





CyberSecurity Malaysia 200601006881 (726630-U)

www.cybersecurity.my

Level 7, Tower 1, Menara Cyber Axis, Jalan Impact, 63000 Cyberjaya Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia

T +603 8800 7999 **F** +603 8008 7000 **H** 1 300 88 2999







C134 Certification Report Smart-Ex 03

27 February 2025 ISCB Department

CyberSecurity Malaysia

Level 7, Tower 1, Menara Cyber Axis, Jalan Impact, 63000 Cyberjaya, Selangor, Malaysia Tel: +603 8800 7999 Fax: +603 8008 7000 http://www.cybersecurity.my

Document Authorisation

DOCUMENT TITLE:	C134 Certification Report
DOCUMENT REFERENCE:	ISCB-5-RPT-C134-CR-v1
ISSUE:	v1
DATE:	27 February 2025
DISTRIBUTION:	UNCONTROLLED COPY - FOR UNLIMITED USE AND
	DISTRIBUTION

Copyright Statement

The copyright of this document, which may contain proprietary information, is the property of CyberSecurity Malaysia.

The document shall be held in safe custody.

©CYBERSECURITY MALAYSIA, 2025

Registered office:

Level 7, Tower 1

Menara Cyber Axis

Jalan Impact

63000 Cyberjaya

Selangor Malaysia

Registered in Malaysia - Company Limited by Guarantee Company No. 200601006881 (726630-U)

Printed in Malaysia

Foreword

The Malaysian Common Criteria Evaluation and Certification (MyCC) Scheme has been established under the 9th Malaysian Plan to increase Malaysia's competitiveness in quality assurance of information security based on the Common Criteria (CC) standard and to build consumers' confidence towards Malaysian information security products.

The MyCC Scheme is operated by CyberSecurity Malaysia and provides a model for licensed Malaysian Security Evaluation Facilities (MySEFs) to conduct security evaluations of ICT products, systems and protection profiles against internationally recognised standards. The results of these evaluations are certified by the Malaysian Common Criteria Certification Body (MyCB) Unit, a unit established within Information Security Certification Body (ISCB) Department, CyberSecurity Malaysia.

By awarding a Common Criteria certificate, the MyCB asserts that the product complies with the security requirements specified in the associated Security Target. A Security Target is a requirements specification document that defines the scope of the evaluation activities. The consumer of certified IT products should review the Security Target, in addition to this certification report, to gain an understanding of any assumptions made during the evaluation, the IT product's intended environment, its security requirements, and the level of confidence (i.e., the evaluation assurance level) that the product satisfies the security requirements.

This certification report is associated with the certificate of product evaluation dated 7 March 2025 and the Security Target (Ref[6]). The certification report, Certificate of product evaluation and security target are posted on the MyCC Scheme Certified Product Register (MyCPR) at <u>https://iscb.cybersecurity.my</u> and the Common Criteria Portal (the official website of the Common Criteria Recognition Arrangement).

Reproduction of this report is authorised provided the report is reproduced in its entirety.

Disclaimer

The Information Technology (IT) product identified in this certification report and its associate certificate has been evaluated at an accredited and licensed evaluation facility established under the Malaysian Common Criteria Evaluation and Certification (MyCC) Scheme using the Common Methodology for IT Security Evaluation, version 3.1 revision 5 (Ref [3]), for conformance to the Common Criteria for IT Security Evaluation, version 3.1 revision 5 (Ref [2]). This certification report and its associated certificate apply only to the specific version and release of the product in its evaluated configuration. The evaluation has been conducted in accordance with the provisions of the MyCC Scheme and the conclusions of the evaluation facility in the evaluation technical report are consistent with the evidence adduced. This certification report and its associated certificate is not an endorsement of the IT product by CyberSecurity Malaysia or by any other organisation that recognises or gives effect to this certificate, is either expressed or implied.

Document Change Log

RELEASE	DATE	PAGES AFFECTED	REMARKS/CHANGE REFERENCE
d1	6 February 2025	All	Initial draft
v1	27 February 2025	All	Final version

Executive Summary

The Target of Evaluation (TOE) is a Smart-Ex 03 smartphone, a mobile phone designed and developed by Pepperl+Fuchs SE. The product is designed to be used in explosion hazardous locations and for heavy duty use in industrial environments.

Smart-Ex 03 smartphone is a device that features a protection mechanism in the form of smartphone from the aspects of externally and internally. With high performance hardware such as thermal sensors located in specific area inside the smartphone as well as physical protections that prevent high impact damages that may compromise the smartphone integrity.

The scope of evaluation covers the smart battery sensors, physical protection of the device (smartphone casing and its sensor), TOE mobile applications, evaluated configuration of Android OS and evaluated configuration of the hardware components operated within the device.

The TOE provides security functions such as security audit, cryptographic function, user data protection, identification and authentication, security management, TOE access and physical tampering and fault tolerance.

The scope of the evaluation is defined by the Security Target (Ref[6]) which identifies assumptions made during the evaluation, the intended environment for the TOE, the security functional requirements, and the evaluation assurance level at which the product is intended to satisfy the security requirements. Users are advised to review the intended operating environment and confirm that the stated security objectives can be effectively met by considering the comments, observations and recommendations in this certification report.

