

Reference: 2017-25-INF-2751-v1  
Target: Público  
Date: 21.05.2019

Created by: CERT10  
Revised by: CALIDAD  
Approved by: TECNICO

## CERTIFICATION REPORT

---

|            |  |
|------------|--|
| Dossier #  | <b>2017-25</b>   |
| TOE        | <b>Bittium Tough Mobile C (BTMC). HW version: 9304809A03. SW version: Android 5.1.1. Kernel version: 3.4.0. Build: S2_BSOS_1.1.5C_MR22_sapphire2</b> |
| Applicant  | <b>1737565-0 - Bittium Wireless Oy</b>   |
| References | [EXT-4852] ETR v2.0  |

---

Certification report of the product Bittium Tough Mobile C (BTMC). HW version: 9304809A03. SW version: Android 5.1.1. Kernel version: 3.4.0. Build: S2\_BSOS\_1.1.5C\_MR22\_sapphire2, as requested in [EXT-3462] dated 24/04/2019, and evaluated by Epoche & Espri S.L.U., as detailed in the Evaluation Technical Report [EXT-4852] received on 21/03/2019.

## CONTENTS

|  |    |
|--|----|
| EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....  | 3  |
| TOE SUMMARY.....   | 3  |
| SECURITY ASSURANCE REQUIREMENTS .....                            | 4  |
| SECURITY FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS .....                           | 5  |
| IDENTIFICATION .....   | 6  |
| SECURITY POLICIES.....   | 6  |
| ASSUMPTIONS AND OPERATIONAL ENVIRONMENT .....                    | 6  |
| CLARIFICATIONS ON NON-COVERED THREATS .....                      | 6  |
| OPERATIONAL ENVIRONMENT FUNCTIONALITY .....                      | 7  |
| ARCHITECTURE.....  | 7  |
| LOGICAL ARCHITECTURE .....                                       | 7  |
| PHYSICAL ARCHITECTURE.....                                       | 7  |
| DOCUMENTS .....  | 8  |
| PRODUCT TESTING.....   | 8  |
| EVALUATED CONFIGURATION .....                                    | 8  |
| EVALUATION RESULTS .....   | 9  |
| COMMENTS & RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE EVALUATION TEAM.....         | 9  |
| CERTIFIER RECOMMENDATIONS .....                                  | 9  |
| GLOSSARY.....  | 9  |
| BIBLIOGRAPHY .....   | 10 |
| SECURITY TARGET .....  | 10 |
| RECOGNITION AGREEMENTS.....                                      | 11 |
| European Recognition of ITSEC/CC – Certificates (SOGIS-MRA)..... | 11 |
| International Recognition of CC – Certificates (CCRA).....       | 11 |

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This document constitutes the Certification Report for the certification file of the product Bittium Tough Mobile C (BTMC). HW version: 9304809A03. SW version: Android 5.1.1. Kernel version: 3.4.0. Build: S2\_BSOS\_1.1.5C\_MR22\_sapphire2.

The device is a Dual OS mobile handset running with two separate operating systems. Operating systems are normal operating system which is regular Android OS and Bittium Secure OS Secure operating system which base on Android 5.1.1 operating system with Bittium modifications. Only one operating system can be active at the time. Switching between two operating systems is done using menu under power key.

**Developer/manufacturer:** Bittium Wireless Oy

**Sponsor:** Bittium Wireless Oy.

**Certification Body:** Centro Criptológico Nacional (CCN) del Centro Nacional de Inteligencia (CNI).

**ITSEF:** Epoche & Espri S.L.U.

**Protection Profile:** None.

**Evaluation Level:** Common Criteria v3.1 r4 - EAL2.

**Evaluation end date:** 21/03/2019.

All the assurance components required by the evaluation level EAL2 have been assigned a “PASS” verdict. Consequently, the laboratory Epoche & Espri S.L.U. assigns the “PASS” VERDICT to the whole evaluation due all the evaluator actions are satisfied for the EAL2, as defined by the Common Criteria v3.1 r4 and the CEM v3.1 r4.

