STG_EXT.2 Prevention of System data loss

- Hierarchical to: No other components
- Dependencies: IDS_SDC_EXP.1 System Data Collection
- IDS_STG_EXT.2.1 The TSF shall **[overwrite the oldest stored system data]** and send an alarm if the storage capacity has been reached.

6.2 Security Assurance Requirements for the TOE

This Section defines the assurance requirements for the TOE. Assurance requirements are taken from the CC Part 3 and are EAL2 augmented with ALC_FLR.2. None of the assurance components are refined. Table 6-6 summarizes the components.

Assurance Class	Assurance Components	
	ADV_ARC.1	Architectural Design with Domain Separation and non-bypassability
Development	ADV_FSP.2	Security enforcing Functional Specification
	ADV_TDS.1	Basic Design
Cuidanaa dagumanta	AGD_OPE.1	Operational User guidance
Guidance documents	AGD_PRE.1	Preparative User guidance
	ALC_CMC.2	Use of a CM system
Life evels even ext	ALC_CMS.2	Parts of the TOE CM coverage
Life cycle support	ALC_DEL.1	Delivery procedures
	ALC_FLR.2	Flaw Reporting Procedures
	ATE_COV.1	Evidence of coverage
Tests	ATE_FUN.1	Functional testing
	ATE_IND.2	Independent testing - sample
Vulnerability assessment	AVA_VAN.2	Vulnerability Analysis

Table 6-6: EAL2+ Assurance Components

6.2.1 Class ADV: Development

6.2.1.1 ADV_ARC.1 Security architecture description

Dependencies:	ADV_FSP.1 Basic functional specification
	ADV_TDS.1 Basic design

Developer action elements:

ADV_ARC.1.1D	The developer shall design and implement the TOE so that the security
	features of the TSF cannot be bypassed.
ADV_ARC.1.2D	The developer shall design and implement the TSF so that it is able to
	protect itself from tampering by untrusted active entities.
ADV_ARC.1.3D	The developer shall provide a security architecture description of the
	TSF.

Content and presentation elements:

ADV_ARC.1.1C	The security architecture description shall be at a level of detail commensurate with the description of the SFR-enforcing abstractions described in the TOE design document.
ADV_ARC.1.2C	The security architecture description shall describe the security domains maintained by the TSF consistently with the SFRs.
ADV_ARC.1.3C	The security architecture description shall describe how the TSF initialization process is secure.
ADV_ARC.1 4C	The security architecture description shall demonstrate that the TSF protects itself from tampering.
ADV_ARC.1.5C	The security architecture description shall demonstrate that the TSF prevents bypass of the SFR-enforcing functionality.

Evaluator action elements:

ADV_ARC.1.1E The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.

6.2.1.2 ADV_FSP.2 Security-enforcing functional specification

Dependencies: ADV_TDS.1 Basic design

Developer action elements:

ADV_FSP.2.1D The developer shall provide a functional specification. ADV_FSP.2.2D The developer shall provide a tracing from the functional specification to the SFRs.

Content elements:

ADV_FSP.2.1C	The functional specification shall completely represent the TSF.
ADV_FSP.2.2C	The functional specification shall describe the purpose and method of
	use for all TSFI.

ADV_FSP.2.3C	The functional specification shall identify and describe all parameters associated with each TSFI.
ADV_FSP.2.4C	For each SFR-enforcing TSFI, the functional specification shall describe the SFR-enforcing actions associated with the TSFI.
	For SFR-enforcing TSFIs, the functional specification shall describe direct error messages resulting from processing associated with the SFR enforcing actions.
ADV_FSP.2.6C	The tracing shall demonstrate that the SFRs trace to TSFIs in the functional specification.

Evaluator action elements:

ADV_FSP.2.1E	The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all
	requirements for content and presentation of evidence.
ADV_FSP.2.2E	The evaluator shall determine that the functional specification is an
	accurate and complete instantiation of the SFRs.

6.2.1.3 ADV_TDS.1 Basic design

Dependencies: ADV_FSP.2 Security-enforcing functional specification

Developer action elements:

ADV_TDS.1.1D The developer shall provide the design of the TOE. ADV_TDS.1.2D The developer shall provide a mapping from the TSFI of the functional specification to the lowest level of decomposition available in the TOE design.

Content and presentation elements:

ADV_TDS.1.1C	The design shall describe the structure of the TOE in terms of subsystems.
ADV_TDS.1.2C	The design shall identify all subsystems of the TSF.
ADV_TDS.1.3C	The design shall describe the behavior of each SFR-supporting or SFR- non interfering TSF subsystem in sufficient detail to determine that it is not SFR-enforcing.
ADV_TDS.1.4C	The design shall summarize the SFR-enforcing behavior of the SFR enforcing subsystems.
ADV_TDS.1.5C	The design shall provide a description of the interactions among SFR enforcing subsystems of the TSF, and between the SFR-enforcing subsystems of the TSF and other subsystems of the TSF.
ADV_TDS.1.6C	The mapping shall demonstrate that all behavior described in the TOE design is mapped to the TSFIs that invoke it.

Evaluator action elements:

ADV_TDS.1.1E	The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all
	requirements for content and presentation of evidence.
ADV_TDS.1.2E	The evaluator shall determine that the design is an accurate and
	complete instantiation of all security functional requirements.

6.2.2 Class AGD: Guidance documents

6.2.2.1 AGD_OPE.1 Operational user guidance

Dependencies: ADV_FSP.1 Basic functional specification

Developer action elements:

AGD_OPE.11D The developer shall provide operational user guidance.

Content and presentation elements:

AGD_OPE.1.1C	The operational user guidance shall describe, for each user role, the user accessible functions and privileges that should be controlled in a
	secure processing environment, including appropriate warnings.
AGD_OPE.1.2C	The operational user guidance shall describe, for each user role, how to use the available interfaces provided by the TOE in a secure manner.
AGD_OPE.1.3C	The operational user guidance shall describe, for each user role, the available functions and interfaces, in particular all security parameters under the control of the user, indicating secure values as appropriate.
AGD_OPE.1.4C	The operational user guidance shall, for each user role, clearly present each type of security-relevant event relative to the user-accessible functions that need to be performed, including changing the security characteristics of entities under the control of the TSF.
AGD_OPE.1.5C	The operational user guidance shall identify all possible modes of operation of the TOE (including operation following failure or operational error), their consequences and implications for maintaining secure operation.
AGD_OPE.1.6C	The operational user guidance shall, for each user role, describe the security measures to be followed in order to fulfill the security objectives for the operational environment as described in the ST.
AGD_OPE.1.7C	The operational user guidance shall be clear and reasonable.

Evaluator action elements:

AGD_OPE.1.1E The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.

6.2.2.2 AGD_PRE.1 Preparative procedures

Dependencies: No dependencies

Developer action elements:

AGD_PRE.11D The developer shall provide the TOE including its preparative procedures.

Content and presentation elements:

AGD_PRE.1.1C The preparative procedures shall describe all the steps necessary for secure acceptance of the delivered TOE in accordance with the developer's delivery procedures.

AGD_PRE.1.2C	The preparative procedures shall describe all the steps necessary for
	secure installation of the TOE and for the secure preparation of the
	operational environment in accordance with the security objectives for
	the operational environment as described in the ST.

Evaluator action elements:

AGD_PRE.1.1E	The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all
	requirements for content and presentation of evidence.
AGD_PRE.1.2E	The evaluator shall apply the preparative procedures to confirm that the
	TOE can be prepared securely for operation.

6.2.3 Class ALC: Life-cycle support

6.2.3.1 ALC_CMC.2 Use of a CM system

Dependencies: ALC_CMS.1 TOE CM coverage

Developer action elements:

ALC_CMC.21D	The developer shall provide the TOE and a reference for the TOE.
ALC_CMC.2.2D	The developer shall provide the CM documentation.
ALC_CMC.2.3D	The developer shall use a CM system.

Content and presentation elements:

ALC_CMC.2.1C	The TOE shall be labeled with its unique reference.
ALC_CMC.2.2C	The CM documentation shall describe the method used to uniquely
	identify the configuration items.
ALC_CMC.23C	The CM system shall uniquely identify all configuration items.

Evaluator action elements:

ALC_CMC.2.1E The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.

6.2.3.2 ALC_CMS.2 Parts of the TOE CM coverage

Dependencies: No dependencies

Developer action elements:

ALC_CMS.2.1D The developer shall provide a configuration list for the TOE.

