



Agenzia per la Cybersicurezza Nazionale



Organismo di Certificazione della Sicurezza Informatica

Schema nazionale per la valutazione e la certificazione della sicurezza nel settore della tecnologia dell'informazione (DPCM del 30 ottobre 2003 - G.U. n. 93 del 27 aprile 2004)

Il prodotto identificato in questo certificato è risultato conforme ai requisiti ISO/IEC 15408 Common Criteria (CC) ve.3.1 rel. 5

Certificato n. (Certificate No.)	07/2025
Rapporto di Certificazione (Certification Report)	OCSI/CERT/ATS/07/2024/RC, v 1.0.
Decorrenza (Date of 1 st Issue)	29 settembre 2025
Nome e Versione del Prodotto (Product Name and Version)	IBM z/OS Version 2 Release 5
Sviluppatore (Developer)	IBM Corporation
Tipo di Prodotto (Type of Product)	Sistema Operativo
Livello di Garanzia (Assurance Level)	EAL4+ (ALC_FLR.3) conforme a CC Parte 3
Conformità a PP (PP Conformance)	Nessuna
Funzionalità di sicurezza (Conformance of Functionality)	TDS specifico per il prodotto, conforme CC Parte 2 estesa



Riconoscimento CCRA per componenti fino a EAL2 e solo ALC_FLR
(CCRA recognition for components up to EAL2 and ALC_FLR only)



Riconoscimento SOGIS MRA per componenti fino a EAL4
(SOGIS MRA recognition for components up to EAL4)

Roma, 29 settembre 2025

Il Capo Servizio
Certificazione e Vigilanza
(A. Billet)
[ORIGINAL SIGNED]

Il prodotto IT (*Information Technology*) identificato nel presente certificato è stato valutato presso un LVS (Laboratorio per la Valutazione della Sicurezza) accreditato e abilitato/approvato utilizzando Metodologia Comune per la Valutazione di Sicurezza della tecnologia dell'Informazione versione 3.1 revisione 5 per la conformità ai Criteri Comuni per la Valutazione di Sicurezza della Tecnologia dell'Informazione versione 3.1 revisione 5. Questo certificato si applica solo alla versione e al rilascio specifici del prodotto nella sua configurazione valutata e unitamente al Rapporto di certificazione completo. La valutazione è stata condotta in conformità alle disposizioni dello Schema nazionale per la valutazione e la certificazione della sicurezza nel settore della tecnologia dell'informazione (DPCM del 30 ottobre 2003 - G.U. n. 93 del 27 aprile 2004) e le conclusioni dell'LVS nel Rapporto di Fine Valutazione sono coerenti con le evidenze addotte. Il presente Certificato non costituisce un sostegno o promozione del prodotto IT da parte della Agenzia per la Cybersicurezza Nazionale o di qualsiasi altra organizzazione che riconosca o dia effetto a questo certificato, e nessuna garanzia del prodotto IT, da parte della Agenzia per la Cybersicurezza Nazionale o di qualsiasi altra organizzazione che riconosce o dà effetto a questo certificato, è espressa o implicita.

The IT product identified in this certificate has been evaluated at an accredited and licensed/approved evaluation facility using Common Methodology for Information Technology Security Evaluation version 3.1 release 5 for conformance to Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation version 3.1 release 5. This certificate applies only to the specific version and release of the product in its evaluated configuration and in conjunction with the complete Certification report. The evaluation has been conducted in accordance with the provisions of the National scheme for the evaluation and certification of the security in the sector of information technology (Prime Ministerial Decree of 30 October 2003 - Official Journal no. 93 of 27 April 2004) and the conclusions of the evaluation facility in the evaluation technical report are consistent with the evidence adduced. This certificate is not an endorsement of the IT product by Agenzia per la Cybersicurezza Nazionale or by any other organisation that recognises or gives effect to this certificate, and no warranty of the IT product, by Agenzia per la Cybersicurezza Nazionale or by any other organisation that recognises or gives effect to this certificate, is either expressed or implied.



Agenzia per la Cybersicurezza Nazionale

Servizio Certificazione e Vigilanza



Organismo di Certificazione della Sicurezza Informatica

Certification Report

IBM z/OS version 2 Release 5

OCSI/CERT/ATS/07/2024/RC

Version 1.0

29 September 2025

Courtesy translation

Disclaimer: This English language translation is provided for informational purposes only. It is not intended to substitute the official document and has no legal value. The original Italian language version of the document is the only approved and official version.

1 Document revisions

Version	Author	Information	Date
1.0	OCSI	First issue	29/09/2025

2 Table of contents

1	Document revisions	3
2	Table of contents	4
3	Acronyms.....	6
3.1	National scheme.....	6
3.2	CC and CEM.....	6
3.3	Other acronyms.....	6
4	References	9
4.1	Normative references and national Scheme documents	9
4.2	Technical documents	9
5	Recognition of the certificate	11
5.1	European recognition of CC certificates (SOGIS-MRA).....	11
5.2	International recognition of CC certificates (CCRA).....	11
6	Statement of certification.....	12
7	Summary of the evaluation.....	13
7.1	Introduction.....	13
7.2	Executive summary	13
7.3	Evaluated product	13
7.3.1	TOE architecture	14
7.3.2	TOE security features	14
7.4	Documentation.....	18
7.5	Protection Profile conformance claims.....	18
7.6	Functional and assurance requirements	18
7.7	Evaluation conduct	18
7.8	General considerations about the certification validity	19
8	Evaluation outcome	20
8.1	Evaluation results.....	20
8.2	Recommendations.....	21
9	Annex A – Guidelines for the secure usage of the product	22
9.1	TOE delivery	22
9.1.1	Scope of TOE supply	22
9.1.2	Delivery procedure	25
9.2	Installation, configuration and secure usage of the TOE.....	26
10	Annex B – Evaluated configuration	31

10.1	TOE operational environment	31
11	Annex C – Test activity	32
11.1	Test configuration	32
11.2	Functional tests performed by the Developer	32
11.2.1	Test approach	32
11.2.2	Test Coverage	33
11.2.3	Test results	33
11.3	Functional and independent tests performed by the Evaluators	34
11.3.1	Test approach	34
11.3.2	Test result	34
11.4	Vulnerability analysis and penetration tests	34

