



Requirements Engineering for eVoting

Roland Vogt and Melanie Volkamer



German Research Center for Artificial Intelligence (DFKI GmbH)
Saarbrücken, Germany



- Introduction
 - eVoting
 - Security requirements
- Why CC?
- Protection Profile for Online Voting
- Protection Profile for the Digital Election Pen
- Conclusion

Introduction-eVoting



Environment Medium	Controlled	Uncontrolled		
Paper	Polling Place	Postal Voting	Counting Machines	
Electronic	Stand-Alone Electronic Voting Machine	Remote Electronic Voting (PC, Cell Phone)		
	Stand-Alone Electronic Voting Machine			
	Networked Kiosk Electronic Voting			



- Anonymity and unlikability
- Receipt freeness
- One–voter–one vote principle
 - Attention: system breakdowns
- Right to vote
 - Attention: system breakdowns
- Unique identification/authentication
-





- Bundeswahlgeräteverordnung
- Recommendation of the Council of Europe
- Requirement Catalogue of the PTB / GI
- Cybervote Requirements Catalogue
- (Network) Voting System Standards
- Swiss Law
- IEEE Standard
- Safevote Voting System Requirements
- IN GENERAL: in each voting protocol paper
- ...



Why a "new" Catalogue / CC?



- Incomparable voting systems' security
- Undetermined evaluation process
- Undetermined evaluation deepness
- Undetermined Evaluator and Certifying Institute
- Missing definition of the underlying Trust Model
 - Assumption to the environment
 - Intruder model
- International accepted certificate



- For remote Online Voting
- Mainly for elections in associations (extendable)
- Sponsored by the Federal Office for Information Security
- Initiated by the Gesellschaft für Informatik e.V.
- Supported by a broad board (companies, universities, ministries,...)
- From December 2005 to September 2006
- Unfortunately currently in German





- Three phases: pre-/main-/post voting
- **Focus: systems which ensure unlinkability between voter and his (encrypted) vote at the end of the main voting phase**
- Open to any underlying voting scheme
- Open how many voting servers
- Basis requirements → “many” assumptions
 - Voter ensures the trustworthiness of his PC
 - Scrutineers ensure the trustworthiness of the voting server
 - ...



- Trustworthy client and voting server?
 - Handouts to the voter or special software
 - Administrator as part of the scrutineers
- EAL ? → security versus costs
- Additional verification necessary?
 - Code review e.g. of the counting procedure
 -



- ST/PP?
- Using standard devices like notebooks
- Ballot box part/outside the EVG
- Function tests ?
- Role of the administrator
- Number of docking stations
- As easy as possible for the scrutineers
- EAL 3
- ...



- Two different PPs
 - Online Voting in associations
 - Digital Election Pen
- Some open problems BUT
"E-Voting is an important field for Common Criteria"



Thank you for your Attention

Questions?

vogt@dfki.de