Content and presentation elements:

- ALC_CMS.2.1C The configuration list shall include the following: the TOE itself; the evaluation evidence required by the SARs; and the parts that comprise the TOE. ALC_CMS.2.2C The configuration list shall uniquely identify the configuration items.
- ALC_CMS.2.3C For each TSF relevant configuration item, the configuration list shall indicate the developer of the item.

Evaluator action elements:

ALC_CMS.2.1E The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.

6.2.3.3 ALC_DEL.1 Delivery procedures

Dependencies: No dependencies

Developer action elements:

- ALC_DEL.1.1D The developer shall document procedures for delivery of the TOE or parts of it to the consumer.
- ALC_DEL.1.2D The developer shall use the delivery procedures.

Content and presentation elements:

ALC_DEL.1.1C The delivery documentation shall describe all procedures that are necessary to maintain security when distributing versions of the TOE to the consumer.

Evaluator action elements:

ALC_DEL.1.1E The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.

6.2.3.4 ALC_FLR.2 Flaw reporting procedures

Dependencies: No dependencies

Developer action elements:

ALC_FLR.2.1D	The developer shall document flaw remediation procedures addressed
	to TOE developers.
ALC_FLR.2.2D	The developer shall establish a procedure for accepting and acting upon all reports of security flaws and requests for corrections to those flaws.
ALC_FLR.2.3D	The developer shall provide flaw remediation guidance addressed to TOE users.

Content and presentation elements:

ALC_FLR.2.1C The flaw remediation procedures documentation shall describe the procedures used to track all reported security flaws in each release of the TOE. ALC FLR.2.2C The flaw remediation procedures shall require that a description of the nature and effect of each security flaw be provided, as well as the status of finding a correction to that flaw. The flaw remediation procedures shall require that corrective actions be ALC FLR.2.3C identified for each of the security flaws. ALC FLR.2.4C The flaw remediation procedures documentation shall describe the methods used to provide flaw information, corrections and guidance on corrective actions to TOE users. The flaw remediation procedures shall describe a means by which the ALC FLR.2.5C developer receives from TOE users' reports and enquiries of suspected security flaws in the TOE.

ALC_FLR.2.6C	The procedures for processing reported security flaws shall ensure that any reported flaws are remediated and the remediation procedures issued to TOE users.
ALC_FLR.2.7C	The procedures for processing reported security flaws shall provide safeguards that any corrections to these security flaws do not introduce any new flaws.
ALC_FLR.2.8C	The flaw remediation guidance shall describe a means by which TOE users report to the developer any suspected security flaws in the TOE.

Evaluator action elements:

ALC_FLR.2.1E The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.

6.2.4 Class ATE: Tests

6.2.4.1 ATE_COV.1 Evidence of coverage

Dependencies: ADV_FSP.2 Security-enforcing functional specification ATE_FUN.1 Functional testing

Developer action elements:

ATE_COV.1.1D The developer shall provide evidence of the test coverage.

Content and presentation elements:

ATE_COV.1.1C The evidence of the test coverage shall show the correspondence between the tests in the test documentation and the TSFIs in the functional specification.

Evaluator action elements:

ATE_COV.1.1E The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.

6.2.4.2 ATE_FUN.1 Functional testing

Dependencies: ATE_COV.1 Evidence of coverage

Developer action elements:

ATE_FUN.1.1D	The developer shall test the TSF and document the results.
ATE FUN.1.2D	The developer shall provide test documentation.

Content and presentation elements:

- ATE_FUN.1.1C The test documentation shall consist of test plans, expected test results and actual test results.
- ATE_FUN.1.2C The test plans shall identify the tests to be performed and describe the scenarios for performing each test. These scenarios shall include any ordering dependencies on the results of other tests.
- ATE_FUN.1.3C The expected test results shall show the anticipated outputs from a successful execution of the tests.

ATE_FUN.1.4C The actual test results shall be consistent with the expected test results.

Evaluator action elements:

ATE_FUN.1.1E The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.

6.2.4.3 ATE_IND.2 Independent testing - sample

Dependencies: ADV_FSP.2 Security-enforcing functional specification AGD_OPE.1 Operational user guidance AGD_PRE.1 Preparative procedures ATE_COV.1 Evidence of coverage ATE_FUN.1 Functional testing

Developer action elements:

ATE_IND.2.1D The developer shall provide the TOE for testing.

Content and presentation elements:

ATE_IND.2.1CThe TOE shall be suitable for testing.ATE_IND.2.2CThe developer shall provide an equivalent set of resources to those that
were used in the developer's functional testing of the TSF.

Evaluator action elements:

ATE_IND.2.1E The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence. ATE_IND.2.2E The evaluator shall execute a sample. ATE_IND.2.2F the evaluator shall test a subset of the TEE to confirm that the TEE

ATE_IND.2.3E The evaluator shall test a subset of the TSF to confirm that the TSF operates as specified.

6.2.5 Class AVA: Vulnerability assessment

6.2.5.1 AVA_VAN.2 Vulnerability analysis

Dependencies:	ADV_ARC.1 Security architecture description
·	ADV_FSP.1 Basic functional specification
	ADV_TDS.1 Basic design
	AGD_OPE.1 Operational user guidance
	AGD PRE.1 Preparative procedures

Developer action elements:

AVA_VAN.2.1D The developer shall provide the TOE for testing.

Content and presentation elements:

AVA_VAN.2.1C The TOE shall be suitable for testing.

Evaluator action elements:

AVA_VAN.12.1E The evaluator shall confirm that the information provided meets all requirements for content and presentation of evidence.

AVA_VAN.2.2E	The evaluator shall perform a search of public domain sources to identify potential vulnerabilities in the TOE.
AVA_VAN.2.3E	The evaluator shall perform an independent vulnerability analysis of the TOE using the guidance documentation, functional specification, TOE design and security architecture description to identify potential vulnerabilities in the TOE.
AVA_VAN.2.4E	The evaluator shall conduct penetration testing, based on the identified potential vulnerabilities, to determine that the TOE is resistant to attacks performed by an attacker possessing Basic attack potential.

6.3 Security Requirements Rationale

6.3.1 Dependencies Satisfied

Table 6-7 shows the dependencies between the functional requirements including the extended components defined in Section 5. Dependencies that are satisfied by a hierarchical component are denoted by an (H) following the dependency reference.

Item	SFR ID	SFR Title	Dependencies	Item Reference	
1	FAU_GEN.1	Audit data generation	FPT_STM.1	Environment *	
2	FAU_SAR.1	Audit review	FAU_GEN.1	1	
3	FAU_SAR.2	Restricted audit review	FAU_SAR.1	2	
4	FAU_SAR.3	Selectable audit review	FAU_SAR.1	2	
5	FAU_SEL.1	Selective audit	FAU_GEN.1	1	
			FMT_MTD.1	12	
6	FAU_STG.2	Guarantees of data availability	FAU_GEN.1	1	
7	FAU_STG.4	Prevention of audit data loss	FAU_STG.1	6 (H)	
8	FIA_UAU_EXT.1	Timing of authentication	FIA_UID.1	10	
9	FIA_ATD.1	User attribute definition	None	None	
10	FIA_UID.1	Timing of identification	None	None	
11	FMT_MOF.1	Management of security functions	FMT_SMR.1	14	
		behavior	FMT_SMF.1	13	
12	FMT_MTD.1	Management of TSF data	FMT_SMF.1	13	
13	FMT_SMF.1	Specification of Management Functions	None	None	
14	FMT_SMR.1	Security roles	FIA_UID.1	10	
15	FPT_ITT.1	Basic internal TSF data transfer protection	None	None	
16	FTA_TAB.1	Default TOE access banners	None	None	
17	IDS_SDC_EXT.1	System Data Collection (EXT)	FPT_STM.1	Environment *	
18	IDS_ANL_EXT.1	Analyzer analysis (EXT)	IDS_SDC_EXT.1	17	
19	IDS_RCT_EXT.1	Analyzer react (EXT)	IDS_SDC_EXT.1	17	
20	IDS_RDR_EXT.1	Restricted Data Review (EXT)	IDS_SDC_EXT.1	17	
21	IDS_STG_EXT.1	Guarantee of System Data IDS_SDC_EXT.1 Availability (EXT)		17	
22	IDS_STG_EXT.2	Prevention of System data loss (EXT)	IDS_SDC_EXT.1	17	

Table 6-7: TOE Dependencies Satisfied

* Reliable time is satisfied by the external time server in the Operational Environment (OE.TIME)

6.3.2 Functional Requirements

Table 6-8 traces each SFR back to the security objectives for the TOE.