This report confirms the findings of the security evaluation of the TOE to the Common Criteria (CC) Evaluation Assurance Level 2 (EAL2). This report confirms that the evaluation was conducted in accordance with the relevant criteria and the requirements of the Malaysia Common Criteria Evaluation and Certification (MyCC) Scheme (Ref [4]).

The evaluation was performed by Securelytics SEF and the evaluation was completed on 6 February 2025.

The Malaysia Common Criteria Certification Body (MyCB), as the MyCC Scheme Certification Body, declares that the TOE evaluation meets all the Arrangements on the Recognition of

Page vii of x

Common Criteria certificates and the product will be listed in the MyCC Scheme Certified Products Register (MyCPR) at <u>http://iscb.cybersecurity.my</u> and the Common Criteria portal (the official website of the Common Criteria Recognition Arrangement) at <u>http://www.commoncriteriaportal.org</u>

It is the responsibility of the user to ensure that Smart-Ex 03 meets their requirements. It is recommended that a potential user of the TOE refer to the Security Target (Ref [6]) and this Certification Report prior to deciding whether to purchase the product.

Table of Contents

Documer	nt Au	uthorisation	.ii
Copyrigh	nt Sta	atement	iii
Foreword	d b		iv
Disclaim	er		.v
Documer	nt Cł	nange Log	vi
Executive	e Su	mmarv	/ii
Index of	Tah	les	v
Index of	Figu		·^ ~
	rigu 		
l larget	OT E	valuation	. I
	1.1	TOE Description	. 1
	1.2	TOE Identification	. 3
	1.3	Security Policy	. 4
	1.4	TOE Architecture	. 4
		1.4.1 Logical Boundaries	. 4
		1.4.2 Physical Boundaries	. 5
	1.5	Clarification of Scope	. 6
	1.6	Assumptions	. 7
	1.7	Evaluated Configuration	. 8
	1.8	Delivery Procedures	. 7
2	Eva	luation	.9
	2.1	Evaluation Analysis Activities	. 9
		2.1.1 Life-cycle support	. 9
		2.1.2 Development	. 9
		2.1.3 Guidance documents	10
		2.1.4 IT Product Testing	10
3	Res	ult of the Evaluation2	22
	3.1	Assurance Level Information	22
	3.2	Recommendation	22

Annex A	Refe	erences	24
	A.1	References	24
	A.2	Terminology	24
	A.2.1	Acronyms	24
	A.2.2	Glossary of Terms	25

Index of Tables

Table 1: TOE Model	1
Table 2: TOE Identification	
Table 3: Functional Test	
Table 4: List of Acronyms	
Table 5: Glossary of Terms	

Index of Figures

Figure 1: TOE Boundary

1 Target of Evaluation

1.1 TOE Description

- The Target of Evaluation (TOE) is the Smart-Ex 03 smartphone, which operates on the Android Operating System (AOS). The TOE features a complex design of safety capabilities and designed to be used, handled and designed to operate in hazardous and harsh environments.
- 2 Besides its intrinsically safe electronic design, embedded sensors inside the smartphone monitor thermal incidents that may impact the smartphone integrity.
- 3 The TOE is equipped with an intelligent thermal management system that prevents overheating and maintains optimal performance even in hot environments as well as with a Smart-Battery to ensure optimal battery life.
- The rugged design of the TOE includes special housing materials, strengthened glass and shock-absorbing materials, making the TOE highly resistant to physical shocks, falls, or rough handling. The TOE had undergone rigorous testing procedures, including drop tests, impact resistance evaluations, and temperature stress tests, to ensure their ability to withstand various adverse conditions and protects the user in hazardous environments.
- 5 In addition, the TOE consists of the following models, its country specific variants which are based on the same system architecture and software baseline.

Model	Platform	Kernel	Android Version
Smart-Ex 03 DZ1*	QCM6490	5.4.233	13
Smart-Ex 03 DZ2*	QCM6490	5.4.233	13
Smart-Ex 03*	QCM6490	5.4.233	13

Table 1: TOE Model

Note that, the notation "*" are meant as the TOE model is based on country specific variant that may vary in the aspect such as Android GMS services must not be installed in certain countries as per Google Licensing requirement and others that shall be advised by the TOE Developer.

The model that will be using for the evaluation will be Smart-Ex 03*. Note that, the other two models in the table above having the same software, hardware, firmware

and configuration that uses by the Smart-Ex 03*. Thus, due to the similar build up, both models are included in the scope of evaluation. The different labelling on the models is basically the different name used for marketing in different country and region.