3 Acronyms

3.1 National scheme

DPCM	Decreto del Presidente del Consiglio dei Ministri
LGP	Linea Guida Provvisoria
LVS	Laboratorio per la Valutazione della Sicurezza
NIS	Nota Informativa dello Schema
OCSI	Organismo di Certificazione della Sicurezza Informatica

3.2 CC and CEM

CC	Common Criteria
CCRA	Common Criteria Recognition Arrangement
CEM	Common Evaluation Methodology
cPP	collaborative Protection Profile
EAL	Evaluation Assurance Level
ETR	Evaluation Technical Report
PP	Protection Profile
SAR	Security Assurance Requirement
SFP	Security Function Policy
SFR	Security Functional Requirement
SOGIS-MRA	Senior Officials Group Information Systems Security – Mutual Recognition Agreement
ST	Security Target
TOE	Target of Evaluation
TSF	TOE Security Functionality
TSFI	TSF Interface

3.3 Other acronyms

AES	Advanced Encryption Standard
APAR	Authorized Program Analysis Report

APF	Authorized Program Facility
APPC/MVS	Advanced Program-to-Program Communication / Multiple Virtual Storage
ASLR	Address Space Layout Randomization
BCP	Base Control Program
BDT	Bulk Data Transfer
BERD	Background Environment Random Driver
BSC	Binary Synchronous Communication
CA	Certificate Authority
CBPDO	Custom-Built Product Delivery Option
CM	Configuration Management
COMSEC	COMmunication SECurity
CPACF	Central Processor Assist for Cryptographic Function
CVE	Common Vulnerabilities and Exposures
DAC	Discretionary Access Control
DCAS	Digital Certificate Access Server
DES	Data Encryption Standard
DFS	Distributed File Service
DFSMS	Data Facility Storage Management Subsystem
DPCM	Decreto del Presidente del Consiglio dei Ministri
ECC	Elliptic Curve Cryptography
FMID	Function Modification Identifier
FTP	File Transfer Protocol
FTPS	FTP Secure
FVT	Functional Verification Testing
ICSF	Integrated Cryptographic Service Facility
IKE	Internet Key Exchange
IPD	Integrated Product Development

IPL	Initial Program Load
JES	Job Entry System
LDAP	Lightweight Directory Access Protocol
NJE	Network Job Entry
OCSP	Online Certificate Status Protocol
PR/SM	Processor Resources/System Manager
PTF	Program Temporary Fix
RACF	Resource Access Control Facility
RRSF	RACF Remote Sharing Facility
RSA	Rivest-Shamir-Adleman
SHA	Secure Hash Algorithm
SMB	Server Message Block
SMF	System Management Facilities
SNA	Systems Network Architecture
SREL	Subsystem Release
SSH	Secure SHell
SSL	Secure Socket Layer
SVC	Supervisor Call
SVT	System Verification Tests
TDES	Triple DES
TLS	Transport Layer Security
VICOM	Virtual COMputer
XBM	Execution Batch Monitor
z/OSMF	zOS Management Facility

4 References

4.1 Normative references and national Scheme documents

- [CC1] CCMB-2017-04-001, “Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Part 1 – Introduction and general model”, Version 3.1, Revision 5, April 2017
- [CC2] CCMB-2017-04-002, “Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Part 2 – Security functional components”, Version 3.1, Revision 5, April 2017
- [CC3] CCMB-2017-04-003, “Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation, Part 3 – Security assurance components”, Version 3.1, Revision 5, April 2017
- [CCRA] Arrangement on the Recognition of Common Criteria Certificates In the field of Information Technology Security, July 2014
- [CEM] CCMB-2017-04-004, “Common Methodology for Information Technology Security Evaluation – Evaluation methodology”, Version 3.1, Revision 5, April 2017
- [LGP1] Schema nazionale per la valutazione e certificazione della sicurezza di sistemi e prodotti nel settore della tecnologia dell’informazione - Descrizione Generale dello Schema Nazionale - Linee Guida Provvisorie - parte 1 – LGP1 versione 1.0, dicembre 2004
- [LGP2] Schema nazionale per la valutazione e certificazione della sicurezza di sistemi e prodotti nel settore della tecnologia dell’informazione - Accreditamento degli LVS e abilitazione degli Assistenti - Linee Guida Provvisorie - parte 2 – LGP2 versione 1.0, dicembre 2004
- [LGP3] Schema nazionale per la valutazione e certificazione della sicurezza di sistemi e prodotti nel settore della tecnologia dell’informazione - Procedure di valutazione - Linee Guida Provvisorie - parte 3 – LGP3, versione 1.0, dicembre 2004
- [NIS1] Organismo di certificazione della sicurezza informatica, Nota Informativa dello Schema N. 1/23 – Modifiche alla LGP1, versione 1.1, 21 agosto 2023
- [NIS2] Organismo di certificazione della sicurezza informatica, Nota Informativa dello Schema N. 2/23 – Modifiche alla LGP2, versione 1.1, 21 agosto 2023
- [NIS3] Organismo di certificazione della sicurezza informatica, Nota Informativa dello Schema N. 3/23 – Modifiche alla LGP3, versione 1.1, 21 agosto 2023
- [NIS5] Organismo di certificazione della sicurezza informatica, Nota Informativa dello Schema N. 3/23 - Condizioni per lo svolgimento di test da remoto in valutazioni Common Criteria, versione 1.1, 21 agosto 2023
- [SOGIS] Mutual Recognition Agreement of Information Technology Security Evaluation Certificates, Version 3, January 2010

4.2 Technical documents

- [CR] Certificate n.1/22, IBM z/OS version 2 release 4, 13 January 2022.

[ETRv2]	Final Evaluation Technical Report IBM z/OS Version 2 Release 5, OCSI-CERT-ATS-07-2024_ETR_250630, atsec information security s.r.l., v.2, 30 June 2025.
[ETRv3]	Final Evaluation Technical Report IBM z/OS Version 2 Release 5, OCSI-CERT-ATS-07-2024_ETR_250821, atsec information security s.r.l., v.3.0, 21 August 2025.
[MLSGUIDE]	z/OS V2.5 Planning for Multilevel Security and the Common Criteria, code GA32-0891-50, 16 January 2025.
[ST]	Security Target for z/OS v.2.27, 27 June 2025.
[SIA]	Security Impact Analysis: z/OS V2R4 to z/OS V2R5 v.1.2, 18 marzo 2024.
[ZARCH]	z/Architecture Principles of Operation, February 2008.