	O.PROTCT	O.IDSCAN	O.IDSENS	O.IDANLZ	O.RESPON	O.EADMIN	O.ACCESS	O.IDAUTH	O.OFLOWS	O.AUDITS	O.INTEGR	O.EXPORT	O.AUDIT_PROTECTION	O.AUDIT_SORT
FAU_GEN.1										Х				
FAU_SAR.1						Х								
FAU_SAR.2							Х	Х						
FAU_SAR.3						Х								Х
FAU_SEL.1						Х				Х				
FAU_STG.2	Х						Х	Х	Х		Х		X X	
FAU_STG.4									Х	Х			Х	
FIA_ATD.1								Х						
FIA_UAU_EXT.1							Х	Х						
FIA_UID.1							Х	Х						
FMT_MOF.1	Х						Х	Х						
FMT_MTD.1	Х						Х	Х			Х			
FMT_SMF.1	Х						Х	Х			Х			
FMT_SMR.1								Х						
FPT_ITT.1											Х	Х		
FTA_TAB.1							Х							
IDS_SDC_EXT.1		Х	Х											
IDS_ANL_EXT.1				Х										
IDS_RCT_EXT.1					Х									
IDS_RDR_EXT.1						Х	Х	Х						
IDS_STG_EXT.1	Х						Х	Х	Х		Х			
IDS_STG_EXT.2									Х					
ADV_ARC.1	Х					Х		Х		Х	Х			

Table 6-8: Requirements vs. Objectives Mapping

The following discussion provides detailed evidence of coverage for each security objective:

O.PROTCT: The TOE must protect itself from unauthorized modifications and access to its functions and data.

The TOE is required to protect the audit data from deletion as well as guarantee the availability of the audit data in the event of storage exhaustion, failure or attack [FAU_STG.2]. The TOE is required to protect the system data from any modification and unauthorized deletion, as well as guarantee the availability of the data in the event of storage exhaustion, failure or attack [IDS_STG_EXT.1]. The TOE is required to provide the ability to restrict modifying the behavior of functions of the TOE to authorized users of the TOE [FMT_MOF.1]. Only authorized administrators of the TOE may query and modify all other TSF data [FMT_SMF.1, FMT_MTD.1]. The TOE must ensure that all functions are invoked and succeed before each function may proceed [ADV_ARC.1].

The TSF must be protected from interference that would prevent it from performing its functions [ADV_ARC.1].

O.IDSCAN: The scanner must collect and store static configuration information that might be indicative of the potential for a future intrusion or the occurrence of a past intrusion of an IT System.

A TOE containing a scanner is required to collect and store static configuration information from an IT System. The type of configuration information collected must be defined in the ST [IDS_SDC_EXT.1].

O.IDSENS: The sensor must collect and store information about all events that are indicative of inappropriate activity that may have resulted from misuse, access, or malicious activity of IT System assets and the IDS.

A TOE containing a sensor is required to collect events indicative of inappropriate activity that may have resulted from misuse, access, or malicious activity by the assets of an IT System. These events must be defined in the ST [IDS_SDC_EXT.1].

O.IDANLZ: The analyzer must accept data from IDS sensors or IDS scanners and then apply analytical processes and information to derive conclusions about intrusions (past, present, or future).

The analyzer is required to perform intrusion analysis and generate conclusions [IDS_ANL_EXT.1].

O.RESPON: The TOE must respond appropriately to analytical conclusions.

The TOE is required to respond accordingly in the event an intrusion is detected [IDS_RCT_EXT.1].

O.EADMIN: The TOE must include a set of functions that allow effective management of its functions and data.

The TOE must provide the ability to review and manage the audit trail of the system [FAU_SAR.1, FAU_SAR.3, FAU_SEL.1]. The TOE must provide the ability for authorized administrators to view all system data collected and produced [IDS_RDR_EXT.1]. The TOE must ensure that all functions are invoked and succeed before each function may proceed [ADV_ARC.1]. The TSF must be protected from interference that would prevent it from performing its functions [ADV_ARC.1].

O.ACCESS: The TOE must allow authorized users to access only appropriate TOE functions and data.

The TOE is required to restrict the review of audit data to those granted with explicit read-access [FAU_SAR.2]. The TOE is required to restrict the review of system data to those granted with explicit read-access [IDS_RDR_EXT.1]. The TOE is required to protect the audit data from deletion as well as guarantee the availability of the audit data in the event of storage exhaustion, failure or attack [FAU_STG.2]. The TOE is required to protect the system data from any modification and unauthorized deletion [IDS_STG_EXT.1]. Users authorized to access the TOE are defined using an identification and authentication process [FIA_UID.1, FIA_UAU_EXT.1]. The TOE is required to provide the ability to restrict modifying the behavior of functions of the TOE to authorized users of the TOE [FMT_MOF.1]. Only authorized administrators of the

TOE may query audit data, and only authorized administrators of the TOE may query and modify all other TSF data [FMT_SMF.1, FMT_MTD.1]. The TOE is required to present a warning banner when a user attempts to login to the TOE [FTA_TAB.1].

O.IDAUTH: The TOE must be able to identify and authenticate users prior to allowing access to TOE functions and data.

The TOE is required to restrict the review of audit data to those granted with explicit read-access [FAU SAR.2]. The TOE is required to restrict the review of system data to those granted with explicit read-access [IDS_RDR_EXT.1]. The TOE is required to protect the stored audit records from unauthorized deletion [FAU STG.2]. The TOE is required to protect the system data from any modification and unauthorized deletion, as well as guarantee the availability of the data in the event of storage exhaustion, failure or attack [IDS STG EXT.1]. Security attributes of subjects used to enforce the authentication policy of the TOE must be defined [FIA ATD.1]. Users authorized to access the TOE are defined using an identification and authentication process [FIA_UID.1, FIA_UAU_EXT.1]. The TOE is required to provide the ability to restrict modifying the behavior of functions of the TOE to authorized users of the TOE [FMT MOF.1]. Only authorized administrators of the TOE may guery audit data, and only authorized administrators of the TOE may query and modify all other TSF data [FMT_SMF.1, FMT_MTD.1]. The TOE must be able to recognize the different administrative and user roles that exist for the TOE [FMT SMR.1]. The TOE must ensure that all functions are invoked and succeed before each function may proceed [ADV_ARC.1]. The TSF must be protected from interference that would prevent it from performing its functions [ADV ARC.1].

O.OFLOWS: The TOE must appropriately handle potential audit and system data storage overflows.

The TOE is required to protect the audit data from deletion as well as guarantee the availability of the audit data in the event of storage exhaustion, failure or attack [FAU_STG.2]. The TOE must prevent the loss of audit data in the event its audit trail is full [FAU_STG.4]. The TOE is required to protect the system data from any modification and unauthorized deletion, as well as guarantee the availability of the data in the event of storage exhaustion, failure or attack [IDS_STG_EXT.1]. The TOE must prevent the loss of audit trail is full [IDS_STG_EXT.2].

O.AUDITS: The TOE must record audit records for data accesses and use of the system functions.

Security-relevant events must be defined and auditable for the TOE [FAU_GEN.1]. The TOE must provide the capability to select which security-relevant events to audit [FAU.SEL.1]. The TOE must prevent the loss of collected data in the event that its audit trail is full [FAU_STG.4]. The TOE must ensure that all functions are invoked and succeed before each function may proceed [ADV_ARC.1]. The TSF must be protected from interference that would prevent it from performing its functions [ADV_ARC.1].

O.INTEGR: The TOE must ensure the integrity of all audit and system data.

The TOE is required to protect the audit data from deletion as well as guarantee the availability of the audit data in the event of storage exhaustion, failure or attack [FAU_STG.2]. The TOE is required to protect the system data from any modification

and unauthorized deletion [IDS_STG_EXT.1]. Only authorized administrators of the TOE may query audit data, and only authorized administrators of the TOE may query and modify all other TSF data [FMT_SMF.1, FMT_MTD.1]. The TOE must protect the collected data from modification and ensure its integrity when the data is transmitted to another part of the TOE [FPT_ITT.1]. The TOE must ensure that all functions to protect the data are not bypassed [ADV_ARC.1]. The TSF must be protected from interference that would prevent it from performing its functions [ADV_ARC.1].