•				
	Layers	Det	tails	
		Evaluated	Library File(s)	
	Mahila Analisasiana	Mobile Apps	Mobile App API(s)	Pre-Installed Mobile
	Mobile Applications	UX and UI Interfaces	Mobile App Data(s)	Applications
PEPPERL+FUCHS		Other Dat	a, Files etc.	
		Evaluated	Library File(s)	
	Mobile Operating System	Configuration of Android OS		API Extensions for Security
			Android US API(S)	Functions and Pre-Installed
	, ,,	UX and UI Interfaces	Android OS Data(s)	Mobile Applications
		Other Dat	a, Files etc.	
		Evaluated	Momony (RAM)	
		Configuration of	Welliory (KAW)	Consist of:
	Hardware Laver	Hardware	Hardware Storage (ROM)	
	That dware Eayer	CPU Chip Processor	External Media	Applications; and
		Other Ha	rdware(s)	 Cryptographic Functions
PEPPERL+FUCHS		-		<u> </u>

Figure 1: TOE Boundary

Figure 1 shows has highlighted in red font is the scope of the TOE. The TOE consists of two (2) main parts of operations, which are physical operations and logical operations.
 The description is provided in Section 1.4 in this document.

1.2 TOE Identification

7 The details of the TOE are identified in table below.

Evaluation Scheme	Malaysian Common Criteria Evaluation and Certification (MyCC) Scheme		
Project Identifier	C134		
TOE Name	Smart-Ex		
TOE Version	03		
Security Target Title	Smart-Ex 03 Security Target		
Security Target Version	v1.1		
Security Target Date	2 February 2025		
Assurance Level	Evaluation Assurance Level 2		
Criteria	Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation, April 2017, Version 3.1, Revision 5 (Ref [2])		
Methodology	Common Methodology for Information Technology Security Evaluation, April 2017, Version 3.1, Revision 5 (Ref [3])		
Protection Profile Conformance	None		
	CC Part 2 Conformant		
Common Criteria Conformance	CC Part 3 Conformant		
	Package conformant to EAL 2		
	Eurofins Product Service CMBH		
Sponsor	Storkower Str. 38c, 15526 Reichenwalde,		
	Germany		
	Pepperl+Fuchs SE		
Developer	Lilienthalstraße 200		
Developer	68307 Mannheim		
	Germany		
	Securelytics SEF		
Evaluation Facility	A-19-06, Tower A ATRIA SOFO SUITES, Jalan SS 22/23, Damansara		
	Utama, 47400 Petaling Jaya, Selangor,		
	Malaysia		
	Table 2: TOE Identification		

1.3 Security Policy

8 No Organisational Security Policy declared for the TOE.

1.4 TOE Architecture

9 The TOE consist of logical and physical boundaries which are described in Section 1.5 of the Security Target (Ref[6]).

1.4.1 Logical Boundaries

• Security Audit

Smart-Ex 03 smartphone as the TOE has the capability to collect, stored and maintained the security events audit log trails of the device components such as sensors, hardware and processor. inclusive of event logs generated by the configuration made on the Android OS, as well as hardware components within the TOE as a smartphone. In which, these components are important to ensure the TOE operates in a good condition, safely from any hazardous conditions and maintain its integrity from any internal or external damages.

• Cryptographic Function

Smart-Ex 03 smartphone has the capability of performing security functions related to cryptographic processes through the functionality of the Android OS as well as supported by the chip processes that enable several features such as secure boot and secure data protection storage.

• User Data Protection

Smart-Ex 03 smartphone as the TOE has the capability and capacity to protect the data stored inside the smartphone (as part of TOE secure operations) consist of audit log trails, collected data from sensors, data performance of hardware, configuration files of Android OS and configuration files of the hardware components.

• Identification and Authentication

Smart-Ex 03 smartphone has the capability to enforce access control based on identification and authentication by enabling security function such as: PIN code, Pattern, Password Based and Biometric fingerprint on the login screen, that enforce security capabilities in protecting the device lock screen security enabled by the TOE User.

• Security Management

Smart-Ex 03 smartphone as the TOE is being constructed with management functions that maintain the device conditions to ensure continues capability and capacity from the aspects of device integrity and data securely being protected in the mobile device through device monitoring that is accessible by both TOE User and TOE Developer (If being shared or allow access by TOE user).

The security management functions are performed and enforced by the TOE and TOE Mobile Apps (which are: elS, eLOGKIT, eDIAGNOSTICS, eXTEND and ecomManagerService).

• Physical Tampering and Fault Tolerance

Smart-Ex 03 smartphone as the TOE equipped with smart battery sensors and intelligent thermal management systems, the TOE can effectively dissipate heat, prevent overheating and maintains optimal performance even in hot environments. Likewise, the TOE alerts the user on the high and low temperature (temperature acceptance (Ta) range accepted: -20 °C \leq Ta \leq +60 °C) through sensors located in the battery compartment as well as around the selected hardware chips in the smartphone. In which, this function mainly to ensure safe operation of Smart-Ex 03 in harsh environments it has been designed for. With such rugged design of the TOE, it includes reinforced frames, strengthened glass, and shock-absorbing materials, making the smartphone highly resistant to physical shocks, falls, or rough handling.

• TOE Access

Smart-Ex 03 smartphone protects the data from unauthorised access as well as prevent any means of accessing sensitive data inside the device without proper credentials. Protection has been applied through the secure configuration enforced by the Android OS supported by the chip processing.

1.4.2 Physical Boundaries

10 The TOE physical boundary is the physical perimeter of the device.

- 11 The TOE provides physical security of the smartphone by protecting it through complex design of the safety capabilities. It also features embedded hardware sensors inside the smartphone and its battery. These sensors can detect high temperatures.
- 12 The TOE are being operated using Android Operating System with all pre-installed (default) mobile applications known as TOE Mobile Apps (which are: eIS, eLOGKIT,

eDIAGNOSTICS, eXTEND and ecomManagerService) as well mobile applications as provided by the Android Open-Source Project (AOSP) and/or as part of GMS.

