

**Certification Report** 

# BSI-DSZ-CC-0926-V2-2017

for

Infineon Technologies Security Controller M7793 A12 and G12 with optional RSA2048/4096 v1.02.013 or v2.00.002, EC v1.02.013 or v2.00.002 and Toolbox v1.02.013 or v2.00.002 libraries and with specific IC-dedicated software

from

# **Infineon Technologies AG**

BSI - Bundesamt für Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik, Postfach 20 03 63, D-53133 Bonn Phone +49 (0)228 99 9582-0, Fax +49 (0)228 9582-5477, Infoline +49 (0)228 99 9582-111



from

PP Conformance:

Functionality:

Assurance:

Deutsches

# IT-Sicherheitszertifikat

Bundesamt für Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik

Security IC Platform Protection Profile, Version 1.0,

EAL 5 augmented by ALC DVS.2 and AVA VAN.5

PP conformant plus product specific extensions

15 June 2007, BSI-CC-PP-0035-2007

Common Criteria Part 2 extended Common Criteria Part 3 conformant

#### BSI-DSZ-CC-0926-V2-2017 (\*)

Infineon Technologies Security Controller M7793 A12 and G12 with optional RSA2048/4096 v1.02.013 or v2.00.002, EC v1.02.013 or v2.00.002 and Toolbox v1.02.013 or v2.00.002 libraries and with specific IC-dedicated software

Infineon Technologies AG



SOGIS Recognition Agreement



The IT Product identified in this certificate has been evaluated at an approved evaluation facility using the Common Methodology for IT Security Evaluation (CEM), Version 3.1 extended by Scheme Interpretations and by advice of the Certification Body for components beyond EAL 5 and CC Supporting Documents as listed in the Certification Report for conformance to the Common Criteria for IT Security Evaluation (CC), Version 3.1. CC and CEM are also published as ISO/IEC 15408 and ISO/IEC 18045.

(\*) This certificate applies only to the specific version and release of the product in its evaluated configuration and in conjunction with the complete Certification Report and Notification. For details on the validity see Certification Report part A chapter 4

The evaluation has been conducted in accordance with the provisions of the certification scheme of the German Federal Office for Information Security (BSI) and the conclusions of the evaluation facility in the evaluation technical report are consistent with the evidence adduced.

This certificate is not an endorsement of the IT Product by the Federal Office for Information Security or any other organisation that recognises or gives effect to this certificate, and no warranty of the IT Product by the Federal Office for Information Security or any other organisation that recognises or gives effect to this certificate, is either expressed or implied.

Bonn, 5 September 2017

For the Federal Office for Information Security



Common Criteria Recognition Arrangement for components up to EAL 4



Bernd Kowalski Head of Division

 Bundesamt für Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik

 Godesberger Allee 185-189 - D-53175 Bonn
 Postfach 20 03 63 - D-53133 Bonn

 Phone +49 (0)228 99 9582-0 - Fax +49 (0)228 9582-5477 - Infoline +49 (0)228 99 9582-111

This page is intentionally left blank.

# **Preliminary Remarks**

Under the BSIG<sup>1</sup> Act, the Federal Office for Information Security (BSI) has the task of issuing certificates for information technology products.

Certification of a product is carried out on the instigation of the vendor or a distributor, hereinafter called the sponsor.

A part of the procedure is the technical examination (evaluation) of the product according to the security criteria published by the BSI or generally recognised security criteria.

The evaluation is normally carried out by an evaluation facility recognised by the BSI or by BSI itself.

The result of the certification procedure is the present Certification Report. This report contains among others the certificate (summarised assessment) and the detailed Certification Results.

The Certification Results contain the technical description of the security functionality of the certified product, the details of the evaluation (strength and weaknesses) and instructions for the user.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Act on the Federal Office for Information Security (BSI-Gesetz - BSIG) of 14 August 2009, Bundesgesetzblatt I p. 2821

# Contents

A. Certification	7
<ol> <li>Specifications of the Certification Procedure</li> <li>Recognition Agreements</li></ol>	7 9 9
B. Certification Results	11
<ol> <li>Executive Summary</li></ol>	14 16 16 17 17 17 17 18 19 20 21 21 25
C. Excerpts from the Criteria	
CC Part 1: CC Part 3:	
D. Annexes	

# A. Certification

## **1.** Specifications of the Certification Procedure

The certification body conducts the procedure according to the criteria laid down in the following:

- Act on the Federal Office for Information Security<sup>2</sup>
- BSI Certification and Approval Ordinance<sup>3</sup>
- BSI Schedule of Costs<sup>4</sup>
- Special decrees issued by the Bundesministerium des Innern (Federal Ministry of the Interior)
- DIN EN ISO/IEC 17065 standard
- BSI certification: Scheme documentation describing the certification process (CC-Produkte) [3]
- BSI certification: Scheme documentation on requirements for the Evaluation Facility, its approval and licencing process (CC-Stellen) [3]
- Common Criteria for IT Security Evaluation (CC), Version 3.1<sup>5</sup>[1] also published as ISO/IEC 15408.
- Common Methodology for IT Security Evaluation (CEM), Version 3.1 [2] also published as ISO/IEC 18045.
- BSI certification: Application Notes and Interpretation of the Scheme (AIS) [4]

### 2. Recognition Agreements

In order to avoid multiple certification of the same product in different countries a mutual recognition of IT security certificates - as far as such certificates are based on ITSEC or CC - under certain conditions was agreed.

#### 2.1. European Recognition of ITSEC/CC – Certificates (SOGIS-MRA)

The SOGIS-Mutual Recognition Agreement (SOGIS-MRA) Version 3 became effective in April 2010. It defines the recognition of certificates for IT-Products at a basic recognition level and, in addition, at higher recognition levels for IT-Products related to certain SOGIS Technical Domains only.

- <sup>2</sup> Act on the Federal Office for Information Security (BSI-Gesetz BSIG) of 14 August 2009, Bundesgesetzblatt I p. 2821
- <sup>3</sup> Ordinance on the Procedure for Issuance of Security Certificates and approval by the Federal Office for Information Security (BSI-Zertifizierungs- und -Anerkennungsverordnung - BSIZertV) of 17 December 2014, Bundesgesetzblatt 2014, part I, no. 61, p. 2231
- <sup>4</sup> Schedule of Cost for Official Procedures of the Bundesamt für Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik (BSI-Kostenverordnung, BSI-KostV) of 03 March 2005, Bundesgesetzblatt I p. 519
- <sup>5</sup> Proclamation of the Bundesministerium des Innern of 12 February 2007 in the Bundesanzeiger dated 23 February 2007, p. 3730

The basic recognition level includes Common Criteria (CC) Evaluation Assurance Levels EAL 1 to EAL 4 and ITSEC Evaluation Assurance Levels E1 to E3 (basic). For "Smartcards and similar devices" a SOGIS Technical Domain is in place. For "HW Devices with Security Boxes" a SOGIS Technical Domains is in place, too. In addition, certificates issued for Protection Profiles based on Common Criteria are part of the recognition agreement.

The new agreement has been signed by the national bodies of Austria, Finland, France, Germany, Italy, The Netherlands, Norway, Spain, Sweden and the United Kingdom. The current list of signatory nations and approved certification schemes, details on recognition, and the history of the agreement can be seen on the website at <u>https://www.sogisportal.eu</u>.

The SOGIS-MRA logo printed on the certificate indicates that it is recognised under the terms of this agreement by the nations listed above.

This certificate is recognized under SOGIS-MRA for all assurance components selected.

#### 2.2. International Recognition of CC – Certificates (CCRA)

The international arrangement on the mutual recognition of certificates based on the CC (Common Criteria Recognition Arrangement, CCRA-2014) has been ratified on 08 September 2014. It covers CC certificates based on collaborative Protection Profiles (cPP) (exact use), CC certificates based on assurance components up to and including EAL 2 or the assurance family Flaw Remediation (ALC\_FLR) and CC certificates for Protection Profiles and for collaborative Protection Profiles (cPP).

The CCRA-2014 replaces the old CCRA signed in May 2000 (CCRA-2000). Certificates based on CCRA-2000, issued before 08 September 2014 are still under recognition according to the rules of CCRA-2000. For on 08 September 2014 ongoing certification procedures and for Assurance Continuity (maintenance and re-certification) of old certificates a transition period on the recognition of certificates according to the rules of CCRA-2000 (i.e. assurance components up to and including EAL 4 or the assurance family Flaw Remediation (ALC\_FLR)) is defined until 08 September 2017.