O.EXPORT: When any IDS component makes its data available to another IDS component, the TOE will ensure the confidentiality of the system data.

The TOE must protect all data from modification and ensure its integrity when the data is transmitted to another TOE component [FPT_ITT.1].

- **O.AUDIT_PROTECTION:** The TOE must provide the capability to protect audit information. The TOE is required to protect the audit data from deletion as well as guarantee the availability of the audit data in the event of storage exhaustion, failure or attack [FAU_STG.2]. The TOE must also prevent the loss of audit data in the event that its audit trail is full [FAU_STG.4].
- **O.AUDIT _SORT**: The TOE must provide the capability to sort the audit information. The TOE is required to perform sorting of audit data based on date and time, subject identity, type of event, and success or failure of related event [FAU_SAR.3].

6.3.3 Assurance Rationale

EAL2 was chosen to provide a low to moderate level of assurance that is consistent with good commercial practices. As such, minimal additional tasks are placed upon the vendor assuming the vendor follows reasonable software engineering practices and can provide support to the evaluation for design and testing efforts. The chosen assurance level is appropriate with the threats defined for the environment. While the TOE may monitor a hostile environment, it is expected to be in a non-hostile position and embedded in or protected by other products designed to address threats that correspond with the intended environment. At EAL2, the TOE will have incurred a search for obvious flaws to support its introduction to the non-hostile environment.

7 TOE Summary Specification

7.1 IT Security Functions

Section 7 describes the specific Security Functions of the TOE that meet the criteria of the security features that are described in Section 1.4.8 Logical Scope of the TOE. The following sub-sections describe how the TOE meets each SFR listed in Section 6.

Security Functions	Sub-Functions	SFRs			
Security Audit	AU-1	FAU_GEN.1			
	Audit Generation				
	AU-2	FAU_SAR.1			
	Audit Review	FAU_SAR.2			
		FAU_SAR.3			
	AU-3	FAU_SEL.1			
	Audit Selection				
	AU-4	FAU STG.2			
	Audit Record Protection	FAU_STG.4			
User I&A	IA-1	FIA_ATD.1			
	User Security Attributes				
	IA-2	FIA_UAU_EXT.1			
	User Identification & Authentication	FIA_UID.2			
Security Management	SM-1	FMT_MOF.1			
	Management Functions	FMT_MTD.1			
		FMT_SMF.1			
	SM-2	FMT_SMR.1			
	Management Security Roles				
Protection of Security	PT-1	FTP_ITT.1			
	Internal Data Transfer Protection				
TOE Access Functions	TA-1 TOE Login Information	FTA_TAB.1			
Intrusion Detection System	ID-1	IDS_SDC_EXT.1			
	System Data Collection				
	ID-2	IDS_ANL_EXT.1			
	System Data Analysis				
	ID-3	IDS_RCT_EXT.1			
	Analyzer Alarms				
	ID-4	IDS_RDR_EXT.1			
	System Data Review				
	ID-5	IDS_STG_EXT.1			
	System Data Protection	IDS_STG_EXT.2			

Table 7-1: Security Functional Requirements Mapped to Security Functions

7.1.1 Security Audit Functions

7.1.1.1 AU-1: Audit Generation

(FAU_GEN.1)

Auditing is the recording of events within the system. The TOE records two classes of events: security events and IDS events. IDS events are dealt with separately under the System Data security functions. Security events relate to the proper functioning and use of the system, and allow a TOE administrator to track the management functions performed.

The following events are audited by the TOE:

- a) Startup and shutdown of the audit function
- b) Access to the system
- c) Access to the TOE and system data
- d) Viewing of the audit records
- e) Unsuccessful attempts to view the audit records
- f) All modification to the audit configuration that occurs during collection
- g) Actions taken due to an audit storage failure
- h) All identification and authentication attempts, including the user and location where authentication was attempted
- i) All modification to the behavior of the TSF
- j) All modifications to TSF data values
- k) Creation, deletion, and modification of user accounts

Defense Centers and 3D Sensors with IPS log read-only auditing information for user activity in the Sourcefire 3D System audit log. The audit log stores a maximum of 100,000 entries in the MySQL database of the component. Each component generates an audit event for each user interaction with the web interface. The following fields are recorded for each audit event in the audit log table:

- **Time**: The time and date that the appliance generated the audit record.
- **User**: The user name of the user that triggered the audit event.
- **Subsystem**: The menu path the user followed to generate the audit record. For example, "Operations > Monitoring > Audit" is the menu path to view the audit log.
- **Message**: The action the user performed. For example, "Page View" signifies that the user simply viewed the page indicated in the Subsystem, while "Save" means that the user clicked the Save button on the page.
- **Source IP**: The IP address of the host used by the user.

In addition to the audit log described above, the TOE records audit information in the system log (syslog) of the Defense Center and 3D Sensor with IPS components. The system log displays each message generated by the TOE for system events such as the startup and shutdown of the TOE. System log information for each component can be viewed on the System Log page of the WebUI. The following items are listed in order:

• The **date** the message was generated

- The **time** the message was generated
- The **host** that generated the message
- The message itself

AU-1: Audit Generation relies on the Operational Environment to supply reliable timestamps for the audit records. (OE.TIME) AU-1 also relies on the Operational Environment to provide secure communications between the TOE and the external Time Server. (OE.PROTECTCOMM)

7.1.1.2 AU-2: Audit Review

(FAU_SAR.1, FAU_SAR.2, FAU_SAR.3)

The management interfaces of the TOE allow only users who have the Administrator Role to view security audit data for the system.

While viewing the security audit records, the audit review interface, available from the Defense Center and 3D Sensor with IPS GUIs, provides the ability to sort the data for display based upon the following properties:

- Date and Time
- User
- Type of event (subsystem field)
- Success or Failure of the event (contained in the message field)

In addition to sorting, searches of the audit records are available to users having the Administrator Role as well.

7.1.1.3 AU-3: Audit Selection

(FAU_SEL.1)

Suppression lists can be created for audit events based on IP address, message, subsystem, and username. Any one of these four field types can be suppressed, preventing the audit event from being generated.

To set up the suppression mechanism, a TOE administrator must have access to the Defense Center's or 3D Sensor with IPS's *root* user account and must be able to access the Defense Center or 3D Sensor with IPS operating system's shell (CLI). It must be assumed that only authorized and limited personnel have access to the component's operating system and to its *root* account. The audit suppression lists are created or modified at installation or during maintenance; this functionality is not available through the WebUI.

To suppress audit records one or more files must be created in the */etc/sf* directory in the following form:

AuditBlock.type

where type is: IP address, message, subsystem, or username.

The contents for each audit block type must be in a specific format as described below:

- *IP address* Create a file named AuditBlock.address and include, one per line, each IP address that will be suppressed from the audit log.
- **message** Create a file named AuditBlock.message and include, one per line, the message substrings that will be suppressed. Substrings are matched so that if the file includes "backup", all messages that include the word "backup" are suppressed.
- subsystem Create a file named AuditBlock.subsystem and include, one per line, each subsystem that will be suppressed. Substrings are matched so that if the word "Health" is included in the file, both the "Health" and the "Health Events" subsystems are suppressed. The subsystem names and the definition of the events audited by each are listed below:
 - Admin administrative features such as system and access configuration, time synchronization, backup and restore, sensor management, user account management, and scheduling.
 - Alerting the alerting functions such as email, SNMP, and syslog alerting
 - Audit Log audit event views
 - *Audit Log Search* audit event searches
 - Configuration email alerting
 - **Coop** the continuity of operations feature.
 - **Date** the date and time range for event views.
 - Default Subsystem options that do not have assigned subsystems
 - o Detection & Prevention Policy menu options for intrusion policies
 - Error system-level errors
 - **EULA** reviewing the end user license agreement
 - *Events* intrusion event views
 - *Events Clipboard* the intrusion event clipboard
 - *Events Reviewed* reviewed intrusion events
 - Events Search any event search
 - Header the initial presentation of the user interface after a user logs in.
 - Health health monitoring
 - Health Events health monitoring event views
 - *Help* the online help
 - *High Availability* the high availability feature
 - o **IDS Impact Flag** impact flag configuration
 - IDS Policy intrusion policies
 - IDSPolicy > policy_name > Appliance > det_engine_name applying intrusion policies
 - IDSRule sid:sig_id rev:rev_num intrusion rules by SID.
 - o *Incidents* intrusion incidents
 - Insert Policy Apply Job applying policies
 - o Install installing updates
 - o Intrusion Events intrusion events
 - Login web interface login and logout functions
 - o *Menu* any menu option

- Object export > obj_type > obj_name importing objects of a specific type and name
- **Preferences** user preferences such as the time zone for a user account and individual event preferences
- **Policy** any policy, including intrusion and OPSEC policies
- o Register registering sensors on a Defense Center
- *RemoteStorageDevice* configuring remote storage devices
- *Reports* the report listing and report designer features
- *Rules* intrusion rules including the rule editor and the rule importation process.
- Status the syslog, as well as host and performance statistics
- **System** various system-wide settings
- System Policy > policy_name Appliance > appliance_name applying system policies
- Task Queue the task queue
- Users creating and modifying user accounts

Note: subsystems pertaining to features not included in the scope of the evaluation (e.g. SEU) have not been included in this list

• **Username** - Create a file named AuditBlock.user and include, one per line, each user account that will be suppressed.

