



## **Certification Report**

### NRV11

Sponsor and developer: **NXP Semiconductors Germany GmbH** 

Beierdorfstrasse 12 22529 Hamburg Germany

Evaluation facility: SGS Brightsight B.V.

Brassersplein 2 2612 CT Delft The Netherlands

Report number: NSCIB-CC-2300019-01-CR

Report version: 1

Project number: NSCIB-2300019-01

Author(s): Andy Brown

Date: **26 October 2024** 

Number of pages: 12

Number of appendices: 0

Reproduction of this report is authorised only if the report is reproduced in its entirety.



# **CONTENTS**

F	Foreword	
Re	ecognition of the Certificate	4
International recognition European recognition		4 4
1	Executive Summary	5
2	Certification Results	6
2. 2. 2.	2 Security Policy	6 6 7 7
	2.3.2 Clarification of scope	7
2. 2. 2.	5 Documentation	7 7 8 8
	2.6.2 Independent penetration testing	8
	2.6.3 Test configuration	9
	2.6.4 Test results	9
2. 2. 2. 2.	8 Evaluated Configuration	9 9 9 9
3	Security Target	11
4	Definitions	11
5	Bibliography	12



#### **Foreword**

The Netherlands Scheme for Certification in the Area of IT Security (NSCIB) provides a third-party evaluation and certification service for determining the trustworthiness of Information Technology (IT) security products. Under this NSCIB, TrustCB B.V. has the task of issuing certificates for IT security products, as well as for protection profiles and sites.

Part of the procedure is the technical examination (evaluation) of the product, protection profile or site according to the Common Criteria assessment guidelines published by the NSCIB. Evaluations are performed by an IT Security Evaluation Facility (ITSEF) under the oversight of the NSCIB Certification Body, which is operated by TrustCB B.V. in cooperation with the Ministry of the Interior and Kingdom Relations.

An ITSEF in the Netherlands is a commercial facility that has been licensed by TrustCB B.V. to perform Common Criteria evaluations; a significant requirement for such a licence is accreditation to the requirements of ISO Standard 17025 "General requirements for the accreditation of calibration and testing laboratories".

By awarding a Common Criteria certificate, TrustCB B.V. asserts that the product or site complies with the security requirements specified in the associated (site) security target, or that the protection profile (PP) complies with the requirements for PP evaluation specified in the Common Criteria for Information Security Evaluation. A (site) security target is a requirements specification document that defines the scope of the evaluation activities.

The consumer should review the (site) security target or protection profile, in addition to this certification report, to gain an understanding of any assumptions made during the evaluation, the IT product's intended environment, its security requirements, and the level of confidence (i.e., the evaluation assurance level) that the product or site satisfies the security requirements stated in the (site) security target.

Reproduction of this report is authorised only if the report is reproduced in its entirety.



### **Recognition of the Certificate**

Presence of the Common Criteria Recognition Arrangement (CCRA) and the SOG-IS logos on the certificate indicates that this certificate is issued in accordance with the provisions of the CCRA and the SOG-IS Mutual Recognition Agreement (SOG-IS MRA) and will be recognised by the participating nations

#### International recognition

The CCRA was signed by the Netherlands in May 2000 and provides mutual recognition of certificates based on the Common Criteria (CC). Since September 2014 the CCRA has been updated to provide mutual recognition of certificates based on cPPs (exact use) or STs with evaluation assurance components up to and including EAL2+ALC\_FLR.

For details of the current list of signatory nations and approved certification schemes, see <a href="http://www.commoncriteriaportal.org">http://www.commoncriteriaportal.org</a>.

#### **European recognition**

The SOG-IS MRA Version 3, effective since April 2010, provides mutual recognition in Europe of Common Criteria and ITSEC certificates at a basic evaluation level for all products. A higher recognition level for evaluation levels beyond EAL4 (respectively E3-basic) is provided for products related to specific technical domains. This agreement was signed initially by Finland, France, Germany, The Netherlands, Norway, Spain, Sweden and the United Kingdom. Italy joined the SOG-IS MRA in December 2010.