As of September 2014 the signatories of the new CCRA-2014 are government representatives from the following nations: Australia, Austria, Canada, Czech Republic, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, India, Israel, Italy, Japan, Malaysia, The Netherlands, New Zealand, Norway, Pakistan, Republic of Korea, Singapore, Spain, Sweden, Turkey, United Kingdom, and the United States.

The current list of signatory nations and approved certification schemes can be seen on the website: <u>http://www.commoncriteriaportal.org</u>.

The Common Criteria Recognition Arrangement logo printed on the certificate indicates that this certification is recognised under the terms of this agreement by the nations listed above.

As this certificate is a re-certification of a certificate issued according to CCRA-2000 this certificate is recognized according to the rules of CCRA-2000, i.e. up to and including CC part 3 EAL 4 components. The evaluation contained the components ALC\_DVS.2, ALC\_TAT.2, ALC\_CMS.5 ATE\_DPT.3 and AVA\_VAN.5 that are not mutually recognised in accordance with the provisions of the CCRA-2000, for mutual recognition the EAL 4 components of these assurance families are relevant.

# 3. Performance of Evaluation and Certification

The certification body monitors each individual evaluation to ensure a uniform procedure, a uniform interpretation of the criteria and uniform ratings.

The product Infineon Technologies Security Controller M7793 A12 and G12 with optional RSA2048/4096 v1.02.013 or v2.00.002, EC v1.02.013 or v2.00.002 and Toolbox v1.02.013 or v2.00.002 libraries and with specific IC-dedicated software has undergone the certification procedure at BSI. This is a re-certification based on BSI-DSZ-CC-0926-2014. Specific results from the evaluation process BSI-DSZ-CC-0926-2014 were re-used.

The evaluation of the product Infineon Technologies Security Controller M7793 A12 and G12 with optional RSA2048/4096 v1.02.013 or v2.00.002, EC v1.02.013 or v2.00.002 and Toolbox v1.02.013 or v2.00.002 libraries and with specific IC-dedicated software was conducted by TÜV Informationstechnik GmbH. The evaluation was completed on 30 August 2017. TÜV Informationstechnik GmbH is an evaluation facility (ITSEF)<sup>6</sup> recognised by the certification body of BSI.

For this certification procedure the sponsor and applicant is: Infineon Technologies AG.

The product was developed by: Infineon Technologies AG.

The certification is concluded with the comparability check and the production of this Certification Report. This work was completed by the BSI.

# 4. Validity of the Certification Result

This Certification Report only applies to the version of the product as indicated. The confirmed assurance package is only valid on the condition that

- all stipulations regarding generation, configuration and operation, as given in the following report, are observed,
- the product is operated in the environment described, as specified in the following report and in the Security Target.

For the meaning of the assurance levels please refer to the excerpts from the criteria at the end of the Certification Report or in the CC itself.

The Certificate issued confirms the assurance of the product claimed in the Security Target at the date of certification. As attack methods evolve over time, the resistance of the certified version of the product against new attack methods needs to be re-assessed. Therefore, the sponsor should apply for the certified product being monitored within the assurance continuity program of the BSI Certification Scheme (e.g. by a re-certification). Specifically, if results of the certification are used in subsequent evaluation and certification procedures, in a system integration process or if a user's risk management needs regularly updated results, it is recommended to perform a re-assessment on a regular e.g. annual basis.

In order to avoid an indefinite usage of the certificate when evolved attack methods require a re-assessment of the products resistance to state of the art attack methods, the maximum validity of the certificate has been limited. The certificate issued on 5 September 2017 is valid until 4 September 2022. Validity can be re-newed by re-certification.

The owner of the certificate is obliged:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Information Technology Security Evaluation Facility

- when advertising the certificate or the fact of the product's certification, to refer to the Certification Report as well as to provide the Certification Report, the Security Target and user guidance documentation mentioned herein to any customer of the product for the application and usage of the certified product,
- to inform the Certification Body at BSI immediately about vulnerabilities of the product that have been identified by the developer or any third party after issuance of the certificate,
- to inform the Certification Body at BSI immediately in the case that security relevant changes in the evaluated life cycle, e.g. related to development and production sites or processes, occur, or the confidentiality of documentation and information related to the Target of Evaluation (TOE) or resulting from the evaluation and certification procedure where the certification of the product has assumed this confidentiality being maintained, is not given any longer. In particular, prior to the dissemination of confidential documentation and information related to the TOE or resulting from the evaluation and certification procedure that do not belong to the deliverables according to the Certification Report part B, or for those where no dissemination rules have been agreed on, to third parties, the Certification Body at BSI has to be informed.

In case of changes to the certified version of the product, the validity can be extended to the new versions and releases, provided the sponsor applies for assurance continuity (i.e. re-certification or maintenance) of the modified product, in accordance with the procedural requirements, and the evaluation does not reveal any security deficiencies.

# 5. Publication

The product Infineon Technologies Security Controller M7793 A12 and G12 with optional RSA2048/4096 v1.02.013 or v2.00.002, EC v1.02.013 or v2.00.002 and Toolbox v1.02.013 or v2.00.002 libraries and with specific IC-dedicated software has been included in the BSI list of certified products, which is published regularly (see also Internet: <a href="https://www.bsi.bund.de">https://www.bsi.bund.de</a> and [5]). Further information can be obtained from BSI-Infoline +49 228 9582-111.

Further copies of this Certification Report can be requested from the developer<sup>7</sup> of the product. The Certification Report may also be obtained in electronic form at the internet address stated above.

 <sup>7</sup> Infineon Technologies AG Am Campeon 1-12 85579 Neubiberg

# B. Certification Results

The following results represent a summary of

- the Security Target of the sponsor for the Target of Evaluation,
- the relevant evaluation results from the evaluation facility, and
- complementary notes and stipulations of the certification body.

# 1. Executive Summary

The Target of Evaluation (TOE) is called Infineon Technologies Security Controller M7793 A12 and G12 with optional RSA2048/4096 v1.02.013 or v2.00.002, EC v1.02.013 or v2.00.002 and Toolbox v1.02.013 or v2.00.002 libraries and with specific IC-dedicated software. It is a SmartCard hardware platform with software libraries.

The major components of the core system are the CPU, the MMU (Memory Management Unit) and MED (Memory Encryption/Decryption Unit). The TOE implements a 16-MByte linear addressable memory space, a simple scaleable Memory Management concept and a scaleable stack size. The flexible memory concept consists of ROM and SOLID FLASH <sup>™</sup> NVM. The coprocessor block contains the processors for RSA/EC and 3DES/AES processing, while the peripheral block contains the random number generation and the external interfaces service. The CPU accesses memory via the integrated Memory Encryption and Decryption unit (MED). The access rights of the application to the memories can be controlled via the memory management unit (MMU). Errors in RAM and ROM are automatically detected (EDC, Error Detection Code) in terms of the SOLID FLASH<sup>™</sup> NVM 1-Bit-errors are also corrected (ECC, Error Correction Code). Security modules manage the alarms. Alarms may be triggered when the environmental conditions are outside the specified operational range.

The block diagram of the TOE is shown in [6] and [9], Figure 1. Evidently, the TOE comprises as one part the hardware of the smart card security controller in various configurations.

This TOE is intended to be used in smart cards for particularly security relevant applications and for its previous use as developing platform for smart card operatingsystems according to the life cycle model from [8]. The term Smartcard Embedded Software is used in the following for all operating systems and applications stored and executed on the TOE. The TOE is the platform for the Smartcard Embedded Software. The Smartcard Embedded Software itself is not part of the TOE.

This TOE is represented by various configurations called products. The degree of freedom for configuring the TOE is predefined by Infineon Technologies AG. For more details please refer to the Security Target [6] or [9], chapter 2.2.7.

The symmetric coprocessor (SCP) combines both AES and triple DES with dual-key or triple-key hardware acceleration. The asymmetric crypto coprocessor, called Crypto2304T in the following, supports RSA-2048 bit (4096-bit with CRT) and Elliptic Curve (EC) cryptography, for example.

The software part of the TOE consists of the cryptographic libraries RSA and EC and the supporting Toolbox and Base libraries. If RSA or EC or Toolbox is part of the shipment, the Base Library is automatically included. The Base Library provides the low-level interface to the asymmetric cryptographic coprocessor for the cryptographic libraries and has no user interface. It does not support any security relevant policy or function.