7.1.1.4 AU-4: Audit Record Protection

(FAU_STG.2, FAU_STG.4)

The audit records are protected by the access control functionality of the MySQL database and the Linux-derived operating systems of the 3D Sensors with IPS and Defense Center. The only way to access the audit records is through the Defense Center and 3D Sensor with IPS GUIs. The TOE provides protection for the security audit records primarily by preventing access to the system without successful authentication. Subsequently, the TOE requires that a user must have the Administrator Role before it grants access to the audit records via the audit record management functions. Further, since the audit function starts automatically with the TOE, and cannot be disabled, all selected (see FAU_SEL.1) actions are recorded, including possible modification to the records.

As indicated below, when the available audit storage is exhausted, the TOE automatically overwrites the oldest audit events. This ensures that the availability of the most recent audit events is limited only by the size of the audit trail.

When the TOE begins to run out of storage space for the audit records, (85% of the appliance's disk capacity is allotted for record storage) or the database limit of 100,000 has been reached, the oldest audit events are pruned until the database is back within limits. This can also occur if the event database, security databases, or the log files grow and exceed the 85% limit for the /var disk partition of the appliance's OS. If the audit process runs out of disk space or the database limit is exceeded, then the oldest current log files will be automatically overwritten to prevent new actions from occurring without being tracked.

The TOE can be configured so that a warning is sent to a designated TOE administrator via email to inform them when the audit records are automatically overwritten.

Note: The administrator must perform periodic backups of the audit data (via the WebUI backup function) to prevent loss of data.

AU-4: Audit Record Protection relies on an Email Server in the environment to send warnings to the designated TOE administrator when the audit data is overwritten. (OE.ALARMS) AU-4 also relies on the Operational Environment to provide secure communications between the TOE and the external Email Server. (OE.PROTECTCOMM)

7.1.2 User I&A Functions

7.1.2.1 IA-1: User Security Attributes

(FIA_ATD.1)

User account information is stored in the TOE and contains the following attributes:

- User Name
- Authentication Data (password)
- Assigned Role(s) (authorizations).
- Use External Authentication Method If selected, the user's credentials are to be externally authenticated. If selected and the external authentication server is unavailable, the user cannot log into the Defense Center.
- Force Password Reset on Login If selected, the TOE forces the user to change his password the first time he logs in.
- **Password Strength Check** If selected, the TOE enforces strong passwords. A strong password must be at least eight alphanumeric characters of mixed case and must include at least one numeric character. It cannot be a word that appears in a dictionary or include consecutive repeating characters.
- Max Number of Failed Logins A value that determines the maximum number of times each user can try to login after a failed login attempt before her account is locked. The default setting is five tries; if set to zero, the TOE allows an unlimited number of failed logins. This attribute cannot be changed after the user account is created.
- **Password Expiration** The number of days after which the user's password will expire. The default setting is zero, which indicates that the password never expires. This attribute cannot be changed after the user account is created.

• Warning Days – The number of warning days users have to change their password before their password actually expires. The default setting is 0 days. The number of warning days must not exceed the number of days before the password expires. This attribute cannot be changed after the user account is created.

A user account can have multiple roles assigned, which allows a broader and more focused set of privileges. The user account information is stored in a TSF database that is modifiable only by an authorized user with the Administrator Role. The user account passwords stored in the database are protected by a one-way hash (MD5). After adding user accounts to the system, a user's assigned roles or password may be modified at any time.

Note: The password management attributes do not apply to users who authenticate to an external authentication server. Those settings are managed on the external server.

7.1.2.2 IA-2: User Identification & Authentication

(FIA_UAU_EXT.1, FIA_UID.1)

Each individual must be successfully identified and authenticated with a username and password by the TSF before access is allowed to the TOE.

User identification and authentication by the TSF uses the security attributes of the user account described in Section 7.1.2.1 above. When identification and authentication data is entered, the TOE attempts to identify the applicable user account from the provided identity and if a match is found, the password provided is compared against that stored with the user account information. If a user account cannot be associated with the provided identity or the provided password does not match that stored with the user account information, identification and authentication will fail. No actions are allowed, other than entry of identification and authentication data, until successful identification and authentication.

Creation of a TSF authenticated TOE user through the WebUI does not give that user an account on any of the Sourcefire 3D System appliances' LINUX-based operating systems (use of the CLI). Conversely creating a OS user account on an appliance does not create a user account in the TSF; i.e. when a shell access (CLI) user logs into an appliance, a TOE user account is not created and that user does not have access to the management functions of the WebUI.

Alternately, the TOE can be configured to use an external authentication service for user identification and authentication. Both LDAP and RADIUS servers are supported. However, the use of an external authentication server requires a TOE configuration that includes a Defense Center; a stand-alone 3D Sensor with IPS configuration cannot use external authentication.

A locally authenticated user (one who is authenticated by the TSF) is automatically converted to an externally authenticated user if:

- External authentication is enabled (the **Use External Authentication Method** attribute is set for that user account),
- The same username exists for the user on the external server, and

• The user logs in using the password stored for that user on the external server.

Important: Once a locally authenticated user is converted to an externally authenticated user, that user account cannot changed back to use local authentication.

For LDAP authentication, an LDAP authentication object must be created to provide user authentication services for the appliance. The Administrator uses the Defense Center Web GUI to:

- define settings for the connection to the LDAP server
- select the directory context
- define search criteria used to retrieve user data from the server
- optionally configure shell access authentication

The LDAP directory server can be optionally used to authenticate accounts for shell access (use of CLI) on a local appliance (3D Sensor or Defense Center). Note that an Administrator can only configure shell access for the first authentication object in the system policy. Shell users are not configured as local users (TOE users) on the appliance, even after they log in. Addition and deletion of shell access users occurs only on the LDAP server, and the filter set there determines which set of users on the LDAP server can log into the CLI of the appliance.

Similarly, for RADIUS authentication, a RADIUS authentication object must be created. The Administrator uses the Defense Center Web GUI to:

- define settings for the connection to the RADIUS server
- grant user roles to specific and default users
- define custom attributes if the RADIUS server returns custom attributes
- optionally configure shell access authentication

As with LDAP authentication, the RADIUS server can be used to authenticate accounts for shell access (use of CLI) on a local appliance (3D Sensor or Defense Center). The Administrator specifies user names for users who are granted shell access. Only the first authentication object in the system policy can be configures for shell access. With the exception of the root account, the shell access list set on the RADIUS authentication object entirely controls shell access on the appliance. Shell users are configured as local users on the appliance when the system policy is applied.

Note: Both LDAP and RADIUS servers require TCP/IP access from the local appliance to the authentication server.

IA-2: User Identification & Authentication relies on the Operational Environment to provide an external authentication service if either LDAP or RADIUS authentication is configured. (OE.XAUTH) IA-2 also relies on the Operational Environment to provide secure communications between the TOE and the authentication server. (OE.PROTECTCOMM)

7.1.3 Security Management Functions

7.1.3.1 SM-1: Management Functions

(FMT_MOF.1, FMT_MTD.1, FMT_SMF.1)

The TOE requires user authentication before any actions (other than entry of identification and authentication data) can be performed through the TOE interfaces (the WebUI of the Defense Center and the 3D Sensor with IPS). Access to TSF data and management functions are restricted by a user's assigned role(s) as specified in FMT_MTD.1 (see Section 6.1.3.2 FMT_MTD.1 Management of TSF data).

