

Requirements-Driven Development for IT Security Products

Erin Connor, Mark Gauvreau, and Samuel E. Moore EWA-Canada

21 September 2006

Presenter: Mark Gauvreau (mgauvreau@ewa-canada.com)





- Introduction to EWA-Canada
- Purpose (Focus) of Presentation
- Introduction to Automated Techniques/Tools
- Use of Automated Techniques/Tools
- Criteria (Critical Features/Capabilities) for All-purpose Tool
- Cost/Benefit Considerations for Tool Use
- Summary



Introduction to EWA-Canada

- Who we are
 - EWA-Canada
- What we do
 - Common Criteria Evaluation Lab Canadian Scheme
 - FIPS 140-2 Cryptographic Module Test Lab CMVP
 - Point of Sale & Bank Machine PIN Pad Certifications
 - Interac Financial Services Network
 - Payment Card Industry
 - Documentation development assistance to vendors
 - Managed Security Services and Consulting



Purpose (focus) of Presentation

- Use/application of systems engineering automated tools during:
 - the system/requirements analysis, system design and integration & test phases of product development, and
 - the production of the required CC development documentation (ADV, ATE).

4



Purpose (focus) of Presentation (cont'd)

Systems and software engineering disciplines and applicable automated tools offer mature engineering processes and a structured approach that can be applied to CC Information Technology Security evaluations.

5



Introduction to Automated Techniques/Tools

Applying Systems Engineering (SE) to Common Criteria

Tools can be used by the developer and/or consultant/lab to:

- ease steep learning curve when introducing CC into new environments
- better integrate existing product specifications and TOE Security Target
- lead to better integration with engineering processes,
- maintain the evaluation evidence throughout assurance continuity
- maintain traceability data for RCR purposes



Introduction to Automated Techniques/Tools (cont'd)

Applying Systems Engineering (SE) to Common Criteria

Tools can be used during the system life cycle and SE process:

- system/requirements analysis *
- system design *
- integration & test *
- production & customer support
- * Focus of presentation



Introduction to Automated Techniques/Tools (cont'd)

Finding A Systems Engineering (SE) Tool

- International Council on System Engineering (INCOSE)
- INCOSE Tools Database Working Group
- Requirements Management Tools
- Systems Architecture Tools



Introduction to Automated Techniques/Tools (cont'd)

International Council on System Engineering (INCOSE) < <u>http://www.incose.org/</u> >

- INCOSE Efforts to Improve System Engineering through Modeling & Tools
- INCOSE Tools Database Working Group
- INCOSE Object Management Group Systems Modeling Language (OMG SysML[™]).
- Tools Database. The INCOSE Tools Database Working Group (TDWG) makes information on commercial-of-the-shelf (COTS) and government-off-the-shelf (GOTS) tools of interest to systems engineers available via this website.

9



Sample Tools

- DOORS Telelogic (was QSS) Requirements traceability tool. <u>http://www.telelogic.com/_*</u>
- CORE Vitech Corporation Full life-cycle systems engineering CASE tool. <u>http://www.vitechcorp.com</u>
- RTM (Requirements Traceability Management) Requirements traceability software. http://www.serena.com
 - * Focus of presentation



Telelogic Tools < <u>http://www.telelogic.com</u> >

- Telelogic FOCAL POINT
- Telelogic DOORS
- Telelogic SYSTEM ARCHITECT
- Telelogic TAU
- Telelogic SYNERGY
- Telelogic DASHBOARD
- Telelogic LOGISCOPE
- Telelogic DocExpress
- Telelogic Rhapsody
- Telelogic Statemate
- * Although Telelogic tools are the focus of this presentation, tool suite integration and compatibility with other vendors' products are important considerations in tool selection.



Telelogic Tools applications for CC Development evidence requirements

ADV_FSP Functional Specification

- Import TSFs (F.DOTS) defined in the Security Target TOE Summary Specification (ST/TSS).
- Import Security Functions (SFs) defined by the Functional Specification (FSP).
- Requirements Management with Telelogic DOORS
- Automated Documentation Generation with Telelogic DocExpress



Telelogic Tools applications for CC Development evidence requirements

ADV_HLD High level design

- Characterize TOE Subsystems as defined by the High Level Design (HLD).
- Define FSP to HLD Subsystem Mapping
- Define FSP to HLD (Interface) Mapping
- System design architecture with Telelogic SYSTEM ARCHITECT
- Systems and Software Design, Development and Testing with Telelogic TAU
- Requirements Management with Telelogic DOORS
- Automated Documentation Generation with Telelogic DocExpress