For details of the current list of signatory nations, approved certification schemes and the list of technical domains for which the higher recognition applies, see <a href="https://www.sogis.eu">https://www.sogis.eu</a>.



### 1 Executive Summary

This Certification Report states the outcome of the Common Criteria security evaluation of the NRV11. The developer of the NRV11 is NXP Semiconductors Germany GmbH located in Hamburg, Germany and they also act as the sponsor of the evaluation and certification. A Certification Report is intended to assist prospective consumers when judging the suitability of the IT security properties of the product for their particular requirements.

NXP has developed the NRV11 to be used with a host MCU through the I2C interface. The NRV11 can also be used with Proximity Coupling Devices (PCDs, also called "terminal") according to ISO 14443 Type A [ISO14443]. It fully complies with the requirements for fast and highly secure data transmission, flexible memory organisation and interoperability with existing infrastructure.

The TOE is a smart card IC comprising a hardware platform and a fixed software package. The software package is stored in ROM memory and provides an operating system with a set of functions, used to manage the various kinds of data files stored in Flash memory. The operating system supports a separation between the data of different applications and provides access control if required by the configuration.

The TOE has been evaluated by SGS Brightsight B.V. located in Delft, The Netherlands. The evaluation was completed on 26 October 2024 with the approval of the ETR. The certification procedure has been conducted in accordance with the provisions of the Netherlands Scheme for Certification in the Area of IT Security [NSCIB].

The scope of the evaluation is defined by the security target [ST], which identifies assumptions made during the evaluation, the intended environment for the NRV11, the security requirements, and the level of confidence (evaluation assurance level) at which the product is intended to satisfy the security requirements. Consumers of the NRV11 are advised to verify that their own environment is consistent with the security target, and to give due consideration to the comments, observations and recommendations in this certification report.

The results documented in the evaluation technical report [ETR] <sup>1</sup> for this product provide sufficient evidence that the TOE meets the EAL6 augmented (EAL6+) assurance requirements for the evaluated security functionality. This assurance level is augmented with ASE\_TSS.2 (TOE Summary Specification).

The evaluation was conducted using the Common Methodology for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Version 3.1 Revision 5 [CEM] for conformance to the Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Version 3.1 Revision 5 [CC] (Parts I, II and III).

TrustCB B.V., as the NSCIB Certification Body, declares that the evaluation meets all the conditions for international recognition of Common Criteria Certificates and that the product will be listed on the NSCIB Certified Products list. Note that the certification results apply only to the specific version of the product as evaluated.

The Evaluation Technical Report contains information proprietary to the developer and/or the evaluator, and is not available for public review.





#### **Certification Results**

#### 2.1 Identification of Target of Evaluation

The Target of Evaluation (TOE) for this evaluation is the NRV11 from NXP Semiconductors Germany GmbH located in Hamburg, Germany.

The TOE is comprised of the following main components:

Delivery item type	Identifier	Version
IC Hardware	NRV11 Hardware	B0
IC Dedicated Test Software	Test Software	2.1.11
	Boot Software	2.1.11
IC Dedicated Support	Firmware	2.1.11
Software	Crypto Library	2.4.2
	Operating System	2.0.7

To ensure secure usage a set of guidance documents is provided, together with the NRV11. For details, see section 2.5 "Documentation" of this report.