Use of the Defense Center and 3D Sensor with IPS WebUI requires a management console with a properly configured web browser as specified in Section 1.3.2 Hardware/Firmware/Software Required by the TOE.

A detailed list of the menu options of the TOE's GUIs and the user account privileges (roles) needed to access each option is provided in Chapter 33 (pages 1540 to 1547) of [USER].

A stand-alone 3D Sensor with IPS configuration has the same user account types as a configuration that uses the Defense Center. The stand-alone sensor GUI has the same functionality as the Defense Center GUI with the following exceptions:

- A sensor cannot manage other sensors, so the 'Sensors' menu option is hidden on the sensor GUI; therefore management functions such as creating a sensor group, or pushing a policy to a sensor only applies to the Defense Center GUI.
- Health monitoring is a Defense Center feature, so that option is not available on the sensor GUI.
- The sensor GUI does not support custom tables.
- External authentication of users is only applicable to a Defense Center configuration.

The management functions available only through the Defense Center WebUI are indicated in Table 6-4: Management of TSF data.

SM-1: Management Functions depends on the Operational Environment for an administrative console with a properly configured Web Browser to support the TOE's management interfaces.

7.1.3.2 SM-2: Management Security Roles

(FMT_SMR.1)

The TOE has six defined roles, each with its own set of privileges. When a new user account is created, it must be assigned a role. A user may be assigned more than one role. No access is allowed to the system until a user has been authenticated and access to TSF data and functions is controlled by the TOE's interfaces only to the data and functions allowed to the authenticated user's role.

All users of the TOE have access to TSF data and management functions, and therefore are considered administrators for the purposes of this evaluation. The terms "TOE user", "TOE administrator" and "authorized administrator" are used in this ST to refer collectively to all authorized TOE users.

The defined roles for TOE users are:

- "Administrator": this role can perform all management functions on the TOE. A user with this role can manage user accounts; view, query, delete and configure the security and IDS event logs; and manage the rules that govern the IDS.
- **"Maintenance"**: this role can view status, system time, and the reporting functionality of the product. Additionally, users with this role can perform system level maintenance related actions.
- "Intrusion Event Analyst": this role can view, query, and delete IDS event data. They can also create incidents and generate reports.
- "Intrusion Event Analyst (Read Only)": this role has read-only access to IPS analysis features, including intrusion event views, incidents, and reports. Users with the Intrusion Event Analysts (Read Only) role see only the main toolbar and IPS analysis-related options on the 'Analysis & Reporting' and 'Operations' menus.
- "Restricted Event Analyst": this role provides access to the same features as the Intrusion Event Analyst role, but is restricted to only those events that match specified search criteria (specific IP Addresses or subsets of data). They can be denied access for an entire category of events. Users with the Restricted Event Analyst Role see only the main toolbar and analysis-related options on the 'Analysis & Reporting' and 'Operations' menus.
- "Restricted Event Analyst (Read Only)": this role is the same as the Restricted Event Analyst role except that users have read-only access to the intrusion events that match the specified search criteria.
- "Policy and Response Administrator": this role can create, modify, and implement rules and intrusion policies for the IDS. This role cannot perform other functions.

Note: The Sourcefire 3D System product also maintains the role: RNA Event Analyst. However, the RNA functionality is not in the scope of the evaluation and so this role is not used in the TOE.

7.1.4 Protection of Security Functions

7.1.4.1 PT-1: Internal Data Transfer Protection

(FPT_ITT.1)

The TSF ensures that data transmitted between separate parts of the TOE are protected from disclosure or modification. This protection is ensured by transmission of data between the Defense Center and the 3D Sensor(s) with IPS over a secure, SSL-encrypted TCP tunnel. The TOE uses OpenSSL Version 0.9.8g.

There are four types of communications that can occur between TOE components:

- event transmission
- status updates
- remote copy
- remote execution

All communications between TOE components use the Management Network: a private protected network used only by the Defense Center and the 3D Sensors with IPS. All communications go through a single channel in the Linux-derived operating systems installed on the appliances, which uses the following encryption strength:

Cipher used = AES256-SHA (strength:256 bits), which is (DHE-RSA-AES256-SHA)

Note: The cryptography used in this product has not been FIPS certified nor has it been analyzed or tested to conform to cryptographic standards during this evaluation. All cryptography has only been asserted as tested by the vendor.

Note: This function is not applicable for the stand-alone sensor configuration of the TOE.

7.1.5 TOE Access Functions

7.1.5.1 TA-1 TOE Login Information

(FTA_TAB.1)

The TOE has the functionality to present warning information to a user when attempting to login.

Users with the Administrator role can create a custom login banner to display an advisory warning message regarding unauthorized use of the TOE. The banner appears when users log into an appliance (Defense Center or 3D Sensor with IPS) on the login page of WebUI.

Banners can contain any printable characters except the less-than symbol ('<') and the greater than symbol ('>'). Custom login banners are part of the system policy. The login banner can be specified either by creating a new system policy or by editing an existing policy. In either case, the new or modified login banner will not be displayed until the System Policy is applied.

There is no limit to the number of characters that can be entered in the login banner field through the WebUI, but the banner is truncated to a 64K character limit for display.

7.1.6 Intrusion Detection System Functions

7.1.6.1 ID-1: System Data Collection

(IDS_SDC_EXT.1)

The TOE has the ability to set rules to govern the collection of data regarding potential intrusions. Each 3D Sensor with IPS uses rules, decoders, and preprocessors to look for the broad range of exploits that attackers have developed. While the TOE contains default intrusion rules to detect currently known attacks and exploits, new rules can be created to detect attacks most likely to occur in a given environment. This allows the TOE administrators control over the types of traffic that will be monitored. The 3D Sensors with IPS run decoders and preprocessors against detected network traffic to normalize traffic and detect malicious packets.

The TOE collects network traffic information from the target IT System resources including:

- Date and time
- Type of event
- Subject identity (e.g., source address or addresses)
- Outcome of the event (e.g., packet met an intrusion rule and was dropped)
- The additional information specified in the Details column of Table 6-5: System Events:
 - Protocol
 - Source address
 - Destination address

ID-1: System Data Collection relies on the Operational Environment to provide reliable timestamps for the collected data records. (OE.TIME) ID-1 also relies on the Operational Environment to provide secure communications between the TOE and the external Time Server. (OE.PROTECTCOMM)

7.1.6.2 ID-2: System Data Analysis

(IDS_ANL_EXT.1)

A detection engine is the mechanism on a 3D Sensor that is responsible for analyzing the traffic on the network segment where the sensor is connected. The TOE uses the IPS type of detection engine. A detection engine has two main components:

- an interface set, which can include one or more sensing interfaces
- a detection resource, which is a portion of the sensor's computing resources

Administrators can create, edit and delete detection engines through the WebUI management functions.

Intrusion policies include a variety of components that are configured to inspect network traffic for intrusions and policy violations. These components include preprocessors; intrusion rules that inspect the protocol header values, payload content, and certain packet size

characteristics; and tools that control how often events are logged and displayed. Five default intrusion policies are delivered with the Sourcefire 3D System. Administrators and Policy and Response Administrators can create, edit, delete and apply intrusion policies.

When an intrusion policy is created, variable definitions can be included as part of the policy. Variables represent values that are commonly used within intrusion rules. The Sourcefire 3D System provides predefined variables for use within rules. When intrusion policy is applied to a detection engine, those variables are used in conjunction with the detection engine to monitor network traffic and generate events.

Besides the predefined variables, a variable with the reserved name USER_CONF can be used to configure features not otherwise available via the standard WebUI menu items (Non-Standard Features). USER_CONF can be used as an intrusion policy variable or as an IPS detection engine variable. IPS recognizes only one definition of USER_CONF for each detection engine, and that definition takes effect when an intrusion policy is applied to the detection engine.

Users must therefore be careful not to use the reserved variable USER_CONF to configure an IPS feature unless they are instructed to do so in the feature description or by Sourcefire Support. Conflicting or duplicate configurations will halt IPS. Deleting USER_CONF from any intrusion policy or detection engine deletes it from all intrusion policies and detection engines.

