Certification Report

BSI-DSZ-CC-0891-V2-2016

for

Infineon Security Controller, M7892 Design Steps D11 and G12, with optional RSA2048/4096 v2.03.008, EC v2.03.008, SHA-2 v1.01 and Toolbox v2.03.008 libraries, symmetric crypto library v2.02.010 and with specific IC dedicated software (firmware)

from

Infineon Technologies AG

BSI - Bundesamt für Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik, Postfach 20 03 63, D-53133 Bonn Phone +49 (0)228 99 9582-0, Fax +49 (0)228 9582-5477, Infoline +49 (0)228 99 9582-111

Certification Report V1.0 CC-Zert-327 V5.14





BSI-DSZ-CC-0891-V2-2016 (*)

Infineon Security Controller, M7892 Design Steps D11 and G12, with optional RSA2048/4096 v2.03.008, EC v2.03.008, SHA-2 v1.01 and Toolbox v2.03.008 libraries, symmetric crypto library v2.02.010 and with specific IC dedicated software (firmware)

from Infineon Technologies AG

PP Conformance: Security IC Platform Protection Profile with

Augmentation Packages Version 1.0, 13 January

2014, BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014

Functionality: PP conformant plus product specific extensions

Common Criteria Part 2 extended

Assurance: Common Criteria Part 3 conformant

EAL 6 augmented by ALC_FLR.1



SOGIS Recognition Agreement



The IT Product identified in this certificate has been evaluated at an approved evaluation facility using the Common Methodology for IT Security Evaluation (CEM), Version 3.1 extended by Scheme Interpretations and by advice of the Certification Body for components beyond EAL 5 and CC Supporting Documents as listed in the Certification Report for conformance to the Common Criteria for IT Security Evaluation (CC), Version 3.1. CC and CEM are also published as ISO/IEC 15408 and ISO/IEC 18045.

(*) This certificate applies only to the specific version and release of the product in its evaluated configuration and in conjunction with the complete Certification Report and Notification. For details on the validity see Certification Report part A chapter 4

The evaluation has been conducted in accordance with the provisions of the certification scheme of the German Federal Office for Information Security (BSI) and the conclusions of the evaluation facility in the evaluation technical report are consistent with the evidence adduced.

This certificate is not an endorsement of the IT Product by the Federal Office for Information Security or any other organisation that recognises or gives effect to this certificate, and no warranty of the IT Product by the Federal Office for Information Security or any other organisation that recognises or gives effect to this certificate, is either expressed or implied.

Bonn, 20 December 2016

For the Federal Office for Information Security



Common Criteria
Recognition Arrangement
for components up to
EAL 4

Joachim Weber Head of Division L.S.



This page is intentionally left blank.

Preliminary Remarks

Under the BSIG¹ Act, the Federal Office for Information Security (BSI) has the task of issuing certificates for information technology products.

Certification of a product is carried out on the instigation of the vendor or a distributor, hereinafter called the sponsor.

A part of the procedure is the technical examination (evaluation) of the product according to the security criteria published by the BSI or generally recognised security criteria.

The evaluation is normally carried out by an evaluation facility recognised by the BSI or by BSI itself.

The result of the certification procedure is the present Certification Report. This report contains among others the certificate (summarised assessment) and the detailed Certification Results.

The Certification Results contain the technical description of the security functionality of the certified product, the details of the evaluation (strength and weaknesses) and instructions for the user.

-

Act on the Federal Office for Information Security (BSI-Gesetz - BSIG) of 14 August 2009, Bundesgesetzblatt I p. 2821

Contents

A. Certification	7
Specifications of the Certification Procedure	
B. Certification Results	11
1. Executive Summary 2. Identification of the TOE 3. Security Policy 4. Assumptions and Clarification of Scope 5. Architectural Information 6. Documentation 7. IT Product Testing 8. Evaluated Configuration 9. Results of the Evaluation 10. Obligations and Notes for the Usage of the TOE 11. Security Target 12. Definitions 13. Bibliography	
C. Excerpts from the Criteria	29
CC Part 1:CC Part 3:	
D. Annexes	37

A. Certification

1. Specifications of the Certification Procedure

The certification body conducts the procedure according to the criteria laid down in the following:

- Act on the Federal Office for Information Security²
- BSI Certification and Approval Ordinance³
- BSI Schedule of Costs⁴
- Special decrees issued by the Bundesministerium des Innern (Federal Ministry of the Interior)
- DIN EN ISO/IEC 17065 standard
- BSI certification: Scheme documentation describing the certification process (CC-Produkte) [3]
- BSI certification: Scheme documentation on requirements for the Evaluation Facility, its approval and licencing process (CC-Stellen) [3]
- Common Criteria for IT Security Evaluation (CC), Version 3.1⁵ [1] also published as ISO/IEC 15408.
- Common Methodology for IT Security Evaluation (CEM), Version 3.1 [2] also published as ISO/IEC 18045.
- BSI certification: Application Notes and Interpretation of the Scheme (AIS) [4]

2. Recognition Agreements

In order to avoid multiple certification of the same product in different countries a mutual recognition of IT security certificates - as far as such certificates are based on ITSEC or CC - under certain conditions was agreed.

2.1. European Recognition of ITSEC/CC – Certificates (SOGIS-MRA)

The SOGIS-Mutual Recognition Agreement (SOGIS-MRA) Version 3 became effective in April 2010. It defines the recognition of certificates for IT-Products at a basic recognition level and, in addition, at higher recognition levels for IT-Products related to certain SOGIS Technical Domains only.

Act on the Federal Office for Information Security (BSI-Gesetz - BSIG) of 14 August 2009, Bundesgesetzblatt I p. 2821

Ordinance on the Procedure for Issuance of Security Certificates and approval by the Federal Office for Information Security (BSI-Zertifizierungs- und -Anerkennungsverordnung - BSIZertV) of 17 December 2014, Bundesgesetzblatt 2014, part I, no. 61, p. 2231

Schedule of Cost for Official Procedures of the Bundesamt für Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik (BSI-Kostenverordnung, BSI-KostV) of 03 March 2005, Bundesgesetzblatt I p. 519

⁵ Proclamation of the Bundesministerium des Innern of 12 February 2007 in the Bundesanzeiger dated 23 February 2007, p. 3730

The basic recognition level includes Common Criteria (CC) Evaluation Assurance Levels EAL 1 to EAL 4 and ITSEC Evaluation Assurance Levels E1 to E3 (basic). For "Smartcards and similar devices" a SOGIS Technical Domain is in place. For "HW Devices with Security Boxes" a SOGIS Technical Domains is in place, too. In addition, certificates issued for Protection Profiles based on Common Criteria are part of the recognition agreement.

The new agreement has been signed by the national bodies of Austria, Finland, France, Germany, Italy, The Netherlands, Norway, Spain, Sweden and the United Kingdom. The current list of signatory nations and approved certification schemes, details on recognition, and the history of the agreement can be seen on the website at https://www.sogisportal.eu.

The SOGIS-MRA logo printed on the certificate indicates that it is recognised under the terms of this agreement by the nations listed above.

This certificate is recognized under SOGIS-MRA for all assurance components selected.

2.2. International Recognition of CC – Certificates (CCRA)

The international arrangement on the mutual recognition of certificates based on the CC (Common Criteria Recognition Arrangement, CCRA-2014) has been ratified on 08 September 2014. It covers CC certificates based on collaborative Protection Profiles (cPP) (exact use), CC certificates based on assurance components up to and including EAL 2 or the assurance family Flaw Remediation (ALC_FLR) and CC certificates for Protection Profiles and for collaborative Protection Profiles (cPP).

The CCRA-2014 replaces the old CCRA signed in May 2000 (CCRA-2000). Certificates based on CCRA-2000, issued before 08 September 2014 are still under recognition according to the rules of CCRA-2000. For on 08 September 2014 ongoing certification procedures and for Assurance Continuity (maintenance and re-certification) of old certificates a transition period on the recognition of certificates according to the rules of CCRA-2000 (i.e. assurance components up to and including EAL 4 or the assurance family Flaw Remediation (ALC_FLR)) is defined until 08 September 2017.

As of September 2014 the signatories of the new CCRA-2014 are government representatives from the following nations: Australia, Austria, Canada, Czech Republic, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, India, Israel, Italy, Japan, Malaysia, The Netherlands, New Zealand, Norway, Pakistan, Republic of Korea, Singapore, Spain, Sweden, Turkey, United Kingdom, and the United States.

The current list of signatory nations and approved certification schemes can be seen on the website: http://www.commoncriteriaportal.org.

