# Vertiv CYBEX™ SCKM140PP4 KM Switch Firmware Version 40404-0E7

Security Target

Doc No: 2149-001-D102C4A Version: 1.18 13 January 2022



*Vertiv IT Systems 1050 Dearborn Dr, Columbus, OH 43085* 

### **Prepared by:**

*EWA-Canada, An Intertek Company 1223 Michael Street North, Suite 200 Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K1J 7T2* 



# CONTENTS

1	SECURITY TARGET INTRODUCTION1
1.1	DOCUMENT ORGANIZATION1
1.2	SECURITY TARGET REFERENCE1
1.3	TOE REFERENCE
1.4	TOE OVERVIEW
	1.4.1 TOE Environment
1.5	TOE DESCRIPTION
	1.5.1 Physical Scope5
	1.5.2 Logical Scope5
2	CONFORMANCE CLAIMS7
2.1	COMMON CRITERIA CONFORMANCE CLAIM
2.2	PROTECTION PROFILE CONFORMANCE CLAIM
2.3	PACKAGE CLAIM
2.4	MODULE CLAIM
2.5	CONFORMANCE RATIONALE
3	SECURITY PROBLEM DEFINITION
3.1	THREATS
3.2	ORGANIZATIONAL SECURITY POLICIES
3.3	ASSUMPTIONS
4	SECURITY OBJECTIVES
4.1	SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE TOE
4.2	SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE OPERATIONAL ENVIRONMENT
4.3	SECURITY OBJECTIVES RATIONALE
5	EXTENDED COMPONENTS DEFINITION
5.1	CLASS FDP: USER DATA PROTECTION
	5.1.1 FDP_APC_EXT Active PSD Connections19
	5.1.2 FDP_FIL_EXT Device Filtering
	5.1.3 FDP_PDC_EXT Peripheral Device Connection21
	5.1.4 FDP_RDR_EXT Re-Enumeration Device Rejection23
	5.1.5 FDP_RIP_EXT Residual Information Protection24
	5.1.6 FDP_SWI_EXT PSD Switching

5.2		FDP_UDF_EXT Unidirectional Data Flow S FPT: PROTECTION OF THE TSF	
J.Z	5.2.1	FPT_FLS_EXT Failure with Preservation of Secure State	
	5.2.2		
	5.2.2	FPT_TST_EXT TSF Testing	
5.3		S FTA: TOE ACCESS	
5.5	5.3.1		
6	SECUI	RITY REQUIREMENTS	
6.1	CONVE	ENTIONS	30
6.2	SECUR	RITY FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS	30
		User Data Protection (FDP)	
	6.2.2	Protection of the TSF (FPT)	
	6.2.3	TOE Access (FTA)	
6.3		RITY ASSURANCE REQUIREMENTS	
6.4		RITY REQUIREMENTS RATIONALE	
	6.4.1		
	6.4.2	Dependency Rationale	
	6.4.3	Security Assurance Requirements Rationale	
7	TOE S	SUMMARY SPECIFICATION	
7.1	USER I	DATA PROTECTION	38
	7.1.1	System Controller	
	7.1.2	Keyboard and Mouse Functionality	
7.2	PROTE	ECTION OF THE TSF	40
	7.2.1	No Access to TOE	40
	7.2.2	Anti-tampering Functionality	40
	7.2.3	TSF Testing	41
7.3	TOE A	CCESS	42
8	TERM	INOLOGY AND ACRONYMS	43
8.1	TERMI	INOLOGY	43
8.2	ACRON	NYMS	43
9	REFER	RENCES	45
	EX A – L	ETTER OF VOLATILITY	A-1

# **LIST OF TABLES**

Table 1 – Non-TOE Hardware and Software	3
Table 2 – TOE Peripheral Sharing Devices and Features	5
Table 3 – Logical Scope of the TOE	6
Table 4 – Applicable Technical Decisions	7
Table 5 – Threats	10
Table 6 – Assumptions	10
Table 7 – Security Objectives for the TOE	14
Table 8 – Security Objectives for the Operational Environment	15
Table 9 – Security Objectives Rationale	18
Table 10 – Functional Families of Extended Components	19
Table 11 – Summary of Security Functional Requirements	32
Table 12 – Security Assurance Requirements	36
Table 13 – Functional Requirement Dependencies	37
Table 14 – Terminology	43
Table 15 – Acronyms	44
Table 16 – References	45

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 – Simplified Filter Diagram for a KM Switch	3
Figure 2 – KM Switch Evaluated Configuration	4

# **1 SECURITY TARGET INTRODUCTION**

This Security Target (ST) defines the scope of the evaluation in terms of the assumptions made, the intended environment for the Target of Evaluation (TOE), the Information Technology (IT) security functional and assurance requirements to be met, and the level of confidence (evaluation assurance level) to which it is asserted that the TOE satisfies its IT security requirements. This document forms the baseline for the Common Criteria (CC) evaluation.

# **1.1 DOCUMENT ORGANIZATION**

**Section 1, ST Introduction**, provides the Security Target reference, the Target of Evaluation reference, the TOE overview and the TOE description.

**Section 2, Conformance Claims**, describes how the ST conforms to the Common Criteria, Protection Profile (PP) and PP Modules.

**Section 3, Security Problem Definition**, describes the expected environment in which the TOE is to be used. This section defines the set of threats that are relevant to the secure operation of the TOE, organizational security policies with which the TOE must comply, and secure usage assumptions applicable to this analysis.

**Section 4, Security Objectives,** defines the set of security objectives to be satisfied by the TOE and by the TOE operating environment in response to the problem defined by the security problem definition.

**Section 5, Extended Components Definition**, defines the extended components which are then detailed in Section 6.

**Section 6, Security Requirements**, specifies the security functional and assurance requirements that must be satisfied by the TOE and the IT environment.

**Section 7, TOE Summary Specification**, describes the security functions that are included in the TOE to enable it to meet the IT security functional requirements.

**Section 8, Terminology and Acronyms**, defines the acronyms and terminology used in this ST.

Section 9, References, provides a list of documents referenced in this ST.

# **1.2 SECURITY TARGET REFERENCE**

ST Title:	Vertiv CYBEX™ SCKM140PP4 KM Switch Firmware Version 40404-0E7 Security Target
ST Version:	1.18
ST Date:	13 January 2022

# **1.3 TOE REFERENCE**

TOE Identification:	Vertiv CYBEX™ SCKM140PP4 KM Switch Firmware Version 40404-0E7	
<b>TOE Developer:</b>	Vertiv IT Systems	
ТОЕ Туре:	Peripheral Sharing Device (Other Devices and Systems)	

# **1.4 TOE OVERVIEW**

The SCKM140PP4 Keyboard, Mouse (KM) switch allows users to share keyboard and mouse peripherals amongst four connected computers.

The following security features are provided by the Vertiv Secure KM Switch:

- Keyboard and Mouse Security
  - The keyboard and mouse are isolated by dedicated, USB device emulation for each computer
  - One-way, peripheral-to-computer data flow is enforced through unidirectional optical data diodes
  - Communication from computer-to-keyboard/mouse is blocked
  - Non HID (Human Interface Device) data transactions are blocked
- Hardware Anti-Tampering
  - Any attempt to open the product enclosure will activate an antitampering system, making the product inoperable and indicating tampering via blinking Light Emitting Diodes (LEDs)
  - Special holographic tampering evident labels on the product's enclosure provide a clear visual indication if the product has been opened or compromised

Vertiv secure peripheral sharing devices use isolated microcontrollers to emulate connected peripherals in order to prevent keyboard signaling, and power signaling attacks.

Figure 1 is a simplified block diagram showing the TOE keyboard and mouse data path for the KM Switch (showing two of the four ports). A Host Emulator (HE) communicates with the user keyboard via the USB protocol. The Host Emulator converts user keystrokes into unidirectional serial data. An isolated Device Emulator (DE) is connected to the data diode on one side and to the computer on the other side. Each key stroke is converted by the DE into a bi-directional stream to communicate with the computer.




Figure 1 – Simplified Filter Diagram for a KM Switch


The TOE is a combined software and hardware TOE.

### **1.4.1 TOE Environment**

The following components are required for operation of the TOE in the evaluated configuration.

Component	Description
Connected Computer	1 – 4 General purpose computers
Keyboard	General purpose USB keyboard
Mouse	General purpose USB mouse
Vertiv Keyboard, Mouse (KM) Cable	USB Type-A to USB Type-B (keyboard and mouse)

#### Table 1 – Non-TOE Hardware and Software



#### Figure 2 – KM Switch Evaluated Configuration

Figure 2 shows a basic evaluated configuration for the SCKM140PP4 KM.

The TOE is used with a remote control. There are no active circuits in the remote control. It is used to pass information to the panel buttons.

# **1.5.1** Physical Scope

The TOE device provides the following features.

Product Description	Part Number	Model	Tamper Evident labels	Active Anti- Tampering	Number of supported connected computers	Keyboard and Mouse
Cybex KM Switch	CGA19228	SCKM140PP4	Yes	Yes	4	Yes
Remote control	CGA26687	SCAFP0004	Yes	Yes	N/A	N/A

#### Table 2 – TOE Peripheral Sharing Devices and Features

#### **1.5.1.1 TOE Delivery**

The TOE, together with its corresponding cables are delivered to the customer via a trusted carrier, such as Fed-Ex, that provides a tracking service for all shipments.