Considering the obtained evidences during the instruction of the certification request of the product Bittium Tough Mobile C (BTMC). HW version: 9304809A03. SW version: Android 5.1.1. Kernel version: 3.4.0. Build: S2\_BSOS\_1.1.5C\_MR22\_sapphire2, a positive resolution is proposed.

## TOE SUMMARY

The TOE is a mobile handset running with Bittium Secure OS Secure operating system, which base on Android 5.1.1 operating system with Bittium modifications. Officials who are communicating sensitive information are intended to use device as reliable and secure mobile communication device for governmental.

TOE can be used, for example for voice and data communications applications using a trusted channel. The trusted channel is a VPN providing confidentiality, integrity and end-points

authenticity. The TOE connects to the internet using either a mobile network or Wi-Fi networks, but in either case, the communication with trusted external entities is through trusted channels so that the IP traffic is sent and/or received using the trusted channel.

TOE also protects user sensitive data, protects from unauthorized access and external tampering.

Major security features of the TOE are:

- Security Audit – collects and stores security information of the TOE's to the data partition.
- Cryptographic Support – provides cryptographic operations. These functionalities are used for data and communication protection and integrity verification.
- User data protection – performs user data communication channel protection using VPN. Protects user data and corresponding keys from unauthorized access and in case of security integrity violation.
- Identification and authentication – perform user authorization and identification and restrict usage accordance security policies.
- Security Management – allows user manage security settings of the TOE, download trusted applications and perform emergency procedures in case of security violation. Allows MDM to configure security features of the TOE via secure connection.
- Protection of the TOE Security Functions – TOE protection is performed during boot and run time. TOE protection is performed using secure boot and integrity checking. Tamper handling protects TOE from external attacks and from OS manipulating. Trusted SW update includes protection of FOTA update and application updates.
- TOE Access – protect device by handling use session with different protection mechanisms. Such mechanisms are like two factor authentication (i.e. user credentials and HOTP from NFC dongle to login), session restrictions and timings.
- Trusted path/channels – Provide trusted communication channel for IP traffic using TLS (between TOE and MDM server) and IPsec (for VPN gateway).

## SECURITY ASSURANCE REQUIREMENTS

The product was evaluated with all the evidence required to fulfil the evaluation level EAL2, according to Common Criteria v3.1 r4.

| Assurance Class         | Assurance components                                  |
|-------------------------|---|
| ADV: Development        | ADV_ARC.1 Security architecture description           |
|                         | ADV_FSP.2 Security-enforcing functional specification |
|                         | ADV_TDS.1 Basic design                                |
| AGD: Guidance documents | AGD_OPE.1 Operational user guidance                   |
|                         | AGD_PRE.1 Preparative procedures                      |

|                                 |  |
|---------------------------------|--|
| ALC: Life-cycle support         | ALC_CMC.2 Use of a CM system             |
|                                 | ALC_CMS.2 Parts of the TOE CM coverage   |
|                                 | ALC_DEL.1 Delivery procedures            |
| ASE: Security Target evaluation | ASE_CCL.1 Conformance claims             |
|                                 | ASE_ECD.1 Extended components definition |
|                                 | ASE_INT.1 ST introduction                |
|                                 | ASE_OBJ.2 Security objectives            |
|                                 | ASE_REQ.2 Derived security requirements  |
|                                 | ASE_SPD.1 Security problem definition    |
|                                 | ASE_TSS.1 TOE summary specification      |
|                                 | ATE: Tests                               |
|                                 | ATE_FUN.1 Functional testing             |
|                                 | ATE_IND.2 Independent testing – simple   |
| AVA: Vulnerability assessment   | AVA_VAN.2 Vulnerability analysis         |