The Common Criteria Recognition Arrangement logo printed on the certificate indicates that this certification is recognised under the terms of this agreement by the nations listed above.

As this certificate is a re-certification of a certificate issued according to CCRA-2000 his certificate is recognized according to the rules of CCRA-2000, i.e. up to and including CC part 3 EAL 4 components. The evaluation contained the components ALC_DVS.2, AVA_VAN.5, ADV_FSP.5, ADV_INT.2, ADV_TDS.4, ALC_CMS.5, ALC_TAT.2 and ATE_DPT.3 that are not mutually recognised in accordance with the provisions of the CCRA-2000, for mutual recognition the EAL 4 components of these assurance families are relevant.

3. Performance of Evaluation and Certification

The certification body monitors each individual evaluation to ensure a uniform procedure, a uniform interpretation of the criteria and uniform ratings.

The product Infineon Security Controller, M7892 Design Steps D11 and G12, with optional RSA2048/4096 v2.03.008, EC v2.03.008, SHA-2 v1.01 and Toolbox v2.03.008 libraries, symmetric crypto library v2.02.010 and with specific IC dedicated software (firmware) has undergone the certification procedure at BSI. This is a re-certification based on BSI-DSZ-CC-0891-2015. Specific results from the evaluation process BSI-DSZ-CC-0891-2015 were re-used.

The evaluation of the product Infineon Security Controller, M7892 Design Steps D11 and G12, with optional RSA2048/4096 v2.03.008, EC v2.03.008, SHA-2 v1.01 and Toolbox v2.03.008 libraries, symmetric crypto library v2.02.010 and with specific IC dedicated software (firmware) was conducted by TÜV Informationstechnik GmbH. The evaluation was completed on 8 December 2016. TÜV Informationstechnik GmbH is an evaluation facility (ITSEF)⁶ recognised by the certification body of BSI.

For this certification procedure the sponsor and applicant is: Infineon Technologies AG.

The product was developed by: Infineon Technologies AG.

The certification is concluded with the comparability check and the production of this Certification Report. This work was completed by the BSI.

4. Validity of the Certification Result

This Certification Report only applies to the version of the product as indicated. The confirmed assurance package is only valid on the condition that

- all stipulations regarding generation, configuration and operation, as given in the following report, are observed,
- the product is operated in the environment described, as specified in the following report and in the Security Target.

For the meaning of the assurance levels please refer to the excerpts from the criteria at the end of the Certification Report or in the CC itself.

The Certificate issued confirms the assurance of the product claimed in the Security Target at the date of certification. As attack methods evolve over time, the resistance of the certified version of the product against new attack methods needs to be re-assessed. Therefore, the sponsor should apply for the certified product being monitored within the assurance continuity program of the BSI Certification Scheme (e.g. by a re-certification). Specifically, if results of the certification are used in subsequent evaluation and certification procedures, in a system integration process or if a user's risk management needs regularly updated results, it is recommended to perform a re-assessment on a regular e.g. annual basis.

In order to avoid an indefinite usage of the certificate when evolved attack methods require a re-assessment of the products resistance to state of the art attack methods, the maximum validity of the certificate has been limited. The certificate issued on 20

.

⁶ Information Technology Security Evaluation Facility

December 2016 is valid until 19 December 2021. Validity can be re-newed by re-certification.

The owner of the certificate is obliged:

- when advertising the certificate or the fact of the product's certification, to refer to the Certification Report as well as to provide the Certification Report, the Security Target and user guidance documentation mentioned herein to any customer of the product for the application and usage of the certified product,
- 2. to inform the Certification Body at BSI immediately about vulnerabilities of the product that have been identified by the developer or any third party after issuance of the certificate.
- 3. to inform the Certification Body at BSI immediately in the case that security relevant changes in the evaluated life cycle, e.g. related to development and production sites or processes, occur, or the confidentiality of documentation and information related to the Target of Evaluation (TOE) or resulting from the evaluation and certification procedure where the certification of the product has assumed this confidentiality being maintained, is not given any longer. In particular, prior to the dissemination of confidential documentation and information related to the TOE or resulting from the evaluation and certification procedure that do not belong to the deliverables according to the Certification Report part B, or for those where no dissemination rules have been agreed on, to third parties, the Certification Body at BSI has to be informed.

In case of changes to the certified version of the product, the validity can be extended to the new versions and releases, provided the sponsor applies for assurance continuity (i.e. re-certification or maintenance) of the modified product, in accordance with the procedural requirements, and the evaluation does not reveal any security deficiencies.

5. Publication

The product Infineon Security Controller, M7892 Design Steps D11 and G12, with optional RSA2048/4096 v2.03.008, EC v2.03.008, SHA-2 v1.01 and Toolbox v2.03.008 libraries, symmetric crypto library v2.02.010 and with specific IC dedicated software (firmware) has been included in the BSI list of certified products, which is published regularly (see also Internet: https://www.bsi.bund.de and [5]). Further information can be obtained from BSI-Infoline +49 228 9582-111.

Further copies of this Certification Report can be requested from the developer⁷ of the product. The Certification Report may also be obtained in electronic form at the internet address stated above.

Infineon Technologies AG
 Am Campeon 1-12
 85579 Neubiberg

B. Certification Results

The following results represent a summary of

- the Security Target of the sponsor for the Target of Evaluation,
- the relevant evaluation results from the evaluation facility, and
- complementary notes and stipulations of the certification body.

1. Executive Summary

The Target of Evaluation (TOE) is the Infineon Technologies AG Security Controller (Integrated Circuit IC), M7892 Design Steps D11 and G12, with specific IC dedicated firmware and optional software: RSA v2.03.008, EC v2.03.008, SHA-2 v1.01, Toolbox v2.03.008 and Symmetric Crypto Library (SCL) v2.02.010. The TOE provides a real 16-bit CPU-architecture and is compatible to the Intel 80251 architecture. The major components of the core system are the two CPUs (Central Processing Units), the MMU (Memory Management Unit) and MED (Memory Encryption/Decryption Unit). The dual interface controller is able to communicate using either the contact based or the contactless interface.

The TOE consists of the hardware part, the firmware part and the software part. The software part is differentiated into: the cryptographic libraries SCL, RSA, EC and SHA-2 and the supporting libraries Toolbox and Base. SCL, RSA, EC, SHA-2 and Toolbox provide certain functionality to the Smartcard Embedded Software.

This TOE is intended to be used in smart cards for particularly security relevant applications and for its previous use as developing platform for smart card operating systems. The term Smartcard Embedded Software is used in the following for all operating systems and applications stored and executed on the TOE. The TOE is the platform for the Smartcard Embedded Software.

The Security Target [6] is the basis for this certification. It is based on the certified Protection Profile Security IC Platform Protection Profile with Augmentation Packages Version 1.0, 13 January 2014, BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014 [8].

The TOE Security Assurance Requirements (SAR) are based entirely on the assurance components defined in Part 3 of the Common Criteria (see part C or [1], Part 3 for details). The TOE meets the assurance requirements of the Evaluation Assurance Level EAL 6 augmented by ALC_FLR.1.

The TOE Security Functional Requirements (SFR) relevant for the TOE are outlined in the Security Target [6] and [9], chapter 7. They are selected from Common Criteria Part 2 and some of them are newly defined. Thus the TOE is CC Part 2 extended.]

The TOE Security Functional Requirements are implemented by the following TOE Security Functionality:

TOE Security Functionality	Addressed issue
SF_DPM	Device Phase Management: The life cycle of the TOE is split up into several phases. Different operation modes help to protect the TOE during each phase of its lifecycle.
SF_PS	Protection against Snooping: The TOE uses various means to protect from snooping of memories and busses and prevents single stepping.
SF_PMA	Protection against Modifying Attacks: This TOE implements protection against modifying attacks of memories, alarm lines and sensors.
SF_PLA	Protection against Logical Attacks:

TOE Security Functionality	Addressed issue
	The memory access control of the TOE uses a memory management unit (MMU) to control the access to the available physical memory by using virtual memory addresses and to segregate the code and data to a privilege level model. The MMU controls the address permissions of up to seven privileged levels and gives the software the possibility to define different access rights.
SF_CS	Protection against Logical Attacks:
	The memory access control of the TOE uses a memory management unit (MMU) to control the access to the available physical memory by using virtual memory addresses and to segregate the code and data to a privilege level model. The MMU controls the address permissions of up to seven privileged levels and gives the software the possibility to define different access rights.

Table 1: TOE Security Functionalities

For more details please refer to the Security Target [6] and [9], chapter 8.