5 Recognition of the certificate

5.1 European recognition of CC certificates (SOGIS-MRA)

The European SOGIS-Mutual Recognition Agreement (SOGIS-MRA, version 3 [SOGIS]) became effective in April 2010 and provides mutual recognition of certificates based on the Common Criteria (CC) Evaluation Assurance Level up to and including EAL4 for all IT -Products. A higher recognition level for evaluations beyond EAL4 is provided for IT -Products related to specific Technical Domains only.

The current list of signatory nations and of technical domains for which the higher recognition applies and other details can be found on <https://www.sogis.eu/>.

The SOGIS-MRA logo printed on the certificate indicates that it is recognised under the terms of this agreement by signatory nations.

This certificate is recognised under SOGIS-MRA for all claimed assurance components up to EAL4.

5.2 International recognition of CC certificates (CCRA)

The current version of the international arrangement on the mutual recognition of certificates based on the CC (Common Criteria Recognition Arrangement, [CCRA] was ratified on 8 September 2014. It covers CC certificates compliant with collaborative Protection Profiles (cPP), up to and including EAL4, or certificates based on assurance components up to and including EAL2, with the possible augmentation of components from Flaw Remediation family (ALC_FLR).

The current list of signatory nations and of collaborative Protection Profiles (cPP) and other details can be found on <https://www.commoncriteriaportal.org/>.

The CCRA logo printed on the certificate indicates that it is recognised under the terms of this agreement by signatory nations.

This certificate is recognised under CCRA for all claimed assurance components up to EAL2 and ALC_FLR only.

6 Statement of certification

The Target of Evaluation (TOE) is the product named “**IBM z/OS version 2 Release 5**”, developed by International Business Machines (IBM) Corporation.

z/OS is a general-purpose, multi-user, multi-tasking operating system for enterprise computing systems. Multiple users can use z/OS simultaneously to perform a variety of functions that require controlled, shared access to the information stored on the system.

The evaluation has been conducted in accordance with the requirements established by the Italian Scheme for the evaluation and certification of security systems and products in the field of information technology and expressed in the Provisional Guidelines [LGP1, LGP2, LGP3] and Scheme Information Notes [NIS1, NIS2, NIS3, NIS5]. The Scheme is operated by the Italian Certification Body “Organismo di Certificazione della Sicurezza Informatica (OCSI)”, established by the Prime Minister Decree (DPCM) of 30 October 2003 (O.J. n.98 of 27 April 2004).

This Certification Report was issued at the conclusion of the re-certification of an earlier version of the same TOE (IBM z/OS Version 2 Release 4), already certified by OCSI (Certificate no. 1/22 of January 13, 2022 [CR]).

Due to some changes made to the product by the Developer IBM Corp., it was deemed necessary to undertake a re-certification of the TOE [SIA]. The new version of the TOE includes new functionalities in components already included in the already certified TOE version 2 release 4, functionalities in new components developed for the TOE version 2 release 5 and functionalities in components developed for TOE version 2 release 4 but made available to z/OS customers after the conclusion of the previous certification process (and thus not considered in the Certificate no. 1/22).

Note that the changes have also led to the revision of the Security Target [ST]. Customers of the previous version of the TOE are therefore advised to take also into account the new ST.

While the considerations and recommendations already expressed for the previous certified version of the TOE remain largely valid, for ease of reading this Certification Report has been rewritten in its entirety to constitute an autonomous document associated with the new TOE “IBM z/OS Version 2 Release 5”.

The objective of the evaluation is to provide assurance that the product complies with the security requirements specified in the associated Security Target [ST]; the potential consumers of the product should review also the Security Target, in addition to the present Certification Report, in order to gain a complete understanding of the security problem addressed. The evaluation activities have been carried out in accordance with the Common Criteria Part 3 [CC3] and the Common Evaluation Methodology [CEM].

The TOE resulted compliant with the requirements of Part 3 of the CC version 3.1 Revision 5 for the assurance level EAL4, augmented with ALC_FLR.3, according to the information provided in the Security Target [ST] and in the configuration shown in “Annex B – Evaluated configuration” of this Certification Report.

The publication of the Certification Report is the confirmation that the evaluation process has been conducted in accordance with the requirements of the evaluation criteria Common Criteria - ISO/IEC 15408 ([CC1], [CC2], [CC3]) and the procedures indicated by the Common Criteria Recognition Arrangement [CCRA] and that no exploitable vulnerability was found. However, the Certification Body with such a document does not express any kind of support or promotion of the TOE.

7 Summary of the evaluation

7.1 Introduction

This Certification Report states the outcome of the Common Criteria evaluation of the product named “IBM z/OS Version 2 Release 5” to provide assurance to the potential consumers that TOE security features comply with its security requirements.

In addition to the present Certification Report, the potential consumers of the product should also review the Security Target [ST], specifying the functional and assurance requirements and the intended operational environment.

7.2 Executive summary

TOE name	IBM z/OS Version 2 Release 5
Security Target	Security Target for z/OS version 2.27, 27 June 2025 [ST]
Evaluation Assurance Level	EAL4 augmented with ALC_FLR.3
Developer	IBM Corporation
Sponsor	IBM Corporation
LVS	atsec information security s.r.l.
CC version	3.1 Rev. 5
PP conformance claim	No conformance claimed
Evaluation starting date	April 30, 2024
Evaluation ending date	June 30, 2025

The certification results apply only to the version of the product shown in this Certification Report and only if the operational environment assumptions described in the Security Target [ST] are fulfilled and, in the configuration, shown in “Annex B – Evaluated configuration” of this Certification Report.

7.3 Evaluated product

This section summarizes the main functional and security requirements of the TOE. For a detailed description refer to the Security Target [ST].

The Target of Evaluation (TOE) is the z/OS operating system with the software components as described in Table 2, sect. 9.1.1.

Multiple users can use z/OS simultaneously to perform functions that require controlled, shared access to the information stored on the system. The TOE Security Functional Requirements are implemented by the following TOE Security Functions: identification and authentication, discretionary access control, auditing, security management, cryptographic support, Communications Security, TSF protection, confidentiality protection of datasets.

For a more detailed description of the TOE, please refer to sect. 1.5 (“TOE description”) of the

Security Target [ST].

7.3.1 TOE architecture

The TOE is one instance of z/OS running on an abstract machine as the sole operating system and exercising full control over this abstract machine. This abstract machine, the most of which not being part of the TOE as described below, can be provided by one of the following:

- a logical partition provided by a certified version of Processor Resources/ System Manager (PR/SM) running on an IBM z System processor (System z16)
- a certified version of IBM z/VM executing in a logical partition provided by PR/SM on the above-mentioned z System processors.