The cryptographic libraries RSA and EC and the Toolbox library are delivery options. If one of the libraries RSA, EC or Toolbox are delivered, the Base Lib is automatically part of it. Therefore the user may choose a free combination of these libraries. In case of deselecting one or several of these libraries the TOE does not provide the respective functionality Additional Specific Security Functionality Rivest-Shamir-Adleman Cryptography (RSA) and/or Elliptic Curve Cryptography (EC). The Toolbox and Base

Library are no cryptographic libraries and provide no additional specific security functionality.

The RSA library is used to provide a high-level interface to RSA (Rivest, Shamir, Adleman) cryptography implemented on the hardware component Crypto2304T and includes countermeasures against SPA, DPA and DFA attacks. The routines are used for RSA signature verification (RsaVerify), RSA signature generation (RsaSign) and RSA modulus recalculation (RsaModulus). The hardware Crypto2304T unit provides the basic long number calculations (add, subtract, multiply, square with 1100 bit numbers) with high performance. The RSA library is delivered as object code. The RSA library can perform RSA operations from 512 to 4096 bits. The key lengths below 1976 bits are not included in the certificate.

The EC library is used to provide a high level interface to Elliptic Curve cryptography and includes countermeasures against SPA, DPA and DFA attacks. The routines are used for ECDSA signature generation, ECDSA signature verification, ECDSA key generation and Elliptic Curve Diffie-Hellman key agreement. The EC library is delivered as object code. The certificate covers the standard NIST and Brainpool Elliptic Curves with key lengths of 160, 163, 192, 224, 233, 256, 283, 320, 384, 409, 512 or 521 bits. Other types of elliptic curves can be added by the user during a composite certification process.

The Toolbox library provides long integer and modular arithmetic operations. It does not support any security-relevant policy or function.

The Base Library provides the low-level interface to the asymmetric cryptographic coprocessor for the cryptographic libraries and has no user interface. It does not support any security relevant policy or function. For more details please refer to the Security Target [6] and [9], chapter 1.2.

The Security Target [6] and [9] is the basis for this certification. It is based on the certified Protection Profile Security IC Platform Protection Profile, Version 1.0, 15 June 2007, BSI-CC-PP-0035-2007 [8].

The TOE Security Assurance Requirements (SAR) are based entirely on the assurance components defined in Part 3 of the Common Criteria (see part C or [1], Part 3 for details). The TOE meets the assurance requirements of the Evaluation Assurance Level EAL 5 augmented by ALC\_DVS.2 and AVA\_VAN.5.

The TOE Security Functional Requirements (SFR) relevant for the TOE are outlined in the Security Target [6] and [9], chapter 7. They are selected from Common Criteria Part 2 and some of them are newly defined. Thus the TOE is CC Part 2 extended.

The TOE Security Functional Requirements are implemented by the following TOE Security Functionality:

TOE Security Functionality	Addressed issue
SF_DPM	Device Phase Management
SF_PS	Protection against Snooping
SF_PMA	Protection against Modification Attacks
SF_PLA	Protection against Logical Attacks
SF_CS	Cryptographic Support

Table 1: TOE Security Functionalities

For more details please refer to the Security Target [6] and [9], chapter 8.

The assets to be protected by the TOE are defined in the Security Target [6] and [9], chapter 4.1.2. Based on these assets the TOE Security Problem is defined in terms of Assumptions, Threats and Organisational Security Policies. This is outlined in the Security Target [6] and [9], chapter 4.3, 4.1 and 4.2, respectively.

This certification covers the configurations of the TOE as outlined in chapter 8.

The vulnerability assessment results as stated within this certificate do not include a rating for those cryptographic algorithms and their implementation suitable for encryption and decryption (see BSIG Section 9, Para. 4, Clause 2).

The certification results only apply to the version of the product indicated in the certificate and on the condition that all the stipulations are kept as detailed in this Certification Report. This certificate is not an endorsement of the IT product by the Federal Office for Information Security (BSI) or any other organisation that recognises or gives effect to this certificate, and no warranty of the IT product by BSI or any other organisation that recognises or gives effect to this certificate, is either expressed or implied.

# 2. Identification of the TOE

The Target of Evaluation (TOE) is called:

# Infineon Technologies Security Controller M7793 A12 and G12 with optional RSA2048/4096 v1.02.013 or v2.00.002, EC v1.02.013 or v2.00.002 and Toolbox v1.02.013 or v2.00.002 libraries and with specific IC-dedicated software.

Туре	Identifier	Release	Form of Delivery / Note
HW	M7793 Smart Card IC	A12 (produced in Dresden)	Complete modules, as plain wafers in an IC case or in bare dies
HW	M7793 Smart Card IC	G12 (produced in Tainan - TSMC)	Complete modules, as plain wafers in an IC case or in bare dies
FW	Flash Loader	3.92.009 and FL patch version V3.93.004	Stored in reserved area of ROM on the IC (patch in SOLID FLASH <sup>™</sup> )
FW	STS Self Test Software (the IC Dedicated Test Software)	V77.05.0d.06 and STS patch version v7206	Stored in Test ROM on the IC (patch in SOLID FLASH <sup>™</sup> )
FW	RMS Resource Management System (the IC Dedicated Support Software)	V7790b0118 and overall patch 7048	Stored in reserved area of ROM on the IC (patch in SOLID FLASH <sup>™</sup> )
FW	SAM	V25b01 and overall patch 7048	Stored in reserved area of ROM on the IC (patch in SOLID FLASH <sup>™</sup> )
SW	NVM image (including Embedded Software and crypto libraries; Only in case the IC Embedded Software Developer provides Infineon with code for Flash memory.)		Stored in Flash memory on the IC

The following table outlines the TOE deliverables:

Туре	Identifier	Release	Form of Delivery / Note
SW	RSA library	RSA2048 v1.02.013 or v2.00.002 RSA4096 v1.02.013 or v2.00.002	Optional.
SW	EC library	v1.02.013 or v2.00.002	Optional.
SW	Toolbox	v1.02.013 or v2.00.002	Optional.
SW	Base library	v1.02.013 or v2.00.002	Optional; present if the RSA, EC or Toolbox library is ordered.
DOC	M7790 & M7793 SOLID FLASH™ Controller for Security Applications Hardware Reference Manual	2014-09-02	
DOC	16-bit Security Controller Family SLE 70 Family Programmer's Reference User Manual	2017-05-10	
DOC	SLE 77 Controller Family Errata Sheet	2017-06-21	
DOC	SLE77 Asymmetric Crypto Library for Crypto@2304T RSA / ECC / Toolbox User Interface (1.02.013)	2017-05-10	Optional.
DOC	SLE77 Asymmetric Crypto Library for Crypto@2304T RSA / ECC / Toolbox User Interface (2.00.002)	2017-05-10	Optional.
DOC	Crypto@2304T User Manual	2010-03-23	
DOC	M7793 Security Guidelines User's manual	2017-06-28	Optional.
DOC	SLx 70 Family Production and Personalization User's Manual	2015-04-01	Optional.

#### Table 2: Deliverables of the TOE

A processing step during production testing incorporates the chip-individual features into the hardware of the TOE. The individual TOE hardware is uniquely identified by its serial number. The serial number comprises the lot number, the wafer number and the coordinates of the chip on the wafer. Each individual TOE can therefore be traced unambiguously and thus assigned to the entire development and production process.

As the TOE is under control of the user software, the TOE Manufacturer can only guarantee the integrity up to the delivery procedure. It is in the responsibility of the Composite Product Manufacturer to include mechanisms in the implemented software (developed by the IC Embedded Software Developer) which allows detection of modifications after the delivery.

The hardware part of the TOE is identified by M7793 A12 and G12. Another characteristic of the TOE are the chip identification data. By that data, the IC Embedded Software Developer can clearly identify a product in question by the ChipIdent function and the user guidance, whereas additional RMS functions provides the complete chip configuration. Thereby, the exact and clear identification of any product with its exact configuration of this TOE is given. The Chip Type is listed in [13], table 1-8 and [18], chapter 4.4.

The firmware part of the TOE is also identified via the GCIM.

The RSA (optional), EC (optional), Toolbox (optional), and Base Library (optional) as separate software parts of the TOE are identified by their unique version numbers. The user can identify these versions by calculating the hash signatures of the provided library files. The mapping of these hash signatures to the version numbers is provided in [6] and [9], section 10.

## 3. Security Policy

The Security Policy is expressed by the set of Security Functional Requirements and implemented by the TOE.