To analyze the network data collected, the TOE uses signatures, decoders and preprocessors. Signatures are patterns of traffic that can be used to detect attacks or exploits. Since many attacks or exploits require several network connections to work, the IDS also provides the ability to detect these more complex patterns through decoders and preprocessors that are included in the TOE. Rules are used to embody signatures, decoders and preprocessors in the TOE. The TOE is packaged with default signatures for known exploits, and the TOE administrators can add new signatures at any time.

New signatures are available from the Sourcefire support organization and from public Snort forums. The evaluated configuration of the TOE does not allow importing SEUs, which contain updated signatures and rules, since an SEU may also contain new binaries, including new versions of Snort, which will alter the TOE. However, the signature data on the Sourcefire and public Snort sites can be used by the TOE administrators to manually update and create rules and policies.

The WebUI provides a graphical rule editor that allows the creation and modification of signatures through the use of standard GUI controls (check boxes, drop down lists ...). Custom rules may be created by the Administrator or Policy and Response Administrator. Use of the WebUI to create custom rules is described in detail in Chapter 25: Understanding and Writing Intrusion Rules, of [USER].

The Administrator or Policy and Response Administrator may also import a text rule file that has been created with a text editor on a local machine. Importation of a local rule file is available through the 'Policy & Response > IPS > Rules' menu of the WebUI.

Signatures are used for stateless detections, those intrusion attempts that can be detected with individual packets. Signatures cannot be used to detect intrusions that require multiple packets, such as a Denial of Service attack. To detect these types of events, the IDS uses various decoders and preprocessors for stateful inspections, which allow these multi-packet intrusions to be detected. Decoders and preprocessors can also provide detection of malformed packets.

All signatures entered into the TOE by any means, must conform to this format:

<type field> <protocol field> <source IP> <source port> <operator> <destination IP> <destination port> (option1; option2; ...; optionN)

Header – defines the network addresses involved for the traffic to be considered for evaluation by the signature options:

- <type field> Identifies the action the system should take when a packet triggers the rule.
 - Alert Sends an alert then logs the details about the packet that triggered the event
 - Drop Drops the packet that triggered the event
 - Pass Ignores the packet that triggered the event
- <protocol field> Specifies the protocol of the packets against which the rule executes.
 - o TCP Executes against traffic using the Transmission Control Protocol
 - UDP Executes against traffic using the User Datagram Protocol
 - o ICMP Executes against traffic using the Internet Control Message Protocol
 - IP Executes against traffic using the Internet Protocol
 - <source IP> Specifies the source IP address or range of addresses.
 - Any Executes against packets from any source IP.
 - Numeric IP address Executes against packets with the specified source IP.
 - CIDR blocks Executes against packets whose source IP address falls within the specified CIDR block.
- <source port> Specifies the source port.
 - Any Executes against traffic with any source port
 - Numeric Executes against traffic with the specified source port
 - Numeric: numeric Executes against traffic with the specified range of source ports
 - I numeric Executes against traffic with any source port except the port specified after the exclamation point (!)
- <operator> Specifies the direction of the traffic to which the rule applies
 - Evaluates all traffic from the source IP to the destination IP
 - o Evaluates traffic between the source IP to the destination IP
- <destination IP> Specifies the destination IP address or range of addresses
 - Any Executes against packets with any destination IP. For example, in the following rule, the any in bold specifies any destination IP address: alert tcp any any -> any any (rest of rule)
 - Numeric IP address Executes against packets with the specified destination IP. For example, in the following rule, the numbers in bold specify a specific destination IP address: alert tcp any any -> 192.168.17.1 any (rest of rule)

- CIDR blocks Executes against packets whose destination IP address falls within the specified CIDR block. For example, in the following rule, the bracketed numbers specify a range of destination IP address: alert tcp any any -> [10.1.0.0/16,192.168.1.2/24] any (rest of rule)
- <destination port> Specifies the destination port
 - Any Executes against traffic with any destination port
 - Numeric Executes against traffic with the specified destination port
 - Numeric: numeric Executes against traffic with the specified range of destination ports
 - ! numeric Executes against traffic with any destination port except the port specified after the exclamation point (!)

Options – defines the attributes of a packet that must be inspected to determine whether the packet is a match for a specific signature. Options are defined as a keyword and a value, and are listed as keyword:value within the options field of the signature. Chapter 23: Understanding Keywords and Arguments in Rules (pages 1142 through 1206) of [USER] lists the keywords and how to use them when writing or modifying a rule.

The following decoders and preprocessors are available for the detection of stateful or malformed intrusions:

- **Checksum Verification** Verifies the size of packets being sent to the network, detecting malformed packets that may be used in various attacks.
- **Packet IP Defragmentation** Enables the TOE to rebuild packets that have been fragmented by the network prior to inspection against other decoders, preprocessors, and signatures.
- Stateful Inspection & TCP Stream Reassembly Provides stateful inspection of packets, allowing detection of intrusion attempts that span multiple packets. The Stream reassembly allows detection of sessions between clients and servers, and then the analysis of this traffic for specific patterns.

Note: The TOE provides two transport-layer data stream preprocessors, Stream 4 and Stream 5. Only one TCP stream preprocessor can be enabled at a time. The Stream 5 preprocessor has improved performance and IPS capabilities and must be enabled for the evaluated configuration of the TOE. Only the Stream 5 preprocessor was tested and included in the Common Criteria evaluation.

- **RPC Decode** Decodes RPC traffic for analysis against signatures (similar to HTTP Normalization).
- **Back Orifice Detection** Searches for packets that can show the presence of Back Orifice, or attempts to install Back Orifice onto computers on the network.
- HTTP Inspection Preprocessor (HTTP Decode and Normalization) Responsible for:
 Decoding the URI portion of HTTP requests into non-obfuscated ASCII

- Normalizing the HTTP request Headers
- Detecting and generating events against possible URI-encoding attacks
- Making the extracted and normalized data, Method, URI, Headers, and Client Request components of the HTTP request are available for additional rule processing
- Generating an event when HTTP traffic is received by ports not specified as web server ports in an intrusion policy
- **SMTP Decode and Normalization** Decodes SMTP traffic for analysis against signatures (similar to HTTP Normalization).
- **Telnet Decode and Normalization** Decodes Telnet traffic for analysis against signatures (similar to HTTP Normalization).
- **FTP Decode and Normalization** Decodes the FTP command channel for analysis against signatures (similar to HTTP Normalization).
- **DCE/RPC Inspection** Examines DCE/RPC traffic for fragmented request packets, and reassembles them so the rules engine can inspect the complete packets.
- DNS Inspection Inspects DNS name server responses for the following specific exploits:
 - Overflow attempts on RData text fields
 - Obsolete DNS resource record types
 - Experimental DNS resource record types
- SSL Inspection Analyzes the contents of the handshake and key exchange messages exchanged at the beginning of an SSL session to determine when the session becomes encrypted; makes the SSL version and state information available for additional rule processing.
- **Portscan** Determines which ports are open on the host and, either directly or by inference, which services are running on these ports; designed to help determine which portscans might be malicious by detecting patterns of activity and generating events accordingly.

When a decoder or a preprocessor identifies anomalous protocol behavior, or when a signature has been matched to a traffic pattern, the specific event is recorded in the System Data log where it can be viewed by users with either the Administrator or Intrusion Event Analyst Roles. The events are logged with the following information:

- the event type and signature
- decoder, or preprocessor match
- the time and date of the event
- the data source
- a copy of the packets used to identify the pattern

7.1.6.3 ID-3: Analyzer Alarms

(IDS_RCT_EXT.1)

When a decoder, a preprocessor or statistical analysis identifies anomalous behavior, or when signature matches are found, they can either be logged for later use or set to trigger an alarm and immediately notify a specific person of critical events via email alerts. This is part of the configuration of active signatures and intrusion policies. The TOE can also be configured to enable logging to syslog facilities or send event data to an SNMP Trap Server.

Email Alerting

Email alerts are notifications of intrusion events by email. Email alerts include the following information:

- total number of alerts in the database
- last email time (the time that the system generated the last email report)
- current time (the time that the system generated the current email report)
- total number of new alerts
- number of events that matched specified email filters (if events are configured for specific rules)
- timestamp, protocol, event message, and session information (source and destination IPs and ports with traffic direction) for each event (if Summary Output is off)
 If multiple intrusion events originate from the same source IP, a note appears beneath the event that displays the number of additional events.
- number of events per destination port
- number of events per source IP

For each rule or rule group, the TOE administrators can enable or disable email alerting on intrusion events. Email alerting can be configured for any detection engine on the appliance rather than per detection engine. The email alert settings are used regardless of the policy in place for each detection engine on the sensor.