The assets to be protected by the TOE are defined in the Security Target [6] and [9], chapter 4.1.2. Based on these assets the TOE Security Problem is defined in terms of Assumptions, Threats and Organisational Security Policies. This is outlined in the Security Target [6] and [9], chapters 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3.

This certification covers the configurations of the TOE as outlined in chapter 8.

The vulnerability assessment results as stated within this certificate do not include a rating for those cryptographic algorithms and their implementation suitable for encryption and decryption (see BSIG Section 9, Para. 4, Clause 2).

The certification results only apply to the version of the product indicated in the certificate and on the condition that all the stipulations are kept as detailed in this Certification Report. This certificate is not an endorsement of the IT product by the Federal Office for Information Security (BSI) or any other organisation that recognises or gives effect to this certificate, and no warranty of the IT product by BSI or any other organisation that recognises or gives effect to this certificate, is either expressed or implied.

2. Identification of the TOE

The Target of Evaluation (TOE) is called:

Infineon Security Controller, M7892 Design Steps D11 and G12, with optional RSA2048/4096 v2.03.008, EC v2.03.008, SHA-2 v1.01 and Toolbox v2.03.008 libraries, symmetric crypto library v2.02.010 and with specific IC dedicated software (firmware).

The hardware part of the TOE is identified by M7892 G12 and D11. Another characteristic of the TOE are the chip identification data. These chip identification data is accessible via the Generic Chip Identification Mode (GCIM).

Apart from the GCIM data, the individual TOE hardware is uniquely identified by a lot number, the wafer number and the coordinates of the chip on the wafer. Each individual

TOE can therefore be traced unambiguously and thus assigned to the entire development and production process.

The following table outlines the TOE deliverables, which also contain unique identification criteria:

Туре	Identifier Release		Form of Delivery		
HW	M7892 Security Controller	D11 or G12	Complete modules, with or without inlay mounting, in form of plain wafers or in any IC case (for example TSSOP28, VQFN32, VQFN40, CCS-modules, etc.) or in bare dies or whatever type of package or even in no package.		
FW	Flash Loader	FW Identifier 78.015.14.0 or 78.015.14.1 or 78.015.14.2 or 78.015.18.2	Stored in reserved area of IFX ROM on the IC (patch in NVM).		
FW	STS Self Test Software (the IC Dedicated Test Software)	FW Identifier 78.015.14.0 or 78.015.14.1 or 78.015.14.2 or 78.015.18.2	Stored in IFX ROM on the IC (patch in NVM).		
FW	RMS Resource Management System (the IC Dedicated Support Software)	FW Identifier 78.015.14.0 or 78.015.14.1 or 78.015.14.2 or 78.015.18.2	Stored in reserved area of IFX ROM on the IC (patch in NVM).		
FW	SA library	FW Identifier 78.015.14.0 or 78.015.14.1 or 78.015.14.2 or 78.015.18.2	Stored in reserved area of IFX ROM on the IC (patch in NVM).		
SW	NVM image (including Embedded Software)		Stored in SOLID FLASH™ NVM memory on the IC.		
SW	RSA library	v2.03.008	Optional.		
SW	EC library	v2.03.008	Optional.		
SW	Toolbox library	v2.03.008	Optional.		
SW	SHA-2 library	v1.01	Optional.		
SW	Symmetric Crypto Library (SCL)	v2.02.010	Optional.		
DOC	M7892 Hardware Reference Manual	Revision 1.7.1 2015-09-21	Electronic document		
DOC	M7892 Errata Sheet	Revision 1.9 2015-03-26	Electronic document		
DOC	AMM Advanced Mode for Mifare-Compatible Technology, Addendum to M7892 Hardware Reference Manual	Revision 1.1 2013-09-24	Electronic document		

Туре	Identifier	Release	Form of Delivery
DOC	M7892 Security Guidelines	2016-10-12	Electronic document
DOC	SLE 70 Programmer's	Revision 9.1	Electronic document
	Reference Manual	2016-02-11	
DOC	SLx 70 Family Production and Personalization User's Manual	2015-04-01	Electronic document
DOC	Crypto@2304T User Manual	2010-03-23	Electronic document
DOC	SLE70 Asymmetric Crypto	Version 2.03.008	Optional.
	Library for Cryp-to@2304T RSA / ECC / Toolbox User Inferface	2014-07-31	
DOC	SLx70 Family Secure Hash Algorithm SHA-2 (SHA 256/224, SHA 512/384), Library Version V1.01	2009-11-06	Optional.
DOC	SCL78 Symmetric Crypto Library for SCPv3 DES / AES	2016-10-14	Optional.

Table 2: Deliverables of the TOE

In general, the TOE - or parts thereof - are delivered between the following three parties (as defined in [PP]):

- IC Embedded Software Developer,
- TOE Manufacturer (compromises all roles before TOE delivery),
- Composite Product Manufacturer (compromises all roles after TOE delivery except the end consumer).

Accordingly, three different delivering procedures have to be taken into consideration:

- Delivery of the IC dedicated software components (IC dedicated SW, guidance) from the TOE Manufacturer to the IC Embedded Software Developer.
- Delivery of the IC Embedded Software (ROM / Flash data, initialisation and pre-personalization data, Bundle Business package) from the IC Embedded Software Developer to the TOE Manufacturer.
- Delivery of the final TOE from the TOE Manufacturer to the Composite Product Manufacturer. After phase 3 the TOE is delivered in form of wafers or sawn wafers, after phase 4 in form of modules (with or without inlay antenna).

The delivery procedures for each of the respective delivery ways are described in the respective confidential delivery documentation and have to be taken into account.

3. Security Policy

The Security Policy is expressed by the set of Security Functional Requirements which are implemented by the TOE. In principle, the Security Policy of the TOE is to provide basic security functionalities to be used by the smart card operating system and the smart card application thus providing an overall smart card system security.

These functionalities cover the following issues:

implement a symmetric cryptographic block cipher algorithm (Triple-DES and AES) to
ensure the confidentiality of plain text data by encryption and to support secure
authentication protocols, be it via direct hardware access or via the symmetric crypto lib
(SCL) and its high level interfaces,

- provide a True Random Number Generator (TRNG),
- via the RSA lib, provide a high level interface to RSA (Rivest, Shamir, Adleman) cryptography implemented on the hardware component Crypto2304T and include countermeasures against SPA, DPA and DFA attacks,
- via the EC lib, provide a high level interface to Elliptic Curve cryptography implemented on the hardware component Crypto2304T and includes countermeasures against SPA, DPA and DFA attacks.
- the SHA-library provides the calculation of a hash value of freely chosen data input in the CPU.

In more general and CC formal terms, besides providing certain secrutiv functionalities, the TOE shall

- maintain the integrity and the confidentiality of data stored in the memory of the TOE and
- maintain the integrity, the correct operation and the confidentiality of security functionalities (security mechanisms and associated functions) provided by the TOE.

4. Assumptions and Clarification of Scope

The Assumptions defined in the Security Target and some aspects of Threats and Organisational Security Policies are not covered by the TOE itself. These aspects lead to specific security objectives to be fulfilled by the TOE-Environment. The following topics are of relevance:

The ST only includes <u>one security objective for the IC Embedded Software Developer</u>, the objective OE.Resp-Appl:

 The objective OE.Resp-Appl states that the IC Embedded Software Developer shall treat user data (especially keys) appropriately. The IC Embedded Software Developer gets sufficient information on how to protect user data adequate.

The ST only includes <u>two security objectives for the operational environment</u> (for the Composite Product Manufacturer), the objectives OE.Process-Sec-IC and OE.Lim_Block_Loader:

 OE.Process-Sec-IC states that security procedures are used after delivery of the TOE by the TOE Manufacturer up to delivery to the end-consumer to maintain confidentiality and integrity of the TOE and of its manufacturing and test data (to prevent any possible copy, modification, retention, theft or unauthorised use). This means that the phases after TOE delivery are assumed to be protected appropriately.

The Composite Procut Manufacturer therefore has to be informed only about the general requirement resulting from OE.Process-Sec-IC. The Composite Product Manufacturer is informed about these requirements resulting from OE.Process-Sec-IC in [SLE97 PPUM].

 OE.Lim_Block_Loader states that the Composite Product Manufacturer will protect the Loader functionality against misuse, limit the capability of the Loader and terminate irreversibly the Loader after intended usage of the Loader.

This objective is relevant for the Composite Product Manufacturer. He is responsible for permanently deactivating the flash loader (if the flash loader is available) before delivery to the end user.

. Details can be found in the Security Target [6] and [9], chapter 4.