- Mobile applications that are provided by Android Open-Source Project (AOSP) and/or as part of GMS are not in scope of the TOE. In which, that are being installed by TOE Developer to communicate with the smartphone hardware sensors for the purpose of monitoring, collecting device health data, to take defined actions such as generating audit trails and/or generates user-advice messages if determined thresholds are met.
- 14 The TOE also has a set of additional APIs provided to configure the devices. These are part of ecomManagerService. Some of these APIs impact the security of the device and are included as part of TOE Mobile Apps.
- The TOE is also able to interact with and Enterprise Mobility Management (EMM) system (sometimes also referred to as Mobile Device Management (MDM) system) through Android Management API and Developer extensions following the Android OEM Configuration mechanism.
- Android OEM Configuration refers to app framework that allows device manufacturers (OEMs) to configure, customize, and manage specific settings, features, or apps on their Android devices without requiring significant software modifications or updates. It enables OEMs to adapt Android to meet the specific needs of product developer.
- 17 All operations of the TOE are based on evaluated configuration of TOE Mobile Apps, evaluated configuration of Android OS and evaluated configuration of Hardware that are defined as scope of evaluation related to the operations of hardware, Android OS and pre-installed TOE mobile apps.
- 18 Data generated by these pre-installed TOE mobile apps will only be shared upon authorization of the TOE User of TOE Developer Services as Support, Diagnostics or Analytics.

1.5 Clarification of Scope

- 19 The TOE is designed to be suitable for use in accordance with user guidance that is supplied with the product.
- Figure 1 and Section 1.4 of this document describes the scope of the evaluation, which is limited to those claims made in the Security Target (Ref[6]).
- 21 The excluded scope of evaluation is being stated below:

- User interface components which consist of capacitive touch display and hardware buttons
- Mobile applications installed by TOE user and that are not pre-installed by TOE Developer.
- Smartphone accessories including the protective case (Ex-protection) and peripherals.
- 22 Potential consumers of the TOE are advised that some functions and services of the overall product have not been evaluated as part of this evaluation. Potential consumers of the TOE should carefully consider their requirements for using functions and services outside of the evaluated configuration.

1.6 Assumptions

Identifier	Assumption statement	
A.TOE_USER	TOE User can access the files and folders of the TOE that been installed in the device, in which they can be trusted and shall not be hostile, are not careless (aware of the surrounding to prevent any social engineering attacks) and understood the importance of keeping information of data/files plus password in private (securely).	
A.NOEVIL	TOE User that are responsible for configuring, installing and remove the TOE Mobile Apps, whilst managing the TOE Mobile Apps data a communication with the Developer Management System for upda or upgrades. It is assumed that this person, is not hostile and competent. Note that, the Developer Management System is a system deploy by the TOE Developer to push updates on the underlying Android	
	and push updates on the TOE Mobile Apps.	
A.PASSWD	TOE User are recommended to enable complex password protected or biometric access protection on the smartphone lock screen.	
A.CONFIG	It is assumed that the TOE's security functions are configured correctly in a manner to ensure that the TOE security policies will be enforced on all applicable based on the usage of the TOE device.	

1.7 Evaluated Configuration

- All operations of the TOE are based on evaluated configuration of TOE Mobile Apps, evaluated configuration of Android OS and evaluated configuration of hardware that are defined as scope of evaluation related to the operations of hardware, Android OS and pre-installed TOE mobile apps stated in the Security Target (Ref[6]).
 - TOE Mobile Apps (Pre-installed Applications): These apps (eIS, eLOGKIT, eDIAGNOSTICS, eXTEND, and ecomManagerService) are included within the scope of evaluation. They provide device monitoring and security logging. Refer to Section 1.5.2 of Security Target (Ref[6]) and for the logical scope and Section 6 of Security Target (Ref [6]) for the security functional requirement offered by the TOE Mobile Apps (Pre-Installed Applications). No third-party or user-installed apps are included in the evaluation.
 - Android OS Configuration: The evaluated version of Android OS enforces access control, cryptographic security, audit logging and many more. Refer to Section 1.5.2 of Security Target (Ref[6]). for the logical scope and Section 6 of Security Target (Ref[6]). for the security functional requirement offered by the Android OS Configuration. The OS is pre-configured to work securely with the TOE Mobile Apps and hardware components.
 - Hardware Configuration: The hardware (battery sensors, thermal monitoring, secure boot, reinforced casing) is included in the scope of evaluation. Refer to Section 1.5.2 of Security Target (Ref[6]). for the logical scope and Section 6 of Security Target (Ref[6]). for the security functional requirement offered by the Hardware Configuration. Features like secure boot, resistance to tampering, and smart sensors ensure physical and operational security.