The Security Policy of the TOE is to provide basic security functionalities to be used by the smart card operating system and the smart card application thus providing an overall smart card system security. Therefore, the TOE will implement a symmetric cryptographic block cipher algorithm (Triple-DES and AES) to ensure the confidentiality of plain text data by encryption and to support secure authentication protocols and it will provide a True Random Number Generator (TRNG).

The RSA Library is used to provide a high level interface to RSA (Rivest, Shamir, Adleman) cryptography implemented on the hardware component Crypto2304T and includes countermeasures against SPA, DPA and DFA attacks. The EC Library is used to provide a high level interface to Elliptic Curve cryptography implemented on the hardware component Crypto2304T and includes countermeasures against SPA, DPA and DFA attacks.

As the TOE is a hardware security platform, the security policy of the TOE is also to provide protection against leakage of information (e.g. to ensure the confidentiality of cryptographic keys during AES, Triple-DES, RSA and EC cryptographic functions performed by the TOE), against physical probing, against malfunctions, against physical manipulations and against abuse of functionality. Hence the TOE shall

- maintain the integrity and the confidentiality of data stored in the memory of the TOE and
- maintain the integrity, the correct operation and the confidentiality of security functionalities (security mechanisms and associated functions) provided by the TOE.

# 4. Assumptions and Clarification of Scope

The ST includes two security objective for the IC Embedded Software Developer, the objectives OE.Plat-Appl and OE.Resp-Appl.

The objective OE.Plat-Appl states that the IC Embedded Software Developer shall design his software so that the requirements from the data sheet ([13]), the TOE application notes ([16], [17] and [12]), other guidance documents ([14]) and findings of the TOE evaluation

report are implemented. As all these documents are identified as parts of the TOE and delivered to the IC Embedded Software Developer, the objective OE.Plat-Appl is fulfilled.

The objective OE.Resp-Appl states that the IC Embedded Software Developer shall treat user data (especially keys) appropriately. The IC Embedded Software Developer gets sufficient information on how to protect user data adequate in the security guidelines [M7793\_Sec].

Details can be found in the Security Target [6] and [9], chapter 4.3.

## 5. Architectural Information

The TOE is an integrated circuits (IC) providing a platform to a smart card operating system and smart card application software. A top level block diagram and a list of subsystems can be found within the TOE description of the Security Target Lite [9], chapter 2.1.

The major components of the core system are the CPU, the MMU (Memory Management Unit) and MED (Memory Encryption/Decryption Unit). The TOE implements a 16-MByte linear addressable memory space, a simple scaleable Memory Management concept and a scaleable stack size. The flexible memory concept consists of ROM and SOLID FLASH<sup>™</sup> NVM. The Crypto2304T coprocessor allows calculation of asymmetric algorithms like RSA and Elliptic Curve (EC). The Crypto2304T is optimized for security and low power consumption.

Note that the Crypto2304T can be blocked. The blocking depends on the user's choice prior to the production of the hardware. No accessibility of the Crypto2304T is without impact on any other security policy of the TOE; it is exactly equivalent to the situation where the user simply decides not to use the Crypto2304T.

The Symmetric Cryptographic Processor (SCP) allows calculation of dual-key or triple-key triple-DES and AES. The SCP is optimised for security and low power consumption. The SCP module computes the complete DES algorithm within a few clock cycles and is designed to counter attacks like DPA, EMA and DFA. For more details please refer to the Security Target [6] and [9], chapter 1.2 and 2.2.2.

### 6. Documentation

The evaluated documentation as outlined in table 2 is being provided with the product to the customer. This documentation contains the required information for secure usage of the TOE in accordance with the Security Target.

Additional obligations and notes for secure usage of the TOE as outlined in chapter 10 of this report have to be followed.

# 7. IT Product Testing

The tests performed by the developer were divided into five categories:

- Simulation tests (design verification),
- Qualification tests,
- Verification Tests,
- Security Evaluation Tests,

• Production Tests.

The developer tests covered all security functionalities and all security mechanisms as identified in the functional specification.

The evaluators were able to repeat the tests of the developer either using the library of programs, tools and prepared chip samples delivered to the evaluator or at the developer's site. Independent tests were performed, in order to supplement, augment and to verify the tests performed by the developer. For the developer tests repeated by the evaluators, other test parameters were used and the test equipment was varied. Security features of the TOE, realised by specific design and layout measures, were checked by the evaluators during layout inspections both in design data and on the final product.

The evaluation has shown that the actual version of the TOE provides the security functionalities as specified by the developer. The test results confirmed the correct implementation of the TOE security functionalities.

For penetration testing, the evaluators took all security functionalities into consideration. Intensive penetration testing was planned based on the analysis results and performed for the underlying mechanisms of security functionalities. The penetration tests considered both the physical tampering of the TOE and attacks which do not modify the TOE physically. The penetration tests results confirm that the TOE is resistant to attackers with high attack potential in the intended environment for the TOE.

# 8. Evaluated Configuration

This certification covers the following configurations of the TOE:

- Smartcard IC M7793 A12 (produced in Dresden),
- Smartcard IC M7793 G12 (produced in Tainan).

Depending on the blocking configuration a M7793 A12 and G12 product can have e.g. different user available memory sizes and can come with or without individual accessible cryptographic co-processor. Furthermore different interface options are available. See [6] and [9], section 2.2.7] for further information regarding availability by order or by BPU.

The TOE can be delivered with or without the RSA library and / or the EC library and / or the Toolbox library. Moreover, the library version can be selected (either 1.02.013 or 2.00.002). Depending on the presence of the RSA, EC and Toolbox libraries a Base library is delivered as well as this library is a perquisite in order to use the RSA, EC and Toolbox libraries.

Developer and evaluator tested the TOE in these configurations in which the TOE is delivered and which is described in this section.

# 9. **Results of the Evaluation**

#### 9.1. CC specific results

The Evaluation Technical Report (ETR) [7] was provided by the ITSEF according to the Common Criteria [1], the Methodology [2], the requirements of the Scheme [3] and all interpretations and guidelines of the Scheme (AIS) [4] as relevant for the TOE.

The Evaluation Methodology CEM [2] was used for those components up to EAL 5 extended by advice of the Certification Body for components beyond EAL 5 and guidance specific for the technology of the product [4] (AIS 34).

The following guidance specific for the technology was used:

- The Application of CC to Integrated Circuits,
- The Application of Attack Potential to Smartcards,
- Guidance, Smartcard Evaluation.

For RNG assessment the scheme interpretations AIS 31 was used (see [4]).

To support composite evaluations according to AIS 36 the document ETR for composite evaluation [10] was provided and approved. This document provides details of this platform evaluation that have to be considered in the course of a composite evaluation on top.

The assurance refinements outlined in the Security Target were followed in the course of the evaluation of the TOE.

As a result of the evaluation the verdict PASS is confirmed for the following assurance components:

- All components of the EAL 5 package including the class ASE as defined in the CC (see also part C of this report)
- The components ALC\_DVS.2 and AVA\_VAN.5 augmented for this TOE evaluation.

As the evaluation work performed for this certification procedure was carried out as a reevaluation based on the certificate BSI-DSZ-CC-0926-2014, re-use of specific evaluation tasks was possible. The focus of this re-evaluation was on the crypto libs and documentation.

The evaluation has confirmed:

PP Conformance:	Security IC Platform Protection Profile, Version 1.0, 15 June 2007, BSI-CC-PP-0035-2007 [8]
<ul> <li>for the Functionality:</li> </ul>	PP conformant plus product specific extensions Common Criteria Part 2 extended
• for the Assurance:	Common Criteria Part 3 conformant EAL 5 augmented by ALC_DVS.2 and AVA_VAN.5

For specific evaluation results regarding the development and production environment see annex B in part D of this report.

The results of the evaluation are only applicable to the TOE as defined in chapter 2 and the configuration as outlined in chapter 8 above.

#### 9.2. Results of cryptographic assessment

The strength of the cryptographic algorithms was not rated in the course of this certification procedure (see BSIG Section 9, Para. 4, Clause 2). But Cryptographic Functionalities with a security level of lower than 100 bits can no longer be regarded as secure without considering the application context.

Therefore, for these functionalities it shall be checked whether the related crypto operations are appropriate for the intended system. Some further hints and guidelines can be derived from the 'Technische Richtlinie BSI TR-02102' (https://www.bsi.bund.de).