Syslog Alerting

The TOE can send syslog alerts, which are intrusion event notifications, to the syslog on an appliance. The syslog allows authorized administrators to categorize information in the syslog by priority and facility. The priority reflects the severity of the alert and the facility indicates the subsystem that generated the alert. Facilities and priorities are not displayed in the actual message that appears in syslog, but are instead used to tell the system that receives the syslog message how to categorize it. Syslog messages are stored in flat files on the appliance. Syslog alerts contain the following information:

- date and time of alert generation
- event message
- event data
- generator ID of the triggering event
- Snort ID of the triggering event
- revision

In an intrusion policy, the authorized administrators can turn on syslog alerting and specify the syslog priority and facility associated with intrusion event notifications in the syslog. When the policy is applied to a detection engine, the detection engine then sends syslog alerts for the

intrusion events it detects to the syslog facility on the appliance or to a logging host (external Syslog Server) specified in the policy. The host receiving the alerts uses the facility and priority information that has been set when configuring syslog alerting to categorize the alerts.

SNMP Alerting

An SNMP trap is a network management notification. The authorized administrators can configure the sensor to send intrusion event notifications as SNMP traps, also known as SNMP alerts. Each SNMP alert includes:

- the name of the server generating the trap
- the IP address of the sensor that detected it
- the name of the detection engine that detected it
- the event data

Intrusion event response SNMP traps use the Message Digest 5 (MD5) authentication protocol and the Data Encryption Standard (DES) privacy protocol. In addition to enabling and disabling SNMP alerting, a variety of parameters can be set depending on the version of SNMP used. SNMP alerting requires either SNMP v2 or v3. SNMP v1 is not supported by the TOE.

If the 3D Sensor with IPS is deployed inline and traffic flows through a pair of interfaces on the sensor, the detection engine of the sensor can block possible intrusions by dropping suspicious traffic or replace harmful content in a packet if specified to do so by an intrusion policy.

ID-3: Analyzer Alarms relies on an Email Server in the environment to send Email Alarms to a designated TOE administrator. Analyzer Alarms may also use an external Syslog Server and/or SNMP Trap Server to send alarms. (OE.ALARMS) ID-3 also relies on the Operational Environment to provide secure communications between the TOE and the external servers. (OE.PROTECTCOMM)

7.1.6.4 ID-4: System Data Review

(IDS_RDR_EXT.1)

Only successfully authenticated users can access the TOE, and then only users with either the Administrator or Intrusion Event Analyst Roles can view the IDS events collected and analyzed. The data gathered is interpreted into a readable format for the authorized administrators and can then be viewed through the web-based management interfaces.

The Defense Center WebUI allows the authorized administrators to view and interpret the aggregation of the collected and analyzed data from multiple 3D Sensors with IPS.

7.1.6.5 ID-5: System Data Protection

(IDS_STG_EXT.1, IDS_STG_EXT.2)

The TOE protects the gathered system (event) data logs from unauthorized modification or deletion by presenting only the web-based interface to all users. No users are allowed to edit

the logs; they are marked for read-only access, preventing user modification. Only users with the Administrator, Restricted Event Analyst, or Intrusion Event Analyst Roles can delete the logs.

To prevent loss of new/current event data, there are three mechanisms to limit event data loss: event database limit, audit record limit (refer to FAU_STG.4 "Prevention of Audit Data Loss" for more detail), and disk capacity. A user with the Administrator Role can set a size limit for the number of events stored in the appliance database. The TSF overwrites the oldest events when it reaches the defined database limit or appliance size limit for the event records. This limits the number of events that can be stored in the database and allows for new event insertions. The database limits for intrusion events are 2 million for the 3D Sensors with IPS, and from 2.5 million to 100 million for the Defense Center depending on the model of the appliance. When the disk space of the appliance reaches 85% of its capacity, the TSF will delete as few log files as possible to keep the space below 85% capacity. These mechanisms maintain the system disk space in order to handle the case when a flood of data comes in before overwriting can occur and always ensures that more than one event can always be added in the log.

The TOE can be configured so users assigned to the Administrator Role will receive an email warning when the event data is automatically overwritten.

Note: The administrator must perform periodic backups of the event data (via the WebUI backup function) to prevent loss of data.

ID-5: System Data Protection relies on an Email Server in the environment to send warnings to the designated TOE administrator when the system data is overwritten. (OE.ALARMS) ID-5 also relies on the Operational Environment to provide secure communications between the TOE and the external Email Server. (OE.PROTECTCOMM)

7.2 TOE Protection against Interference and Logical Tampering

The TOE consists of both hardware (the 3D Sensor with IPS and Defense Center appliances) and software (the Sourcefire 3D System applications, the MySQL database, the Linux-derived operating system and the supporting 3rd party applications installed on the appliances).

The TOE offers only well defined services at its network interfaces that are specifically designed to provide only the services that are necessary to enforce the TSP and not to offer additional services that might be used to interfere with the operation of the TOE.

The TOE protects the security functions it provides through a variety of mechanisms. One of the primary protections is that TOE users must authenticate before any administrative operations can be performed on the system, including creating new rules or viewing the IDS data. Access to the TOE is also protected by the access control functions of the database and operating system installed on the appliance.

The IDS collection portion of the TOE is protected on the monitored network by "hiding" the fact it is there. This is done primarily by using a non-TCP/IP network stack on the TOE, which

prevents it from being accessed as a network device on the network. In addition, the rule set is protected doubly as the system is configured to not accept any management requests or input from the monitored network.

TSF data stored in the database is protected by the security mechanisms of the MySQL database. Data files and configuration files are protected by the security mechanisms of the Linux-derived operating systems on the appliances. Communications between the 3D Sensors with IPS and the Defense Center are on a protected network separate from the monitored network. All data transmitted between TOE components is protected from disclosure and modification by the encryption mechanisms (OpenSSL) included in the TOE.

The TOE protects the ability to continue recording data by periodically clearing the stored logs, starting with the oldest records first. This assures there is always adequate disk space to record current and new data that has been found to match the current rule set.

7.3 TOE Protection against Bypass of Security Functions

The TSF requires that all users successfully authenticate before any TSF functions (other than entering identification and authentication data) can be performed. Once a user is identified and authenticated, they are associated with a role that determines which function interfaces the TOE will offer to the user. Each interface is defined to offer specific capabilities, all controlled by the TSF. The TSS does not offer general programming capabilities that might offer the opportunity to attempt to bypass the TSP.

Additionally, the TSF does not accept any commands from or offer any functions to the networks that are monitored by the TOE. This ensures that network entities cannot cause the TOE not to apply its TSPs to applicable network traffic.

To ensure that network traffic does not bypass the IPS functionality of the 3D Sensors with IPS, the customer must choose the appropriate sensor model for their network and administrators must follow the guidelines in the user guidance for optimal deployment of the sensors.

The customer must choose a 3D Sensor model that matches or exceeds the traffic bandwidth of the network segment it monitors. In addition, depending on the criticality of the hosts on the network segment, the sensor should be deployed with an optional fail-open network card. The fail-open card ensures that traffic continues to pass through the interfaces even if the appliance itself fails or loses power (although a few packets may be lost when the appliance is rebooted).

The Sourcefire 3D System administrator should read [SENS-INSTALL] and choose the optimal sensor deployment configuration (outside the firewall, in the DMZ, or on the internal network) and sensor connection to the network (using a hub, using a span port, or using a network tap) for their network architecture and security needs.

The administrator should also understand the information in Chapter 6: Using Detection Engines and Interface Sets, of [USER] in order to properly configure and manage the

detection engines and interface sets of the sensors. In some circumstances, editing an interface set or detection engine can cause the detection engines on the sensor to restart, which can cause a short pause in processing. For most 3D Sensors with inline interface sets, a software bridge is automatically set up to transport packets when the sensor restarts. Although some packets are transmitted without inspection during this time, no packets are lost.

Note: For any TOE configuration, there cannot be a 100% certainty that all network packets will be analyzed by the IPS functionality of the TOE. The customer must make an informed choice of equipment and configuration that best suits their particular network configuration, traffic volume and security needs.