2 Evaluation

The evaluation was conducted in accordance with the requirements of the Common Criteria, version 3.1 Revision 5 (Ref [2]) and the Common Methodology for IT Security Evaluation (CEM), version 3.1 Revision 5 (Ref [3]). The evaluation was conducted at Evaluation Assurance Level 2. The evaluation was performed conformant to the MyCC Scheme Requirement (MyCC_REQ) (Ref [4]) and ISCB Evaluation Facility Manual (ISCB_EFM) (Ref [5]).

2.1 Evaluation Analysis Activities

The evaluation activities involved a structured evaluation of the TOE, including the following components:

2.1.1 Life-cycle support

- An analysis of the TOE configuration management system and associated documentation was performed. The evaluators found that the configuration items were clearly and uniquely labelled, and that the access control measures as described in the configuration management documentation are effective in preventing unauthorised access to the configuration items. The developer's configuration management system was evaluated, and it was found to be consistent with the provided evidence.
- 27 The evaluators examined the delivery documentation and determined that it described all of the procedures required to maintain the integrity of the TOE during distribution to the consumer.

2.1.2 Development

- The evaluators analyzed the TOE functional specification; they determined that the design completely and accurately describes the TOE security functionality interfaces (TSFIs), and how the TOE security function (TSF) implements the security functional requirements (SFRs).
- 29 The evaluators examined the TOE design specification; they determined that the structure of the entire TOE is described in terms of subsystems. They also determined that, it provides a complete, accurate, and high-level description of the SFR-enforcing behavior of the SFR-enforcing subsystems.

C134 Certification Report

- 30 The evaluators examined the TOE security architecture description; they determined that the information provided in the evidence is presented at a level of detail commensurate with the descriptions of the SFR-enforcing abstractions contained in the functional specification and TOE design.
- At the end, the evaluators confirmed that all the requirements for this class were fulfilled and passed.

2.1.3 Guidance documents

- 32 The evaluators examined the TOE preparative user guidance and operational user guidance, and determined that it sufficiently and unambiguously described how to securely transform the TOE into its evaluated configuration, and how to use and administer the product in order to fulfil the security objectives for the operational environment. The evaluators examined and tested the preparative and operational guidance, and determined that they were complete and sufficiently detailed to result in a secure configuration.
- 33 The evaluators confirmed that the TOE guidance was fulfilled all the requirements and passed for this class.

2.1.4 IT Product Testing

34 Testing at EAL2 consists of assessing developer tests, performing independent functional test, and conducting penetration tests. The TOE testing was conducted by Securelytics SEF. The detailed testing activities, including configurations, procedures, test cases, expected results and actual results are documented in a separate Test Plan Report.

2.1.4.1 Assessment of Developer Tests

The evaluators verified that the developer has met their testing responsibilities by repeating some developer test, as documented in the Evaluation Technical Report (Ref [7]) (not a public document because it contains information proprietary to the developer and/or the evaluator). The results of the evaluators tests are consistent with the developers test results defined in their evaluation evidences submitted.

2.1.4.2 Functional Testing

36 At EAL2, provides an evaluation of the TOE as made available to the customer, including independent testing against a specification, and an examination of the guidance documentation provided. It is intended that an EAL2 evaluation could be successfully conducted without assistance from the developer of the TOE, and for minimal outlay.

37 An evaluation at this level should provide evidence that the TOE functions in a manner consistent with its documentation.

Test ID	Descriptions	Security Function	Results
F001- Identification and Authentication	 To test that the TOE require each user to be successfully authenticated before allowing any other TSF-mediated actions on behalf of that user. To test that the TOE require each user to be successfully identified before allowing any other TSF-mediated actions on behalf of that user. To test that the TOE maintain the following list of security attributes belonging to individual users (login screen access credentials): PIN code login screen Pattern Password Based Biometric fingerprint login screen To test that the TOE provide a mechanism to generate secrets To test that the TOE User. To test that the TOE user. 	FIA_UAU.2 FIA_UID.2 FIA_ATD.1 FIA_SOS.2	PASS
	secrets for encryption/decryption function, password management function, access control function,		

C134 Certification Report

	biometric security function, access		
	to configuration of hardware,		
	access to configuration of OS,		
	access to configuration of TOE		
	Mobile Apps, PKI key management		
	function.		
F002 -	1. To test that the TOE detect	FIA AFL.1	PASS
Identification	unsuccessful authentication	_	
and	attempts (value configured by TOF	FIA_UAU.6	
Authentication & TOF	User) related to PIN code login	FIA_UAU.7	
Access	screen Pattern Password Based	FTA TAR 1	
	Biometric fingerprint login screen	11, 21, 18.1	
	2. To test that when the defined		
	number of unsuccessful		
	authentication attempts has been		
	met. the TOE shall lockout and		
	prevent to login on the screen with		
	the timeout set by TOE User		
	3. To test that the TOE re-		
	authenticate the user under the		
	condition's session lockout of TOE		
	Mobile Apps or exiting TOE Mobile		
	Apps or exiting any configuration		
	functions on the TOE.		
	4 To test that the TOF provide		
	only obscured feedback to the		
	device's display to the user while		
	the authentication is in progress.		
	5. To tost that before establishing		
	a user session the TOE shall		
	display an advisory warning		
	message regarding unauthorised		
	use of the TOF		
F003 - Identification	To test that the TOF prevent reuse	FIA A 4	ΡΔ
and Authentication	of authentication data related to PIN		