The underlying abstract machine itself is not part of the TOE, rather, it belongs to the TOE environment. In particular this applies to the following functions:

- privileged processor instructions (only available to programs running in the processor's supervisor state);
- semi-privileged instructions (only available to programs running in an execution environment that is established and authorized by the TSF);
- memory protection mechanisms, while in operation, of all address spaces, as well as the data and tasks contained therein.

Cryptographic functions implemented by the Crypto Express 8 coprocessors are used to perform cryptographic operations as well to handle cryptographic keys. It should be noted that a cryptographic coprocessor is required to operate the TOE in its evaluated configuration. The same applies to the z/Architecture's feature 3863 providing CPACF functions, these are required to operate the TOE as well.

Most of the TOE security functions (TSF) are provided by the z/OS operating system Base Control Program (BCP) and the Resource Access Control Facility (RACF), a z/OS component that is used by different services as the central instance for identification and authentication and for access control decisions. z/OS provides management functions that allow configuring the TOE security functions.

Some elements have been included in the TOE that do not provide security functions. These elements run in authorized mode, so they could compromise the TOE if they do not behave properly. Because these elements are essential for the operation of many customer environments, the inclusion of these elements subjects them to the process of scrutiny during the evaluation and ensures that they may be used by customers without affecting the TOE's security status.

7.3.2 TOE security features

The primary security features of the TOE are:

- Identification and authentication.
- Discretionary access control.
- Auditing.
- Object reuse
- Security management.
- Cryptographic support.

- Communication security.
- TSF protection.
- Confidentiality protection of datasets.

They are supported by domain separation and reference mediation, which ensure that the features are always invoked and cannot be bypassed.

7.3.2.1 *Identification and Authentication*

z/OS provides identification and authentication of users by the means of:

- an alphanumeric RACF user ID and a system-encrypted password or (for applications that support it) password phrase;
- an alphanumeric RACF user ID and a PassTicket, which is a cryptographically-generated password substitute encompassing the user ID, the requested application name, and the current date/time;
- an SSH key that is configured to be trusted by the user and that is presented to the SSH server during the authentication process.

In the evaluated configuration, all human users are assigned a unique user ID. This user ID supports individual accountability. The TOE security functions authenticate the claimed identity of the user by verifying the password/phrase (or other mechanism, as listed above) before allowing the user to perform any actions that require TSF mediation, other than actions that aid an authorized user in gaining access to the TOE.

The password quality can be tailored to the installation's policies using various parameters. When creating users, administrators are required to choose an initial password and optionally a password phrase, that must usually be changed by the user during the initial logon that uses the password/phrase. Administrators may configure the number of unsuccessful authentication attempts that can be met before the account is disabled.

7.3.2.2 *Discretionary Access Control (DAC)*

z/OS supports access controls that are capable of enforcing access limitations on individual users and data objects; RACF makes access control decisions based on the user's identity, security attributes, group authorities, and the access authority specified with respect to the resource profile.

7.3.2.3 *Auditing*

The TOE provides an auditing capability that allows generating audit records for security-critical events. RACF provides a number of logging and reporting functions that allow resource owners and auditors to identify users who attempt to access resources. Audit records are collected by the System Management Facilities (SMF) into an audit trail, which is protected from unauthorized modification or deletion by the DAC mechanisms.

The system can be configured to halt on exhaustion of audit trail space to prevent audit data loss while operators are warned when audit trail space consumption reaches a predefined threshold.

RACF always generates audit records for such events as unauthorized attempts to access the system or changes to the status of the RACF database. The security administrator, auditors, and other users with appropriate authorization can configure which additional optional security events are to be

logged. RACF provides SMF records for all RACF-protected resources (either “traditional” or z/OS UNIX-based).

7.3.2.4 Object re-use functionality

Reuse of protected objects and of storage is handled by various hardware and software controls, and by administrative practices.

All memory content of non-shared page frames is cleared before making it accessible to other address spaces or data spaces. All resources allocated to UNIX objects are cleared before reuse. Other data pools are under strict TOE control and cannot be accessed directly by normal users.

7.3.2.5 Security management

z/OS provides a set of commands and options to adequately manage the TOE's security functions. Additionally, the TOE provides the capability of managing users, groups of users as well as general resource profiles.

7.3.2.6 Cryptographic support

The TOE provides cryptographic functions by the Integrated Cryptographic Services Facility (ICSF) subsystem. ICSF uses cryptographic hardware provided by the operational environment to provide and support cryptographic functions.

The TOE implements TLS Version 1.2 and Version 1.3 as well SSH version 2 for communication and remote access.

The TOE uses cryptographic support provided by the processor by means of the CPU's CPACF function.

All key material used for cryptographic functions described in this Security Target when in volatile memory are in memory that is assigned to and is accessible by the TSF only.

7.3.2.7 Communication security

z/OS provides different means of secure communication between systems sharing the same security policy, including trusted communication channels for TCP/IP connections. The confidentiality and integrity of network connections are assured by Transport Layer Security (TLS) encrypted communication for TCP/IP connections (Version 1.2 and Version 1.3). z/OS also supports the SSH v2 protocol and the ssh-daemon provided services of ssh (secure shell), scp (secure copy), and sftp (secure ftp).

In addition to the TLS connection, z/OS also supports the IP Security (IPSec) protocol with Internet Key Exchange (IKE) as the key exchange method. This is an additional way to set up a trusted channel to another trusted IT product for IP-based connections. z/OS also provides centralized policy management for IPSec policies across multiple z/OS systems in the network. It also provides centralized management for digital certificates, message signing, and message verification for IPSec across multiple z/OS systems in the network.

7.3.2.8 TSF Protection

TSF protection is based on several protection mechanisms that are provided by the underlying abstract machine: privileged processor instructions, semi-privileged instructions and (while in operation) memory protection mechanisms.

The TOE's address space management ensures that programs running in problem state cannot access protected memory or resources that belong to other address spaces.

Tools are provided in the TOE environment to allow authorized administrators to check the correct operation of the underlying abstract machine.

The TOE also provides the following mechanisms to protect its TSF:

- authorized program facility (APF),
- address space layout randomization (ASLR),
- stack buffer overflow protection,
- verification of integrity of the IPL process,
- trusted software updates using digital signatures.