#### **1.5.1.2 TOE Guidance**

The TOE includes the following guidance documentation:

• CYBEX<sup>™</sup> SC Series Secure Switches SC800/900DPH, SC800/900DVI, and SCKM100PP4 Quick Installation Guide, 590-2282-501B

Guidance may be downloaded from the Vertiv website (<u>www.vertiv.com</u>) in .pdf format.

The following guidance is available upon request by emailing support.avocent@vertiv.com:

• Vertiv CYBEX<sup>™</sup> SCKM140PP4 KM Switch Firmware Version 40404-0E7 Common Criteria Guidance Supplement, Version 1.8

### **1.5.2 Logical Scope**

The logical boundary of the TOE includes all interfaces and functions within the physical boundary. The logical boundary of the TOE may be broken down by the security function classes described in Section 6. Table 3 summarizes the logical scope of the TOE.

Functional Classes	Description
User Data Protection	The TOE enforces unidirectional data flow for keyboard and mouse. The TOE ensures that only authorized peripheral devices may be used. The TOE provides secure switching capabilities for keyboard and mouse.

Functional Classes	Description
Protection of the TSF <sup>1</sup>	The TOE ensures a secure state in the case of failure, provides only restricted access, and performs self-testing. The TOE provides both passive detection of physical attack, and active resistance to attack.
TOE Access	The TOE provides a continuous indication of which computer is currently selected.

Table 3 – Logical Scope of the TOE

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> TOE Security Functionality

# **2 CONFORMANCE CLAIMS**

# 2.1 COMMON CRITERIA CONFORMANCE CLAIM

This Security Target claims to be conformant to Version 3.1 of Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation according to:

- Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Part 1: Introduction and General Model; CCMB-2017-04-001, Version 3.1, Revision 5, April 2017
- Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Part 2: Security Functional Components; CCMB-2017-04-002, Version 3.1, Revision 5, April 2017
- Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Part 3: Security Assurance Components CCMB-2017-04-003, Version 3.1, Revision 5, April 2017

As follows:

- CC Part 2 extended
- CC Part 3 conformant

The Common Methodology for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Version 3.1, Revision 5, April 2017 has been taken into account.

# 2.2 PROTECTION PROFILE CONFORMANCE CLAIM

This ST claims exact conformance with the National Information Assurance Partnership (NIAP) PP-Configuration for Peripheral Sharing Device and Keyboard/Mouse Devices [CFG\_PSD-KM\_V1.0], which references the Protection Profile for Peripheral Sharing Device Version 4.0 [PP\_PSD\_V4.0], and the module listed in Section 2.4. The Technical Decisions in Table 4 apply to the PP and the modules and have been accounted for in the ST and in the evaluation.

<b>Technical Decision</b>	PP or Module
TD0507	[MOD_KM_V1.0]
TD0518	[PP_PSD_V4.0]
TD0583	[PP_PSD_V4.0]
TD0593	[MOD_KM_V1.0]

#### Table 4 – Applicable Technical Decisions

# **2.3 PACKAGE CLAIM**

This Security Target does not claim conformance with any package.

# 2.4 MODULE CLAIM

The following PP-Module is specified in a PP-Configuration with this PP:

• PP-Module for Keyboard/Mouse Devices, Version 1.0

# 2.5 CONFORMANCE RATIONALE

The TOE SCKM140PP4 KM Switch is inherently consistent with the Compliant Targets of Evaluation described in the [PP\_PSD\_V4.0] and in the [MOD\_KM\_V1.0], and with the PP-Configuration for Peripheral Sharing Device and Keyboard/Mouse Devices [CFG\_PSD-KM\_V1.0].

The security problem definition, statement of security objectives and statement of security requirements in this ST conform exactly to the security problem definition, statement of security objectives and statement of security requirements contained in [PP\_PSD\_V4.0] and the module listed in Section 2.4.

# **3 SECURITY PROBLEM DEFINITION**

# 3.1 THREATS

Table 5 lists the threats described in Section 3.1 of the [PP\_PSD\_V4.0]. Mitigation to the threats is through the objectives identified in Section 4.1, Security Objectives for the TOE.

Threat	Description
T.DATA_LEAK	A connection via the PSD <sup>2</sup> between one or more computers may allow unauthorized data flow through the PSD or its connected peripherals.
T.SIGNAL_LEAK	A connection via the PSD between one or more computers may allow unauthorized data flow through bit-by-bit signaling.
T.RESIDUAL_LEAK	A PSD may leak (partial, residual, or echo) user data between the intended connected computer and another unintended connected computer.
T.UNINTENDED_USE	A PSD may connect the user to a computer other than the one to which the user intended to connect.
T.UNAUTHORIZED_DEVICES	The use of an unauthorized peripheral device with a specific PSD peripheral port may allow unauthorized data flows between connected devices or enable an attack on the PSD or its connected computers.
T.LOGICAL_TAMPER	An attached device (computer or peripheral) with malware, or otherwise under the control of a malicious user, could modify or overwrite code or data stored in the PSD's volatile or non-volatile memory to allow unauthorized information flows.
T.PHYSICAL_TAMPER	A malicious user or human agent could physically modify the PSD to allow unauthorized information flows.
T.REPLACEMENT	A malicious human agent could replace the PSD during shipping, storage, or use with an alternate device that does not enforce the PSD security policies.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Peripheral Sharing Device

Threat	Description	
T.FAILED	Detectable failure of a PSD may cause an unauthorized information flow or weakening of PSD security functions.	

Table 5 – Threats

# **3.2 ORGANIZATIONAL SECURITY POLICIES**

There are no Organizational Security Policies applicable to this TOE.

# **3.3 ASSUMPTIONS**

The assumptions required to ensure the security of the TOE are listed in Table 6.

Assumptions	Description
A.NO_TEMPEST	Computers and peripheral devices connected to the PSD are not TEMPEST approved.
	The TSF may or may not isolate the ground of the keyboard and mouse computer interfaces (the USB ground). The Operational Environment is assumed not to support TEMPEST red-black ground isolation.
A.PHYSICAL	The environment provides physical security commensurate with the value of the TOE and the data it processes and contains.
A.NO_WIRELESS_DEVICES	The environment includes no wireless peripheral devices.
A.TRUSTED_ADMIN	PSD Administrators and users are trusted to follow and apply all guidance in a trusted manner.
A.TRUSTED_CONFIG	Personnel configuring the PSD and its operational environment follow the applicable security configuration guidance.
A.USER_ALLOWED_ACCESS	All PSD users are allowed to interact with all connected computers. It is not the role of the PSD to prevent or otherwise control user access to connected computers. Computers or their connected network shall have the required means to authenticate the user and to control access to their various resources.

Table 6 – Assumptions

# **4 SECURITY OBJECTIVES**

The purpose of the security objectives is to address the security concerns and to show which security concerns are addressed by the TOE, and which are addressed by the environment. Threats may be addressed by the TOE or the security environment or both. Therefore, the CC identifies two categories of security objectives:

- Security objectives for the TOE
- Security objectives for the environment

# 4.1 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE TOE

This section identifies and describes the security objectives that are to be addressed by the TOE, and traces each Security Functional Requirement (SFR) back to a security objective of the TOE.

Security Objective	Description		
O.COMPUTER _INTERFACE _ISOLATION	The PSD shall prevent unauthorized data flow to ensure that the PSD and its connected peripheral devices cannot be exploited in an attempt to leak data. The TOE-Computer interface shall be isolated from all other PSD-Computer interfaces while TOE is powered. Addressed by:		
	MOD_KM	FDP_APC_EXT.1/KM, FDP_FIL_EXT.1/KM, FDP_PDC_EXT.1, FDP_RDR_EXT.1, FDP_SWI_EXT.3	
O.COMPUTER _INTERFACE _ISOLATION _TOE_UNPOWERED	The PSD shall not allow data to transit a PSD-Computer interface while the PSD is unpowered. Addressed by:		
	MOD_KM	FDP_APC_EXT.1/KM, FDP_FIL_EXT.1/KM, FDP_PDC_EXT.1, FDP_RDR_EXT.1, FDP_SWI_EXT.3	
O.USER_DATA _ISOLATION	The PSD shall route user data, such as keyboard entries, only to the computer selected by the user. The PSD shall provide isolation between the data flowing from the peripheral device to the selected computer and any non-selected computer. Addressed by:		
	MOD_KM	FDP_APC_EXT.1/KM, FDP_FIL_EXT.1/KM, FDP_PDC_EXT.1, FDP_RDR_EXT.1, FDP_SWI_EXT.3	