	code login screen and password based.		
F004 – TSF initiated session locking	1.To test that the TOE look an interactive session (time interval configured by TOE User) after:	FTA_SSL.1 FTA_SSL.3	PASS
	 clearing or overwriting display devices, making the current contents unreadable. 		
	 disabling any activity of the user's data access/display devices other than unlocking the session 		
	2. To test that the TOE require successful authentication on the lock screen with identified correct TOE User credential prior to unlocking the session.		
	3. To test that the TOE terminate an interactive session after a time interval configured by TOE User of inactivity.		
F005-Security	1. To test that the TOE restrict the	FMT_MOF.1	
Management and	ability to disable or enable the functions in Table 12 and Table 13	FMT_MSA.1	
	to TOE User Security Target (Ref[6]).	FMT_MSA.3	
	2. To test that the TOE enforce the	FMT_SMF.1	
	access control SFP(s) as stated in	FDP_ACC.1	
	Table 12 and Table 13 Security	FDP_ACF.1	
	change_default, query, modify,	FDP_ITC.1	
	delete, enable or disable the security attributes for TOE Mobile App to TOE User or TOE Developer.	FMT_SMR.1	

	3. To test that the TOE enforce the	
	access control SFP to provide	
	permissive default values for	
	security attributes that are used to	
	enforce the SFP.	
•	4. To test that the TOE allow the	
	TOE User or TOE Developer to	
	specify alternative initial values to	
	override the default values when an	
	object or information is created.	
	5. To test that the TOE capable of	
	performing management functions	
	such as all administrative actions	
	related to the TOE Mobile Apps,	
	configuration of security function of	
	the OS and configuration of security	
	functions of the hardware.	
	6. To test that the TOE enforce the	
	access control SFP on list of	
	subjects, objects, and operations	
	components among subjects and	
	objects covered by the SFP as stated	
	in Table 12 Security Target (Ref[6]).	
	7. To test that the TOE enforce the	
	access control SFP to objects based	
	on the following: list of subjects and	
	objects controlled under the	
	indicated SFP as in Table 12 Security	
	Target (Ref [6]).	
	8. To test that the TOE enforce the	
	rules to determine if an operation	
	among controlled subjects and	
	controlled objects is allowed. The	
	rules governing access among	
	controlled subjects and controlled	
	objects using controlled operations	

	on controlled objects as stated in Table 13 in Security Target (Ref[6]). 9. To test that the TOE explicitly authorise access of subjects to objects. 10. To test that the TOE explicitly deny access of subjects to objects 11. To test that the TOE enforce the access control SFP(s) based on Table 12 and Table 13 Security Target (Ref[6]) when importing user data, controlled under the SFP, from outside of the TOE. 12. To test that the TOE ignore any security attributes associated with the user data when imported from outside the TOE. 13. To test that the TOE maintain the roles TOE User and TOE Developer. 14. To test that the TOE able to associate users with roles		
F006- Cryptographic	1. To test that the TOE generate	FCS_CKM.1	PASS
Support (FCS)	cryptographic keys in accordance with a specified cryptographic key generation algorithm; AES, SHA, ECDSA and specified cryptographic key sizes; AES (256-bit keys), SHA (256-bit keys), ECDSA (256-bit keys) that meets FIPS 140-2. 2. To test that the TOE destroy cryptographic keys in accordance with a specified cryptographic key destruction method; overwrite 3. To test that the TOE perform encrypt/decrypt in accordance with	FCS_CKM.4 FCS_COP.1	

	a specified cryptographic algorithm; AES, SHA, ECDSA and specified cryptographic key sizes; AES (256- bit keys), SHA (256-bit keys), ECDSA (256-bit keys) that meets FIPS 140-2. 4. To test that the TOE perform hashing in accordance with a specified cryptographic algorithm; SHA and cryptographic key sizes; 256-bit, 384-bit, 512-bit that meets FIPS 180-4.		
	5. To test that the TOE perform generation and verification based on signing mechanism in accordance with a specified cryptographic algorithm; RSA and cryptographic key sizes 2048-bit or greater that meets FIPS PUB 186-4.		
F007-Passive detection of physical attack and Resistance to physical attack	 To test that the TOE provide unambiguous detection of physical tampering that might compromise the TSF. To test that the TOE provide the capability to determine whether physical tampering with the TSF's devices or TSF elements has occurred. To test that the TOE resist protection cacing being 	FPT_PHP.1 FPT_PHP.3	PASS
	protection casing being compromised or broken, hardware sensor to the physical protection casing, hardware consists of PCB motherboard, RAM, ROM and other hardware in the mobile device by		

