

National Information Assurance Partnership Common Criteria Evaluation and Validation Scheme



Validation Report Cisco Secure Network Analytics (SNA) 7.5

Report Number: CCEVS-VR-VID11672-2026
Dated: July 17, 2026
Version: 1.0

National Institute of Standards and Technology
Information Technology Laboratory
100 Bureau Drive
Gaithersburg, MD 20899

Department of Defense
ATTN: NIAP, Suite 6982
9800 Savage Road
Fort Meade, MD 20755-6982

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Validation Team

Swapna Katikaneni
Patrick Mallett Ph. D.
The Aerospace Corporation

Common Criteria Testing Laboratory

Ryan Hagedorn
Katie Sykes
Gossamer Security Solutions, Inc.
Columbia, MD

Table of Contents

1	Executive Summary	3
2	Identification	4
3	Architectural Information	5
3.1	TOE Evaluated Platforms	6
3.2	TOE Architecture.....	6
3.3	Physical Boundaries.....	7
4	Security Policy	7
4.1	Security audit	7
4.2	Communication.....	7
4.3	Cryptographic support	7
4.4	Identification and authentication.....	8
4.5	Security management.....	8
4.6	Protection of the TSF	9
4.7	TOE access.....	9
4.8	Trusted path/channels	9
5	Assumptions & Clarification of Scope	10
6	Documentation.....	10
7	IT Product Testing	11
7.1	Developer Testing.....	11
7.2	Evaluation Team Independent Testing	11
8	Evaluated Configuration	12
9	Results of the Evaluation	13
9.1	Evaluation of the Security Target (ASE).....	13
9.2	Evaluation of the Development (ADV)	13
9.3	Evaluation of the Guidance Documents (AGD)	13
9.4	Evaluation of the Life Cycle Support Activities (ALC)	14
9.5	Evaluation of the Test Documentation and the Test Activity (ATE)	14
9.6	Vulnerability Assessment Activity (VAN).....	14
9.7	Summary of Evaluation Results.....	15
10	Validator Comments/Recommendations	15
11	Annexes.....	15
12	Security Target.....	15
13	Glossary	16
14	Bibliography	16

1 Executive Summary

This report documents the assessment of the National Information Assurance Partnership (NIAP) validation team of the evaluation of Cisco Secure Network Analytics (SNA) solution provided by Cisco Systems, Inc. It presents the evaluation results, their justifications, and the conformance results. This Validation Report is not an endorsement of the Target of

Evaluation by any agency of the U.S. government, and no warranty is either expressed or implied.

The evaluation was performed by the Gossamer Security Solutions (Gossamer) Common Criteria Testing Laboratory (CCTL) in Columbia, MD, United States of America, and was completed in July 2026. The information in this report is largely derived from the Evaluation Technical Report (ETR) and associated test reports, all written by Gossamer Security Solutions. The evaluation determined that the product is both Common Criteria Part 2 Extended and Part 3 Conformant, and meets the assurance requirements of the collaborative Protection Profile for Network Devices, version 3.0e, 06 December 2023.

The Target of Evaluation (TOE) is the Cisco Secure Network Analytics (SNA) 7.5.

The TOE identified in this Validation Report has been evaluated at a NIAP approved Common Criteria Testing Laboratory using the Common Methodology for IT Security Evaluation (Version 3.1, Rev 5) for conformance to the Common Criteria for IT Security Evaluation (Version 3.1, Rev 5). This Validation Report applies only to the specific version of the TOE as evaluated. The evaluation has been conducted in accordance with the provisions of the NIAP Common Criteria Evaluation and Validation Scheme and the conclusions of the testing laboratory in the evaluation technical report are consistent with the evidence provided.

The validation team monitored the activities of the evaluation team, provided guidance on technical issues and evaluation processes, and reviewed the individual work units and successive versions of the ETR. The validation team found that the evaluation showed that the product satisfies all of the functional requirements and assurance requirements stated in the Security Target (ST). Therefore, the validation team concludes that the testing laboratory's findings are accurate, the conclusions justified, and the conformance results are correct. The conclusions of the testing laboratory in the evaluation technical report are consistent with the evidence produced.