Security Objective	Description		
O.NO_USER _DATA_RETENTION	The PSD shall not retain user data in non-volatile memory after power up or, if supported, factory reset. Addressed by:		
	PP_PSD FDP_RIP_EXT.1		
	MOD_KM	FDP_RIP.1/KM	
O.NO_OTHER _EXTERNAL _INTERFACES	The PSD shall not have any external interfaces other than those implemented by the TSF. Addressed by:		
	PP_PSD	FDP_PDC_EXT.1	
O.LEAK _PREVENTION _SWITCHING	The PSD shall ensure that there are no switching mechanisms that allow signal data leakage between connected computers. Addressed by:		
	PP_PSD	FDP_SWI_EXT.1, FDP_SWI_EXT.2	
O.AUTHORIZED _USAGE	The TOE shall explicitly prohibit or ignore unauthorized switching mechanisms, either because it supports only one connected computer or because it allows only authorized mechanisms to switch between connected computers. Authorized switching mechanisms shall require express user action restricted to console buttons, console switches, console touch screen, wired remote control, and peripheral devices using a guard. Unauthorized switching mechanisms include keyboard shortcuts, also known as "hotkeys," automatic port scanning, control through a connected computer, and control through keyboard shortcuts. Where applicable, the results of the switching activity shall be indicated by the TSF so that it is clear to the user that the switching mechanism was engaged as intended. A conformant TOE may also provide a management function to configure some aspects of the TSF. If the TOE provides this functionality, it shall ensure that whatever management functions it provides can only be performed by authorized administrators and that an audit trail of management activities is generated.		
	Addressed b	by:	
	PP_PSD	FDP_SWI_EXT.1, FDP_SWI_EXT.2, FTA_CIN_EXT.1	
	MOD_KM FDP_FIL_EXT.1/KM		

Security Objective	Description		
O.PERIPHERAL _PORTS_ISOLATION	The PSD shall ensure that data does not flow between peripheral devices connected to different PSD interfaces. Addressed by:		
	MOD_KM	FDP_APC_EXT.1/KM, FDP_FIL_EXT.1/KM, FDP_PDC_EXT.1, FDP_RDR_EXT.1, FDP_SWI_EXT.3	
O.REJECT _UNAUTHORIZED _PERIPHERAL	The PSD shall reject unauthorized peripheral device types and protocols. Addressed by:		
	PP_PSD	FDP_PDC_EXT.1	
	MOD_KM	FDP_APC_EXT.1/KM, FDP_FIL_EXT.1/KM, FDP_PDC_EXT.1, FDP_RDR_EXT.1, FDP_PDC_EXT.2/KM, FDP_PDC_EXT.3/KM, FDP_SWI_EXT.3	
O.REJECT _UNAUTHORIZED _ENDPOINTS	The PSD shall reject unauthorized peripheral devices connected via a Universal Serial Bus (USB) hub. Addressed by:		
	PP_PSD	FDP_PDC_EXT.1	
	MOD_KM	FDP_APC_EXT.1/KM, FDP_FIL_EXT.1/KM, FDP_PDC_EXT.1, FDP_RDR_EXT.1, FDP_SWI_EXT.3	
O.NO_TOE_ACCESS	The PSD firmware, software, and memory shall not be accessible via its external ports. Addressed by:		
	PP_PSD	FPT_NTA_EXT.1	
O.TAMPER _EVIDENT _LABEL	The PSD shall be identifiable as authentic by the user and the user must be made aware of any procedures or other such information to accomplish authentication. This feature must be available upon receipt of the PSD and continue to be available during the PSD deployment. The PSD shall be labeled with at least one visible unique identifying tamper- evident marking that can be used to authenticate the device. The PSD manufacturer must maintain a complete list of manufactured PSD articles and their respective identification markings' unique identifiers. Addressed by:		

Security Objective	Description		
	PP_PSD	FPT_PHP.1	
O.ANTI_TAMPERING	The PSD shall be physically enclosed so that any attempts to open or otherwise access the internals or modify the connections of the PSD would be evident, and optionally thwarted through disablement of the TOE. Note: This applies to a wired remote control as well as the main chassis of the PSD. Addressed by:		
	PP_PSD	FPT_PHP.1, FPT_PHP.3	
O.SELF_TEST	The PSD shall perform self-tests following power up or powered reset. Addressed by:		
	PP_PSD	FPT_TST.1	
O.SELF_TEST _FAIL_TOE _DISABLE	The PSD shall enter a secure state upon detection of a critical failure. Addressed by:		
	PP_PSD FPT_FLS_EXT.1, FPT_TST_EXT.1		
O.SELF_TEST _FAIL_INDICATION	The PSD shall provide clear and visible user indications in the case of a self-test failure. Addressed by:		
	PP_PSD FPT_TST_EXT.1		
O.EMULATED_INPUT	The TOE shall emulate the keyboard and/or mouse functions from the TOE to the connected computer.         Addressed by:         MOD_KM       FDP_PDC_EXT.2/KM, FDP_PDC_EXT.3/KM		
O.UNIDIRECTIONAL _INPUT	The TOE shall enforce unidirectional keyboard and/or mouse device's data flow from the peripheral device to only the selected computer.		
	Addressed by:		
	MOD_KM FDP_UDF_EXT.1/KM		

# 4.2 SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR THE OPERATIONAL ENVIRONMENT

This section identifies and describes the security objectives that are to be addressed by the IT environment or by non-technical or procedural means.

Security Objective	Description	
OE.NO_TEMPEST	The operational environment will not use TEMPEST approved equipment.	
OE.PHYSICAL	The operational environment will provide physical security, commensurate with the value of the PSD and the data that transits it.	
OE.NO_WIRELESS_DEVICES	The operational environment will not include wireless keyboards, mice, audio, user authentication, or video devices.	
OE.TRUSTED_ADMIN	The operational environment will ensure that trusted PSD Administrators and users are appropriately trained.	
OE.TRUSTED_CONFIG	The operational environment will ensure that administrators configuring the PSD and its operational environment follow the applicable security configuration guidance.	

Table 8 – Security Objectives for the Operational Environment

# 4.3 SECURITY OBJECTIVES RATIONALE

The security objectives rationale describes how the assumptions and threats map to the security objectives.

Threat or Assumption	Security Objective(s)	Rationale
T.DATA_LEAK	O.COMPUTER _INTERFACE _ISOLATION	Isolation of computer interfaces prevents data from leaking between them without authorization.
	O.COMPUTER _INTERFACE _ISOLATION _TOE_UNPOWERED	Maintaining interface isolation while the TOE is in an unpowered state ensures that data cannot leak between computer interfaces.
	O.USER_DATA _ISOLATION	The TOE's routing of data only to the selected computer ensures that it will not leak to any others.

Threat or Assumption	Security Objective(s)	Rationale
	O.NO_OTHER _EXTERNAL _INTERFACES	The absence of additional external interfaces ensures that there is no unexpected method by which data can be leaked.
	O.UNIDIRECTIONAL _INPUT	The TOE's enforcement of unidirectional input for keyboard/mouse data prevents leakage of computer data through a connected peripheral interface.
	O.PERIPHERAL_PORTS _ISOLATION	Isolation of peripheral ports prevents data from leaking between them without authorization.
T.SIGNAL_LEAK	O.COMPUTER _INTERFACE _ISOLATION	Isolation of computer interfaces prevents data leakage through bit- wise signaling because there is no mechanism by which the signal data can be communicated.
	O.NO_OTHER _EXTERNAL _INTERFACES	The absence of additional external interfaces ensures that there is no unexpected method by which data can be leaked through bitwise signaling.
	O.LEAK_PREVENTION _SWITCHING	The TOE's use of switching methods that are not susceptible to signal leakage helps mitigate the signal leak threat.
	O.UNIDIRECTIONAL _INPUT	The TOE's enforcement of unidirectional input for keyboard/mouse data prevents leakage of computer data through bit- by-bit signaling to a connected peripheral interface.
T.RESIDUAL _LEAK	O.NO_USER_DATA _RETENTION	The TOE's lack of data retention ensures that a residual data leak is not possible.
T.UNINTENDED _USE	O.AUTHORIZED _USAGE	The TOE's support for only switching mechanisms that require explicit user action to engage ensures that a user has sufficient information to avoid interacting with an unintended computer.

Threat or Assumption	Security Objective(s)	Rationale
T.UNAUTHORIZED _DEVICES	O.REJECT _UNAUTHORIZED _ENDPOINTS	The TOE's ability to reject unauthorized endpoints mitigates the threat of unauthorized devices being used to communicate with connected computers.
	O.REJECT _UNAUTHORIZED _PERIPHERAL	The TOE's ability to reject unauthorized peripherals mitigates the threat of unauthorized devices being used to communicate with connected computers.
	O.EMULATED_INPUT	The TOE's emulation of keyboard/mouse data input ensures that a connected computer will only receive this specific type of data through a connected peripheral.
T.LOGICAL _TAMPER	O.NO_TOE_ACCESS	The TOE's prevention of logical access to its firmware, software, and memory mitigates the threat of logical tampering.
	O.EMULATED_INPUT	The TOE's emulation of keyboard/mouse data input prevents logical tampering of the TSF ensuring that only known inputs to it are supported.
T.PHYSICAL _TAMPER	O.ANTI_TAMPERING	The TOE mitigates the threat of physical tampering through use of an enclosure that provides tamper detection functionality.
	O.TAMPER_EVIDENT _LABEL	The TOE mitigates the threat of physical tampering through use of tamper evident labels that reveal physical tampering attempts.
T.REPLACEMENT	O.TAMPER_EVIDENT _LABEL	The TOE's use of a tamper evident label that provides authenticity of the device mitigates the threat that it is substituted for a replacement device during the acquisition process.
T.FAILED	O.SELF_TEST	The TOE mitigates the threat of failures leading to compromise of security functions through self-tests of its own functionality.