C134 Certification Report

	responding automatically such that the SFRs are always enforced.		
F008 – TSF testing	1. To test that the TOE run a suite of	FPT_TST.1	
and Reliable time	self-tests during initial startup to	FPT_STM.1	
stamps	demonstrate the correct operation		
	of the TSF.		
	2. To test that the TOE provide		
	authorised users with the capability		
	to verify the integrity of TSF data.		
	3. To test that the TOE provide		
	authorised users with the capability		
	to verify the integrity of TSF.		
	4. To test that the TOE able to		
	provide reliable time stamps.		
F009 – Security	1.To test that the TOE able to	FAU_GEN.1	PASS
Audit and User Data	generate an audit record of the	FAU_ARP.1	
Protection	following auditable events:	FAU_STG.1	
	• Start-up and shutdown of the	FAU_STG.4	
	audit functions	FAU_SAA.1	
	• All auditable events for the	FDP_SDI.1	
	minimum level of audit	FDP_SDI.2	
	All administrative actions, start-		
	up and shutdown of the OS,		
	insertion or removal of external		
	media (e.g., SD card, SIM Card),		
	enrolment/activation of eSIM,		
	auditable events generated by		
	TOE Mobile Apps and auditable		
	events generated by the OS,		
	Invocation of ECOMS SDK APIs as		
	stated to Appendix A in Security		
	Target (Ref[6]).		

	-	
2.To test that the TOE record within each audit report at least the following information:		
 Date and time of the event, type of event, subject identity (if applicable), and the outcome (success or failure) of the event. 		
 For each audit event type, based on the auditable event definition of the functional components included in Security Target (Ref[6]). 		
3.To test that the TOE take notification on the eIS and eXTEND that monitors the data from various thermal sensors upon detection of a potential security violation.		
4. To test that the TOE able to apply a set of rules in monitoring the audited events and based upon these rules indicate a potential violation of the enforcement of the SFRs.		
5.To test that TOE, enforce the following rules for monitoring audited events:		
 Accumulation or combination of subset of defined auditable events in Table 11 Security Target (Ref[6]) known to indicate a potential security violation. 		
6. To test that the TOE protect the stored audit records in the audit trail from unauthorized deletion.		

7. To test that the TOE to detect	
unauthorized modifications to the	
stored audit records in the audit	
trail.	
8. To test that the TOE overwrite the	
oldest stored audit records and	
circular log buffer size function if	
the audit trail is full.	
9 To test that the TOF monitor user	
data stored in containers controlled	
by the TOE for integrity errors on all	
objects based on the following	
attributes: TOF User data attributes	
related to the evaluated	
configuration of the TOE Mobile	
Apps, evaluated configuration of	
Android OS and evaluated	
configuration of hardware.	
10. To test that the TOE monitor	
user data stored in containers	
controlled by the TSF for integrity	
errors on all objects, based on the	
following attributes: TOE user data	
attributes related to the security	
configuration, files, hardware, OS	
configuration and TOE Mobile apps	
configuration.	

Table 3: Functional Test

40 All testing was planned and documented to a sufficient level of detail to allow repeatability of the testing procedures and results. The results of the independent functional tests were recorded by the evaluators and are consistent with the expected test results in the test documentation. 41 All testing performed by evaluators produced the expected results and as such the TOE behaved as expected.

2.1.4.3 Vulnerability Analysis

- 42 The evaluators performed a vulnerability analysis of the TOE in order to identify potential vulnerabilities in the TOE. This vulnerability analysis considered public domain sources and an analysis of guidance documentation, functional specification, TOE design, and security architecture description.
- 43 From the vulnerability analysis, the evaluators conducted penetration testing to determine that the TOE is resistant to attack performed by an attacker possessing a Basic and Enhanced attack potential. The following factors have been taken into consideration during penetration tests:
 - a) Time taken to identify and exploit (elapsed time);
 - b) Specialist technical expertise required (specialised expertise);
 - c) Knowledge of the TOE design and operation (knowledge of the TOE);
 - d) Window of opportunity; and
 - e) IT hardware/software or other equipment required for exploitation
 - 2.1.4.4 Vulnerability testing
- 44 The penetration tests focused on:
 - a) Improper Credential Usage
 - b) Inadequate Supply Chain Security
 - c) Insecure Authentication/Authorization
 - d) Insufficient Input/Output Validation
 - e) Insecure Communication
 - f) Inadequate Privacy Controls
 - g) Insufficient Binary Protections
 - h) Security Misconfiguration
 - i) Insecure Data Storage
 - j) Android Self-Test and Integrity Validation
 - k) Authentication Mechanism Bypass Test

The result of the penetration testing noted that there is no residual vulnerability found. However, it is important to ensure that the TOE is use only in its evaluated configuration and in secure environment as specified in the Security Target (Ref [6]).

2.1.4.5 Testing Results

46 Tests conducted for the TOE produced the expected results and demonstrated that the product behaved as specified in its Security Target and its functional specification. Therefore, the certifiers confirmed that all the test conducted were PASSED as expected.

3 Result of the Evaluation

- 47 After due consideration during the oversight of the execution of the evaluation by the certifiers and of the Evaluation Technical Report (Ref [7]), the Malaysian Common Criteria Certification Body certifies the evaluation of Smart-Ex 03 which is performed by Securelytics SEF.
- 48 Securelytics SEF found that Smart-Ex 03 upholds the claims made in the Security Target (Ref[6]) and supporting documentations, and has met the requirements of the Common Criteria (CC) Evaluation Assurance Level 2.
- 49 Certification is not a guarantee that a TOE is completely free of exploitable vulnerabilities. There will remain a small level of risk that exploitable vulnerabilities remain undiscovered in its claimed security functionality. The risk is reduced as the certified level of assurance increases for the TOE.