The technical information included in this report was obtained from the Cisco Secure Network Analytics (SNA) 7.5 Security Target, Version 1.2, July 13, 2026 and analysis performed by the Validation Team.

2 Identification

The CCEVS is a joint National Security Agency (NSA) and National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) effort to establish commercial facilities to perform trusted product evaluations. Under this program, security evaluations are conducted by commercial testing laboratories called Common Criteria Testing Laboratories (CCTLs) using the Common Evaluation Methodology (CEM) in accordance with National Voluntary Laboratory Assessment Program (NVLAP) accreditation.

The NIAP Validation Body assigns Validators to monitor the CCTLs to ensure quality and consistency across evaluations. Developers of information technology products desiring a security evaluation contract with a CCTL and pay a fee for their product's evaluation. Upon successful completion of the evaluation, the product is added to NIAP's Validated Products List.

Table 1 provides information needed to completely identify the product, including:

- The Target of Evaluation (TOE): the fully qualified identifier of the product as evaluated.
- The Security Target (ST), describing the security features, claims, and assurances of the product.
- The conformance result of the evaluation.
- The Protection Profile to which the product is conformant.
- The organizations and individuals participating in the evaluation.

Table 1: Evaluation Identifiers

Item	Identifier
Evaluation Scheme	United States NIAP Common Criteria Evaluation and Validation Scheme
TOE	Cisco Secure Network Analytics (SNA) 7.5 (Specific models identified in Section 8)
Protection Profile	collaborative Protection Profile for Network Devices, version 3.0e, 06 December 2023
ST	Cisco Secure Network Analytics (SNA) 7.5 Security Target, Version 1.2, July 13, 2026
Evaluation Technical Report	Evaluation Technical Report for Cisco Secure Network Analytics (SNA) 7.5, Version 1.0, July 13, 2026
CC Version	Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Version 3.1, rev 5
Conformance Result	CC Part 2 extended, CC Part 3 extended
Sponsor	Cisco Systems, Inc.
Developer	Cisco Systems, Inc.
Common Criteria Testing Lab (CCTL)	Gossamer Security Solutions, Inc. Columbia, MD
CCEVS Validators	Swapna Katikaneni, Patrick Mallett

3 Architectural Information

Note: The following architectural description is based on the description presented in the Security Target.

The Cisco Secure Network Analytics (SNA) TOE is a centrally managed system of distributed components for collection, storage, analysis, of network telemetry data. The evaluated configurations of the TOE consist of one SNA Manager, one or more Flow Collectors (FC), and one or more Flow Sensors (FS). Each of the TOE components are available as a stand-alone physical appliance, or as a virtual appliance. The physical and virtual appliances provide equivalent functionality, and a mixture of physical and virtual appliances can be deployed together.

3.1 TOE Evaluated Platforms

Detail regarding the evaluated configuration is provided in Section 8 below.

3.2 TOE Architecture

The TOE is a system comprised of three appliance types (Manager, FC, and FS) described below, each of which is comprised of both software and hardware. The software on each appliance type is a proprietary build of Linux with Cisco SNA applications, where each appliance type (Manager, FC, and FS) contains its own set of applications specific to its unique functionality. The hardware of each appliance (physical and virtual) is a Cisco UCS server platform. The software running on each type of SNA appliance is software image Release 7.5.

The Cisco Secure Network Analytics components that comprise the TOE have common hardware characteristics. Any hardware differences, e.g. the amount of RAM or drive space, of the number of network interfaces, affect only non-TSF relevant functionality such as throughput and amount of storage, and therefore support security equivalency of the TOE component models.

This TOE is considered a ‘distributed’ TOE as defined in NDcPP in that this TOE requires multiple distinct TOE components to operate as a logical whole in order to fulfil the requirements of NDcPP, and those TOE components are separated (distributed) across a network. This TOE includes one management component (Manager), and two types of managed network devices (FC, and FS).

The SNA Manager provides the administrative interface to manage all TOE components. The Manager aggregates, organizes, and presents analysis from up to 25 Flow Collectors, and other sources. It provides a graphical representation of network traffic, identity information, customized summary reports, and integrated security and network intelligence for comprehensive analysis.