## SECURITY FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS

The product security functionality satisfies the following functional requirements, according to the Common Criteria v3.1 r4:

| TOE Security Functional Requirements | Description  |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| FAU_AUD_EXT.1                        | Audit data generation                                  |
| FAU_STG.1                            | Protected audit trail storage                          |
| FAU_STG.4                            | Prevention of audit data loss                          |
| FCS_CKM.1                            | Cryptographic Key Generation                           |
| FCS_COP.1                            | Cryptographic Operation                                |
| FCS_RBG_EXT.1                        | Extended – Random Bit Generation                       |
| FDP_IFC.2                            | Complete information flow control                      |
| FDP_IFF.1                            | Simple security attributes                             |
| FDP_DSK_EXT.1                        | Extended – Protection of Data on Disk                  |
| FDP_ZER_EXT.1                        | Extended – Zeroization                                 |
| FIA_UAU.2                            | User Authentication before any action                  |
| FIA_AFL.1                            | Authentication failure handling                        |
| FMT_SMF.1                            | Specification of management functions                  |
| FMT_SMR.1                            | Security management roles                              |
| FMT_MSA.1                            | Management of security attributes                      |
| FMT_MSA.3                            | Static attribute initialisation                        |
| FPT_FLS.1                            | Failure with preservation of secure state              |
| FPT_SBT_EXT.1                        | Extended – Secure Boot and Operation continuity        |
| FPT_STM.1                            | Reliable time stamps                                   |
| FPT_TST_EXT.2                        | Extended – Integrity Test                              |
| FPT_TUD_EXT.1                        | Extended – Trusted Update                              |
| FPT_PHY_EXT.1                        | Extended – Passive detection of physical attack        |
| FTA_SSL.1                            | TSF-initiated session locking                          |
| FTA_SSL.2                            | User-initiated locking                                 |
| FTP_ITC.1/VPN-tunnel                 | Inter-TSF Trusted Channel (Application communications) |
| FTP_ITC.1/REM-ADM                    | Inter-TSF Trusted Channel (remote administration)      |
| FTP_ITC.1/AUDIT                      | Inter-TSF Trusted Channel                              |

## IDENTIFICATION

**Product:** Bittium Tough Mobile C (BTMC). HW version: 9304809A03. SW version: Android 5.1.1. Kernel version: 3.4.0. Build: S2\_BSOS\_1.1.5C\_MR22\_sapphire2

**Security Target:** Bittium Tough Mobile C Security Target, version 4.0.2, 20/02/2019.

**Protection Profile:** None.

**Evaluation Level:** Common Criteria v3.1 r4 EAL2.

## SECURITY POLICIES

The use of the product Bittium Tough Mobile C (BTMC). HW version: 9304809A03. SW version: Android 5.1.1. Kernel version: 3.4.0. Build: S2\_BSOS\_1.1.5C\_MR22\_sapphire2 shall implement a set of security policies assuring the fulfilment of different standards and security demands.

The detail of these policies is documented in [ST], chapter 4.2 (Organisational Security Policies).

## ASSUMPTIONS AND OPERATIONAL ENVIRONMENT

The assumptions detailed in [ST], chapter 4.3 (Assumptions), are constraints to the conditions used to assure the security properties and functionalities compiled by the security target. These assumptions have been applied during the evaluation in order to determine if the identified vulnerabilities can be exploited.

In order to assure the secure use of the TOE, it is necessary to start from these assumptions for its operational environment. If this is not possible and any of them could not be assumed, it would not be possible to assure the secure operation of the TOE.

## CLARIFICATIONS ON NON-COVERED THREATS

The threats detailed in [ST], chapter 4.1 (Threats) do not suppose a risk for the product Bittium Tough Mobile C (BTMC). HW version: 9304809A03. SW version: Android 5.1.1. Kernel version: 3.4.0. Build: S2\_BSOS\_1.1.5C\_MR22\_sapphire2, although the agents implementing attacks have the attack potential according to the Basic of EAL2 and always fulfilling the usage assumptions and the proper security policies satisfaction.

For any other threat not included in this list, the evaluation results of the product security properties and the associated certificate, do not guarantee any resistance.

## ***OPERATIONAL ENVIRONMENT FUNCTIONALITY***

The product requires the cooperation from its operational environment to fulfil some of the objectives of the defined security problem.

The security objectives declared for the TOE operational environment are detailed in [ST], chapter 5.2 (Security Objectives for the Operational Environment).

The details of the product operational environment (assumptions, threats and organisational security policies) and the TOE security requirements are included in the associated security target.