3.1 Assurance Level Information

- 50 EAL 2 provides assurance by a full security target and analysis of the SFRs in that Security Target, using functional and interface specifications, guidance documentation and a description of the design of the TOE and the implementation to understand the security behaviours.
- The analysis is supported by independent testing of the TSF, evidence of developer testing based on the functional specification, selective independent confirmation of the developer test results, and a vulnerability analysis (based upon the functional specification, TOE design, security architecture description and guidance evidence provided) demonstrating resistance to penetration attackers with a basic attack potential.
- 52 EAL 2 also provides assurance through also provides assurance through use of a configuration management system and evidence of secure delivery procedures.

3.2 Recommendation

- 53 The Malaysian Certification Body (MyCB) is strongly recommended (Opinions and interpretations expressed herein are outside the scope of certification) that:
 - a) TOE users should review the intended operational environment and ensure that they are comfortable that the stated security objectives for the operational environment can be suitable addressed.

- b) TOE users should make themselves familiar with the developer guidance provided with the TOE and pay attention to all security warnings.
- c) TOE users and TOE developer must maintain the confidentiality, integrity and availability of security relevant data for TOE initialization, start-up and operation if stored or handled outside the TOE.
- d) TOE users should review the audit trail generated by the TOE periodically.
- e) TOE developer should implement appropriate physical protection of the TOE to ensure access to the TOE internal parts are restricted and check the serial numbers of the tamper evident bags on delivery of the TOE.

Annex A References

A.1 References

- [1] Arrangement on the recognition of Common Criteria Certificates in the field of Information Technology Security, July 2014.
- [2] The Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Version 3.1, Revision 5, April 2017.
- [3] The Common Methodology for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Version 3.1, Revision 5, April 2017.
- [4] MyCC Scheme Requirement (MYCC_REQ), v1b, July 2023.
- [5] ISCB Evaluation Facility Manual (ISCB_EFM), v3, January 2023.
- [6] Smart-Ex 03 Security Target, v1.1, 2 February 2025.
- [7] Evaluation Technical Report- Smart-Ex 03 (T2303-4-ETR 1.0), 6 February 2024.

A.2 Terminology

A.2.1 Acronyms

Table 4: List of Acronyms

Acronym	Expanded Term
СВ	Certification Body
СС	Common Criteria (ISO/IEC15408)
CEM	Common Evaluation Methodology (ISO/IEC 18045)
CCRA	Common Criteria Recognition Arrangement
IEC	International Electrotechnical Commission
ISO	International Organisation for Standardization
ISCB	Information Security Certification Body
МуСВ	Malaysian Common Criteria Certification Body

C134 Certification Report

Acronym	Expanded Term
МуСС	Malaysian Common Criteria Evaluation and Certification
	Scheme
MyCPR	MyCC Scheme Certified Products Register
MySEF	Malaysian Security Evaluation Facility
РР	Protection Profile
ST	Security Target
TOE	Target of Evaluation

A.2.2 Glossary of Terms

Table 5: Glossary of Terms

Term	Definition and Source
CC International	An interpretation of the CC or CEM issued by the CCMB that
Interpretation	is applicable to all CCRA participants.
Certificate	The official representation from the CB of the certification of
	a specific version of a product to the Common Criteria.
Certification Body	An organisation responsible for carrying out certification
	and for overseeing the day-today operation of an Evaluation
	and Certification Scheme. Source CCRA
Consumer	The organisation that uses the certified product within their
	infrastructure.
Developer	The organisation that develops the product submitted for CC
	evaluation and certification.
Evaluation	The assessment of an IT product, IT system, or any other
	valid target as defined by the scheme, proposed by an
	applicant against the standards covered by the scope defined
	in its application against the certification criteria specified in
	the rules of the scheme. Source CCRA and MS-ISO/IEC Guide
	65

C134 Certification Report

Term	Definition and Source
Evaluation and Certification	The systematic organisation of the functions of evaluation
Scheme	and certification under the authority of a certification body
	in order to ensure that high standards of competence and
	impartiality are maintained, and that consistency is achieved.
	Source CCRA.
Interpretation	Expert technical judgement, when required, regarding the
	meaning or method of application of any technical aspect of
	the criteria or the methodology. An interpretation may be
	either a national interpretation or a CC international
	interpretation.
Certifier	The certifier responsible for managing a specific certification
	task.
Evaluator	The evaluator responsible for managing the technical aspects
	of a specific evaluation task.
Maintenance Certificate	The update of a Common Criteria certificate to reflect a
	specific version of a product that has been maintained under
	the MyCC Scheme.
National Interpretation	An interpretation of the CC, CEM or MyCC Scheme rules that
	is applicable within the MyCC Scheme only.
Security Evaluation Facility	An organisation (or business unit of an organisation) that
	conducts ICT security evaluation of products and systems
	using the CC and CEM in accordance with Evaluation and
	Certification Scheme policy
Sponsor	The organisation that submits a product for evaluation and
	certification under the MyCC Scheme. The sponsor may also
	be the developer.

--- END OF DOCUMENT ---