5. Architectural Information

The TOE provides a real 16-bit CPU-architecture and is compatible to the Intel 80251 architecture. The major components of the core system are the two CPUs (Central Processing Units), the MMU (Memory Management Unit) and MED (Memory Encryption/Decryption Unit). The dual interface controller is able to communicate using either the contact based or the contactless interface.

The flexible memory concept consists of ROM- and Flash-memory as part of the non volatile memory (NVM), respectively Infineon® SOLID FLASH™. For the Infineon® SOLID FLASH™ memory the Unified Channel Programming (UCP) memory technology is used. Note that there is no user available on-chip ROM module anymore. The user software and data are now located in a dedicated and protected part of the Infineon® SOLID FLASH™.

The TOE consists of the hardware part, the firmware part and the software part. The software part is differentiated into: the cryptographic libraries SCL, RSA, EC and SHA-2 and the supporting libraries Toolbox and Base. SCL, RSA, EC, SHA-2 and Toolbox provide certain functionality to the Smartcard Embedded Software.

Two cryptographic hardware co-processors serve the need of modern cryptography: The symmetric co-processor (SCP) combines both AES and Triple-DES with dual-key or triple-key hardware acceleration. The Asymmetric Crypto Co-processor is called Crypto2304T and provides computation accelerations for RSA-2048 bit (and 4096-bit) and Elliptic Curve (EC) cryptography.

This TOE is intended to be used in smart cards for particularly security relevant applications and for its previous use as developing platform for smart card operating systems. The term Smartcard Embedded Software is used in the following for all operating systems and applications stored and executed on the TOE. The TOE is the platform for the Smartcard Embedded Software.

The Flash Loader is a firmware located in the IFX-ROM (Read-Only Memory) and enables the download of the user software or parts of it to the Infineon® SOLID FLASH™ memory. After completion of the download, the Flash Loader shall be locked by the by the user.

6. Documentation

The evaluated documentation as outlined in table 2 is being provided with the product to the customer. This documentation contains the required information for secure usage of the TOE in accordance with the Security Target.

Additional obligations and notes for secure usage of the TOE as outlined in chapter 10 of this report have to be followed.

7. IT Product Testing

The tests performed by the developer were divided into five categories:

- Simulation tests (design verification),
- Qualification tests,
- Verification Tests,
- Security Evaluation Tests,
- Production Tests.

The developer tests covered all security functionalities and all security mechanisms as identified in the functional specification.

The evaluators were able to repeat the developer-conducted tests either using the library of programs, tools and prepared chip samples delivered to the evaluator. Or at the developer's site. They performed independent tests to supplement, augment and to verify the tests performed by the developer. For the developer tests repeated by the evaluators, other test parameters were used and the test equipment was varied. Security features of the TOE, realised by specific design and layout measures, were checked by the evaluators during layout inspections both in design data and on the final product.

The evaluation has shown that the actual version of the TOE provides the security functionalities as specified by the developer. The test results confirmed the correct implementation of the TOE security functionalities.

For penetration testing, the evaluators took all security functionalities into consideration. Intensive penetration testing was planned based on the analysis results and performed for the underlying mechanisms of security functionalities. The penetration tests considered both the physical tampering of the TOE and attacks which do not modify the TOE physically. The penetration tests results confirm that the TOE is resistant to attackers with high attack potential in the intended environment for the TOE.

8. Evaluated Configuration

This certification covers the following configurations of the TOE:

- Smartcard IC M7892 G12 (produced in Tainan),
- Smartcard IC M7892 D11 (produced in Dresden).

Depending on the blocking configuration a M7892 product can have a different user available configuration as described in Security Target Lite [7], chapter 1.1. For example, it it can come in one project with the fully available Infineon® SOLID FLASH™ or in another project with any other Infineon® SOLID FLASH™ -size below the physical implementation size, or with a different RAM size. And more, the user has the free choice, whether he needs the symmetric co-processor SCP, or the asymmetric co-processor Crypto2304T, or both, or none of them. In addition, the user decides, whether the TOE comes with a free combination of software libraries or without any. And, to be even more flexible, various interface options can be chosen as well.

All possible TOE configurations equal and/or within the below specified ranges are covered by the certificate. Note that there is no user available on-chip ROM module any more. The user software and data are now located in a dedicated and protected part of the SOLID FLASH™. According to the BPU option, a non limited number of configurations of

the TOE may occur in the field. The number of various configurations depends on the order and purchase contract only. The following table lists the configuration options:

Blocking object	Blocking options
SOLID FLASH™ NVM	0 to 404 kByte
User RAM	1 to 8 kByte
System Frequency	33 MHz up to 50 MHz
Crypto@2304T	accessible / blocked
Symmetric cryptographic coprocessor (SCP)	accessible / blocked
ISO 7816-3 slave	Available / not available
RFI – ISO 14443 generally	Available / not available
RFI Input Capacity	0pF, 27pF, 56pF, 78pF
ISO 14443 Type A card mode	Available / not available
ISO 14443 Type B card mode	Available / not available
ISO 18092 NFC passive mode	Available / not available
Advanced Communication Mode (ACM)	Available / not available
Mifare hardware support for card mode	Available / not available
Advanced Mode for Mifare Technology (AMM)	Available / not available
SW support for Mifare compatible 4k cards	Available / not available
SW support for Mifare compatible 1k cards	Available / not available
Direct data transfer (DDT)	Available / not available
Analogue contactless bridge (ACLB)	Available / not available
System Frequency	33 MHz up to 50 MHz
Hot Spot Distribution in SOLID FLASH™ NVM	Available / not available
RSA2048	v2.03.008 / not available
RSA4096	v2.03.008 / not available
EC	v2.03.008 / not available
SHA	v1.01 / not available
Symmetric Crypto Lib (SCL)	V2.02.010 / not available
Toolbox	v2.03.008 / not available
FW version / identifier	78.015.14.0 / 78.015.14.1 / 78.015.14.2 / 78.015.18.2

Table 3: TOE configurations

The entire configuration is done during the manufacturing process of the TOE according to the choice of the user or by Bill Per Use (BPU). BPU allows a customer to block chips on demand at the customer's premises. Customers, who intend to use this feature receive the TOEs in a predefined configuration. The blocking information is part of a chip configuration area. Dedicated blocking information can be modified by customers using specific APDUs. Once final blocking is done, further modifications are disabled.

The RSA (optional), EC (optional), SHA-2 (optional), Toolbox (optional), and Base Library (optional) as separate software parts of the TOE are identified by their unique version

numbers. The user can identify these versions by calculating the hash signatures of the provided library files. The mapping of these hash signatures to the version numbers is provided in the Security Target Lite [9], chapter 10.

9. Results of the Evaluation

9.1. CC specific results

The Evaluation Technical Report (ETR) [7] was provided by the ITSEF according to the Common Criteria [1], the Methodology [2], the requirements of the Scheme [3] and all interpretations and guidelines of the Scheme (AIS) [4] as relevant for the TOE.

The Evaluation Methodology CEM [2] was used for those components up to EAL 5 extended by advice of the Certification Body for components beyond EAL 5 and guidance specific for the technology of the product [4] (AIS 34).

The following guidance specific for the technology was used:

- The Application of CC to Integrated Circuits
- The Application of Attack Potential to Smartcards
- Functionality classes and evaluation methodology of physical random number generators

(see [4], AIS 25, AIS 26, AIS 31).

To support composite evaluations according to AIS 36 the document ETR for composite evaluation [10] was provided and approved. This document provides details of this platform evaluation that have to be considered in the course of a composite evaluation on top.

As a result of the evaluation the verdict PASS is confirmed for the following assurance components:

- All components of the EAL 6 package including the class ASE as defined in the CC (see also part C of this report)
- The components ALC FLR.1 augmented for this TOE evaluation.

As the evaluation work performed for this certification procedure was carried out as a re-evaluation based on the certificate BSI-DSZ-CC-0891-2015, re-use of specific evaluation tasks was possible. The focus of this re-evaluation was on introduction of another configuration (D11 design step) and the symmetric crypto library (SCL).

The evaluation has confirmed:

• PP Conformance: Security IC Platform Protection Profile with Augmentation

Packages Version 1.0, 13 January 2014,

BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014 [8]

for the Functionality:
 PP conformant plus product specific extensions

Common Criteria Part 2 extended

• for the Assurance: Common Criteria Part 3 conformant

EAL 6 augmented by ALC_FLR.1

For specific evaluation results regarding the development and production environment see annex B in part D of this report.

The results of the evaluation are only applicable to the TOE as defined in chapter 2 and the configuration as outlined in chapter 8 above.