For a detailed and precise description of the TOE lifecycle, see the [ST], Chapter 1.4.3

### 2.2 Security Policy

The TOE has the following features as listed in [ST]:

- Pre-personalized file system with one active application.
- Support for data files and monotonic-counter files.
- ECC-based Card-Unilateral Authentication and generic ECDSA support.
- AES based Mutual Authentication and Secure Messaging (EV2 Secure Messaging) by default as target, but with optional support for controller command generation and response processing.
- ECC-based mutual authentication through SIGMA-I protocol.
- Two Authority Watchdog Timers, providing the capability to specify an expiration time for authentication attempts and/or authentication session duration.
- Authentication on application level with fine-grained access conditions for files.
- Data encryption on the communication path.
- Message Authentication Codes (MAC) for replay attack protection.
- Flexible key management (for symmetric and asymmetric keys).
- ECC keypair generation.
- Unique serial number for each device (UID) with optional random UID.
- ECC-based originality functionality that allows verifying the authenticity of the TOE.
- Secure Dynamic Messaging feature which allows confidential (via AES-based encryption) and integrity protected data (via AES-based CMAC or ECDSA signature) exchange without requiring a preceding authentication.



- Crypto API providing AES, ECDSA, ECDH, SHA, HMAC, and HKDF cryptographic functionality to users.
- Tag-tamper detection.

### 2.3 Assumptions and Clarification of Scope

#### 2.3.1 **Assumptions**

The assumptions defined in the Security Target are not covered by the TOE itself. These aspects lead to specific Security Objectives to be fulfilled by the TOE-Environment. For detailed information on the security objectives that must be fulfilled by the TOE environment, see section 4.3 of the [ST].

#### 2.3.2 Clarification of scope

The evaluation did not reveal any threats to the TOE that are not countered by the evaluated security functions of the product

#### 2.4 Architectural Information

The CPU of the NRV11 has a 32-bit architecture. The on-chip hardware components are controlled by the software via Special Function Registers. These registers are correlated to the activities of the CPU, the memory protection unit, interrupt control, contactless communication, Flash, timers, the AES co-processor and the ECC co-processor. The communication with the NRV11 can be performed through the contactless interface or in specific configurations using the I2C interface.

The ECC co-processor supports ECC operations with a key length of 256 bit over the NIST P-256 and brainpoolP256r1 curves. The AES co-processor supports AES operations with a key length of 128 and 256 bit.

A hardware Random Number Generator provides true random numbers which are used to seed deterministic random number generators, used internally by the operating system for security purposes.

The IC Dedicated Test Software (Test ROM Software) located in ROM of the TOE is used by the TOE Manufacturer to test the functionality of the chip. The test functionality is disabled before the operational use of the smart card. The IC Dedicated Test Software includes the test operating system, test routines for the various blocks of the circuitry and shutdown functions to ensure that security relevant test operations cannot be executed illegally after phase 3 of the TOE Life cycle.

The TOE also contains IC Dedicated Support Software. The Boot Software which is stored in ROM is part of the IC Dedicated Support Software. This software is executed after each reset of the TOE, i.e. every time when the TOE starts. It sets up the TOE and does some basic configuration. The operating system is also part of the IC Dedicated Software and provides the main functionality of the TOE in the usage phase. The NRV11 is primarily designed for secure contactless transport applications and related loyalty programs as well as access control systems. It fully complies with the requirements for fast and highly secure data transmission, flexible memory organization and interoperability with existing infrastructure.

#### 2.5 Documentation

The following documentation is provided with the product by the developer to the customer:

Identifier	Version
NRV11, Information on Guidance and Operation, User Manual	1.4
NRV11 family, Secure authenticator with NFC T4T 1.4 compliant, Preliminary data sheet	1.4
NRV11, Wafer and delivery specification, Product 1.1 data sheet addendum	1.1



### 2.6 IT Product Testing

Testing (depth, coverage, functional tests, independent testing): The evaluators examined the developer's testing activities documentation and verified that the developer has met their testing responsibilities.

#### 2.6.1 Testing approach and depth

The tests cover all security functions and aspects of the TSF. The developer used a set of test suites (mostly proprietary and compatibility ones) and tools to test the TOE. Since the TOE consists of hardware and software components, each component is tested using specific test suites.