The SNA Flow Collector (FC) receives telemetry data from SNA Flow Sensors and other sources such as routers, switches, firewalls, and endpoint agents. The FC stores collected data in its internal database, analyses the data, sends event notifications to the Manager, and supports further forensics and long-term data analysis via customized reporting provided by the Manager. Multiple Flow Collectors may be managed by a single Manager and are available as hardware appliances or as virtual machines.

The Flow Sensor (FS) produces telemetry for segments of the switching and routing infrastructure that can’t generate NetFlow natively. The Flow Sensors connect directly to a mirroring port or network tap to monitor network traffic and generate telemetry data. Multiple Flow Sensors can be managed by a single Manager and are available as hardware appliances or as virtual appliances to monitor virtual machine environments.

Note that all the data collection, correlation, and analysis features described above are outside the scope of this CC evaluation.

3.3 Physical Boundaries

The TOE is a hardware and software solution composed of three major components: SMC, FC, and FS. The network, on which they reside, is considered part of the environment.

4 Security Policy

This section summarizes the security functionality of the TOE:

1. Security audit
2. Communication
3. Cryptographic support
4. Identification and authentication
5. Security management
6. Protection of the TSF
7. TOE access
8. Trusted path/channels

4.1 Security audit

The Cisco Secure Network Analytics provides extensive auditing capabilities. The TOE can audit events related to cryptographic functionality, identification and authentication, and administrative actions. The Cisco Secure Network Analytics generates an audit record for each auditable event. Each security relevant audit event has the date, timestamp, event description, and subject identity. The administrator configures auditable events, configures secure transmission of audit records to a remote audit server, and manages audit data storage. The TOE provides the administrator with a local circular audit trail. Audit messages are stored locally and transmitted over an encrypted channel to an external audit server.

4.2 Communication

The TOE allows authorized administrators to control which SNA appliance (FC, and FS) is managed by the Manager. This is performed through a registration process over TLS. The administrator can also de-register an appliance if he or she wishes to no longer manage it through the Manager. For this TOE the process of registration/joining a new managed appliance (FC, FS) to the Manager is manually initiated by the administrator installing each appliance. The initial TLS connection is authenticated to the Manager using the Manager administrator's username/password, at which point the appliances exchange their X.509 certificates, and from that point forward all TLS communications among appliances are authenticated using X.509 certificates.

4.3 Cryptographic support

The TOE provides cryptography in support of other Cisco SNA security functionality. This cryptography has been validated by the NIST CAVP.

The TOE provides cryptography in support for TLS, which is used for remote administrative management, and secure communication among TOE components, and connects from the

TOE to LDAP and syslog servers. The cryptographic services provided by the TOE are described below.

Cryptographic Method	Use within the TOE
AES	Used to encrypt TLS session traffic.
ECDH	Used to provide key exchange in TLS.
RSA Signature Services	X.509 certificate signing and verification. Data signing and verification in TLS.
HMAC	Used for keyed hash, integrity services in TLS session establishment.
DRBG	- Used for random number generation - Used in TLS session establishment.
SHA	Used to provide TLS traffic integrity verification
<i>Transport Layer Security (TLS)</i>	Used in TLS session establishment.

During initial installation each TOE component generates its own unique self-signed X.509v3 certificate, and during initial configuration all those certificates are replaced with new CA-signed identity certificates which are then used for all TLS connections including mutual authentication of TLS connections among TOE components. Configuration of trust stores for all components is performed via the Central Management WebUI of the Manager, but each TOE component generates its own unique keypair and its own certificate signing requests (CSR), and imports (via the Central Management WebUI of the Manager) TLS certificates that have been signed by an external CA server.

4.4 Identification and authentication

TOE components perform two types of authentication: password-based authentication of administrators for remote administration of the TOE; and certificate-based authentication of devices. Device-level authentication allows TOE components to establish secure channels with other TOE components, and with external servers (LDAP and syslog).

The TOE provides administrator authentication against a local user database. Password-based authentication can be performed on the serial console, and the GUI (accessible via HTTPS/TLS). For authentication to the GUI, the TOE optionally supports use of a AAA server (using LDAP over TLS), which would be outside the TOE boundary.

The TOE requires Authorized Administrators to authenticate prior to being granted access to any of the management functionality. The TOE can be configured to require a minimum password length of 8 to 256 characters.