In the Security Target [6] and [9], a table of TOE provided Cryptographic Functionality is given, where in the column '*Security Level above 100 Bits*' a '*yes*' indicates achievement of a security level of at least 100 Bits (in general context) and a '*no*' for security level below 100 Bits.

# 10. Obligations and Notes for the Usage of the TOE

The documents as outlined in table 2 contain necessary information about the usage of the TOE and all security hints therein have to be considered. In addition all aspects of Assumptions, Threats and OSPs as outlined in the Security Target not covered by the TOE itself need to be fulfilled by the operational environment of the TOE.

The customer or user of the product shall consider the results of the certification within his system risk management process. In order for the evolution of attack methods and techniques to be covered, he should define the period of time until a re-assessment of the TOE is required and thus requested from the sponsor of the certificate.

The limited validity for the usage of cryptographic algorithms as outlined in chapter 9 has to be considered by the user and his system risk management process.

Some security measures are partly implemented in this certified TOE, but require additional configuration or control or measures to be implemented by a product layer on top, e.g. the Embedded Software using the TOE. For this reason the TOE includes guidance documentation (see table 2) which contains obligations and guidelines for the developer of the product layer on top on how to securely use this certified TOE and which measures have to be implemented in order to fulfil the security requirements of the Security Target of the TOE. In the course of the evaluation of the composite product or system it must be examined if the required measures have been correctly and effectively implemented by the product layer on top. Additionally, the evaluation of the composite product or system must also consider the evaluation results as outlined in the document ETR for composite evaluation [10].

In addition, the following aspects need to be fulfilled when using the TOE:

The Security IC Embedded Software Developer receives all necessary recommendations and hints to develop his software in form of the delivered documentation.

• All security hints described in the delivered documents [12] - [19], especially the recommendations for secure usage in [12] have to be considered.

The Composite Product Manufacturer receives all necessary recommendations and hints to develop his software in form of the delivered documentation.

• All security hints described in [18] have to be considered.

In addition the following hint resulting from the evaluation of the ALC evaluation aspect has to be considered:

 The IC Embedded Software Developer can deliver his software either to Infineon to let them implement it in the TOE (in SOLID FLASH<sup>™</sup>) or to the Composite Product Manufacturer to let him download the software in the Flash memory. • The delivery procedure from the IC Embedded Software Developer to the Composite Product Manufacturer is not part of this evaluation and a secure delivery is required.

# 11. Security Target

For the purpose of publishing, the Security Target [9] of the Target of Evaluation (TOE) is provided within a separate document as Annex A of this report. It is a sanitised version of the complete Security Target [6] used for the evaluation performed. Sanitisation was performed according to the rules as outlined in the relevant CCRA policy (see AIS 35 [4]).

### 12. Definitions

#### 12.1. Acronyms

AIS Application Notes and Interpretations of the Scheme	
APB <sup>™</sup> Advanced Peripheral Bus	
APDU Application Protocol Data Unit	
API Application Programming Interface	
AXI <sup>™</sup> Advanced eXtensible Interface Bus Protocol	
BPU Bill Per Use	
<b>BSI</b> Bundesamt für Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik / Federal C Information Security, Bonn, Germany	Office for
BOS Boot Software	
BSIG BSI-Gesetz / Act on the Federal Office for Information Security	
CC Common Criteria for IT Security Evaluation	
CEM Common Methodology for Information Technology Security Eval	uation
CPU Central Processing Unit	
CCRA Common Criteria Recognition Arrangement	
Crypto2304T Asymmetric Cryptographic Processor	
CRC Cyclic Redundancy Check	
CRT Chinese Reminder Theorem	
Deta Encryption Standard; symmetric block cipher algorithm	
DPA Differential Power Analysis	
DFA Differential Failure Analysis	
EAL Evaluation Assurance Level	
EC Elliptic Curve Cryptography	
ECC Error Correction Code	
ECDH Elliptic Curve Diffie–Hellman	
ECDSA Elliptic Curve Digital Signature Algorithm	

EDC	Error Detection Code
	Error Detection Unit
EDU	
EEPROM	Electrically Erasable and Programmable Read Only Memory
EMA	Electro Magnetic Analysis
ETR	Evaluation Technical Report
Flash EEPROM	Flash Memory
FL	Flash Loader software
FTL	Flash Translation Layer
FW	Firmware
GCIM	Generic Chip Identification Mode
GPIO	General Purpose IO
HW	Hardware
IC	Integrated Circuit
ID	Identification
IT	Information Technology
ITSEF	Information Technology Security Evaluation Facility
I/O	Input/Output
IRAM	Internal Random Access Memory
MED	Memory Encryption and Decryption
MMU	Memory Management Unit
NVM	Non-Volatile Memory
OS	Operating system
PP	Protection Profile
PRNG	Pseudo Random Number Generator
PROM	Programmable Read Only Memory
RAM	Random Access Memory
RMS	Resource Management System
RNG	Random Number Generator
ROM	Read Only Memory
RSA	Rives-Shamir-Adleman Algorithm
SAM	Service Algorithm Minimal
SAR	Security Assurance Requirement
SCP	Symmetric Cryptographic Processor
SF	Security Feature
SFP	Security Functional Policy

SFR	Special Function Register, as well as Security Functional Requirement, the specific meaning is given in the context
SOLID FLASH™	An Infineon Trade Mark and Stands for Flash EEPROM Technology
SPA	Simple Power Analysis
SPI	Serial Peripheral Interface
SSC	Synchronous Serial Communication
ST	Security Target
STS	Self Test Software
SW	Software
SO	Security Objective
SWP	Single Wire Protocol
TOE	Target of Evaluation
ТМ	Test Mode (STS)
TSF	TOE Security Functions
TRNG	True Random Number Generator
TSC	TOE Security Functions Control
TSF	TOE Security Functionality
UART	Universal Asynchronous Receiver/Transmitter
UM	User Mode (STS)
UmSLC	User Mode Security Life Control
WLP	Wafer Level Package
3DES	Triple DES Encryption Standards

#### 12.2. Glossary

Augmentation - The addition of one or more requirement(s) to a package.

**Collaborative Protection Profile -** A Protection Profile collaboratively developed by an International Technical Community endorsed by the Management Committee.

**Extension** - The addition to an ST or PP of functional requirements not contained in CC part 2 and/or assurance requirements not contained in CC part 3.

**Formal** - Expressed in a restricted syntax language with defined semantics based on wellestablished mathematical concepts.

Informal - Expressed in natural language.

**Object** - A passive entity in the TOE, that contains or receives information, and upon which subjects perform operations.

Package - named set of either security functional or security assurance requirements

**Protection Profile** - A formal document defined in CC, expressing an implementation independent set of security requirements for a category of IT Products that meet specific consumer needs.

**Security Target** - An implementation-dependent statement of security needs for a specific identified TOE.

Semiformal - Expressed in a restricted syntax language with defined semantics.

Subject - An active entity in the TOE that performs operations on objects.

**Target of Evaluation** - An IT Product and its associated administrator and user guidance documentation that is the subject of an Evaluation.

**TOE Security Functionality** - Combined functionality of all hardware, software, and firmware of a TOE that must be relied upon for the correct enforcement of the SFRs.