Threat or Assumption	Security Objective(s)	Rationale
	O.SELF_TEST_FAIL _TOE_DISABLE	The TOE mitigates the threat of failures leading to compromise of security functions by disabling all data flows in the event a failure is detected.
	O.SELF_TEST_FAIL _INDICATION	The TOE mitigates the threat of failures leading to compromise of security functions by providing users with a clear indication when it is in a failure state and should not be trusted.
A.NO_TEMPEST	OE.NO_TEMPEST	If the TOE's operational environment does not include TEMPEST approved equipment, then the assumption is satisfied.
A.NO_PHYSICAL	OE.PHYSICAL	If the TOE's operational environment provides physical security, then the assumption is satisfied.
A.NO_WIRELESS _DEVICES	OE.NO_WIRELESS _DEVICES	If the TOE's operational environment does not include wireless peripherals, then the assumption is satisfied.
A.TRUSTED_ADMIN	OE.TRUSTED _ADMIN	If the TOE's operational environment ensures that only trusted administrators will manage the TSF, then the assumption is satisfied.
A.TRUSTED _CONFIG	OE.TRUSTED _CONFIG	If TOE administrators follow the provided security configuration guidance, then the assumption is satisfied.
A.USER_ALLOWED _ACCESS	OE.PHYSICAL	If the TOE's operational environment provides physical access to connected computers, then the assumption is satisfied.

Table 9 – Security Objectives Rationale

# **5 EXTENDED COMPONENTS DEFINITION**

The extended components definition is presented in Appendix C of the Protection Profile for Peripheral Sharing Device [PP\_PSD\_V4.0] and in the module for keyboard/mouse devices [MOD\_KM\_V1.0]. It is repeated here to ensure the completeness of this ST.

The families to which these components belong are identified in the following table:

Functional Class	Functional Families	
User Data Protection (FDP)	FDP_APC_EXT Active PSD Connections	
	FDP_FIL_EXT Device Filtering	
	FDP_PDC_EXT Peripheral Device Connection	
	FDP_RDR_EXT Re-Enumeration Device Rejection	
	FDP_RIP_EXT Residual Information Protection	
	FDP_SWI_EXT PSD Switching	
	FDP_UDF_EXT Unidirectional Data Flow	
Protection of the TSF (FPT)	FPT_FLS_EXT Failure with Preservation of Secure State	
	FPT_NTA_EXT No Access to TOE	
	FPT_TST_EXT TSF Testing	
TOE Access (FTA)	FTA_CIN_EXT Continuous Indications	

 Table 10 – Functional Families of Extended Components

# 5.1 CLASS FDP: USER DATA PROTECTION

# **5.1.1** FDP\_APC\_EXT Active PSD Connections

#### **Family Behavior**

Components in this family define the requirements for when an external interface to the TOE is authorized to transmit data related to peripheral sharing.

#### **Component Leveling**



FDP\_APC\_EXT.1 Active PSD Connections, restricts the flow of data through the TSF.

#### Management: FDP\_APC\_EXT.1

No specific management functions are identified.

#### Audit: FDP\_APC\_EXT.1

There are no auditable events foreseen.

#### FDP\_APC\_EXT.1 Active PSD Connections

Hierarchical to: No other components.

Dependencies: No dependencies

- **FDP\_APC\_EXT.1.1** The TSF shall route user data only to or from the interfaces selected by the user.
- **FDP\_APC\_EXT.1.2** The TSF shall ensure that no data flows between connected computers whether the TOE is powered on or powered off.
- **FDP\_APC\_EXT.1.3** The TSF shall ensure that no data transits the TOE when the TOE is powered off.
- **FDP\_APC\_EXT.1.4** The TSF shall ensure that no data transits the TOE when the TOE is in a failure state.

### 5.1.2 FDP\_FIL\_EXT Device Filtering

#### **Family Behavior**

Components in this family define the requirements for device filtering.

#### **Component Leveling**



FDP\_FIL\_EXT.1 Device Filtering, requires the TSF to specify the method of device filtering used for peripheral interfaces and defines requirements for handling whitelists and blacklists.

#### Management: FDP\_FIL\_EXT.1

The following actions could be considered for the management functions in FMT:

• Ability to configure whitelist/blacklist members

#### Audit: FDP\_FIL\_EXT.1

The following actions should be auditable if FAU\_GEN.1 Audit Data Generation is included in the PP/ST:

• Configuration of whitelist/blacklist members

otherwise unauthorized.

#### FDP\_FIL\_EXT.1 Device Filtering

Hierarchical to: No other components Dependencies: FDP PDC EXT.1 Peripheral Device Connection FDP\_FIL\_EXT.1.1 The TSF shall have [selection: configurable, fixed] device filtering for [assignment: list of supported peripheral interface types] interfaces. The TSF shall consider all [assignment: blacklist name] blacklisted FDP\_FIL\_EXT.1.2 devices as unauthorized devices for [assignment: list of supported *peripheral interface types*] interfaces in peripheral device connections. The TSF shall consider all [assignment: whitelist name] whitelisted FDP\_FIL\_EXT.1.3 devices as authorized devices for peripheral device connections only if they are not on the [assignment: blacklist name] blacklist or


### **5.1.3** FDP\_PDC\_EXT Peripheral Device Connection

#### **Family Behavior**

Components in this family define the requirements for peripheral device connections.

This family is defined in the PSD PP. The PP-Module [MOD\_KM] augments the extended family by adding two additional components, FDP\_PDC\_EXT.2 and FDP\_PDC\_EXT.3. The new components and their impact on the extended family's component leveling are shown below; reference the PSD PP for all other definitions for this family.

#### **Component Leveling**



FDP\_PDC\_EXT.1 Peripheral Device Connection, requires the TSF to limit external connections to only authorized devices.

FDP\_PDC\_EXT.2 Authorized Devices, defines the types of physical devices that the TSF will permit to connect to it.

FDP\_PDC\_EXT.3, Authorized Connection Protocols, defines the protocols that the TSF will authorize over its physical/logical interfaces, as well as any rules that are applicable to these interfaces.

#### Management: FDP\_PDC\_EXT.1, FDP\_PDC\_EXT.2, FDP\_PDC\_EXT.3

No specific management functions are identified.

#### Audit: FDP\_PDC\_EXT.1

The following actions should be auditable if FAU\_GEN.1 Audit Data Generation is included in the PP/ST:

• Acceptance or rejection of a peripheral

#### Audit: FDP\_PDC\_EXT.2, FDP\_PDC\_EXT.3

There are no specific auditable events foreseen.

#### FDP\_PDC\_EXT.1 Peripheral Device Connection

Hierarchical to:	No other components.
------------------	----------------------

- Dependencies: No dependencies
- **FDP\_PDC\_EXT.1.1** The TSF shall reject connections with unauthorized devices upon TOE power up and upon connection of a peripheral device to a powered-on TOE.
- **FDP\_PDC\_EXT.1.2** The TSF shall reject connections with devices presenting unauthorized interface protocols upon TOE power up and upon connection of a peripheral device to a powered-on TOE.
- **FDP\_PDC\_EXT.1.3** The TOE shall have no external interfaces other than those claimed by the TSF.

**FDP\_PDC\_EXT.1.4** The TOE shall not have wireless interfaces.

**FDP\_PDC\_EXT.1.5** The TOE shall provide a visual or auditory indication to the User when a peripheral is rejected.

#### FDP\_PDC\_EXT.2 Authorized Devices

Hierarchical to: No other components.

Dependencies: FDP\_PDC\_EXT.1 Peripheral Device Connection

- **FDP\_PDC\_EXT.2.1** The TSF shall allow connections with authorized devices as defined in [assignment: devices specified in the PP or PP-Module in which this SFR is defined] and [assignment: devices specified in another PP or PP-Module that shares a PP Configuration with the PP or PP-Module in which this SFR is defined] upon TOE power up and upon connection of a peripheral device to a powered-on TOE.
- **FDP\_PDC\_EXT.2.2** The TSF shall allow connections with authorized devices presenting authorized interface protocols as defined in [assignment: devices specified in the PP or PP Module in which this SFR is defined] and [assignment: devices specified in another PP or PP-Module that shares a PP-Configuration with the PP or PP-Module in which this SFR is defined] upon TOE power up and upon connection of a peripheral device to a powered-on TOE.

#### FDP\_PDC\_EXT.3 Authorized Connection Protocols

Hierarchical to: No other components.

- Dependencies: FDP\_PDC\_EXT.1 Peripheral Device Connection
- **FDP\_PDC\_EXT.3.1** The TSF shall have interfaces for the [assignment: list of supported protocols associated with physical and/or logical TSF interfaces] protocols.
- **FDP\_PDC\_EXT.3.2** The TSF shall apply the following rules to the supported protocols: [assignment: rules defining the handling for communications over this protocol (e.g. any processing that must be done by the TSF prior to transmitting it through the TOE, circumstances or frequency with which the protocol is invoked)].

### 5.1.4 FDP\_RDR\_EXT Re-Enumeration Device Rejection

#### **Family Behavior**

Components in this family define requirements to reject device spoofing attempts through reenumeration.