After a configurable number of incorrect login attempts at administrative interfaces where authentication is processed locally (i.e. where LDAP is not used), the TOE will lock the offending account until an Administrator defined time period has elapsed.

4.5 Security management

The TOE provides secure administrative services for management of general TOE configuration and the security functionality provided by the TOE. All TOE administration occurs either through a secure HTTPS/TLS session or via a local console connection. The TOE provides the ability to securely manage all TOE administrative users; all identification

and authentication; all audit functionality of the TOE; all TOE cryptographic functionality; the timestamps maintained by the TOE; and updates to the TOE.

When an administrative session is initially established, the TOE displays an administrator-configurable warning banner. This is used to provide any information deemed necessary by the administrator. After a set amount of time of inactivity, the administrator will be locked out of the administrator interface.

4.6 Protection of the TSF

The TOE protects against interference and tampering by untrusted subjects by implementing identification, authentication, and access controls to limit configuration to Authorized Administrators. The TOE prevents reading of plaintext cryptographic keys and passwords.

The TOE internally maintains the date and time. This date and time is used as the timestamp that is applied to audit records generated by the TOE. Administrators can update the TOE's clock manually.

The TOE is able to verify any software updates prior to the software updates being installed on the TOE to avoid the installation of unauthorized software. The TOE performs self-testing to verify correct operation of its cryptographic module. The TOE components are not general-purpose operating systems; root access is not permitted, external software applications cannot be installed, and access to memory space is restricted to TOE functions.

The TOE is distributed, including multiple appliances that communicate with each other over a network. These internal TOE communications between TOE components are protected within TLS and authenticated using X.509 certificates.

4.7 TOE access

The TOE can terminate inactive sessions after an Authorized Administrator configurable time-period. Once a session has been terminated the TOE requires the user to re-authenticate to establish a new session.

The TOE can also display an Authorized Administrator specified banner on the CLI management interface and the WebUI prior to allowing any administrative access to the TOE.

4.8 Trusted path/channels

The TOE establishes a trusted path with syslog servers using TLS, and with LDAP servers using TLS. Remote administration of the TOE uses TLS/HTTPS. All communications between TOE components are protected within TLS; the initial joining of TOE components is authenticated using a username and password that's manually entered during the joining process, and subsequent communications between TOE components are automatically authenticated using X.509 certificates.

5 Assumptions & Clarification of Scope

Assumptions

The Security Problem Definition, including the assumptions, may be found in the following documents:

- collaborative Protection Profile for Network Devices, version 3.0e, 06 December 2023

That information has not been reproduced here and the NDcPP30e should be consulted if there is interest in that material.

The scope of this evaluation was limited to the functionality and assurances covered in the NDcPP30e as described for this TOE in the Security Target. Other functionality included in the product was not assessed as part of this evaluation. All other functionality provided by the devices needs to be assessed separately, and no further conclusions can be drawn about their effectiveness.

Clarification of scope

All evaluations (and all products) have limitations, as well as potential misconceptions that need clarification. This text covers some of the more important limitations and clarifications of this evaluation. Note that:

- As with any evaluation, this evaluation only shows that the evaluated configuration meets the security claims made with a certain level of assurance (the assurance activities specified in the collaborative Protection Profile for Network Devices and performed by the evaluation team).
- This evaluation covers only the specific device models and software as identified in this document, and not any earlier or later versions released or in process.
- Apart from the Admin Guide and the documents referenced therein, additional customer documentation for the specific Network Device models was not included in the scope of the evaluation and therefore should not to be relied upon when configuring or operating the device as evaluated.
- This evaluation did not specifically search for, nor attempt to exploit, vulnerabilities that were not “obvious” or vulnerabilities to objectives not claimed in the ST. The CEM defines an “obvious” vulnerability as one that is easily exploited with a minimum of understanding of the TOE, technical sophistication and resources.
- The functionality evaluated is scoped exclusively to the security functional requirements specified in the NDcPP30e and applicable Technical Decisions. Any additional security related functional capabilities of the TOE were not covered by this evaluation.