Telelogic Tools applications for CC Development evidence requirements

ADV_LLD Low level design

- Characterize Subsystem LLD Modules defined by the Low Level Design (LLD)
- Define HLD Subsystem to LLD Module Mapping
- Systems and Software Design, Development and Testing with Telelogic TAU
- Model-Driven Development with UML 2.0 and SysML with Telelogic Rhapsody
- Embedded Systems Design Software with Telelogic Statemate
- Automated Documentation Generation with Telelogic DocExpress



Telelogic Tools applications for CC Development evidence requirements

ADV_IMP Implementation representation

- Characterize/design/develop IMP source code modules
- Define LLD-IMP Mapping
- Systems and Software Design, Development and Testing with Telelogic TAU
- Model-Driven Development with UML 2.0 and SysML with Telelogic Rhapsody
- Embedded Systems Design Software with Telelogic Statemate
- Automated Documentation Generation with Telelogic DocExpress



Telelogic Tools applications for CC Development evidence requirements

ADV_RCR Representation correspondence - Telelogic DOORS

- TSS to FSP Mapping
- FSP to HLD Subsystem Mapping
- FSP to HLD (Interface) Mapping
- HLD-LLD Mapping
- LLD-IMP Mapping



Telelogic Tools applications for CC Development evidence requirements

ATE_COV Coverage - Telelogic DOORS or DOOR/Rational

 accuracy and completeness of the correspondence between the tests identified in the test documentation and the functional specification.

ATE_DPT Depth - Telelogic DOORS or DOOR/Rational

 accuracy and completeness of the correspondence between the tests identified in the test documentation and the high-level design.



Telelogic Tools applications for CC Development evidence requirements

ATE_FUN Functional Tests

- Test plans, test procedures, expected results and actual results with Telelogic DOORS, or DOOR/Rational
- Software Quality Assurance with Telelogic LOGISCOPE
- Systems and Software Design, Development and Testing with Telelogic TAU
- Model-Driven Development with UML 2.0 and SysML with Telelogic Rhapsody
- Embedded Systems Design Software with Telelogic Statemate
- Automated Documentation Generation with Telelogic DocExpress



Telelogic Tools applications for CC Development evidence requirements

- ATE_IND Independent Testing with Telelogic DOORS or DOOR/Rational
- Evaluation Test Plan and Evaluation Test Procedures, Test results



Criteria (critical features/ capabilities) for All-purpose Tool

Key Criteria for All-purpose Tool to Support

- Requirements Management Tools
- System Architecture Design/Development



Criteria (critical features/ capabilities) for tools (cont'd)

- List of Criteria:
 - tool suite integration and compatibility with other vendors' products
 - Capturing requirements/identification
 - Capturing system element structure
 - Requirements flowdown
 - Traceability analysis
 - Configuration Management
 - Documents & other output media
 - Groupware



Criteria (critical features/ capabilities) for tools (cont'd)

- List of Criteria (Cont'd):
 - Interfaces to other tools
 - User interfaces
 - Support life cycle
 - Support Quality system design
 - Support multiple system views
 - Methodogy independent
 - Computer environment
 - Resource requirements
 - Support & maintenance
 - Standards
 - Training



Criteria (critical features/ capabilities) for tools (cont'd)

- List of Criteria (cont'd):
 - Works with Legacy systems
 - Model execution
 - Production code generation
 - Code generation languages
 - Requirements
 - Analysis
 - Design
 - Test
 - Openess
 - Collaboration
 - Vendor



Cost/benefit considerations for tool use

Costs Considerations

- Tools
- Computer Environment
- Resource requirements
- Training
- Support & Maintenance



Cost/benefit analysis for tool use

Benefit Considerations

- Supports both requirements management and system/product design/test
- System development approach
- Groupware
- CC evaluation evidence
- Mature engineering processes
- Structured approach
- Multiple users (tools can be used by the developer and/or consultant/lab)



Benefit Considerations (cont'd)

- Eases steep learning curve when introducing CC into new environments,
- Better integration of existing product specifications and TOE Security Target,
- Leads to better integration with engineering processes,
- Maintains the evaluation evidence throughout assurance continuity





- Introduced Automated Techniques/ Tools
- Discussed use of Automated Techniques/ Tools in CC evaluations and assurance continuity/maintenance
- Presented Criteria (features/capabilities) for all-purpose tool
- Presented Cost/benefit considerations for tool use







For further information: Mark Gauvreau (mgauvreau@ewa-canada.com)

Your Trusted Partner

28