7.3.2.9 Confidentiality protection of data sets

With z/OS confidentiality protection of data sets, users can encrypt data at rest without requiring application changes. z/OS data set encryption through RACF commands and policies allows the administrator to identify the data sets or groups of data sets that require encryption.

With data set encryption, the administrator is able to protect viewing the data in the clear. This is based on access to the key label that is associated with the data set and used by the access methods to encrypt and decrypt the data.

7.4 Documentation

The guidance documentation specified in “Annex A – Guidelines for the secure usage of the product” is delivered to the customer together with the product.

The guidance documentation contains all the information for secure initialization, configuration, and secure usage of the TOE in accordance with the requirements of the Security Target [ST].

Customers should also follow the recommendations for the secure usage of the TOE contained in section 8.2 of this report.

7.5 Protection Profile conformance claims

The Security Target [ST] does not claim conformance to any Protection Profile.

7.6 Functional and assurance requirements

All Security Assurance Requirements (SAR) have been selected from CC Part 3 [CC3].

All the SFRs have been selected or derived by extension from CC Part 2 [CC2].

It is possible to refer to the Security Target [ST] for the description of all security objectives, the threats that these objectives should address, the Security Functional Requirements (SFRs) and the security functions that realize the same objectives.

7.7 Evaluation conduct

The evaluation has been conducted in accordance with the requirements established by the Italian Scheme for the evaluation and certification of security systems and products in the field of information technology and expressed in the Provisional Guideline [LGP3] and the Scheme Information Note [NIS3] and [NIS5] and in accordance with the requirements of the Common Criteria Recognition Arrangement [CCRA].

The purpose of the evaluation is to provide assurance on the effectiveness of the TOE to meet the requirements stated in the relevant Security Target [ST]. Initially the Security Target has been evaluated to ensure that constitutes a solid basis for an evaluation in accordance with the requirements expressed by the standard CC. Then, the TOE has been evaluated on the basis of the statements contained in such a Security Target. Both phases of the evaluation have been conducted in accordance with the CC Part 3 [CC3] and the Common Evaluation Methodology [CEM].

The Certification Body OCSI has supervised the conduct of the evaluation performed by the evaluation facility (LVS) atsec information security s.r.l.

The evaluation was completed on June 30th, 2025 with the issuance by LVS of the Evaluation Technical Report v.2 [ETRv2] that has been approved by the Certification Body on 28 July 2025.

A final version of the ETR was delivered by the LVS on 21 August 2025 [ETRv3] including some changes requested by the CB.

Then, the Certification Body issued this Certification Report.

7.8 General considerations about the certification validity

The evaluation focused on the security features declared in the Security Target [ST], with reference to the operational environment specified therein. The evaluation has been performed on the TOE configured as described in “Annex B – Evaluated configuration”.

Potential customers are advised to check that this corresponds to their own requirements and to pay attention to the recommendations contained in this Certification Report.

Certification is not a guarantee that no vulnerabilities exist; there is a probability that exploitable vulnerabilities can be discovered after the issuance of the certificate.

This Certification Report reflects the conclusions of the certification at the time of issuance. Potential customers are invited to regularly check the arising of any new vulnerability after the issuance of this Certification Report, and if the vulnerability can be exploited in the operational environment of the TOE, check with the Developer if security updates have been developed and if those updates have been evaluated and certified.

8 Evaluation outcome

8.1 Evaluation results

Following the analysis of the Evaluation Technical Report v.2 [ETRV2] issued by the LVS atsec information security s.r.l. and documents required for the certification, and considering the evaluation activities carried out, the Certification Body OCSI concluded that TOE named “IBM z/OS Version 2 Release 5” meets the requirements of Part 3 of the Common Criteria [CC3] provided for the evaluation assurance level EAL4 augmented with ALC_FLR.3, with respect to the security features described in the Security Target [ST] and the evaluated configuration, shown in “Annex B – Evaluated configuration”.

Table 1 summarizes the final verdict of each activity carried out by the LVS in accordance with the assurance requirements established in [CC3] for the evaluation assurance level EAL4 augmented with ALC_FLR.3 (augmentation in *italics* in Table 1).

Assurance classes and components		Verdict
Security Target evaluation	Class ASE	Pass
Conformance claims	ASE_CCL.1	Pass
Extended components definition	ASE_ECD.1	Pass
ST introduction	ASE_INT.1	Pass
Security objectives	ASE_OBJ.2	Pass
Derived security requirements	ASE_REQ.2	Pass
Security problem definition	ASE_SPD.1	Pass
TOE summary specification	ASE_TSS.1	Pass
Development	Class ADV	Pass
Security architecture description	ADV_ARC.1	Pass
Complete functional specification	ADV_FSP.4	Pass
Implementation representation of the TSF	ADV_IMP.1	Pass
Basic modular design	ADV_TDS.3	Pass
Guidance documents	Class AGD	Pass
Operational user guidance	AGD_OPE.1	Pass
Preparative procedures	AGD_PRE.1	Pass
Life cycle support	Class ALC	Pass
Production support, acceptance procedures and automation	ALC_CMC.4	Pass
Problem tracking CM coverage	ALC_CMS.4	Pass
Delivery procedures	ALC_DEL.1	Pass
Identification of security measures	ALC_DVS.1	Pass
<i>Systematic flaw remediation</i>	<i>ALC_FLR.3</i>	<i>Pass</i>

Assurance classes and components		Verdict
Developer defined life-cycle model	ALC_LCD.1	Pass
Well-defined development tools	ALC_TAT.1	Pass
Test	Class ATE	Pass
Analysis of coverage	ATE_COV.2	Pass
Testing: basic design	ATE_DPT.1	Pass
Functional testing	ATE_FUN.1	Pass
Independent testing - sample	ATE_IND.2	Pass
Vulnerability assessment	Class AVA	Pass
Focused vulnerability analysis	AVA_VAN.3	Pass

Table 1 Final verdicts for assurance requirements

8.2 Recommendations

The conclusions of the Certification Body (OCSI) are summarized in section 6 (Statement of Certification).

Potential customers of the product “IBM z/OS Version 2 Release 5” are suggested to properly understand the specific purpose of the certification by reading this Certification Report together with the Security Target [ST].

The TOE must be used according to the “*Objectives for the Operational Environment*” specified in section 4.2 of the Security Target [ST]. It is assumed that, in the operational environment of the TOE, all Assumptions described in sections 3.3 and 3.4 of the Security Target [ST] shall be satisfied.