9.2. Results of cryptographic assessment

The strength of the cryptographic algorithms was not rated in the course of this certification procedure (see BSIG Section 9, Para. 4, Clause 2). But Cryptographic Functionalities with a security level of lower than 100 bits can no longer be regarded as secure without considering the application context. Therefore, for these functionalities it shall be checked whether the related crypto operations are appropriate for the intended system. Some further hints and guidelines can be derived from the 'Technische Richtlinie BSI TR-02102' (https://www.bsi.bund.de).

A table with the provided cryptographic functionalities in given in the Security Target [6] and [9] in the respective section 7.1.4.1.

Any Cryptographic Functionality therein, that is marked in column 'Security Level above 100 Bits' with 'no', achieves a security level of lower than 100 Bits (in general context).

10. Obligations and Notes for the Usage of the TOE

The documents as outlined in table 2 contain necessary information about the usage of the TOE and all security hints therein have to be considered. In addition all aspects of Assumptions, Threats and OSPs as outlined in the Security Target not covered by the TOE itself need to be fulfilled by the operational environment of the TOE.

The customer or user of the product shall consider the results of the certification within his system risk management process. In order for the evolution of attack methods and techniques to be covered, he should define the period of time until a re-assessment of the TOE is required and thus requested from the sponsor of the certificate.

Some security measures are partly implemented in this certified TOE, but require additional configuration or control or measures to be implemented by a product layer on top, e.g. the Embedded Software using the TOE. For this reason the TOE includes guidance documentation (see table 2) which contains obligations and guidelines for the developer of the product layer on top on how to securely use this certified TOE and which measures have to be implemented in order to fulfil the security requirements of the Security Target of the TOE. In the course of the evaluation of the composite product or system it must be examined if the required measures have been correctly and effectively implemented by the product layer on top. Additionally, the evaluation of the composite product or system must also consider the evaluation results as outlined in the document ETR for composite evaluation [10].

In addition, the following aspects need to be fulfilled when using the TOE:

• All security hints described in the delivered documents [12] to [19] have to be considered.

The Composite Product Manufacturer receives all necessary recommendations and hints to develop his software in form of the delivered documentation.

All security hints described in [20] have to be considered.

In addition the following hint resulting from the evaluation of the ALC evaluation aspect has to be considered:

• The IC Embedded Software Developer can deliver his software either to Infineon to let them implement it in the TOE (in Flash memory) or to the Composite Product Manufacturer to let him download the software in the Flash memory.

• The delivery procedure from the IC Embedded Software Developer to the Composite Product Manufacturer is not part of this evaluation and a secure delivery is required.

11. Security Target

For the purpose of publishing, the Security Target [9] of the Target of Evaluation (TOE) is provided within a separate document as Annex A of this report. It is a sanitised version of the complete Security Target [6] used for the evaluation performed. Sanitisation was performed according to the rules as outlined in the relevant CCRA policy (see AIS 35 [4]).

12. Definitions

12.1. Acronyms

AES Advanced Encryption Standard

APB™ Advanced Peripheral Bus

APDU Application Protocol Data Unit

API Application Programming Interface

AXI™ Advanced eXtensible Interface Bus Protocol

BPU Bill Per Use

BSI Bundesamt für Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik / Federal Office for

Information Security, Bonn, Germany

BSIG BSI-Gesetz / Act on the Federal Office for Information Security

CC Common Criteria for IT Security Evaluation
CCRA Common Criteria Recognition Arrangement

CEM Common Methodology for Information Technology Security Evaluation

CI Chip Identification Mode (STS-CI)

CIM Chip Identification Mode (STS-CI), same as CI

CPU Central Processing Unit
CRC Cyclic Redundancy Check

Crypto2304T Asymmetric Cryptographic Processor

CRT Chinese Reminder Theorem

DCLB Digital Contactless Bridge

DES Data Encryption Standard; symmetric block cipher algorithm

DFA Differential Failure AnalysisDPA Differential Power AnalysisEAL Evaluation Assurance Level

EC Elliptic Curve Cryptography

ECC Error Correction Code

ECDH Elliptic Curve Diffie–Hellman

ECDSA Elliptic Curve Digital Signature Algorithm

EDC Error Detection Code
EDU Error Detection Unit

EEPROM Electrically Erasable and Programmable Read Only Memory

EMA Electro Magnetic Analysis

Flash EEPROM Flash Memory

FL Flash Loader software

FW Firmware

GCIM Generic Chip Identification Mode

HW Hardware

IC Integrated Circuit

ICO Internal Clock Oscillator

ID Identification

IMM Interface Management Module

IRAM Internal Random Access Memory

IT Information Technology

ITP Interrupt and Peripheral Event Channel Controller
ITSEF Information Technology Security Evaluation Facility

I/O Input/Output

MED Memory Encryption and Decryption

MMU Memory Management Unit

NVM Non-Volatile Memory
OS Operating system
ST Security Target

PEC Peripheral Event Channel

PP Protection Profile

PRNG Pseudo Random Number Generator
PROM Programmable Read Only Memory

RAM Random Access Memory

RMS Resource Management System

RNG Random Number Generator

ROM Read Only Memory

RSA Rives-Shamir-Adleman Algorithm

SAM Service Algorithm Minimal

SCP Symmetric Cryptographic Processor

SF Security Feature

SFR Special Function Register, as well as Security Functional Requirement,

the specific meaning is given in the context

SO Security Objective

SOLID FLASH™ An Infineon Trade Mark and Stands for Flash EEPROM Technology

SPA Simple Power Analysis

STS Self Test Software

SW Software

TOE Target of Evaluation
TM Test Mode (STS)

TRNG True Random Number Generator
TSC TOE Security Functions Control

TSF TOE Security Functionality

UART Universal Asynchronous Receiver/Transmitter

UM User Mode (STS)

UmSLC User Mode Security Life Control

WDT Watch Dog Timer

XRAM eXtended Random Access Memory **3DES** Triple DES Encryption Standards

12.2. Glossary

Augmentation - The addition of one or more requirement(s) to a package.

Collaborative Protection Profile - A Protection Profile collaboratively developed by an International Technical Community endorsed by the Management Committee.

Extension - The addition to an ST or PP of functional requirements not contained in CC part 2 and/or assurance requirements not contained in CC part 3.

Formal - Expressed in a restricted syntax language with defined semantics based on well-established mathematical concepts.

Informal - Expressed in natural language.

Object - A passive entity in the TOE, that contains or receives information, and upon which subjects perform operations.

Package - named set of either security functional or security assurance requirements

Protection Profile - A formal document defined in CC, expressing an implementation independent set of security requirements for a category of IT Products that meet specific consumer needs.

Security Target - An implementation-dependent statement of security needs for a specific identified TOE.

Semiformal - Expressed in a restricted syntax language with defined semantics.

Subject - An active entity in the TOE that performs operations on objects.

Target of Evaluation - An IT Product and its associated administrator and user guidance documentation that is the subject of an Evaluation.

TOE Security Functionality - Combined functionality of all hardware, software, and firmware of a TOE that must be relied upon for the correct enforcement of the SFRs.

13. Bibliography

- [1] Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Version 3.1, Part 1: Introduction and general model, Revision 4, September 2012 Part 2: Security functional components, Revision 4, September 2012 Part 3: Security assurance components, Revision 4, September 2012 http://www.commoncriteriaportal.org
- [2] Common Methodology for Information Technology Security Evaluation (CEM), Evaluation Methodology, Version 3.1, Rev. 4, September 2012, http://www.commoncriteriaportal.org
- [3] BSI certification: Scheme documentation describing the certification process (CC-Produkte) and Scheme documentation on requirements for the Evaluation Facility, approval and licencing (CC-Stellen), https://www.bsi.bund.de/zertifizierung
- [4] Application Notes and Interpretations of the Scheme (AIS) as relevant for the TOE⁸ https://www.bsi.bund.de/AIS
- [5] German IT Security Certificates (BSI 7148), periodically updated list published also on the BSI Website, https://www.bsi.bund.de/zertifizierungsreporte

8specifically

AIS 1, Version 13, Durchführung der Ortsbesichtigung in der Entwicklungsumgebung des Herstellers