6 Documentation

The following documents were available with the TOE for evaluation:

Cisco Secure Network Analytics (SNA) 7.5 Preparative Procedures & Operational User Guide for the Common Criteria Certified Configuration, Version 1.0, June 23, 2026.
Cisco Secure Network Analytics Smart Software Licensing Guide 7.5, Document version 1.0, February 14, 2025
Cisco Stealthwatch x3xx Series Hardware Installation Guide, Document version 1_6, April 28, 2026
Cisco Secure Network Analytics Virtual Edition Appliance Installation Guide 7.5.2, Document version 5_0, September 24, 2025
Cisco Secure Network Analytics Update Guide 7.5.2, Document version 1_1, February 20, 2025
Cisco Secure Network Analytics System Configuration Guide 7.5.2, Document version 2_0, May 2, 2025
Cisco Secure Network Analytics SSL/TLS Certificates for Managed Appliances 7.5.2, Document Version: 2_0, May 2, 2025

Any additional customer documentation provided with the product, or that is available online was not included in the scope of the evaluation and therefore should not to be relied upon when configuring or operating the device as evaluated.

To use the product in the evaluated configuration, the product must be configured as specified in the Guidance Documentation listed above. Consumers are encouraged to download the configuration guides from the NIAP website to ensure the device is configured as evaluated.

7 IT Product Testing

This section describes the testing efforts of the developer and the Evaluation Team. It is derived from information contained in the proprietary Detailed Test Report for Cisco Secure Network Analytics (SNA), Version 1.0, July 13, 2026 (DTR), as summarized in Section 3.4 of the evaluation Assurance Activity Report (AAR).

7.1 Developer Testing

No evidence of developer testing is required in the assurance activities for this product.

7.2 Evaluation Team Independent Testing

The evaluation team verified the product according to a Common Criteria Certification document and ran the tests specified in the NDcPP30e including the tests associated with optional requirements. The AAR, in section 3.4 lists the tested devices, provides a list of test tools, and has diagrams of the test environment.

8 Evaluated Configuration

The evaluated configuration consists of the following models and software:

TOE Hardware Component Models and Specifications:

SNA Appliance Type	Part Number	Server platform	Entropy Source
SNA Manager	ST-SMC2300-K9 L-ST-SMC-VE-K9	UCSC-C225-M6SX	JENT
SNA Flow Sensor	ST-FS1300-K9 ST-FS3300-K9 ST-FS4300-K9 L-ST-FS-VE-K9		
SNA Flow Collector	ST-FC4300-K9 L-ST-FC-VE-K9		

TOE Software Components:

SNA Appliance Type	Part Number	Software
SNA Manager	ST-SMC2300-K9	Note: All physical appliances (Manager, FS, FC) are delivered with software preinstalled, using the same installation image used to install/deploy the virtual edition (VE), and are updated and patched with the same files used for the VE.
	L-ST-SMC-VE-K9	Install: SMC-7.5.2-20250121.1527-833bbd1d6ff3-0.iso Update: update-smc-ROLLUP20260214-7.5.2-v2-01.swu
SNA Flow Sensor	ST-FS1300-K9 ST-FS3300-K9 ST-FS4300-K9	See note above regarding physical appliances.
	L-ST-FS-VE-K9	Install: FlowSensor-7.5.2-20250121.1527-833bbd1d6ff3-0.iso Update: update-fsuf-ROLLUP20260214-7.5.2-v2-01.swu
SNA Flow Collector	ST-FC4300-K9	See note above regarding physical appliances.
	L-ST-FC-VE-K9	Install: FlowCollector-NetFlow-7.5.2-20250121.1527-833bbd1d6ff3-0.iso Update: update-fcnf-ROLLUP20260214-7.5.2-v2-01.swu

9 Results of the Evaluation

The results of the assurance requirements are generally described in this section and are presented in detail in the proprietary ETR. The reader of this document can assume that all assurance activities and work units received a passing verdict.

A verdict for an assurance component is determined by the resulting verdicts assigned to the corresponding evaluator action elements. The evaluation was conducted based upon CC version 3.1 rev 5 and CEM version 3.1 rev 5. The evaluation determined the Secure Network Analytics (SNA) TOE to be Part 2 extended, and to meet the SARs contained in the NDcPP30e.