This Certification Report is valid for the TOE in its evaluated configuration; in particular, “Annex A – Guidelines for the secure usage of the product” includes a number of recommendations relating to delivery, installation, configuration and secure usage of the product, according to the guidance documentation provided together with the TOE.

9 Annex A – Guidelines for the secure usage of the product

This annex provides considerations particularly relevant to the potential customers of the product.

9.1 TOE delivery

9.1.1 Scope of TOE supply

The following table contains the item that comprise the different elements of the TOE including software and guidance.

No	Type	Identifier	Release	Form of Delivery
<i>z/OS Version 2 Release 5 (z/OS V2.5, program number¹ 5650-ZOS) Common Criteria Evaluated Base Package</i>				
1	SW	z/OS V2.5 Common Criteria Evaluated Base (IBM program number 5650-ZOS)	V2R5	Electronic
2	DOC	DOC z/OS V2.5 Program Directory Archive file name: e0zpdz40.pdf SHA256 hashsum of the file: 18fcd09052a6a58dd9a7adb46379f8c3e77eb257ce1c37ad66ec65ccaa9049d5	GI11-9848-04	Digital copy
		Download from https://www.ibm.com/docs/en/zos/2.5.0		
3	DOC	z/OS V2R5 PDF Library Archive file name: zOS250-Indexed-PDF-package-(Final-refresh).zip SHA256 hashsum of the file: 52cfa67733c4e0d2c507e282d7f69f56cc13c7e7a0bbfe2a9507b86d7daaf056	V2R5	Digital copy
		Download from https://www.ibm.com/docs/en/zos/2.5.0		

¹ The "program number" (or "product number") is IBM's technical identification of the product "z/OS". It is used for order and license purposes and does not uniquely identify the TOE.

No	Type	Identifier	Release	Form of Delivery
4	DOC	z/OS 2.5 Installation Guides (GA32-0890-50 – Z/OS 2.5 Planning for Installation) Archive file name: e0zb100_v2r5.pdf SHA256 hashsum of the file: 6376e932f19a8468e75a7043086bab5be9fd574c7cd2f24701a5a464c70ab9c (SA23-2278-50 – ServerPac Dialog Level: 30 - Using the Installation Dialog) Archive file name: gima200_v2r5.pdf SHA256 hashsum of the file: d9eded9f48c25544ae20f512be4085e5732719531c853cc99ff5c8258d643da7	GA32-0890-50, SA23-2278-50	Digital copy
		Download from https://www.ibm.com/docs/en/zos/2.5.0		
3	DOC	Memo to Customers of z/OS V2.5 Common Criteria Evaluated Base Download from: https://www.ibm.com/software/shopzseries/ShopzSeries_public.wss	n/a	Digital copy
		Download from https://www.ibm.com/software/shopzseries/ShopzSeries_public.wss		
4	DOC	[MLSGUIDE] z/OS V2.5 Planning for Multilevel Security and the Common Criteria file name: 0ze100_v2r5.pdf Last updated: 2025-06-16 SHA256 hashsum of the document: d4011ad5ff77aa3368b0812fb74d3b7a8dceaebf81633fc95144306dd9cd3efa	GA32-0891-50	Digital copy
Additional Media				
5	SW	The following APARs (required): (Documentation APAR) OA64593 (Documentation APAR) OA66552	n/a	Digital copy

No	Type	Identifier	Release	Form of Delivery
		• OA56911 • OA57975 • OA60095 • OA62371 • OA66005 These PTFs are to be obtained electronically from ShopzSeries (https://www.ibm.com/software/shopzseries)		

Table 2 - TOE Deliverables

9.1.2 Delivery procedure

The evaluated version of z/OS can be ordered via an IBM sales representative or via the ShopzSeries web application (https://www.ibm.com/software/shopzseries/ShopzSeries_public.wss). When filling an order via (secured) internet services, IBM requires customers to have an account with a login name and password. Registration for such an account in turn requires a valid customer ID from IBM.

The TOE order can be delivered to the customer only on digital form (over the internet).

The evaluated version of the TOE can only be ordered by customers already registered with IBM and requires the IBM sales representative assigned to this customer being involved. As a result, a customer cannot receive a delivery which he did not order in the first place, at least not without the customer noting this fact. Because of the individualized order and delivery process, there is no realistic scenario which a rogue delivery was possible even if IBM had not sent anything or had not received an order.

Order content is staged to an IBM download server and Shopz generates a customized download page for each order. The download page includes links for order content and instructions. A typical z/OS-only ServerPac order is approximately 20 GB (compressed) in size. A typical subsystem ServerPac order is approximately 2 GB (compressed) in size.

Subsection "*Choosing the Internet download method: Direct or intermediate*" explains that with the Internet delivery option the customer also have to choose whether to download the order directly to z/OS (recommended) or download it to an intermediate node (a workstation) and then forward it to the z/OS system:

- if downloading directly to z/OS, integrity of Internet order is ensured by SHA-1 hashing algorithm and verification if the digital signature is not verified;
- if downloading to a workstation as an intermediate node RSA encryption to create a digital signature. A unique client and server public/private key pair is created.

CBPDO (Custom-Built Product Delivery Option) and z/OSMF (z/OS Management Facility) ServerPac order packages are signed by IBM and the digital signature can be optionally verified.

Under subsection "*Security of your Internet order*", the plan installation guide describes that the internet delivery method uses a combination of standard authentication and data integrity approaches to provide security for information about the order and to ensure the integrity of the contents of the order using Shopz user ID and password.

Hashing algorithms are used for both download methods (directly to z/OS and to a workstation as an intermediate node). For downloads directly to z/OS, SMP/E ensures the data integrity of the package through its assignment of a hash value and digital signature during packaging of the order and required verification of that hash value and optional verification of the digital signature upon download. SMP/E uses the ICSF One-Way Hash Generate callable service to perform the verification. When using FTP Secure (FTPS) or HTTP Secure (HTTPS) to download the order directly to the z/OS host system, the package is encrypted during transmission. When using Download Director to download the order to a workstation as an intermediate node, the package is encrypted during transmission.

Furthermore, in subsection "*Network security*" of the IBM web site, the guide explains that before downloading the order, the customer must understand the network security environment.

- If the customer is planning to download directly to z/OS, he must be familiar with the security and networking information that is required to navigate his enterprise's firewall or proxy server from z/OS (for a ServerPac or for CBPDO).