- AIS 14, Version 7, Anforderungen an Aufbau und Inhalt der ETR-Teile (Evaluation Technical Report) für Evaluationen nach CC (Common Criteria)
- AIS 19, Version 9, Verbindlich Anforderungen an Aufbau und Inhalt der Zusammenfassung des ETR (Evaluation Technical Report) für Evaluationen nach CC (Common Criteria)
- AIS 20, Version 3, Funktionalitätsklassen und Evaluationsmethodologie für deterministische Zufallszahlengeneratoren
- AIS 23, Version 3, Zusammentragen von Nachweisen der Entwickler
- AIS 25, Version 8, Anwendung der CC auf Integrierte Schaltungen including JIL Document and CC Supporting Document
- AIS 26, Version 9, Evaluationsmethodologie für in Hardware integrierte Schaltungen including JIL Document and CC Supporting Document
- AIS 31, Version 3, Funktionalitätsklassen und Evaluationsmethodologie für physikalische Zufallszahlengeneratoren
- AIS 32, Version 7, CC-Interpretationen im deutschen Zertifizierungsschema
- AIS 34, Version 3, Evaluation Methodology for CC Assurance Classes for EAL 5+ (CCv2.3 & CCv3.1) and EAL 6 (CCv3.1)
- AIS 35, Version 2, Öffentliche Fassung des Security Targets (ST-Lite) including JIL Document and CC Supporting Document and CCRA policies
- AIS 36, Version 4, Kompositionsevaluierung including JIL Document and CC Supporting Document
- AIS 37, Version 3, Terminologie und Vorbereitung von Smartcard-Evaluierungen
- AIS 38, Version 2, Reuse of evaluation results
- AIS 46, Version 3, Informationen zur Evaluierung von kryptographischen Algorithmen und ergänzende Hinweise für die Evaluierung von Zufallszahlengeneratoren

[6] Security Target BSI-DSZ-CC-0891-V2-2016, Version 1.7, 2016-11-16, Confidential Security Target – M7892 Design Steps D11 and G12, Infineon Technologies AG (confidential document)

- [7] Evaluation Technical Report, Version 1, 2016-11-25, Evaluation Technical Report Summary (ETR Summary), TÜViT, (confidential document)
- [8] Security IC Platform Protection Profile with Augmentation Packages Version 1.0, 13 January 2014, BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014
- [9] Security Target BSI-DSZ-CC-0891-V2-2016, Version 1.7, 2016-11-16, Public Security Target M7892 Design Steps D11 and G12, Infineon Technologies (sanitised public document)
- [10] ETR for composite evaluation according to AIS 36 for BSI-DSZ-CC-0891-V2-2016, Version 1, 2016-12-02, Evaluation Technical Report For Composite Evaluation (ETR COMP), TÜViT (confidential document)
- [11] Configuration Management Scope for Common Criteria with Evaluation Assurance Level EAL6 augmented (EAL6+) D11 and M7892 G12 Including optional Software Libraries RSA EC SHA-2 Toolbox SCL, Version 1.5, 2016-10-04, Infineon Technologies AG (confidential document)
- [12] M7892 Security Guidelines, 2016-10-12, Infineon Technologies AG
- [13] M7892 SOLID FLASH Controller for Security Applications 16-bit Security Controller Family 90-nm Technology Hardware Reference Manual, Version 1.7.1, 2015-09-21, Infineon Technologies AG
- [14] 16-bit Security Controller Family SLE 70 Programmer's Reference Manual, v9.1, 2016-02-11, Infineon Technologies AG
- [15] SLx70 Family Secure Hash Algorithm SHA-2 (SHA 256/221, SHA 512/384) Library Version V1.0.1, 2009-11, Infineon Technologies AG
- [16] Crypto@2304T User Manual, 2010-03-23, Infineon Technologies AG
- [17] M7892 16-bit Security Controller Family 90 nm Technology Errata Sheet, v1.9, 2015-03-26
- [18] SCL78 Symmetric Crypto Library for SCPv3 DES / AES User Interface, v2.02.010, 2016-10-14, Infineon Technologies AG
- [19] SLE70 Asymmetric Crypto Library for Crypto@2304T RSA / ECC / Toolbox User Interface (v2.03.008), v2.03.008, 2014-07-31, Infineon Technologies AG
- [20] SLx 70 Family Production and Personalization User's Manual, 2015-04-01, Infineon Technologies AG

This page is intentionally left blank.

C. Excerpts from the Criteria

CC Part 1:

Conformance Claim (chapter 10.4)

"The conformance claim indicates the source of the collection of requirements that is met by a PP or ST that passes its evaluation. This conformance claim contains a CC conformance claim that:

- describes the version of the CC to which the PP or ST claims conformance.
- describes the conformance to CC Part 2 (security functional requirements) as either:
 - CC Part 2 conformant A PP or ST is CC Part 2 conformant if all SFRs in that PP or ST are based only upon functional components in CC Part 2, or
 - CC Part 2 extended A PP or ST is CC Part 2 extended if at least one SFR in that PP or ST is not based upon functional components in CC Part 2.
- describes the conformance to CC Part 3 (security assurance requirements) as either:
 - CC Part 3 conformant A PP or ST is CC Part 3 conformant if all SARs in that PP or ST are based only upon assurance components in CC Part 3, or
 - CC Part 3 extended A PP or ST is CC Part 3 extended if at least one SAR in that PP or ST is not based upon assurance components in CC Part 3.

Additionally, the conformance claim may include a statement made with respect to packages, in which case it consists of one of the following:

- Package name Conformant A PP or ST is conformant to a pre-defined package (e.g. EAL) if:
 - the SFRs of that PP or ST are identical to the SFRs in the package, or
 - the SARs of that PP or ST are identical to the SARs in the package.
- Package name Augmented A PP or ST is an augmentation of a predefined package if:
 - the SFRs of that PP or ST contain all SFRs in the package, but have at least one additional SFR or one SFR that is hierarchically higher than an SFR in the package.
 - the SARs of that PP or ST contain all SARs in the package, but have at least one additional SAR or one SAR that is hierarchically higher than an SAR in the package.

Note that when a TOE is successfully evaluated to a given ST, any conformance claims of the ST also hold for the TOE. A TOE can therefore also be e.g. CC Part 2 conformant.

Finally, the conformance claim may also include two statements with respect to Protection Profiles:

- PP Conformant A PP or TOE meets specific PP(s), which are listed as part of the conformance result.
- Conformance Statement (Only for PPs) This statement describes the manner in which PPs or STs must conform to this PP: strict or demonstrable. For more information on this Conformance Statement, see Annex D."

CC Part 3:

Class APE: Protection Profile evaluation (chapter 10)

"Evaluating a PP is required to demonstrate that the PP is sound and internally consistent, and, if the PP is based on one or more other PPs or on packages, that the PP is a correct instantiation of these PPs and packages. These properties are necessary for the PP to be suitable for use as the basis for writing an ST or another PP.

Assurance Class	Assurance Components
	APE_INT.1 PP introduction
	APE_CCL.1 Conformance claims
Class APE: Protection	APE_SPD.1 Security problem definition
Profile evaluation	APE_OBJ.1 Security objectives for the operational environment APE_OBJ.2 Security objectives
	APE_ECD.1 Extended components definition
	APE_REQ.1 Stated security requirements APE_REQ.2 Derived security requirements

Table 4: APE: Protection Profile evaluation class decomposition"

Class ASE: Security Target evaluation (chapter 11)

"Evaluating an ST is required to demonstrate that the ST is sound and internally consistent, and, if the ST is based on one or more PPs or packages, that the ST is a correct instantiation of these PPs and packages. These properties are necessary for the ST to be suitable for use as the basis for a TOE evaluation."

Assurance Class	Assurance Components
	ASE_INT.1 ST introduction
	ASE_CCL.1 Conformance claims
Class ASE: Security	ASE_SPD.1 Security problem definition
Target evaluation	ASE_OBJ.1 Security objectives for the operational environment ASE_OBJ.2 Security objectives
	ASE_ECD.1 Extended components definition
	ASE_REQ.1 Stated security requirements ASE_REQ.2 Derived security requirements
	ASE_TSS.1 TOE summary specification ASE_TSS.2 TOE summary specification with architectural design summary

Table 5: ASE: Security Target evaluation class decomposition

Security assurance components (chapter 7)

"The following Sections describe the constructs used in representing the assurance classes, families, and components."

"Each assurance class contains at least one assurance family."

"Each assurance family contains one or more assurance components."

The following table shows the assurance class decomposition.