#### **Component Leveling**

FDP_RDR_EXT Re- Enumeration Device Rejection		1	
--	--	---	--

FDP\_RDR\_EXT.1 Re-Enumeration Device Rejection, requires the TSF to reject reenumeration as an unauthorized device.

#### Management: FDP\_RDR\_EXT.1

No specific management functions are identified.

#### Audit: FDP\_RDR\_EXT.1

There are no specific auditable events foreseen.

#### FDP\_RDR\_EXT.1 Re-Enumeration Device Rejection


Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	FDP_PDC_EXT.1 Peripheral Device Connection
FDP_RDR_EXT.1.1	The TSF shall reject any device that attempts to enumerate again as a different unauthorized device.

# 5.1.5 FDP\_RIP\_EXT Residual Information Protection

#### **Family Behavior**

Components in this family define the requirements for how the TSF prevents data disclosure from its memory.

#### **Component Leveling**



FDP\_RIP\_EXT.1 Residual Information Protection, requires the TSF to prevent the writing of user data to non-volatile memory.

#### Management: FDP\_RIP\_EXT.1

The following actions could be considered for the management functions in FMT:

• Ability to trigger the TSF's purge function

#### Audit: FDP\_RIP\_EXT.1

There are no auditable events foreseen.

#### FDP\_RIP\_EXT.1 Residual Information Protection

Hierarchical to: No other components.

Dependencies: No dependencies


**FDP\_RIP\_EXT.1.1** The TSF shall ensure that no user data is written to TOE non-volatile memory or storage.

# 5.1.6 FDP\_SWI\_EXT PSD Switching

#### **Family Behavior**

Components in this family define the requirements for how the TSF protects against inadvertent data switching.

#### **Component Leveling**



FDP\_SWI\_EXT.1 PSD Switching, requires action on the part of a user in order for the TSF's switching mechanisms to be activated.

FDP\_SWI\_EXT.2 PSD Switching Methods, places restrictions on how the TSF's switching mechanisms can be controlled.

FDP\_SWI\_EXT.3 Tied Switching, requires the TSF to ensure that multiple connected peripherals are always switched to the same connected computer.

#### Management: FDP\_SWI\_EXT.1, FDP\_SWI\_EXT.2, FDP\_SWI\_EXT.3

No specific management functions are identified.

#### Audit: FDP\_SWI\_EXT.1, FDP\_SWI\_EXT.2, FDP\_SWI\_EXT.3

There are no auditable events foreseen.

#### FDP\_SWI\_EXT.1 PSD Switching

Dependencies: No dependencies

**FDP\_SWI\_EXT.1.1** The TSF shall ensure that [selection: the TOE supports only one connected computer, switching can be initiated only through express user action].

#### FDP\_SWI\_EXT.2 PSD Switching Methods

Hierarchical to: No other components.

Dependencies: FDP\_SWI\_EXT.1 PSD Switching

**FDP\_SWI\_EXT.2.1** The TSF shall ensure that no switching can be initiated through automatic port scanning, control through a connected computer, or control through keyboard shortcuts.

**FDP\_SWI\_EXT.2.2** The TSF shall ensure that switching can be initiated only through express user action using [selection: console buttons, console switches, console touch screen, wired remote control, peripheral devices using a guard].

#### FDP\_SWI\_EXT.3 Tied Switching

Dependencies: FDP\_SWI\_EXT.1 PSD Switching

**FDP\_SWI\_EXT.3.1** The TSF shall ensure that [*assignment: two or more tied peripheral devices*] are always switched together to the same connected computer.

### 5.1.7 FDP\_UDF\_EXT Unidirectional Data Flow

#### **Family Behavior**

Components in this family define unidirectional transmission of user data.

#### **Component Leveling**



FDP\_UDF\_EXT.1 Unidirectional Data Flow, requires the TSF to provide unidirectional (one-way) communications between a given pair of interface types.

#### Management: FDP\_UDF\_EXT.1

No specific management functions are identified.

#### Audit: FDP\_UDF\_EXT.1

There are no auditable events foreseen.

#### FDP\_UDF\_EXT.1 Unidirectional Data Flow

Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	FDP_APC_EXT.1 Active PSD Connections
FDP_UDF_EXT.1.1	The TSF shall ensure [ <i>assignment: type of data</i> ] data transits the TOF unidirectionally from the [ <i>assignment: origin point of data</i> ]

ioe unidirectionally from the [assignment: origin point of data] interface to the [assignment: destination point of data] interface.


# 5.2 CLASS FPT: PROTECTION OF THE TSF

### 5.2.1 FPT\_FLS\_EXT Failure with Preservation of Secure State

#### **Family Behavior**

Components in this family define the secure failure requirements for the TSF.

#### **Component Leveling**



FPT\_FLS\_EXT.1 Failure with Preservation of Secure State, requires the TSF to go into a secure state upon the detection of selected failures.

#### Management: FPT\_FLS\_EXT.1

No specific management functions are identified.

#### Audit: FPT\_FLS\_EXT.1

There are no auditable events foreseen.

#### FPT\_FLS\_EXT.1 Failure with Preservation of Secure State

Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	FPT_TST.1 TSF Testing FPT_PHP.3 Resistance to Physical Attack
FPT_FLS_EXT.1.1	The TSF shall preserve a secure state when t


**FPT\_FLS\_EXT.1.1** The TSF shall preserve a secure state when the following types of failures occur: failure of the power-on self-test and [*selection: failure of the anti-tamper function, no other failures*].

### 5.2.2 FPT\_NTA\_EXT No Access to TOE

#### **Family Behavior**

Components in this family define what TSF information may be externally accessible.

#### **Component Leveling**



FPT\_NTA\_EXT.1 No Access to TOE, requires the TSF to block access to nonauthorized TSF data via external ports.

#### Management: FPT\_NTA\_EXT.1

No specific management functions are identified.

#### Audit: FPT\_NTA\_EXT.1

There are no auditable events foreseen.

#### FPT\_NTA\_EXT.1 No Access to TOE

Hierarchical to: No other components.

Dependencies: No dependencies

**FPT\_NTA\_EXT.1.1** TOE firmware, software, and memory shall not be accessible via the TOE's external ports, with the following exceptions: [selection: the EDID memory of Video TOEs may be accessible from connected computers; the configuration data, settings, and logging data that may be accessible by authorized administrators; no other exceptions].

# 5.2.3 FPT\_TST\_EXT TSF Testing

#### **Family Behavior**

Components in this family define how the TSF responds to a self-test failure.

#### **Component Leveling**



FPT\_TST\_EXT.1 TSF Testing, requires the TSF to shutdown normal functions and provide a visual or auditory indication that a self-test has failed.

#### Management: FPT\_TST\_EXT.1

No specific management functions are identified.

#### Audit: FPT\_TST\_EXT.1

The following actions should be auditable if FAU\_GEN.1 Audit Data Generation is included in the PP/ST:

- Indication that the TSF self-test was completed
- Failure of self-test

#### FPT\_TST\_EXT.1 TSF Testing

Hierarchical to:	No other components.
Dependencies:	FPT_TST.1 TSF Testing

**FPT\_TST\_EXT.1.1** The TSF shall respond to a self-test failure by providing users with a [*selection: visual, auditory*] indication of failure and by shutdown of normal TSF functions.


# 5.3 CLASS FTA: TOE ACCESS

# **5.3.1 FTA\_CIN\_EXT** Continuous Indications

#### **Family Behavior**

Components in this family define how the TSF displays its switching status.

#### **Component Leveling**



FTA\_CIN\_EXT.1 Continuous Indications, requires the TSF to display a visual indication of what computers are selected.

#### Management: FTA\_CIN\_EXT.1

No specific management functions are identified.

#### Audit: FTA\_CIN\_EXT.1

There are no auditable events foreseen.

#### FTA\_CIN\_EXT.1 Continuous Indications

Hierarchical to: No other components.

Dependencies: FDP\_APC\_EXT.1 Active PSD Connections

- **FTA\_CIN\_EXT.1.1** The TSF shall display a visible indication of the selected computers at all times when the TOE is powered.
- **FTA\_CIN\_EXT.1.2** The TSF shall implement the visible indication using the following mechanism: **easily visible graphical and/or textual markings of each source video on the display,** [selection: a button, a panel with lights, a screen with dimming function, a screen with no dimming function, [assignment: description of visible indication]].
- **FTA\_CIN\_EXT.1.3** The TSF shall ensure that while the TOE is powered the current switching status is reflected by [selection: the indicator, multiple indicators which never display conflicting information].

# **6 SECURITY REQUIREMENTS**

Section 6 provides security functional and assurance requirements that must be satisfied by a compliant TOE.

# 6.1 CONVENTIONS

The CC permits four types of operations to be performed on functional requirements: selection, assignment, refinement, and iteration. These operations are shown using the same conventions as those in the PSD PP. This is defined in the PP as:

- Assignment: Indicated by surrounding brackets and italics, e.g., [assigned item].
- Selection: Indicated by surrounding brackets and italics, e.g., [selected item].
- Refinement: Refined components are identified by using **bold** for additional information, or <del>strikeout</del> for deleted text.
- Iteration: Iteration operations are identified with a slash ('/') and an identifier (e.g. "/KM").