### 13. Bibliography

- [1] Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Version 3.1, Part 1: Introduction and general model, Revision 4, September 2012 Part 2: Security functional components, Revision 4, September 2012 Part 3: Security assurance components, Revision 4, September 2012 <u>http://www.commoncriteriaportal.org</u>
- [2] Common Methodology for Information Technology Security Evaluation (CEM), Evaluation Methodology, Version 3.1, Rev. 4, September 2012, <u>http://www.commoncriteriaportal.org</u>
- [3] BSI certification: Scheme documentation describing the certification process (CC-Produkte) and Scheme documentation on requirements for the Evaluation Facility, approval and licencing (CC-Stellen), <u>https://www.bsi.bund.de/zertifizierung</u>
- [4] Application Notes and Interpretations of the Scheme (AIS) as relevant for the TOE<sup>8</sup> <u>https://www.bsi.bund.de/AIS</u>
- [5] German IT Security Certificates (BSI 7148), periodically updated list published also on the BSI Website, <u>https://www.bsi.bund.de/zertifizierungsreporte</u>
- [6] Confidential Security Target BSI-DSZ-CC-0926-V2-2017, Version 2.9, 2017-08-02, "Security Target Lite M7793 A12 and G12 Including optional Software Libraries RSA - EC - Toolbox", Infineon Technologies AG (confidential document)
- [7] Evaluation Technical Report for BSI-DSZ-CC-0926-V2-2017, Version 3, 2017-08-28, "Evaluation Technical Report (ETR Sumamry)", TÜV Informationstechnik GmbH, (confidential document)

<sup>8</sup>specifically

- AIS 19, Version 9, Anwendungshinweise und Interpretationen zum Schema (AIS)
- AIS 14, Version 7, Anforderungen an Aufbau und Inhalt der ETR-Teile (Evaluation Technical Report) für Evaluationen nach CC
- AIS 23, Version 4, Zusammentragen von Nachweisen der Entwickler
- AIS 25, Version 9, Anwendung der CC auf Integrierte Schaltungen including JIL Document and CC Supporting Document
- AIS 26, Version 9, Evaluationsmethodologie für in Hardware integrierte Schaltungen including JIL Document and CC Supporting Document
- AIS 31, Version 3, Funktionalitätsklassen und Evaluationsmethodologie für physikalische Zufallszahlengeneratoren
- AIS 32, Version 7, CC-Interpretationen im deutschen Zertifizierungsschema
- AIS 34, Version 3, Evaluation Methodology for CC Assurance Classes for EAL 5+ (CCv2.3 & CCv3.1) and EAL 6 (CCv3.1)
- AIS 35, Version 2, Öffentliche Fassung des Security Targets (ST-Lite) including JIL Document and CC Supporting Document and CCRA policies
- AIS 36, Version 5, Kompositionsevaluierung including JIL Document and CC Supporting Document
- AIS 37, Version 3, Terminologie und Vorbereitung von Smartcard-Evaluierungen
- AIS 38, Version 2, Reuse of evaluation results

- [8] Security IC Platform Protection Profile, Version 1.0, 15 June 2007, BSI-CC-PP-0035-2007
- [9] Public Security Target BSI-DSZ-CC-0926-V2-2017, Version 2.9, 2017-08-02, "Security Target Lite M7793 A12 and G12 Including optional Software Libraries RSA - EC - Toolbox", Infineon Technologies AG (sanitised public document)
- [10] ETR for composite evaluation according to AIS 36 for the Product BSI-DSZ-CC-0926-V2-2017, Version 3, 2017-08-28, "Evaluation Technical Report for Composite Evaluation", TÜV Informationstechnik (confidential document)
- [11] "Configuration Management Scope M7793 A12 and G12 including optional Software Libraries RSA – EC – Toolbox", Version 1.3, 2013-11-11, Infineon Technologies AG (confidential document)
- [12] M7793 Security Guidelines User's Manual, 2017-06-28, Infineon Technologies AG (confidential document)
- [13] M7790 & M7793 Hardware Reference Manual, Version 1.2, 2014-09-05, Infineon Technologies AG (confidential document)
- [14] 16-bit Security Controller Family SLE 70 Programmer's Reference Manual, Version 9.5, 2017-05-10, Infineon Technologies AG (confidential document)
- [15] Crypto@2304T User Manual, 2010-03-23, Infineon Technologies AG (confidential document)
- [16] SLE77 Asymmetric Crypto Library for Crypto@2304T RSA / ECC / Toolbox User Interface (1.02.013), Version 1.02.013, 2017-05-10, Infineon Technologies AG (confidential document)
- [17] SLE77 Asymmetric Crypto Library for Crypto@2304T RSA / ECC / Toolbox User Interface (2.00.002), Version 2.00.002, 2017-05-10, Infineon Technologies AG (confidential document)
- [18] SLx 70 Family Production and Personalization User's Manual, 2015-04-01, Infineon Technologies AG (confidential document)
- [19] SLE 77 Controller Family Errata Sheet, Version 6.1, 2017-06-21, Infineon Technologies AG (confidential document)

# C. Excerpts from the Criteria

CC Part 1:

#### Conformance Claim (chapter 10.4)

"The conformance claim indicates the source of the collection of requirements that is met by a PP or ST that passes its evaluation. This conformance claim contains a CC conformance claim that:

- describes the version of the CC to which the PP or ST claims conformance.
- describes the conformance to CC Part 2 (security functional requirements) as either:
  - **CC Part 2 conformant** A PP or ST is CC Part 2 conformant if all SFRs in that PP or ST are based only upon functional components in CC Part 2, or
  - CC Part 2 extended A PP or ST is CC Part 2 extended if at least one SFR in that PP or ST is not based upon functional components in CC Part 2.
- describes the conformance to CC Part 3 (security assurance requirements) as either:
  - **CC Part 3 conformant** A PP or ST is CC Part 3 conformant if all SARs in that PP or ST are based only upon assurance components in CC Part 3, or
  - CC Part 3 extended A PP or ST is CC Part 3 extended if at least one SAR in that PP or ST is not based upon assurance components in CC Part 3.

Additionally, the conformance claim may include a statement made with respect to packages, in which case it consists of one of the following:

- Package name Conformant A PP or ST is conformant to a pre-defined package (e.g. EAL) if:
  - the SFRs of that PP or ST are identical to the SFRs in the package, or
  - the SARs of that PP or ST are identical to the SARs in the package.
- Package name Augmented A PP or ST is an augmentation of a predefined package if:
  - the SFRs of that PP or ST contain all SFRs in the package, but have at least one additional SFR or one SFR that is hierarchically higher than an SFR in the package.
  - the SARs of that PP or ST contain all SARs in the package, but have at least one additional SAR or one SAR that is hierarchically higher than an SAR in the package.

Note that when a TOE is successfully evaluated to a given ST, any conformance claims of the ST also hold for the TOE. A TOE can therefore also be e.g. CC Part 2 conformant.

Finally, the conformance claim may also include two statements with respect to Protection Profiles:

- PP Conformant A PP or TOE meets specific PP(s), which are listed as part of the conformance result.
- Conformance Statement (Only for PPs) This statement describes the manner in which PPs or STs must conform to this PP: strict or demonstrable. For more information on this Conformance Statement, see Annex D."

CC Part 3:

#### Class APE: Protection Profile evaluation (chapter 10)

"Evaluating a PP is required to demonstrate that the PP is sound and internally consistent, and, if the PP is based on one or more other PPs or on packages, that the PP is a correct instantiation of these PPs and packages. These properties are necessary for the PP to be suitable for use as the basis for writing an ST or another PP.

Assurance Class	Assurance Components
	APE_INT.1 PP introduction
	APE_CCL.1 Conformance claims
Class APE: Protection	APE_SPD.1 Security problem definition
Profile evaluation	APE_OBJ.1 Security objectives for the operational environment APE_OBJ.2 Security objectives
	APE_ECD.1 Extended components definition
	APE_REQ.1 Stated security requirements APE_REQ.2 Derived security requirements

Table 3: APE: Protection Profile evaluation class decomposition"

#### Class ASE: Security Target evaluation (chapter 11)

"Evaluating an ST is required to demonstrate that the ST is sound and internally consistent, and, if the ST is based on one or more PPs or packages, that the ST is a correct instantiation of these PPs and packages. These properties are necessary for the ST to be suitable for use as the basis for a TOE evaluation."

Assurance Class	Assurance Components
	ASE_INT.1 ST introduction
	ASE_CCL.1 Conformance claims
Class ASE: Security	ASE_SPD.1 Security problem definition
Target evaluation	ASE_OBJ.1 Security objectives for the operational environment ASE_OBJ.2 Security objectives
	ASE_ECD.1 Extended components definition
	ASE_REQ.1 Stated security requirements ASE_REQ.2 Derived security requirements
	ASE_TSS.1 TOE summary specification ASE_TSS.2 TOE summary specification with architectural design summary

Table 4: ASE: Security Target evaluation class decomposition

#### Security assurance components (chapter 7)

"The following Sections describe the constructs used in representing the assurance classes, families, and components."

"Each assurance class contains at least one assurance family."

"Each assurance family contains one or more assurance components."

The following table shows the assurance class decomposition.