Assurance Class	Assurance Components		
ADV: Development	ADV_ARC.1 Security architecture description		
	ADV_FSP.1 Basic functional specification ADV_FSP.2 Security-enforcing functional specification ADV_FSP.3 Functional specification with complete summary ADV_FSP.4 Complete functional specification ADV_FSP.5 Complete semi-formal functional specification with additional error information ADV_FSP.6 Complete semi-formal functional specification with additional formal specification		
	ADV_IMP.1 Implementation representation of the TSF ADV_IMP.2 Implementation of the TSF		
	ADV_INT.1 Well-structured subset of TSF internals ADV_INT.2 Well-structured internals ADV_INT.3 Minimally complex internals		
	ADV_SPM.1 Formal TOE security policy model		
	ADV_TDS.1 Basic design ADV_TDS.2 Architectural design ADV_TDS.3 Basic modular design ADV_TDS.4 Semiformal modular design ADV_TDS.5 Complete semiformal modular design ADV_TDS.6 Complete semiformal modular design with formal high-level design presentation		
AGD:	AGD_OPE.1 Operational user guidance		
Guidance documents	AGD_PRE.1 Preparative procedures		
	ALC_CMC.1 Labelling of the TOE ALC_CMC.2 Use of a CM system ALC_CMC.3 Authorisation controls ALC_CMC.4 Production support, acceptance procedures and automation ALC_CMC.5 Advanced support		
ALC: Life cycle support	ALC_CMS.1 TOE CM coverage ALC_CMS.2 Parts of the TOE CM coverage ALC_CMS.3 Implementation representation CM coverage ALC_CMS.4 Problem tracking CM coverage ALC_CMS.5 Development tools CM coverage		
	ALC_DEL.1 Delivery procedures		
	ALC_DVS.1 Identification of security measures ALC_DVS.2 Sufficiency of security measures		
	ALC_FLR.1 Basic flaw remediation ALC_FLR.2 Flaw reporting procedures ALC_FLR.3 Systematic flaw remediation		
	ALC_LCD.1 Developer defined life-cycle model		

Assurance Class	Assurance Components
	ALC_LCD.2 Measurable life-cycle model
	ALC_TAT.1 Well-defined development tools ALC_TAT.2 Compliance with implementation standards ALC_TAT.3 Compliance with implementation standards - all parts
	ATE_COV.1 Evidence of coverage ATE_COV.2 Analysis of coverage ATE_COV.3 Rigorous analysis of coverage
ATE: Tests	ATE_DPT.1 Testing: basic design ATE_DPT.2 Testing: security enforcing modules ATE_DPT.3 Testing: modular design ATE_DPT.4 Testing: implementation representation
	ATE_FUN.1 Functional testing ATE_FUN.2 Ordered functional testing
	ATE_IND.1 Independent testing – conformance ATE_IND.2 Independent testing – sample ATE_IND.3 Independent testing – complete
AVA: Vulnerability assessment	AVA_VAN.1 Vulnerability survey AVA_VAN.2 Vulnerability analysis AVA_VAN.3 Focused vulnerability analysis AVA_VAN.4 Methodical vulnerability analysis AVA_VAN.5 Advanced methodical vulnerability analysis

Table 6: Assurance class decomposition

Evaluation assurance levels (chapter 8)

"The Evaluation Assurance Levels (EALs) provide an increasing scale that balances the level of assurance obtained with the cost and feasibility of acquiring that degree of assurance. The CC approach identifies the separate concepts of assurance in a TOE at the end of the evaluation, and of maintenance of that assurance during the operational use of the TOE.

It is important to note that not all families and components from CC Part 3 are included in the EALs. This is not to say that these do not provide meaningful and desirable assurances. Instead, it is expected that these families and components will be considered for augmentation of an EAL in those PPs and STs for which they provide utility."

Evaluation assurance level (EAL) overview (chapter 8.1)

"Table 1 represents a summary of the EALs. The columns represent a hierarchically ordered set of EALs, while the rows represent assurance families. Each number in the resulting matrix identifies a specific assurance component where applicable.

As outlined in the next Section, seven hierarchically ordered evaluation assurance levels are defined in the CC for the rating of a TOE's assurance. They are hierarchically ordered inasmuch as each EAL represents more assurance than all lower EALs. The increase in assurance from EAL to EAL is accomplished by substitution of a hierarchically higher assurance component from the same assurance family (i.e. increasing rigour, scope, and/or depth) and from the addition of assurance components from other assurance families (i.e. adding new requirements).

These EALs consist of an appropriate combination of assurance components as described in Chapter 7 of this CC Part 3. More precisely, each EAL includes no more than one

component of each assurance family and all assurance dependencies of every component are addressed.

While the EALs are defined in the CC, it is possible to represent other combinations of assurance. Specifically, the notion of "augmentation" allows the addition of assurance components (from assurance families not already included in the EAL) or the substitution of assurance components (with another hierarchically higher assurance component in the same assurance family) to an EAL. Of the assurance constructs defined in the CC, only EALs may be augmented. The notion of an "EAL minus a constituent assurance component" is not recognised by the standard as a valid claim. Augmentation carries with it the obligation on the part of the claimant to justify the utility and added value of the added assurance component to the EAL. An EAL may also be augmented with extended assurance requirements.

Evaluation assurance level 1 (EAL 1) - functionally tested (chapter 8.3)

"Objectives

EAL 1 is applicable where some confidence in correct operation is required, but the threats to security are not viewed as serious. It will be of value where independent assurance is required to support the contention that due care has been exercised with respect to the protection of personal or similar information.

EAL 1 requires only a limited security target. It is sufficient to simply state the SFRs that the TOE must meet, rather than deriving them from threats, OSPs and assumptions through security objectives.

EAL 1 provides an evaluation of the TOE as made available to the customer, including independent testing against a specification, and an examination of the guidance documentation provided. It is intended that an EAL 1 evaluation could be successfully conducted without assistance from the developer of the TOE, and for minimal outlay.

An evaluation at this level should provide evidence that the TOE functions in a manner consistent with its documentation."

Evaluation assurance level 2 (EAL 2) - structurally tested (chapter 8.4)

"Objectives

EAL 2 requires the co-operation of the developer in terms of the delivery of design information and test results, but should not demand more effort on the part of the developer than is consistent with good commercial practise. As such it should not require a substantially increased investment of cost or time.

EAL 2 is therefore applicable in those circumstances where developers or users require a low to moderate level of independently assured security in the absence of ready availability of the complete development record. Such a situation may arise when securing legacy systems, or where access to the developer may be limited."

Evaluation assurance level 3 (EAL 3) - methodically tested and checked (chapter 8.5) "Objectives

EAL 3 permits a conscientious developer to gain maximum assurance from positive security engineering at the design stage without substantial alteration of existing sound development practises.

EAL 3 is applicable in those circumstances where developers or users require a moderate level of independently assured security, and require a thorough investigation of the TOE and its development without substantial re-engineering."

Evaluation assurance level 4 (EAL 4) - methodically designed, tested, and reviewed (chapter 8.6)

"Objectives

EAL 4 permits a developer to gain maximum assurance from positive security engineering based on good commercial development practises which, though rigorous, do not require substantial specialist knowledge, skills, and other resources. EAL 4 is the highest level at which it is likely to be economically feasible to retrofit to an existing product line.

EAL 4 is therefore applicable in those circumstances where developers or users require a moderate to high level of independently assured security in conventional commodity TOEs and are prepared to incur additional security-specific engineering costs."

Evaluation assurance level 5 (EAL 5) - semiformally designed and tested (chapter 8.7)

"Objectives

EAL 5 permits a developer to gain maximum assurance from security engineering based upon rigorous commercial development practises supported by moderate application of specialist security engineering techniques. Such a TOE will probably be designed and developed with the intent of achieving EAL 5 assurance. It is likely that the additional costs attributable to the EAL 5 requirements, relative to rigorous development without the application of specialised techniques, will not be large.

EAL 5 is therefore applicable in those circumstances where developers or users require a high level of independently assured security in a planned development and require a rigorous development approach without incurring unreasonable costs attributable to specialist security engineering techniques."

Evaluation assurance level 6 (EAL 6) - semiformally verified design and tested (chapter 8.8)

"Objectives

EAL 6 permits developers to gain high assurance from application of security engineering techniques to a rigorous development environment in order to produce a premium TOE for protecting high value assets against significant risks.

EAL 6 is therefore applicable to the development of security TOEs for application in high risk situations where the value of the protected assets justifies the additional costs."

Evaluation assurance level 7 (EAL 7) - formally verified design and tested (chapter 8.9)

"Objectives

EAL 7 is applicable to the development of security TOEs for application in extremely high risk situations and/or where the high value of the assets justifies the higher costs. Practical application of EAL 7 is currently limited to TOEs with tightly focused security functionality that is amenable to extensive formal analysis."