9.1 Evaluation of the Security Target (ASE)

The evaluation team applied each ASE CEM work unit. The ST evaluation ensured the ST contains a description of the environment in terms of policies and assumptions, a statement of security requirements claimed to be met by the Cisco Secure Network Analytics (SNA) 7.5 products that are consistent with the Common Criteria, and product security function descriptions that support the requirements.

The validator reviewed the work of the evaluation team, and found that sufficient evidence and justification was provided by the evaluation team to confirm that the evaluation was conducted in accordance with the requirements of the CEM, and that the conclusion reached by the evaluation team was justified.

9.2 Evaluation of the Development (ADV)

The evaluation team applied each ADV CEM work unit. The evaluation team assessed the design documentation and found it adequate to aid in understanding how the TSF provides the security functions. The design documentation consists of a functional specification contained in the Security Target and Guidance documents. Additionally, the evaluator performed the assurance activities specified in the NDcPP30e related to the examination of the information contained in the TSS.

The validator reviewed the work of the evaluation team, and found that sufficient evidence and justification was provided by the evaluation team to confirm that the evaluation was conducted in accordance with the requirements of the CEM, and that the conclusion reached by the evaluation team was justified.

9.3 Evaluation of the Guidance Documents (AGD)

The evaluation team applied each AGD CEM work unit. The evaluation team ensured the adequacy of the user guidance in describing how to use the operational TOE. Additionally, the evaluation team ensured the adequacy of the administrator guidance in describing how to securely administer the TOE. All of the guides were assessed during the design and testing phases of the evaluation to ensure they were complete.

The validator reviewed the work of the evaluation team, and found that sufficient evidence and justification was provided by the evaluation team to confirm that the evaluation was

conducted in accordance with the requirements of the CEM, and that the conclusion reached by the evaluation team was justified.

9.4 Evaluation of the Life Cycle Support Activities (ALC)

The evaluation team applied each ALC CEM work unit. The evaluation team found that the TOE was identified.

The validator reviewed the work of the evaluation team, and found that sufficient evidence and justification was provided by the evaluation team to confirm that the evaluation was conducted in accordance with the requirements of the CEM, and that the conclusion reached by the evaluation team was justified.

9.5 Evaluation of the Test Documentation and the Test Activity (ATE)

The evaluation team applied each ATE CEM work unit. The evaluation team ran the set of tests specified by the assurance activities in the NDcPP30e and recorded the results in a Test Report, summarized in the AAR.

The validator reviewed the work of the evaluation team, and found that sufficient evidence and justification was provided by the evaluation team to confirm that the evaluation was conducted in accordance with the requirements of the CEM, and that the conclusion reached by the evaluation team was justified.

9.6 Vulnerability Assessment Activity (VAN)

The evaluation team applied each AVA CEM work unit. The vulnerability analysis is in the Detailed Test Report (DTR) prepared by the evaluator. The vulnerability analysis includes a public search for vulnerabilities. The public search for vulnerabilities did not uncover any residual vulnerability.

The evaluator searched the following sites:

- NVD - <https://nvd.nist.gov/vuln/data-feeds#divJson20Feeds>
- CVE - <https://github.com/CVEProject/cvelistV5/archive/refs/heads/main.zip>
- KEV - https://www.cisa.gov/sites/default/files/feeds/known_exploited_vulnerabilities.json
- CVEDetails - <https://www.cvedetails.com/>
- VND - <https://www.kb.cert.org/vuls/byupdate/desc/>
- R7 - <https://www.rapid7.com/api/vulnerability-list/>
- TEN - <https://www.tenable.com/plugins/>
- ZDI - <http://www.zerodayinitiative.com/advisories/published/>
- EDB - https://gitlab.com/exploit-database/exploitdb/-/raw/main/files_exploits.csv

The evaluator searched on 6/29/2026 (from 9/1/2022) with the following search terms: "Cisco SNA 7.5.2", "Cisco Secure Network Analytics", "SNA Manager", "SNA

Management Console", "SNA Flow Collector", "SNA Flow Sensor", "Cisco SMC", "CiscoSSL", "CiscoSSL FIPS Provider Cryptographic implementation", "Cisco BC Cryptographic Implementation", "Cisco BC 2.0.0", "AMD EPYC 7453", "AMD EPYC 7313", "AMD EPYC 7443", "AMD EPYC 7302", "openldap 2.5.13+dfsg-5", "Linux 6", and "ESXi 8.0".