Extended SFRs are identified by the inclusion of "EXT" in the SFR name.

# 6.2 SECURITY FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS

The security functional requirements for this ST consist of the following components.

Class	Identifier	Name	Source
User Data Protection (FDP)	FDP_APC_EXT.1/KM	Active PSD Connections	[MOD_KM_V1.0]
	FDP_FIL_EXT.1/KM	Device Filtering (Keyboard/Mouse)	[MOD_KM_V1.0]
	FDP_PDC_EXT.1	Peripheral Device Connection	[PP_PSD_V4.0] [MOD_KM_V1.0] <sup>3</sup>
	FDP_PDC_EXT.2/KM	Authorized Devices (Keyboard/Mouse)	[MOD_KM_V1.0]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> There is no modification to this SFR in the [MOD\_KM\_V1.0]. However, there are additions to the Peripheral Device Connections associated with this SFR and additional evaluation activities.

Class	Identifier	Name	Source
	FDP_PDC_EXT.3/KM	Authorized Connection Protocols (Keyboard/Mouse)	[MOD_KM_V1.0]
	FDP_RDR_EXT.1	Re-Enumeration Device Rejection	[MOD_KM_V1.0]
	FDP_RIP_EXT.1	Residual Information Protection	[PP_PSD_V4.0]
	FDP_RIP.1/KM	Residual Information Protection (Keyboard Data)	[MOD_KM_V1.0]
	FDP_SWI_EXT.1	PSD Switching	[PP_PSD_V4.0]
	FDP_SWI_EXT.2	PSD Switching Methods	[PP_PSD_V4.0] [MOD_KM_V1.0] <sup>4</sup>
	FDP_SWI_EXT.3	Tied Switching	[MOD_KM_V1.0]
	FDP_UDF_EXT.1/KM	Unidirectional Data Flow (Keyboard/Mouse)	[MOD_KM_V1.0]
Protection of the TSF (FPT)	FPT_FLS_EXT.1	Failure with Preservation of Secure State	[PP_PSD_V4.0]
	FPT_NTA_EXT.1	No Access to TOE	[PP_PSD_V4.0]
	FPT_PHP.1	Passive Detection of Physical Attack	[PP_PSD_V4.0]
	FPT_PHP.3	Resistance to Physical Attack	[PP_PSD_V4.0]
	FPT_TST.1	TSF testing	[PP_PSD_V4.0]
	FPT_TST_EXT.1	TSF testing	[PP_PSD_V4.0]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> There is no modification to this SFR in [MOD\_KM\_V1.0], and the additional evaluation activities are not triggered by the selections in FDP\_SWI\_EXT.2.2.

Class	Identifier	Name	Source
TOE Access (FTA)	FTA_CIN_EXT.1	Continuous Indications	[PP_PSD_V4.0]

 Table 11 – Summary of Security Functional Requirements

### **6.2.1** User Data Protection (FDP)

#### 6.2.1.1 FDP\_APC\_EXT.1/KM Active PSD Connections

- **FDP\_APC\_EXT.1.1/KM** The TSF shall route user data only to or from the interfaces selected by the user.
- **FDP\_APC\_EXT.1.2/KM** The TSF shall ensure that no data **or electrical signals** flow between connected computers whether the TOE is powered on or powered off.
- **FDP\_APC\_EXT.1.3/KM** The TSF shall ensure that no data transits the TOE when the TOE is powered off.
- **FDP\_APC\_EXT.1.4/KM** The TSF shall ensure that no data transits the TOE when the TOE is in a failure state.

#### 6.2.1.2 FDP\_FIL\_EXT.1/KM Device Filtering (Keyboard/Mouse)

- **FDP\_FIL\_EXT.1.1/KM** The TSF shall have [*fixed*] device filtering for [*keyboard*, *mouse*] interfaces.
- **FDP\_FIL\_EXT.1.2/KM** The TSF shall consider all [*PSD KM*] blacklisted devices as unauthorized devices for [*keyboard, mouse*] interfaces in peripheral device connections.
- **FDP\_FIL\_EXT.1.3/KM** The TSF shall consider all [*PSD KM*] whitelisted devices as authorized devices for [*keyboard, mouse*] interfaces in peripheral device connections only if they are not on the [*PSD KM*] blacklist or otherwise unauthorized.

#### 6.2.1.3 FDP\_PDC\_EXT.1 Peripheral Device Connection

- **FDP\_PDC\_EXT.1.1** The TSF shall reject connections with unauthorized devices upon TOE power up and upon connection of a peripheral device to a powered-on TOE.
- **FDP\_ PDC\_EXT.1.2** The TSF shall reject connections with devices presenting unauthorized interface protocols upon TOE power up and upon connection of a peripheral device to a powered-on TOE.
- **FDP\_ PDC\_EXT.1.3** The TOE shall have no external interfaces other than those claimed by the TSF.
- **FDP\_ PDC\_EXT.1.4** The TOE shall not have wireless interfaces.
- **FDP\_ PDC\_EXT.1.5** The TOE shall provide a visual or auditory indication to the User when a peripheral is rejected.

# 6.2.1.4 FDP\_PDC\_EXT.2/KM Authorized Devices (Keyboard/Mouse)

**FDP\_PDC\_EXT.2.1/KM** The TSF shall allow connections with authorized devices **and functions** as defined in [*Appendix E*] and [

#### • no other devices

] upon TOE power up and upon connection of a peripheral device to a powered-on TOE.

**FDP\_ PDC\_EXT.2.2/KM** The TSF shall allow connections with authorized devices presenting authorized interface protocols as defined in [*Appendix E*] and [

#### no other devices

] upon TOE power up and upon connection of a peripheral device to a powered-on TOE.

# 6.2.1.5 FDP\_PDC\_EXT.3/KM Authorized Connection Protocols (Keyboard/Mouse)

- **FDP\_PDC\_EXT.3.1/KM** The TSF shall have interfaces for the [USB (keyboard), USB (mouse)] protocols.
- **FDP\_PDC\_EXT.3.2/KM** The TSF shall apply the following rules to the supported protocols: [the TSF shall emulate any keyboard or mouse device functions from the TOE to the connected computer].

### 6.2.1.6 FDP\_RDR\_EXT.1 Re-Enumeration Device Rejection

**FDP\_RDR\_EXT.1.1** The TSF shall reject any device that attempts to enumerate again as a different unauthorized device.

### 6.2.1.7 FDP\_RIP\_EXT.1 Residual Information Protection

**FDP\_RIP\_EXT.1.1** The TSF shall ensure that no user data is written to TOE non-volatile memory or storage.

#### 6.2.1.8 FDP\_RIP.1/KM Residual Information Protection (Keyboard Data)

**FDP\_RIP.1.1/KM** The TSF shall ensure that any **keyboard data in volatile memory** is **purged** upon **switching computers**.

#### 6.2.1.9 FDP\_SWI\_EXT.1 PSD Switching

**FDP\_SWI\_EXT.1.1** The TSF shall ensure that [*switching can be initiated only through express user action*].

### 6.2.1.10 FDP\_SWI\_EXT.2 PSD Switching Methods

- **FDP\_SWI\_EXT.2.1** The TSF shall ensure that no switching can be initiated through automatic port scanning, control through a connected computer, or control through keyboard shortcuts.
- **FDP\_SWI\_EXT.2.2** The TSF shall ensure that switching can be initiated only through express user action using [console buttons, wired remote control].

#### 6.2.1.11 FDP\_SWI\_EXT.3 Tied Switching

**FDP\_SWI\_EXT.3.1** The TSF shall ensure that [*connected keyboard and mouse peripheral devices*] are always switched together to the same connected computer.

#### 6.2.1.12 FDP\_UDF\_EXT.1/KM Unidirectional Data Flow (Keyboard/Mouse)

**FDP\_UDF\_EXT.1.1/KM** The TSF shall ensure [*keyboard, mouse*] data transits the TOE unidirectionally from the [*TOE* [*keyboard, mouse*]] peripheral interface(s) to the [*TOE* [*keyboard, mouse*]] interface.

### 6.2.2 Protection of the TSF (FPT)

# 6.2.2.1 FPT\_FLS\_EXT.1 Failure with Preservation of Secure State

**FPT\_FLS\_EXT.1.1** The TSF shall preserve a secure state when the following types of failures occur: failure of the power-on self-test and [*failure of the anti-tamper function*].

#### 6.2.2.2 FPT\_NTA\_EXT.1 No Access to TOE

**FPT\_NTA\_EXT.1.1** TOE firmware, software, and memory shall not be accessible via the TOE's external ports, with the following exceptions: [*no other exceptions*].

#### 6.2.2.3 **FPT\_PHP.1** Passive Detection of Physical Attack

- **FPT\_PHP.1.1** The TSF shall provide unambiguous detection of physical tampering that might compromise the TSF.
- **FPT\_PHP.1.2** The TSF shall provide the capability to determine whether physical tampering with the TSF's devices or TSF's elements has occurred.

#### 6.2.2.4 FPT\_PHP.3 Resistance to Physical Attack

**FPT\_PHP.3.1** The TSF shall resist [*a physical attack for the purpose of gaining access to the internal components, to damage the anti-tamper battery, to drain or exhaust the anti-tamper battery*] to the [*TOE enclosure and any*]

*remote controllers*] by the attacked component becoming permanently disabled.