Assurance Class	Assurance Components
ADV: Development	ADV_ARC.1 Security architecture description
	ADV_FSP.1 Basic functional specification ADV_FSP.2 Security-enforcing functional specification ADV_FSP.3 Functional specification with complete summary ADV_FSP.4 Complete functional specification ADV_FSP.5 Complete semi-formal functional specification with additional error information ADV_FSP.6 Complete semi-formal functional specification with additional formal specification
	ADV_IMP.1 Implementation representation of the TSF ADV_IMP.2 Implementation of the TSF
	ADV_INT.1 Well-structured subset of TSF internals ADV_INT.2 Well-structured internals ADV_INT.3 Minimally complex internals
	ADV_SPM.1 Formal TOE security policy model
	ADV_TDS.1 Basic design ADV_TDS.2 Architectural design ADV_TDS.3 Basic modular design ADV_TDS.4 Semiformal modular design ADV_TDS.5 Complete semiformal modular design ADV_TDS.6 Complete semiformal modular design with formal high- level design presentation
AGD:	AGD_OPE.1 Operational user guidance
Guidance documents	AGD_PRE.1 Preparative procedures
	ALC_CMC.1 Labelling of the TOE ALC_CMC.2 Use of a CM system ALC_CMC.3 Authorisation controls ALC_CMC.4 Production support, acceptance procedures and automation ALC_CMC.5 Advanced support
ALC: Life cycle support	ALC_CMS.1 TOE CM coverage ALC_CMS.2 Parts of the TOE CM coverage ALC_CMS.3 Implementation representation CM coverage ALC_CMS.4 Problem tracking CM coverage ALC_CMS.5 Development tools CM coverage
	ALC_DEL.1 Delivery procedures
	ALC_DVS.1 Identification of security measures ALC_DVS.2 Sufficiency of security measures
	ALC_FLR.1 Basic flaw remediation ALC_FLR.2 Flaw reporting procedures ALC_FLR.3 Systematic flaw remediation
	ALC_LCD.1 Developer defined life-cycle model

Assurance Class	Assurance Components
	ALC_LCD.2 Measurable life-cycle model
	ALC_TAT.1 Well-defined development tools ALC_TAT.2 Compliance with implementation standards ALC_TAT.3 Compliance with implementation standards - all parts
	ATE_COV.1 Evidence of coverage ATE_COV.2 Analysis of coverage ATE_COV.3 Rigorous analysis of coverage
ATE: Tests	ATE_DPT.1 Testing: basic design ATE_DPT.2 Testing: security enforcing modules ATE_DPT.3 Testing: modular design ATE_DPT.4 Testing: implementation representation
	ATE_FUN.1 Functional testing ATE_FUN.2 Ordered functional testing
	ATE_IND.1 Independent testing – conformance ATE_IND.2 Independent testing – sample ATE_IND.3 Independent testing – complete
AVA: Vulnerability assessment	AVA_VAN.1 Vulnerability survey AVA_VAN.2 Vulnerability analysis AVA_VAN.3 Focused vulnerability analysis AVA_VAN.4 Methodical vulnerability analysis AVA_VAN.5 Advanced methodical vulnerability analysis

Table 5: Assurance class decomposition

#### Evaluation assurance levels (chapter 8)

"The Evaluation Assurance Levels (EALs) provide an increasing scale that balances the level of assurance obtained with the cost and feasibility of acquiring that degree of assurance. The CC approach identifies the separate concepts of assurance in a TOE at the end of the evaluation, and of maintenance of that assurance during the operational use of the TOE.

It is important to note that not all families and components from CC Part 3 are included in the EALs. This is not to say that these do not provide meaningful and desirable assurances. Instead, it is expected that these families and components will be considered for augmentation of an EAL in those PPs and STs for which they provide utility."

#### Evaluation assurance level (EAL) overview (chapter 8.1)

"Table 1 represents a summary of the EALs. The columns represent a hierarchically ordered set of EALs, while the rows represent assurance families. Each number in the resulting matrix identifies a specific assurance component where applicable.

As outlined in the next Section, seven hierarchically ordered evaluation assurance levels are defined in the CC for the rating of a TOE's assurance. They are hierarchically ordered inasmuch as each EAL represents more assurance than all lower EALs. The increase in assurance from EAL to EAL is accomplished by substitution of a hierarchically higher assurance component from the same assurance family (i.e. increasing rigour, scope, and/or depth) and from the addition of assurance components from other assurance families (i.e. adding new requirements).

These EALs consist of an appropriate combination of assurance components as described in Chapter 7 of this CC Part 3. More precisely, each EAL includes no more than one component of each assurance family and all assurance dependencies of every component are addressed.

While the EALs are defined in the CC, it is possible to represent other combinations of assurance. Specifically, the notion of "augmentation" allows the addition of assurance components (from assurance families not already included in the EAL) or the substitution of assurance components (with another hierarchically higher assurance component in the same assurance family) to an EAL. Of the assurance constructs defined in the CC, only EALs may be augmented. The notion of an "EAL minus a constituent assurance component" is not recognised by the standard as a valid claim. Augmentation carries with it the obligation on the part of the claimant to justify the utility and added value of the added assurance component to the EAL. An EAL may also be augmented with extended assurance requirements.

#### Evaluation assurance level 1 (EAL 1) - functionally tested (chapter 8.3)

"Objectives

EAL 1 is applicable where some confidence in correct operation is required, but the threats to security are not viewed as serious. It will be of value where independent assurance is required to support the contention that due care has been exercised with respect to the protection of personal or similar information.

EAL 1 requires only a limited security target. It is sufficient to simply state the SFRs that the TOE must meet, rather than deriving them from threats, OSPs and assumptions through security objectives.

EAL 1 provides an evaluation of the TOE as made available to the customer, including independent testing against a specification, and an examination of the guidance documentation provided. It is intended that an EAL 1 evaluation could be successfully conducted without assistance from the developer of the TOE, and for minimal outlay.

An evaluation at this level should provide evidence that the TOE functions in a manner consistent with its documentation."

#### Evaluation assurance level 2 (EAL 2) - structurally tested (chapter 8.4)

"Objectives

EAL 2 requires the co-operation of the developer in terms of the delivery of design information and test results, but should not demand more effort on the part of the developer than is consistent with good commercial practise. As such it should not require a substantially increased investment of cost or time.

EAL 2 is therefore applicable in those circumstances where developers or users require a low to moderate level of independently assured security in the absence of ready availability of the complete development record. Such a situation may arise when securing legacy systems, or where access to the developer may be limited."

#### Evaluation assurance level 3 (EAL 3) - methodically tested and checked (chapter 8.5)

"Objectives

EAL 3 permits a conscientious developer to gain maximum assurance from positive security engineering at the design stage without substantial alteration of existing sound development practises.

EAL 3 is applicable in those circumstances where developers or users require a moderate level of independently assured security, and require a thorough investigation of the TOE and its development without substantial re-engineering."

# Evaluation assurance level 4 (EAL 4) - methodically designed, tested, and reviewed (chapter 8.6)

#### "Objectives

EAL 4 permits a developer to gain maximum assurance from positive security engineering based on good commercial development practises which, though rigorous, do not require substantial specialist knowledge, skills, and other resources. EAL 4 is the highest level at which it is likely to be economically feasible to retrofit to an existing product line.

EAL 4 is therefore applicable in those circumstances where developers or users require a moderate to high level of independently assured security in conventional commodity TOEs and are prepared to incur additional security-specific engineering costs."

# **Evaluation assurance level 5 (EAL 5) - semiformally designed and tested** (chapter 8.7)

#### "Objectives

EAL 5 permits a developer to gain maximum assurance from security engineering based upon rigorous commercial development practises supported by moderate application of specialist security engineering techniques. Such a TOE will probably be designed and developed with the intent of achieving EAL 5 assurance. It is likely that the additional costs attributable to the EAL 5 requirements, relative to rigorous development without the application of specialised techniques, will not be large.

EAL 5 is therefore applicable in those circumstances where developers or users require a high level of independently assured security in a planned development and require a rigorous development approach without incurring unreasonable costs attributable to specialist security engineering techniques."

# **Evaluation assurance level 6 (EAL 6) - semiformally verified design and tested** (chapter 8.8)

#### "Objectives

EAL 6 permits developers to gain high assurance from application of security engineering techniques to a rigorous development environment in order to produce a premium TOE for protecting high value assets against significant risks.

EAL 6 is therefore applicable to the development of security TOEs for application in high risk situations where the value of the protected assets justifies the additional costs."

# **Evaluation assurance level 7 (EAL 7) - formally verified design and tested** (chapter 8.9)

#### "Objectives

EAL 7 is applicable to the development of security TOEs for application in extremely high risk situations and/or where the high value of the assets justifies the higher costs. Practical application of EAL 7 is currently limited to TOEs with tightly focused security functionality that is amenable to extensive formal analysis."