The validator reviewed the work of the evaluation team, and found that sufficient evidence and justification was provided by the evaluation team to confirm that the evaluation was conducted in accordance with the requirements of the CEM, and that the conclusion reached by the evaluation team was justified.

9.7 Summary of Evaluation Results

The evaluation team's assessment of the evaluation evidence demonstrates that the claims in the ST are met. Additionally, the evaluation team's testing also demonstrated the accuracy of the claims in the ST.

The validation team's assessment of the evidence provided by the evaluation team is that it demonstrates that the evaluation team followed the procedures defined in the CEM, and correctly verified that the product meets the claims in the ST.

10 Validator Comments/Recommendations

The Validation team notes that the evaluated configuration is dependent upon the TOE being configured per the evaluated configuration instructions in the guidance documentation referenced in Section 6 of this Validation Report. Consumers are encouraged to download the configuration guide from the NIAP website to ensure the device is configured as evaluated. Any additional customer documentation provided with the product, or that is available online was not included in the scope of the evaluation and therefore should not be relied upon when configuring or operating the device as evaluated.

The functionality evaluated is scoped exclusively to the security functional requirements specified in the ST. Other functionality included in the product was not assessed as part of this evaluation. Other functionality provided by devices in the operational environment needs to be assessed separately and no further conclusions can be drawn about their effectiveness. No versions of the TOE and software, either earlier or later, were evaluated.

11 Annexes

Not applicable

12 Security Target

The Security Target is identified as: *Cisco Secure Network Analytics (SNA) 7.5 Security Target, Version 1.2, July 13, 2026.*

13 Glossary

The following definitions are used throughout this document:

- **Common Criteria Testing Laboratory (CCTL).** An IT security evaluation facility accredited by the National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program (NVLAP) and approved by the CCEVS Validation Body to conduct Common Criteria-based evaluations.
- **Conformance.** The ability to demonstrate in an unambiguous way that a given implementation is correct with respect to the formal model.
- **Evaluation.** The assessment of an IT product against the Common Criteria using the Common Criteria Evaluation Methodology to determine whether or not the claims made are justified; or the assessment of a protection profile against the Common Criteria using the Common Evaluation Methodology to determine if the Profile is complete, consistent, technically sound and hence suitable for use as a statement of requirements for one or more TOEs that may be evaluated.
- **Evaluation Evidence.** Any tangible resource (information) required from the sponsor or developer by the evaluator to perform one or more evaluation activities.
- **Feature.** Part of a product that is either included with the product or can be ordered separately.
- **Target of Evaluation (TOE).** A group of IT products configured as an IT system, or an IT product, and associated documentation that is the subject of a security evaluation under the CC.
- **Validation.** The process carried out by the CCEVS Validation Body leading to the issue of a Common Criteria certificate.
- **Validation Body.** A governmental organization responsible for carrying out validation and for overseeing the day-to-day operation of the NIAP Common Criteria Evaluation and Validation Scheme.

14 Bibliography

The Validation Team used the following documents to produce this Validation Report:

- [1] Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation: Part 1: Introduction and General Model, Version 3.1, Revision 5, April 2017.
- [2] Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation Part 2: Security functional components, Version 3.1, Revision 5, April 2017.
- [3] Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation Part 3: Security assurance components, Version 3.1 Revision 5, April 2017.
- [4] collaborative Protection Profile for Network Devices, version 3.0e, 06 December 2023.

- [5] Cisco Secure Network Analytics (SNA) 7.5 Security Target, Version 1.2, July 13, 2026 (ST).
- [6] Assurance Activity Report for Cisco Secure Network Analytics (SNA) 7.5, Version 1.0, July 13, 2026 (AAR).
- [7] Detailed Test Report for Cisco Secure Network Analytics (SNA) 7.5, Version 1.0, July 13, 2026 (DTR).
- [8] Evaluation Technical Report for Cisco Secure Network Analytics (SNA) 7.5, Version 1.0, July 13, 2026 (ETR)
- [9] Cisco Secure Network Analytics (SNA) 7.5 Flaw Remediation, Version 0.1, June 23, 2026