#### 6.2.2.5 FPT\_TST.1 TSF Testing

- **FPT\_TST.1.1** The TSF shall run a suite of self-tests [*during initial start-up and at the conditions* **[no other conditions]**] to demonstrate the correct operation of [*user control functions and* **[active anti-tamper functionality]**].
- **FPT\_TST.1.2** The TSF shall provide authorized users with the capability to verify the integrity of [*TSF data*].
- **FPT\_TST.1.3** The TSF shall provide authorized users with the capability to verify the integrity of [*TSF*].

#### 6.2.2.6 FPT\_TST\_EXT.1 TSF Testing

**FPT\_TST\_EXT.1.1** The TSF shall respond to a self-test failure by providing users with a [*visual, auditory*] indication of failure and by shutdown of normal TSF functions.

### 6.2.3 TOE Access (FTA)

### 6.2.3.1 FTA\_CIN\_EXT.1 Continuous Indications

- **FTA\_CIN\_EXT.1.1** The TSF shall display a visible indication of the selected computers at all times when the TOE is powered.
- **FTA\_CIN\_EXT.1.2** The TSF shall implement the visible indication using the following mechanism: [*[illuminated buttons]*].
- **FTA\_CIN\_EXT.1.3** The TSF shall ensure that while the TOE is powered the current switching status is reflected by [*the indicator*].

### 6.3 SECURITY ASSURANCE REQUIREMENTS

The assurance requirements are summarized in Table 12.

	Assurance Components			
Assurance Class	Identifier	Name		
Development (ADV)	ADV_FSP.1	Basic Functional Specification		
Guidance Documents (AGD)	AGD_OPE.1	Operational user guidance		
	AGD_PRE.1	Preparative procedures		
Life-Cycle Support (ALC)	ALC_CMC.1	Labeling of the TOE		
	ALC_CMS.1	TOE CM Coverage		

	Assurance Components			
Assurance Class	Identifier	Name		
Security Target Evaluation (ASE)	ASE_CCL.1	Conformance claims		
	ASE_ECD.1	Extended Components Definition		
	ASE_INT.1	ST Introduction		
	ASE_OBJ.2	Security Objectives		
	ASE_REQ.2	Derived Security Requirements		
	ASE_SPD.1	Security Problem Definition		
	ASE_TSS.1	TOE Summary Specification		
Tests (ATE)	ATE_IND.1	Independent Testing - Conformance		
Vulnerability Assessment (AVA)	AVA_VAN.1	Vulnerability Survey		

### 6.4 SECURITY REQUIREMENTS RATIONALE

### 6.4.1 Security Functional Requirements Rationale

Table 7 provides a mapping between the SFRs and Security Objectives.

### 6.4.2 Dependency Rationale

Table 13 identifies the Security Functional Requirements and their associated dependencies. It also indicates whether the ST explicitly addresses each dependency.

SFR	Dependencies	Rationale Statement
FDP_APC_EXT.1/KM	None	N/A
FDP_FIL_EXT.1/KM	FDP_PDC_EXT.1	Included
FDP_PDC_EXT.1	None	N/A
FDP_PDC_EXT.2/KM	FDP_PDC_EXT.1	Included
FDP_PDC_EXT.3/KM	FDP_PDC_EXT.1	Included
FDP_RDR_EXT.1	FDP_PDC_EXT.1	Included

SFR	Dependencies	Rationale Statement		
FDP_RIP_EXT.1	None	N/A		
FDP_RIP.1/KM	None	N/A		
FDP_SWI_EXT.1	None	N/A		
FDP_SWI_EXT.2	FDP_SWI_EXT.1	Included		
FDP_SWI_EXT.3	FDP_SWI_EXT.1	Included		
FDP_UDF_EXT.1/KM	FDP_APC_EXT.1	Included		
FPT_FLS_EXT.1	FPT_TST.1	Included		
	FPT_PHP.3	Included only if anti-tamper is selected in FPT_FLS_EXT.1.1		
FPT_NTA_EXT.1	None	N/A		
FPT_PHP.1	None	N/A		
FPT_PHP.3	None	N/A		
FPT_TST.1	None	N/A		
FPT_TST_EXT.1	FPT_TST.1	Included		
FTA_CIN_EXT.1	FDP_APC_EXT.1	Included		

 Table 13 – Functional Requirement Dependencies

### 6.4.3 Security Assurance Requirements Rationale

The TOE assurance requirements for this ST consist of the requirements indicated in the [PP\_PSD\_V4.0].

# **7 TOE SUMMARY SPECIFICATION**

This section provides a description of the security functions and assurance measures of the TOE that meet the TOE security requirements.

## 7.1 USER DATA PROTECTION

### **7.1.1** System Controller

The SCKM140PP4 device includes a System Controller which is responsible for device management, user interaction, system control security functions, and device monitoring. It receives user input from the switches on the front panel or the remote control and drives the TOE channel select lines that control switching circuits within the TOE.

The System Controller includes a microcontroller with internal non-volatile, Read Only Memory (ROM). The controller function manages the TOE functionality through a pre-programmed state machine loaded on the ROM as read-only firmware during product manufacturing.

Following boot up of the TOE, the channel select lines of the KM device are set to Channel 1 by default.

The user determines which host computer is to be connected to the peripherals by pressing a button on the TOE front panel of the KM Switch or on the remote control. Switching can only be initiated through express user action.

**TOE Security Functional Requirements addressed:** FDP\_SWI\_EXT.1, FDP\_SWI\_EXT.2.

### 7.1.1.1 Active PSD Connections

The TOE ensures that data flows only between the peripherals and the connected computer selected by the user. No data transits the TOE when the TOE is powered off, or when the TOE is in a failure state. A failure state occurs when the TOE fails a self-test when powering on.

**TOE Security Functional Requirements addressed**: FDP\_APC\_EXT.1/KM.

### 7.1.1.2 Connected Computer Interfaces

The connected computers are attached to the TOE as follows:

• The TOE connects to the keyboard and mouse port using a USB A to USB B cable. The USB A end attaches to the computer, and the USB B end attaches to the TOE

**TOE Security Functional Requirements addressed**: FDP\_PDC\_EXT.1.

### 7.1.1.3 Residual Information Protection

The Letter of Volatility is included as Annex A.

**TOE Security Functional Requirements addressed**: FDP\_RIP\_EXT.1.

### 7.1.2 Keyboard and Mouse Functionality

### 7.1.2.1 Keyboard and Mouse Enumeration

The TOE determines whether or not a peripheral device that has been plugged into the keyboard and mouse peripheral ports is allowed to operate with the TOE. The TOE uses optical data diodes to enforce a unidirectional data flow from the user peripherals to the coupled host, and uses isolated device emulators to prevent data leakage through the peripheral switching circuitry.

The Static Random Access Memory (SRAM) in the host and device emulator circuitry stores USB Host stack parameters and up to the last 4 key codes. User data may be briefly retained; however, there are no data buffers. Data is erased during power off of the device, and when the user switches channels. When the TOE switches from one computer to another, the system controller ensures that the keyboard and mouse stacks are deleted, and that any data received from the keyboard in the first 100 milliseconds following switching is deleted. This is done to ensure that any data buffered in the keyboard microcontroller is not passed to the newly selected computer.

The TOE supports USB Type A HIDs on keyboard and mouse ports. The USB bidirectional communication protocol is converted into a unidirectional proprietary protocol, and is then converted back into the USB bidirectional protocol to communicate with the coupled computer host.

A USB keyboard is connected to the TOE keyboard host emulator through the console keyboard port. The keyboard host emulator is a microcontroller which enumerates the connected keyboard and verifies that it is a permitted device type. Once the keyboard has been verified, the USB keyboard sends scan codes, which are generated when the user types. These scan codes are converted by the keyboard host emulator into a proprietary protocol data stream that is combined with the data stream from the mouse host emulator.

Similarly, the USB mouse is connected to the TOE mouse host emulator through the USB mouse port. The mouse host emulator is a microcontroller which enumerates the connected mouse and verifies that it is a permitted device type. Once the mouse device has been verified, it sends serial data generated by mouse movement and button use. The mouse serial data is converted by the mouse host emulator into a proprietary protocol data stream that is combined with the data stream from the keyboard host emulator.

**TOE Security Functional Requirements addressed**: FDP\_PDC\_EXT.3/KM, FDP\_UDF\_EXT.1/KM, FDP\_RIP.1/KM.

### 7.1.2.2 Keyboard and Mouse Data Stream

The combined data stream is passed through the channel select lines to the selected host channel. The channel select lines are driven by the System Controller Module, and the selection is based on user input. Once a channel is selected, the combined mouse and keyboard data stream is passed through an optical data diode and routed to the specific host channel device emulator. The

optical data diode is an opto-coupler designed to physically prevent reverse data flow. The keyboard and mouse can only be switched together.

Device emulators are USB enabled microcontrollers that are programmed to emulate a standard USB keyboard and mouse composite device. The combined data stream is converted back to bidirectional data before reaching the selected host computer.

Since the keyboard and mouse function are emulated by the TOE, the connected computer is not able to send data to the keyboard that would allow it to indicate that Caps Lock, Num Lock or Scroll Lock are set. These are indicated on the TOE front panel, on the right hand side.