The OS was fully covered by unit testing. The Crypto Library was tested through the OS API. The HW/FW of the TOE was covered by unit testing. This is complemented by end to end tests on TSFI level (OS API), testing all relevant functionality on the actual TOE hardware thereby providing assurance that the complete TOE was functioning properly (including the HW and the FW).

The developer used the TOE in SO28 or White Card package as well as Software simulators and FPGA tool when some tests could only be performed in such environment. To further support the hardware, component testing was used that verified several aspects (e.g. return values, registers, CPU and others) in an automated manner. These tests are complemented by code inspection and code coverage analysis done by the developer.

The developer used a distributed test environment to allow usage of a vast amount of simultaneously driven testing equipment where results are logged automatically in XML/HTML-based files.

The tests were grouped in a number of categories including positive/negative tests, functional acceptance or functional destructive tests, functional tests and non-functional tests.

The developer has performed extensive testing on FSP, subsystem, module and module interface level. The tests were performed by the developer through execution of the test scripts using an automated and distributed system. Test tools and scripts were extensively used to verify that the tests return expected values. The identification was checked using tools to verify identification and versions of the TOE Hardware and TOE software.

Code coverage analysis was used by the developer to verify overall test completeness. Test benches for the various TOE parts were executed using code coverage measurement and analysis tools to determine the code coverage (i.e. lines, branches and/or instructions, depending on tool) of each test bench. Cases with incomplete coverage were analysed. For each tool, the developer has investigated and documented inherent limitations that can lead to coverage being reported as less than 100%. In such cases the developer provided a "gap" analysis with rationales (e.g. attack counter not hit due to redundancy checks).

The ATE evaluation approach is based on code coverage analysis. The evaluator also used an acceptable alternative approach (as described in the application notes, Section 14.2.2 in *[CEM]*) and used analysis of the implementation representation (i.e. inspection of source code) to validate the rationales provided by the developer.

In addition, the evaluator created additional test cases to confirm verification of the version of the TOE to supplement coverage of SFRs and/or TSFI to further exercise the behaviour of critical functionality.

#### 2.6.2 Independent penetration testing

The methodical analysis performed was conducted along the following steps:

- When evaluating the evidence in the classes ASE, ADV and AGD the evaluator considers
  whether potential vulnerabilities can already be identified due to the TOE type and/or specified
  behaviour in such an early stage of the evaluation.
- For ADV\_IMP a thorough implementation representation review is performed on the TOE.
  During this attack oriented analysis the protection of the TOE is analysed using the knowledge
  gained from all previous evaluation classes. This results in the identification of (additional)
  potential vulnerabilities. This analysis was performed according to the attack methods in
  section 5 [JIL-AM].



 All potential vulnerabilities are analysed using the knowledge gained from all evaluation classes and information from the public domain. A judgment was made on how to assure that these potential vulnerabilities are not exploitable. The potential vulnerabilities are addressed by penetration testing, a guidance update or in other ways that are deemed appropriate.

The total test effort expended by the evaluators was 19 weeks. During that test campaign, 40% of the total time was spent on Perturbation attacks, 55% on side-channel testing, and 5% on logical tests.

#### 2.6.3 Test configuration

The configuration of the sample was the same as described in the [ST]. Some tests were performed in earlier versions of the firmware. Additional work in ADV and AVA concluded that changes did not affect the testing results.

#### 2.6.4 Test results

The testing activities, including configurations, procedures, test cases, expected results and observed results are summarised in the *[ETR]*, with references to the documents containing the full details.

The developer's tests and the independent functional tests produced the expected results, giving assurance that the TOE behaves as specified in its [ST] and functional specification.

No exploitable vulnerabilities were found with the independent penetration tests.

The algorithmic security level of cryptographic functionality has not been rated in this certification process, but the current consensus on the algorithmic security level in the open domain, i.e., from the current best cryptanalytic attacks published, has been taken into account.