Assurance Class	Assurance Family	Assurance Components by Evaluation Assurance Level						
		EAL 1	EAL 2	EAL 3	EAL 4	EAL 5	EAL 6	EAL 7
Development	ADV_ARC		1	1	1	1	1	1
	ADV_FSP	1	2	3	4	5	5	6
	ADV_IMP				1	1	2	2
	ADV_INT					2	3	3
	ADV_SPM						1	1
	ADV_TDS		1	2	3	4	5	6
Guidance	AGD_OPE	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Documents	AGD_PRE	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Life cycle	ALC_CMC	1	2	3	4	4	5	5
Support	ALC_CMS	1	2	3	4	5	5	5
	ALC_DEL		1	1	1	1	1	1
	ALC_DVS			1	1	1	2	2
	ALC_FLR							
	ALC_LCD			1	1	1	1	2
	ALC_TAT				1	2	3	3
Security Target Evaluation	ASE_CCL	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Evaluation	ASE_ECD	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	ASE_INT	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	ASE_OBJ	1	2	2	2	2	2	2
	ASR_REQ	1	2	2	2	2	2	2
	ASE_SPD		1	1	1	1	1	1
	ASE_TSS	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Tests	ATE_COV		1	2	2	2	3	3
	ATE_DPT			1	1	3	3	4
	ATE_FUN		1	1	1	1	2	2
	ATE_IND	1	2	2	2	2	2	3
Vulnerability assessment	AVA_VAN	1	2	2	3	4	5	5

Table 7: Evaluation assurance level summary"

Class AVA: Vulnerability assessment (chapter 16)

"The AVA: Vulnerability assessment class addresses the possibility of exploitable vulnerabilities introduced in the development or the operation of the TOE."

Vulnerability analysis (AVA VAN) (chapter 16.1)

"Objectives

Vulnerability analysis is an assessment to determine whether potential vulnerabilities identified, during the evaluation of the development and anticipated operation of the TOE or by other methods (e.g. by flaw hypotheses or quantitative or statistical analysis of the security behaviour of the underlying security mechanisms), could allow attackers to violate the SFRs.

Vulnerability analysis deals with the threats that an attacker will be able to discover flaws that will allow unauthorised access to data and functionality, allow the ability to interfere with or alter the TSF, or interfere with the authorised capabilities of other users."

D. Annexes

List of annexes of this certification report

Annex A: Security Target provided within a separate document.

Annex B: Evaluation results regarding development

and production environment

This page is intentionally left blank.

Annex B of Certification Report BSI-DSZ-CC-0891-V2-2016

Evaluation results regarding development and production environment



The IT product Infineon Security Controller, M7892 Design Steps D11 and G12, with optional RSA2048/4096 v2.03.008, EC v2.03.008, SHA-2 v1.01 and Toolbox v2.03.008 libraries, symmetric crypto library v2.02.010 and with specific IC dedicated software (firmware) (Target of Evaluation, TOE) has been evaluated at an approved evaluation facility using the Common Methodology for IT Security Evaluation (CEM), Version 3.1 extended by Scheme Interpretations and by advice of the Certification Body for components beyond EAL 5 and CC Supporting Documents for conformance to the Common Criteria for IT Security Evaluation (CC), Version 3.1.

As a result of the TOE certification, dated 20 December 2016, the following results regarding the development and production environment apply. The Common Criteria assurance requirements ALC – Life cycle support (i.e. ALC_CMC.5, ALC_CMS.5, ALC_DEL.1, ALC_DVS.2, ALC_FLR.1, ALC_LCD.1, ALC_TAT.3)

are fulfilled for the development and production sites of the TOE listed below:

Site ID	Company name and address	Functions of site			
Development					
IFX Augsburg	Infineon Technologies AG Alter Postweg 101 86159 Augsburg Germany	Development			
IFX Austria	Infineon Technologies Austria AG Development Center Graz Babenbergerstr. 10 8020 Graz Austria	Development, IT			
	Infineon Technologies Austria AG Siemensstr. 2 9500 Villach Austria				
	Infineon Technologies Austria AG Lakeside B05 9020 Klagenfurt Austria				
IFX Bangalore	Infineon Technologies India Pvt. Ltd. Kalyani Platina, Sy. No. 6 & 24 Kundanahalli Village Krishnaraja Puram Hobli Bangalore India – 560066 India	Development			

Site ID	Company name and address	Functions of site
IFX Bucharest	Infineon Technologies Romania Blvd. Dimitrie Pompeiu Nr. 6 Sector 2 020335 Bucharest Romania	Development
IFX Milpitas	Infineon Technologies AG Chip Card and Security 640 North McCarthy Blvd Milpitas, CA 95035	Development
IFX Munich	Infineon Technologies AG Am Campeon 1-12 85579 Neubiberg Germany	Development, IT
IFX Melaka	Infineon Technologies Sdn. Bhd. Batu Berendam FTZ 75350, Melaka Malaysia	IT
Production		
Amkor Manila	Amkor Technology Philippines Km. 22 East Service Rd. South Superhighway Muntinlupa City 1702 Philippines	Pre-Assembly, Module Assembly, Module Test
	Amkor Technology Philippines 119 North Science Avenue Laguna Technopark, Binan Laguna 4024 Philippines	
ARDT Hsin-Chu	Ardentec Corporation No. 3, Gungye 3rd Rd., Hsin-Chu Industrial Park, Hu-Kou, Hsin-Chu Hsien Taiwan 30351, R.O.C.	Wafer test
ARDT Singapore	Ardentec Singapore Pte. Ltd. 12 Woodlands Loop #02-00 Singapore 738283	Wafer test
ASK Burlington	ASK-intTag, LLC Building 966 1000 River St., Essex Junction, Vermont 05452 USA	Inlay assembly
DHL Singapore	DHL Exel Supply Chain Richland Business Centre 11 Bedok North Ave 4, Level 3, Singapore 489949	Distribution Center Asia
DNP Agrate	DNP Photomask Europe S.p.A. Via C. Olivetti 2/A	Mask production

Site ID	Company name and address	Functions of site
	20041 Agrate Brianza Italy	
G&D Nitra	Giesecke & Devrient Slovakia, s.r.o. Dolné Hony 11 94901 Nitra Slovakia	Distribution Center
HID Galway	HID Global Ireland Teoranta Pairc Tionscail na Tullaigh Baile na hAbhann Co. Galway Ireland	Inlay Assembly, Inlay test
IFX Dresden	Infineon Technologies Dresden GmbH & Co. OHG Königsbrücker Str. 180 01099 Dresden Germany	Wafer production, Wafer test
IFX Morgan Hill	Infineon Technologies North America Corp. 18275 Serene Drive Morgan Hill, CA 95037 USA	Inlay test, Distribution
IFX Regensburg	Infineon Technologies AG Wernerwerkstraße 2 93049 Regensburg Germany	Pre-Assembly, Assembly, Module test, Scrap, IT (production)
IFX Singapore	Infineon Technologies Asia Pacific PTE Ltd. 168 Kallang Way Singapore 349253	Module test
IFX Wuxi	Infineon Technologies (Wuxi) Co. Ltd. No. 118, Xing Chuang San Lu Wuxi-Singapore Industrial Park Wuxi 214028, Jiangsu P.R. China	Module Assembly, Module test, Distribution Center China
K&N Großostheim	Infineon Technology AG Distribution Center Europe (DCE) Kühne & Nagel Stockstädter Strasse 10 – Building 8A 63762 Großostheim Germany	Distribution Center Europe
K&N Hayward	Kuehne & Nagel 30805 Santana Street Hayward, CA 94544 USA	Distribution Center USA
Smartrac Bangkok	Smartrac Technology Ltd. 142/121/115 Moo	Inlay assembly, Inlay test

Site ID	Company name and address	Functions of site
	Hi-Tech Industrial Estate Tambon Ban Laean Amphor Bang-Pa-In 13160 Ayutthaya Thailand	
Toppan Dresden	Toppan Photomask, Inc Rähnitzer Allee 9 01109 Dresden Germany	Mask production
Toppan Ranzan	Toppan Printing Co., Ltd. Ranzan Plant 6-2, Hanami-Dai, Ranzan-Machi, Hiki-Gun, Saitama 355-0204 Japan	Inlay assembly
Toppan Round Rock	Toppan Printing Company America, Inc. Round Rock Site 2175 Greenhill Drive Round Rock, Texas 78664 USA	Inlay assembly, IT
TSMC Tainan	Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company Ltd. 1, Nan-Ke North Rd. Tainan Science Park Tainan 741-44 Taiwan	Mask production, Wafer production

Table 8: Relevant development and production sites

For the sites listed above, the requirements have been specifically applied in accordance with the Security Target [6]. The evaluators verified, that the threats, security objectives and requirements for the TOE life cycle phases up to delivery (as stated in the Security Target [6] and [9]) are fulfilled by the procedures of these sites.