Server information defines the IBM download server where the order resides. The server information specifies the IP address or hostname of the IBM download server, and the User ID and password information to access the IBM download server.

- If the customer is downloading the order to a workstation and he plans to use SMP/E RECEIVE FROMNETWORK to transfer the order to z/OS, he must update the server information to reflect the workstation's FTP server information.

Client information describes the IP address or host name of the firewall or proxy server, IP port, User ID and password, Account information, Firewall-specific or proxy server commands, Signature keyring if the customer is verifying the package signature.

ServerPac uses the One-Way Hash Generate callable service to verify the SHA-1 hash value associated with the package. To receive the order by using FTPS, ICSF must be configured and active. To receive the order by using HTTPS, the SMP/E Java application class must be available.

Finally, the “z/OS Planning for Installation” in subsection “Security for signed software packages” explains that z/OS SMP/E and z/OSMF Software Management provide the ability to digitally sign and verify the signature of GIMZIP software packages that are delivered both electronically and physically, on all supported z/OS releases. This capability ensures that a software package is not modified since it was created and is signed by the expected provider.

A signed product package contains an SHA-256 hash for each file, and an SHA-256 with RSA signature for the package.

Both z/OSMF ServerPac and CBPDO order packages are signed by IBM. Observe the following considerations:

- IBM signs z/OSMF ServerPac portable software instance packages, including all electronic and DVD packages for all SRELs.
- IBM signs CBPDO order packages, including all electronic and DVD packages for all SRELs.

In addition, the TOE delivery process implies a very special ordering process, indeed the TOE is not a standard off-the-shelf-product but requires specific hardware to be run on as stated in 1.5.3.2 “Software Configuration” of the [ST], which is only available from IBM and already involves a business relationship.

9.2 Installation, configuration and secure usage of the TOE

TOE installation, configuration and secure usage should be done by following the instructions in the appropriate sections of the guidance documentation provided with the product to the customer.

In particular, the documents provided by IBM, as listed in section 9.1.1, contain detailed information for the secure initialization of the TOE, the preparation of its operational environment and the secure usage of the TOE in accordance with the security objectives specified in the Security Target [ST].

The following configuration of the TOE is covered by this certification:

The z/OS V2R5 Common Criteria Evaluated Base package must be installed according to the directions delivered with the media and configured according to the instructions in [MLSGUIDE] chapter 7. Also, all required PTFs as listed in Table 2 above must be installed.

The installation can exclude any of the elements delivered within the ServerPac, however, **user must install, configure, and use at least the RACF component of the Security Server** (available as optional feature) and the ICSF component of Cryptographic Services.

The IEASYSxx parmlib OSPROTECT parameter specifies the operating system mitigation mode for unauthorized programs and users. OSPROTECT must be set to 1 or its equivalent value SYSTEM, which is the default.

The system must be configured with address space layout randomization (ASLR) enabled for storage access. This setting is enabled through the following DIAGxx statement: ASLR(YES).

In addition, any software outside the TOE may be added without affecting the security characteristics of the system, if it cannot run under the following conditions:

- in supervisor state;
- as APF-authorized;
- with keys from 0 through 7;
- with UID(0) or with authority to FACILITY resources BPX.DAEMON, BPX.SERVER, or BPX.SUPERUSER, or with authority to UNIXPRIV resources.

This explicitly excludes:

- replacement of any element in the ServerPac providing security functions relevant to this evaluation by other third-party products;
- installation of system exits that run authorized (supervisor state, APF-authorized, or with key 0 through 7), with the exception of default installation exits that are shipped with MVS that do not compromise security:
 - allocated/Offline Device Installation Exit;
 - specific Waits Installation Exit;
 - volume ENQ Installation Exit;
 - volume Mount Exit;
 - ASREXIT—SYMREC Authorization Exit;
 - IEALIMIT—Limiting User Region Size;
 - IEAVTSEL—Post Dump Exit Name List;
 - IEFDOIXT—Edit/Check A Caller's Text Units;
 - ISGGREX0—Scanning the ENQ/DEQ/RESERVE Resource Name Lists.

With the exception of installation exits that are shipped with RACF that do not compromise security:

- the sample new password phrase exit, ICHPWX11 (from SYS1.SAMPLIB(RACEXITS));
- the REXX exec IRRPHREX (from SYS1.SAMPLIB(IRRPHEX)), which is invoked by ICHPWX11;
- adding user own local checks to the Health Checker for z/OS because those checks run authorized. If there is the need to add user own checks, add them as unauthorized remote checks;
- using the Authorized Caller Table (ICHAUTAB) in RACF to allow unauthorized programs to issue RACROUTE REQUEST=VERIFY (RACINIT) or RACROUTE REQUEST=LIST (RACLIST).

Digital Certificate Access Server (DCAS), specific configuration options for client authentication and certificate usage are mandatory with DCAS as:

- DCAS configuration options must specify CLIENTAUTH LOCAL2;
- DCAS configuration options must specify SERVERTYPE CERTTYPE. SERVERTYPE ALLTYPES and SERVERTYPE USERIDTYPE must not be used;
- Network applications that use DCAS must be controlled by using the resource EZA.DCAS.system-name in the SERVAUTH class.

The SSH daemon *sshd* is part of the TOE, if used:

- must be configured to use protocol version 2 and at least one of the AES-based cipher suites stated in the [ST];
- must be configured to allow only password-based (including password phrase) authentication of users or public-key based authentication of users with the public keys stored in RACF keyrings. Host-based and public-key based user authentication with the keys stored elsewhere cannot be used in the evaluated configuration;
- must be configured with privilege separation enabled. The goal of privilege separation is to prevent privilege escalation by containing any programming errors within the unprivileged processes;
- it must be configured with the ForwardAgent option set to OFF, which is the default;
- it must be configured with the AuthorizedKeysCommand and AuthorizedPrincipalsCommand settings disabled.

Data set key protection:

- a data set encryption key must be defined as a protected key;
- a data set encryption key is considered destroyed with the destruction of the master key. The destruction of the master key results in the immediate invalidation of every key that is encrypted by the master key. As a result, the data set encryption key is no longer usable.

