



## Maintenance Report

### **WatchGuard Technologies, Inc. XTM Firewalls and Fireware XTM Operating System v11.5.5**

Issued by:

**Communications Security Establishment Canada**

**Certification Body**

**Canadian Common Criteria Evaluation and Certification Scheme**

© Government of Canada, Communications Security Establishment, 2012

<b>Document number:</b>	383-7-81-MR
<b>Version:</b>	1.0
<b>Date:</b>	19 October 2012
<b>Pagination:</b>	1 to 2

## **1 Introduction**

Watchguard Technologies has submitted, via EWA-Canada, the Impact Analysis Report (IAR) for WatchGuard Technologies, Inc. XTM Firewalls and Fireware XTM Operating System v11.5.5 (hereafter referred to as XTM Firewalls), satisfying the requirements outlined in Assurance Continuity: CCRA Requirements, v2.0, November 2011. In accordance with those requirements, the IAR describes the changes implemented in XTM Firewalls (the maintained Target of Evaluation), the evidence updated as a result of the changes and the security impact of the changes.

## **2 Description of changes in the Maintained Target of Evaluation**

The following characterizes the changes implemented in XTM Firewalls. For each change, it was verified that there were no required changes to the security functional requirements in the ST, and thorough functional and regression testing was conducted by the developer to ensure that the assurance in the Target of Evaluation (TOE) was maintained. The changes in XTM Firewalls comprise bug fixes resulting from defects detected and resolved through the QA/test process as well as new features and enhancements to existing functionality. The following XTM models were added to instances of the TOE: XTM 515, XTM 525, XTM 535, and XTM 545. The new model hardware specifications were reviewed and were found to be consistent with the hardware models already certified as TOE instances.

## **3 Affected developer evidence**

Modifications to the product necessitated changes to a subset of the developer evidence that was previously submitted for the TOE. The set of affected developer evidence was identified in the IAR.

Modifications to the security target were made to reflect the new product versions and TOE hardware models.

## **4 Conclusions**

All changes to the maintained TOE were bug fixes and performance improvements, as well as the addition of four hardware models. Through functional and regression testing of XTM Firewalls, assurance gained in the original TOE certification was maintained. As all of the changes to the maintained TOE have been classified as minor, it is the conclusion of the CB that the maintained TOE is appropriate for assurance continuity and re-evaluation is not required.

## **5 References**

Assurance Continuity: CCRA Requirements, v2.0, November 2011.

CCS Guide #6, Technical Oversight for Assurance Continuity of a Certified TOE, v1.6, May 2011.

<Certification Report title>