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Problem Statement

Testcases that previously executed successfully in the RACF function test (FCT) environment, failed when executed in a COMSEC environment.
WHAT IS RACF?

RACF (Resource Access Control Facility) is a security management product which gives authorized users access to use requested resources on a computer system (such as a file, a printer queue, space to run a program, and so forth).
How does RACF work?

RACF identifies and authenticates a user, determines the resources to which the user is authorized, and logs and reports attempts to get access to protected resources (by authorized or unauthorized users).
What is COMSEC

COMSEC (Common Security Environment)

Is a system setup with the evaluated configuration, and is explicitly used for Common Criteria testing.
How do COMSEC and RACF relate?

Function test teams (including RACF) provide automated tests which are placed into COMSEC’s test bucket for execution and regression.
## Challenges porting testcases to COMSEC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Failing testcases were isolated</th>
<th>Testcases were debugged</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>What types of problems were uncovered?</strong></td>
<td><strong>Where were they injected?</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is there a pattern?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How do we prevent this in the future?
Challenges porting testcases to COMSEC
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Challenges porting testcases to COMSEC

✓ Identical userid, group and profile names
✓ Unable to access or allocate datasets
✓ Testcases modify common critical userid, IBMUSER (superuser)
✓ Default group inconsistencies (different on both systems)
✓ Testcase results assumed a common default group
✓ Evaluated configuration required additional protections
✓ Testcases that previously ran “successfully” now wSystem options and security options
Why test in a common security environment?

What are the benefits of testing on a Common System?
Why test in a common security environment?

Saves time
Why test in a common security environment?

Saves Money
Why test in a common security environment?

SIMPLY PUT.....

It’s Cost-Effective!
What’s the solution?

- Pre-test on RACF system with the evaluated configuration
- RACF protect all datasets
- Provide product specific prefixes for resource names
- Create a SUPER USER (other than IBMUSER) that is system independent
- Create common default groups - educate testers and provide examples on how to create default groups.
Pro-active approach to the future

✔ Once a week, during status meetings, one “Common Criteria/COMSEC testcase hint” was highlighted, which addressed the porting problems to educate testers.

✔ Provided education to the general test population at a zSeries Test Community general meeting.
Summary

✓ Perform a test dry run, executing testcases with the evaluated configuration turned on in function test to avoid unexpected testcase results in COMSEC

✓ RACF protect datasets

✓ Create unique resource naming conventions

✓ Create a super user that can be modified

✓ Create a unique default group
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