#### 2.7 Reused Evaluation Results

There has been extensive reuse of the ALC aspects for the sites involved in the development and production of the TOE, by use of 25 Site Technical Audit Reports.

No sites have been visited as part of this evaluation.

#### 2.8 Evaluated Configuration

The TOE is defined uniquely by its name and version number NRV11.

#### 2.9 Evaluation Results

The evaluation lab documented their evaluation results in the *[ETR]*, which references an ASE Intermediate Report and other evaluator documents.

The verdict of each claimed assurance requirement is "Pass".

Based on the above evaluation results the evaluation lab concluded the NRV11, to be **CC Part 2 extended, CC Part 3 conformant**, and to meet the requirements of **EAL 6 augmented with ASE\_TSS.2**. This implies that the product satisfies the security requirements specified in Security Target *[ST]*.

The Security Target claims 'strict' conformance to the Protection Profile [PP].

#### 2.10 Comments/Recommendations

The user guidance as outlined in section 2.5 "Documentation" contains necessary information about the usage of the TOE. Certain aspects of the TOE's security functionality, in particular the countermeasures against attacks, depend on accurate conformance to the user guidance of both the software and the hardware part of the TOE. There are no particular obligations or recommendations for the user apart from following the user guidance. Please note that the documents contain relevant details concerning the resistance against certain attacks.

In addition, all aspects of assumptions, threats and policies as outlined in the Security Target not covered by the TOE itself must be fulfilled by the operational environment of the TOE.

Page: 10/12 of report number: NSCIB-CC-2300019-01-CR, dated 26 October 2024



The customer or user of the product shall consider the results of the certification within his system risk management process. For the evolution of attack methods and techniques to be covered, the customer should define the period of time until a re-assessment for the TOE is required and thus requested from the sponsor of the certificate.

The strength of the cryptographic algorithms and protocols was not rated in the course of this evaluation. This specifically applies to the following proprietary or non-standard algorithms, protocols and implementations: **None** 



## 3 Security Target

The NRV11 Security Target, Revision 1.6, 10 September 2024 [ST] is included here by reference.

Please note that, to satisfy the need for publication, a public version [ST-lite] has been created and verified according to [ST-SAN].

#### 4 Definitions

This list of acronyms and definitions contains elements that are not already defined by the CC or CEM:

IC Integrated Circuit

IT Information Technology

ITSEF IT Security Evaluation Facility

JIL Joint Interpretation Library

NSCIB Netherlands Scheme for Certification in the area of IT security

PP Protection Profile

RNG Random Number Generator

TOE Target of Evaluation



## 5 Bibliography

This section lists all referenced documentation used as source material in the compilation of this report.

TOO TO THE CONTINUE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE CONTROL	[CC]	Common Criteria for Information	Technology Security	Evaluation, Parts I, II and
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------	---------------------------------	---------------------	-----------------------------

III, Version 3.1 Revision 5, April 2017

[CEM] Common Methodology for Information Technology Security Evaluation,

Version 3.1 Revision 5, April 2017

[ETR] Evaluation Technical Report "NXP NVR11" – EAL6+, 24-RPT-1099, Version

1.0, 26 September 2024

[JIL-AAPS] JIL Application of Attack Potential to Smartcards, Version 3.2, November 2022

[JIL-AMS] Attack Methods for Smartcards and Similar Devices, Version 2.4, January 2020

(sensitive with controlled distribution)

[NSCIB] Netherlands Scheme for Certification in the Area of IT Security, Version 2.6,

02 August 2022

[PP] Security IC Platform Protection Profile with Augmentation Packages, registered

under the reference BSI-CC-PP-0084-2014, Version 1.0, 13 January 2014

[ST] NRV11 Security Target, Revision 1.6, 10 September 2024

[ST-lite] NRV11 Security Target Lite, Revision 1.0, 10 September 2024

[ST-SAN] ST sanitising for publication, CC Supporting Document CCDB-2006-04-004,

April 2006

(This is the end of this report.)