## **ARCHITECTURE**

### ***LOGICAL ARCHITECTURE***

The logical boundary of the TOE includes all interfaces and functions within the physical boundary. The logical boundary of the TOE is described in chapters 7 Security Requirements and in chapter 8 TOE Summary Specification in [ST].

The TOE includes the following functional sections:

- Security Audit
- Cryptographic support
- User data protection
- Identification and authentication
- Security management
- Protection of the TSF
- TOE access
- Trusted path/channels
- Security updates

### ***PHYSICAL ARCHITECTURE***

TOE provides wireless connectivity including secure VPN connectivity. TOE could be used as a mobile device within an enterprise environment where the configuration of the device is managed through a compliant MDM.

MDM environment (i.e. Bittium Secure Suite and VPN Gateway) are out of the scope of the TOE, but it is used to support evaluation.

TOE is delivered in regular sales package including:

- Bittium Tough Mobile C HW and Bittium Secure OS Secure operating system
- Bittium Secure OS Secure operating system SW version is S2\_BSOS\_1.1.5C\_MR22\_sapphire2
- NFC dongle for two phase authentication
- Tough Mobile Quick start guide.

Note: NFC dongle and the Tough Mobile Quick start guide are part of the delivery but they are NOT part of the TOE on the common criteria evaluation.

The documentation listed in *DOCUMENTS* section of this Certification Report provide instructions for enterprise author how to perform initial provisioning and configuration of TOE via MDM, user and MDM administrator instructions of operations within enterprise environment.

## DOCUMENTS

The product includes the following documents that shall be distributed and made available together to the users of the evaluated version.

- Tough Mobile C Preparative procedures, version 2.0.0. Document number [121436EDC0162]
- Tough Mobile C Operational User Guidance, version 4.0.0. Document number [121436EDC0161].

## PRODUCT TESTING

The developer has executed tests for all the security functions. All the tests have been performed by the developer in its premises, with a satisfactory result.

During the evaluation process it has been verified each unit test checking that the security functionality that covers is been identified and also that the kind of test is appropriate to the function that is intended to test.

All the tests have been developed using the testing scenario appropriate to the established architecture in the security target. It has also been checked that the obtained results during the tests fit or correspond to the previously estimated results.

## EVALUATED CONFIGURATION

The evaluator has performed an installation and configuration of the TOE and its operational environment following the steps included in the installation and operation manuals (see *DOCUMENTS* section of this certification report).

## EVALUATION RESULTS

The product Bittium Tough Mobile C (BTMC). HW version: 9304809A03. SW version: Android 5.1.1. Kernel version: 3.4.0. Build: S2\_BSOS\_1.1.5C\_MR22\_sapphire2 has been evaluated against the Security Target: Bittium Tough Mobile C Security Target, version 4.0.2, 20/02/2019.

All the assurance components required by the evaluation level EAL2 have been assigned a “PASS” verdict. Consequently, the laboratory Epoche & Espri S.L.U. assigns the “**PASS**” **VERDICT** to the whole evaluation due all the evaluator actions are satisfied for the evaluation level EAL2, as defined by the Common Criteria v3.1 r4 and the CEM v3.1 r4.

## COMMENTS & RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE EVALUATION TEAM

Next, recommendations regarding the secure usage of the TOE are provided. These have been collected along the evaluation process and are detailed to be considered when using the product.

- The fulfilment of the assumptions indicated in the security target is a key point as it implies TOE environment configurations that leave some potential vulnerabilities out of the scope.

## CERTIFIER RECOMMENDATIONS

Considering the obtained evidences during the instruction of the certification request of the product Epoche & Espri S.L.U., a positive resolution is proposed.

## GLOSSARY

|     |                                 |
|-----|---------------------------------|
| CCN | Centro Criptológico Nacional    |
| CNI | Centro Nacional de Inteligencia |
| EAL | Evaluation Assurance Level      |
| ETR | Evaluation Technical Report     |
| OC  | Organismo de Certificación      |
| TOE | Target Of Evaluation            |

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

The following standards and documents have been used for the evaluation of the product:

[CC\_P1] Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation Part 1: Introduction and general model, Version 3.1, R4 Final, September 2012.