**TOE Security Functional Requirements addressed**: FDP\_APC\_EXT.1/KM, FDP\_UDF\_EXT.1/KM, FDP\_SWI\_EXT.3.

### 7.1.2.3 Keyboard and Mouse Compatible Device Types

The TOE employs fixed device filtering and accepts only USB HID devices at the keyboard and mouse peripheral ports. Only USB Type A connections are permitted. The TOE does not support a wireless connection to a mouse, keyboard or USB hub.

**TOE Security Functional Requirements addressed**: FDP\_PDC\_EXT.1, FDP\_PDC\_EXT.2/KM, FDP\_FIL\_EXT.1/KM.

### 7.1.2.4 Re-Enumeration Device Rejection

If a connected device attempts to re-enumerate as a different USB device type, it will be rejected by the TOE.

**TOE Security Functional Requirements addressed**: FDP\_RDR\_EXT.1.

### **7.2 PROTECTION OF THE TSF**

### 7.2.1 No Access to TOE

Connected computers do not have access to TOE firmware or memory.

The TOE microcontrollers run from internal protected flash memory. Firmware cannot be updated from an external source. Firmware cannot be read or rewritten through the use of Joint Test Action Group (JTAG) tools. Firmware is executed on Static Random Access Memory (SRAM) with the appropriate protections to prevent external access and tampering of code or stacks.

**TOE Security Functional Requirements addressed**: FPT\_NTA\_EXT.1.

### 7.2.2 Anti-tampering Functionality

The SCKM140PP4 provides both passive and active anti-tampering functionality.

### 7.2.2.1 Passive Detection of Physical Tampering

The TOE enclosure was designed specifically to prevent physical tampering. The SCKM140PP4 features a stainless-steel welded chassis and panels that prevent external access through bending or brute force.

Additionally, each device is fitted with one or more holographic Tampering Evident Labels placed at critical locations on the TOE enclosure. If the label is removed, the word 'VOID' appears on both the label and the product surface. The remote control also has a holographic Tampering Evident Label placed at a critical location.

**TOE Security Functional Requirements addressed:** FPT\_PHP.1.

### 7.2.2.2 Resistance to Physical Attack

The SCKM140PP4 KM anti-tampering system is mechanically coupled to the TOE enclosure to detect any attempt to access the TOE internal circuitry. Any attempt to separate the pieces of the enclosure to access the internal circuitry will trigger the anti-tampering function. Power is provided to the circuitry by the TOE power supply and by a backup battery.

When the anti-tampering function is triggered, is causes an internal microscopic fuse on the System Controller (on-die) to melt. This permanently disables all interfaces and user functions of the device, and causes the front panel LEDs to blink sequentially and continuously. The TOE anti-tampering function is irreversible.

If the self-test detects that the battery is depleted or failing, the failure of the anti-tampering function will cause an internal microscopic fuse on the System Controller (on-die) to melt. This will permanently disable all interfaces and user functions of the device, and cause the front panel LEDs to blink sequentially and continuously.

Device anti-tampering events are recorded in TOE internal non-volatile memory with the time and date and may be read from the audit logs.

When the anti-tampering mechanism on the remote control is triggered, or the battery becomes depleted, the remote control device becomes permanently disabled.

**TOE Security Functional Requirements addressed**: FPT\_FLS\_EXT.1, FPT\_PHP.3.

### 7.2.3 TSF Testing

The TOE performs a self-test at initial start-up (i.e. when the device is powered on). A user may enter self-test failure mode by performing the following steps:

- 1. To enter self-test failure mode, press and hold the channel 1 button, and power on the device. The channel indicators on the front panel light up sequentially, and the keyboard and mouse USB ports are disabled.
- 2. To exit self-test failure mode, cycle the power.

The self-test runs independently at each microcontroller and performs the following checks:

- Verification of the front panel push-buttons
- Verification of the active anti-tampering functionality, including the continued functionality of the backup battery
- Verification of the integrity of the microcontroller firmware
- Verification of computer port isolation. This is tested by sending test packets to various interfaces and attempting to detect this traffic at all other interfaces

If the self-test fails, the Light Emitting Diodes (LEDs) on the device blink to indicate the failure. The TOE disables the data flow functionality, and remains in a disabled state until the self-test is rerun and passes. The user can cause the self-test to be rerun by unplugging the device and plugging it back in.

**TOE Security Functional Requirements addressed**: FPT\_FLS\_EXT.1, FPT\_TST.1, FPT\_TST\_EXT.1.

## 7.3 TOE ACCESS

The SCKM140PP4 KM user switches between computers by pressing the corresponding front panel button on the device, or by pressing a button on the remote control. The front panel button corresponding to the selected computer will illuminate.

On power up or power up following reset, all peripherals are connected to channel #1, and the corresponding push button LED will be illuminated.

**TOE Security Functional Requirements addressed**: FTA\_CIN\_EXT.1.

# **8 TERMINOLOGY AND ACRONYMS**

### 8.1 **TERMINOLOGY**

The following terminology is used in this ST:

Term	Description
КМ	KM refers to the requirements for Keyboard/Mouse Devices.

#### Table 14 – Terminology

### 8.2 ACRONYMS

The following acronyms are used in this ST:

Acronym	Definition		
СС	Common Criteria		
DE	Device Emulator		
EEPROM	Electrically Erasable Programmable Read-Only Memory		
HE	Host Emulator		
HID	Human Interface Device		
IT	Information Technology		
JTAG	Joint Test Action Group		
KM	Keyboard, Mouse		
LED	Light Emitting Diode		
NIAP	National Information Assurance Partnership		
OTP	One Time Programming		
PP	Protection Profile		
PSD	Peripheral Sharing Device		
ROM	Read Only Memory		
SFR	Security Functional Requirement		
SRAM	Static Random Access Memory		
ST	Security Target		
TOE	Target of Evaluation		
TSF	TOE Security Functionality		

Acronym	Definition
USB	Universal Serial Bus

Table 15 – Acronyms

# 9 REFERENCES

Identifier	Title		
[CC]	Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation –		
	<ul> <li>Part 1: Introduction and General Model, CCMB-2017-04-001, Version 3.1 Revision 5, April 2017</li> <li>Part 2: Security Functional Components, CCMB-2017-04-002, Version 3.1 Revision 5, April 2017</li> <li>Part 3: Security Assurance Components, CCMB-2017-04-003, Version 3.1 Revision 5, April 2017</li> </ul>		
[CEM]	Common Methodology for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Evaluation Methodology, CCMB-2017-04-004, Version 3.1 Revision 5, April 2017		
[PP_PSD_V4.0]	Protection Profile for Peripheral Sharing Device, Version: 4.0, 2019-07-19		
[MOD_KM_V1.0]	PP-Module for Keyboard/Mouse Devices, Version 1.0, 2019-07- 19		
[CFG_PSD-KM _V1.0]	PP-Configuration for Peripheral Sharing Device and Keyboard/Mouse Devices, 19 July 2019		

Table 16 – References

## **ANNEX A – LETTER OF VOLATILITY**

The table below provides volatility information and memory types for the Vertiv SCKM140PP4 KM Switch. User data is not retained when the power source is removed.

Product Model	No. in each product	Function, Manufacturer and Part Number	Storage Type	Size	Power Source (if not the TOE)	Volatility	Contains User Data
SCKM140PP4	1	System Controller, Host emulator:	Embedded SRAM <sup>1</sup>	128KB		Volatile	May contain user data
		ST Microelectronics STM32F446ZCT	Embedded Flash <sup>2</sup>	256KB		Non-Volatile	No user data
			Embedded EEPROM <sup>3</sup>	4KB	Connected computer	Non-Volatile	No user data
			OTP Memory	512bytes		Non-Volatile	Event logs are saved
	4	Device emulators: ST Microelectronics	Embedded SRAM <sup>1</sup>	6KB	Connected computer	Volatile	May contain user data
		STM32F070C6T6	Embedded Flash <sup>2</sup>	32KB		Non-Volatile	No user data
			Embedded EEPROM <sup>3</sup>	4KB		Non-Volatile	No user data
SCAFP0004	1	System Controller, Host emulators ST Microelectronics	Embedded SRAM <sup>1</sup>	128KB		Volatile	No user data
			Embedded Flash <sup>2</sup>	256KB		Non-Volatile	No user data
		STM32F446ZCT	Embedded EEPROM <sup>3</sup>	4KB		Non-Volatile	No user data
			OTP Memory	512bytes		Non-Volatile	Event logs are saved

#### Notes:

<sup>1</sup> SRAM stores USB Host stack parameters and up to the last 4 key-codes. Data is erased during power off of the device, and when the user switches channels. Device emulators receive power from the individual connected computers and therefore devices are powered on as long as the associated computer is powered on and connected.

<sup>2</sup> Flash storage is used to store firmware code. It contains no user data. Flash storage is permanently locked by fuses after initial programming to prevent rewriting. It is an integral part of the ST Microcontroller together with SRAM and EEPROM.

<sup>3</sup> EEPROM is used to store operational parameters. They contain no user data. These devices receive power from the computer connected to the TOE, and therefore are powered on as long as the associated computer is powered on and connected.