Assurance Class	Assurance Family	Assurance Components by Evaluation Assurance Level						
		EAL 1	EAL 2	EAL 3	EAL 4	EAL 5	EAL 6	EAL 7
Development	ADV_ARC		1	1	1	1	1	1
	ADV_FSP	1	2	3	4	5	5	6
	ADV_IMP				1	1	2	2
	ADV_INT					2	3	3
	ADV_SPM						1	1
	ADV_TDS		1	2	3	4	5	6
Guidance	AGD_OPE	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Documents	AGD_PRE	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Life cycle	ALC_CMC	1	2	3	4	4	5	5
Support	ALC_CMS	1	2	3	4	5	5	5
	ALC_DEL		1	1	1	1	1	1
	ALC_DVS			1	1	1	2	2
	ALC_FLR							
	ALC_LCD			1	1	1	1	2
	ALC_TAT				1	2	3	3
Security Target Evaluation	ASE_CCL	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Evaluation	ASE_ECD	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	ASE_INT	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	ASE_OBJ	1	2	2	2	2	2	2
	ASE_REQ	1	2	2	2	2	2	2
	ASE_SPD		1	1	1	1	1	1
	ASE_TSS	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Tests	ATE_COV		1	2	2	2	3	3
	ATE_DPT			1	1	3	3	4
	ATE_FUN		1	1	1	1	2	2
	ATE_IND	1	2	2	2	2	2	3
Vulnerability assessment	AVA_VAN	1	2	2	3	4	5	5

Table 6: Evaluation assurance level summary"

#### Class AVA: Vulnerability assessment (chapter 16)

"The AVA: Vulnerability assessment class addresses the possibility of exploitable vulnerabilities introduced in the development or the operation of the TOE."

#### Vulnerability analysis (AVA\_VAN) (chapter 16.1)

"Objectives

Vulnerability analysis is an assessment to determine whether potential vulnerabilities identified, during the evaluation of the development and anticipated operation of the TOE or by other methods (e.g. by flaw hypotheses or quantitative or statistical analysis of the security behaviour of the underlying security mechanisms), could allow attackers to violate the SFRs.

Vulnerability analysis deals with the threats that an attacker will be able to discover flaws that will allow unauthorised access to data and functionality, allow the ability to interfere with or alter the TSF, or interfere with the authorised capabilities of other users."

### D. Annexes

#### List of annexes of this certification report

- Annex A: Security Target provided within a separate document.
- Annex B: Evaluation results regarding development and production environment

This page is intentionally left blank.

# Annex B of Certification Report BSI-DSZ-CC-0926-V2-2017

# Evaluation results regarding development and production environment



The IT product Infineon Technologies Security Controller M7793 A12 and G12 with optional RSA2048/4096 v1.02.013 or v2.00.002, EC v1.02.013 or v2.00.002 and Toolbox v1.02.013 or v2.00.002 libraries and with specific IC-dedicated software (Target of Evaluation, TOE) has been evaluated at an approved evaluation facility using the Common Methodology for IT Security Evaluation (CEM), Version 3.1 extended by Scheme Interpretations by advice of the Certification Body for components beyond EAL 5 and CC Supporting Documents for conformance to the Common Criteria for IT Security Evaluation (CC), Version 3.1.

As a result of the TOE certification, dated 5 September 2017, the following results regarding the development and production environment apply. The Common Criteria assurance requirements ALC – Life cycle support (i.e. ALC\_CMC.4, ALC\_CMS.5, ALC\_DEL.1, ALC\_DVS.2, ALC\_LCD.1 and ALC\_TAT.2)

Name of site / Company name	Address
Development Sites	
IFX Augsburg	Infineon Technologies AG Alter Postweg 101 86159 Augsburg Germany
IFX Bangalore	Infineon Technologies India Pvt. Ltd. Kalyani Platina, Sy. No. 6 & 24 Kundanahalli Village Krishnaraja Puram Hobli Bangalore India – 560066 India
IFX Bucharest	Infineon Technologies Romania Blvd. Dimitrie Pompeiu Nr. 6 Sector 2 020335 Bucharest Romania
IFX Milpitas	Infineon Technologies AG Chip Card and Security 640 North McCarthy Blvd Milpitas, CA 95035

Name of site / Company name	Address
IFX Munich	Infineon Technologies AG Am Campeon 1-12 85579 Neubiberg Germany
IFX Graz	Infineon Technologies Austria AG Development Center Graz Babenbergerstr. 10 8020 Graz Austria
IFX Villach	Infineon Technologies Austria AG Siemensstr. 2 9500 Villach Austria
IFX Klagenfurt	Infineon Technologies Austria AG Lakeside B05 9020 Klagenfurt Austria
IFX Melaka	Infineon Technologies Sdn. Bhd. Batu Berendam FTZ 75350, Melaka Malaysia
Production Sites	
Amkor Manila	Amkor Technology Philippines Km. 22 East Service Rd. South Superhighway Muntinlupa City 1702 Philippines
	Amkor Technology Philippines 119 North Science Avenue Laguna Technopark, Binan Laguna 4024 Philippines
ARDT Hsin-Chu	Ardentec Corporation T site No. 3, Gungye 3rd Rd., Hsin-Chu Industrial Park, Hu-Kou, Hsin-Chu Hsien Taiwan 30351, R.O.C.
ARDT Singapore	Ardentec Singapore Pte. Ltd. 12 Woodlands Loop #02-00 Singapore 738283

Name of site / Company name	Address
ASK Burlington	ASK-intTag, LLC Building 966 1000 River St., Essex Junction, Vermont 05452 USA
DHL Singapore	DHL Exel Supply Chain Richland Business Centre 11 Bedok North Ave 4, Level 3, Singapore 489949
Disco Kirchheim	DISCO HI-TEC EUROPE GmbH Liebigstrasse 8 D-85551 Kirchheim Germany
DNP Agrate	DNP Photomask Europe S.p.A. Via C. Olivetti 2/A 20041 Agrate Brianza Italy
Exceet Paderborn	exceet Card AG Senefelderstr. 10 D-33100 Paderborn Germany
G&D Nitra	Giesecke & Devrient Slovakia, s.r.o. Dolné Hony 11 94901 Nitra Slovakia
HID Galway	HID Global Ireland Teoranta Pairc Tionscail na Tullaigh Baile na hAbhann Co. Galway Ireland
IFX Dresden	Infineon Technologies Dresden GmbH & Co. OHG Königsbrücker Str. 180 01099 Dresden Germany
IFX Morgan Hill	Infineon Technologies North America Corp. 18275 Serene Drive Morgan Hill, CA 95037 USA

Name of site / Company name	Address	
IFX Regensburg	Infineon Technologies AG Wernerwerkstraße 2 93049 Regensburg Germany	
IFX Singapore	Infineon Technologies Asia Pacific PTE Ltd. 168 Kallang Way Singapore 349253	
IFX Wuxi	Infineon Technologies (Wuxi) Co. Ltd. No. 118, Xing Chuang San Lu Wuxi-Singapore Industrial Park Wuxi 214028, Jiangsu P.R. China	
K&N Großostheim	Infineon Technology AG Distribution Center Europe (DCE) Kühne & Nagel Stockstädter Strasse 10 – Building 8A 63762 Großostheim Germany	
K&N Hayward	Kuehne & Nagel 30805 Santana Street Hayward, CA 94544 USA	
Smartrac Bangkok	Smartrac Technology Ltd. 142/121/115 Moo Hi-Tech Industrial Estate Tambon Ban Laean Amphor Bang-Pa-In 13160 Ayutthaya Thailand	
Toppan Dresden	Toppan Photomask, Inc Rähnitzer Allee 9 01109 Dresden Germany	
Toppan Ranzan <sup>9</sup>	Toppan Printing Co., Ltd. Ranzan Plant 6-2, Hanami-Dai, Ranzan-Machi, Hiki-Gun, Saitama 355-0204 Japan	

<sup>9</sup>No remote IT support from other Toppan sites is performed.

Name of site / Company name	Address
TSMC Tainan	Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company Ltd. 1, Nan-Ke North Rd. Tainan Science Park Tainan 741-44 Taiwan

Table 7: List of development and production sites

For the sites listed above, the requirements have been specifically applied in accordance with the Security Target [6] and [9]. The evaluators verified, that the threats, security objectives and requirements for the TOE life cycle phases up to delivery (as stated in the Security Target [6] and [9]) are fulfilled by the procedures of these sites.

This page is intentionally left blank.