[CC\_P2] Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation Part 2: Security functional components, Version 3.1, R4 Final, September 2012.

[CC\_P3] Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation Part 3: Security assurance components, Version 3.1, R4 Final, September 2012.

[CEM] Common Methodology for Information Technology Security Evaluation: Version 3.1, R4 Final, September 2012.

[ST] Bittium Tough Mobile C Security Target, version 4.0.2, 20/02/2019.

## SECURITY TARGET

Along with this certification report, the complete security target of the evaluation is available in the Certification Body:

- Bittium Tough Mobile C Security Target, version 4.0.2, 20/02/2019.

The public version of this document constitutes the ST Lite. The ST Lite has also been reviewed for the needs of publication according to [CCDB-2006-04-004], and it is published along with this certification report in the Certification Body and CCRA websites. The ST Lite identifier is:

- Bittium Tough Mobile C Security Target Lite, version 1.0.1, 17/05/2019.

## RECOGNITION AGREEMENTS

In order to avoid multiple certification of the same product in different countries a mutual recognition of IT security certificates - as far as such certificates are based on ITSEC or CC - under certain conditions was agreed.

### ***European Recognition of ITSEC/CC – Certificates (SOGIS-MRA)***

The SOGIS-Mutual Recognition Agreement (SOGIS-MRA) Version 3 became effective in April 2010. It defines the recognition of certificates for IT-Products at a basic recognition level and, in addition, at higher recognition levels for IT-Products related to certain SOGIS Technical Domains only.

The basic recognition level includes Common Criteria (CC) Evaluation Assurance Levels EAL 1 to EAL 4 and ITSEC Evaluation Assurance Levels E1 to E3 (basic). For "Smartcards and similar devices" a SOGIS Technical Domain is in place. For "HW Devices with Security Boxes" a SOGIS Technical Domains is in place, too. In addition, certificates issued for Protection Profiles based on Common Criteria are part of the recognition agreement.

The new agreement has been signed by the national bodies of Austria, Finland, France, Germany, Italy, The Netherlands, Norway, Spain, Sweden and the United Kingdom. The current list of signatory nations and approved certification schemes, details on recognition, and the history of the agreement can be seen on the website at <https://www.sogis.org>.

The SOGIS-MRA logo printed on the certificate indicates that it is recognised under the terms of this agreement by the nations listed above.

The certificate of this TOE is recognized under SOGIS-MRA for all assurance components selected.

### ***International Recognition of CC – Certificates (CCRA)***

The international arrangement on the mutual recognition of certificates based on the CC (Common Criteria Recognition Arrangement, CCRA-2014) has been ratified on 08 September 2014. It covers CC certificates based on collaborative Protection Profiles (cPP) (exact use), CC certificates based on assurance components up to and including EAL 2 or the assurance family Flaw Remediation (ALC\_FLR) and CC certificates for Protection Profiles and for collaborative Protection Profiles (cPP).

The CCRA-2014 replaces the old CCRA signed in May 2000 (CCRA-2000). Certificates based on CCRA-2000, issued before 08 September 2014 are still under recognition according to the rules of CCRA-

2000. For on 08 September 2014 ongoing certification procedures and for Assurance Continuity (maintenance and re-certification) of old certificates a transition period on the recognition of certificates according to the rules of CCRA-2000 (i.e. assurance components up to and including EAL 4 or the assurance family Flaw Remediation (ALC\_FLR)) is defined until 08 September 2017.

As of September 2014 the signatories of the new CCRA-2014 are government representatives from the following nations: Australia, Austria, Canada, Czech Republic, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, India, Israel, Italy, Japan, Malaysia, The Netherlands, New Zealand, Norway, Pakistan, Republic of Korea, Singapore, Spain, Sweden, Turkey, United Kingdom, and the United States.

The current list of signatory nations and approved certification schemes can be seen on the website: <http://www.commoncriteriaportal.org>.

The Common Criteria Recognition Arrangement logo printed on the certificate indicates that this certification is recognised under the terms of this agreement by the nations listed above.

The certificate of this TOE is recognized under SOGIS-MRA for all assurance components selected.