TLS:

- TLS (Transport Layer Security) processing, if used, can use TLS v.1.2 or TLS v1.3 and must use one of the cipher suites that are listed in “System SSL” of [MLSGUIDE];
- x.509 client and server certificates must be Elliptic Curve (ECC) NIST Curve 384 or 521, with SHA-384 or 512 signatures. These certificates must be managed by RACDCERT and their private keys must be stored in an ICSF PKA key data set (PKDS);
- any application performing client authentication using client digital certificates over TLS must be configured to use a RACF Key ring. The keyring contains the application certificate and private key, and the allowed Certificate Authority (CA) certificates that may be used to provide the client certificates that the application will support. The use of gskkyman for this purpose is not part of the evaluated configuration; applications cannot enable TLS session renegotiations and must disable session resumption;
- any application that uses TLS with client or server authentication must be configured for revocation checking through Online Certification Status Protocol (OCSP) responses;
- N3270 protocol for TSO E is based on the TLS protocol. Therefore, TN3270 must be configured according to the certified TLS configuration.

RACF:

- do not use the RACF remote sharing facility (RRSF) in remote mode. If there is the need to use RRSF in local mode, ensure that command direction cannot be used by taking one of the following actions:
 - ensure that the RRFSFDATA class is not active;
 - define the profile DIRECT.* in the RRFSFDATA class with UACC(NONE) and no users in the access list;
- do not use multifactor authentication. User can disable the use of multifactor authentication by making the MFADEF class inactive.

The following elements and element components cannot be used in the evaluated configuration, either because they violate the security policies stated in this Security Target or because they have been removed from the evaluated configuration due to time and resource constraints of the evaluation. As they are part of the base system, either they **must be not configured for use or they must be deactivated**, as described in Chapter 7, "*The evaluated configuration for the Common Criteria*" in z/OS Planning for Multilevel Security and the Common Criteria [MLSGUIDE]:

- Apache Server;
- BCPii;
- All Bulk Data Transfer (BDT) elements: BDT (FMID HBD6602), BDT File-to-File (FMID JBD6201), and BDT Systems Network Architecture (SNA) NJE (FMID JBD6202);
- The DF Server Message Block (SMB) from the element zFS File System;
- Infoprint Server (FMIDs HMOS705, HNET7C0, HOPI7B0);
- JES3 (FMID HJS77B0);
- Kerberos;
- LDAP;
- NFS;
- PKI Services;
- SUDO;

In addition, the following cannot be used in the certified configuration (they **must be not configured for use or they must be deactivated**):

- the Advanced Program-to-Program Communication / Multiple Virtual Storage (APPC/MVS) component of the BCP;
- the DFSMS Object Access Method for content management type applications;
- the RACF remote sharing facility in remote mode;
- the multi-level security environment;
- JES2 NJE communication via TCP/IP. JES2 NJE must use SNA or BSC in the certified configuration;
- JES2 Execution Batch Monitor (XBM) facility.

The [MLSGUIDE] in section "*Boundary checking is enabled*" of chapter 7 states that in the certified configuration, applications that are written in a language for which the compiler supports boundary checking, such as a stack protection mechanism, must enable boundary checking.

The [MLSGUIDE] in section "*System configuration*" of chapter 7 describes in its subsections the requirements for the certified system's configuration.

10 Annex B – Evaluated configuration

The Target of Evaluation is “z/OS Version 2 Release 5”, developed by IBM Corp. The TOE is software only and is accompanied by guidance documentation. The items listed in Table 2 represent the TOE.

The TOE name and version number uniquely identify the TOE and its components, which constitute the evaluated configuration of the TOE verified by the Evaluator at the time they perform the tests and to which the evaluation results apply.

10.1 TOE operational environment

The TOE is running a logical partition provided by PR/SM or a certified version of z/VM on one of the following z System processors:

- IBM z16 with CPACF DES/TDES Enablement Feature 3863 active, with Crypto Express8S (CEX8) cards.

The assumptions about the technical environment in which the TOE is intended to be used are reported in section 3.3. of [ST].

11 Annex C – Test activity

This annex describes the task of both the Evaluators and the Developer in testing activities.

11.1 Test configuration

The test systems were running “z/OS Version 2 Release 5” in the evaluated configuration.

The Security Target requires the software packages comprising the TOE to be run on an abstract machine implementing the z/Architecture machine interface as defined in the "z/Architecture Principles of Operation" [ZARCH]. The hardware platforms implementing this abstract machine are:

- IBM z16 with CPACF DES/TDES Enablement Feature 3863 active, with Crypto Express8S (CEX8) cards.

Note that the **above mentioned CryptoExpress cards are not part of z/OS** and therefore the implementation of the cryptographic functions provided by those cards has not been analyzed.

Testing has been performed using those cards to ensure that TOE operates correctly with the cryptographic functions provided by those cards. The claims made in the Security Target concerning the cryptographic functions therefore apply to those functions implemented in software.

The TOE may be running on machines within a logical partition provided by a certified version of IBM PR/SM. In addition, the TOE may run on a virtual machine provided by a certified version of IBM z/VM. Tests have been performed using the z/VM environment.

For the peripherals that can be used with the TOE, please refer to the [ST], section 1.5.3.2.

All testing activities have been carried out remotely from the LVS premises having full and exclusive control on the test machine as per [NIS5].

11.2 Functional tests performed by the Developer

Due to the massive number of tests, testing was performed throughout the development of the TOE. To ensure proper testing of all security relevant behaviour of the TOE, the Evaluators verified that all tests, that might have been affected by any security-relevant change introduced late in the development cycle, had been run.

11.2.1 Test approach

FVT for z/OS is largely performed on the VICOM test system. This is an enhanced z/VM system implementing the z/Architecture abstract machine interface. It allows testers to bring up individual, virtual test machines running z/OS with access to virtualized peripherals such as disks and network connections. For the purpose of the security function tests, this environment is fully equivalent to the machines running z/OS. This environment was also used by the Evaluators for their independent testing.

IBM has provided a common test framework for tests that can be automated. The BERD (Background Environment Random Driver) test driver submits the testcases as JES jobs. IBM's intention is to move more and more tests to this automated environment, which will ease the test effort required for the evaluations substantially. Most test teams ran their manual tests in the so-called “Communication Security” (COMSEC) test environment, which provides a complete test environment in the evaluated configuration of the TOE in the different modes of operation.

The Developer provided a pre-installed system image for VICOM and for the machines running the COMSEC tests. The additional PTFs were applied to the VICOM and COMSEC systems as they became available.

IBM's general test approach is defined in the process for Integrated Product Development (IPD) with Developer tests, FVT, and System Verification Tests (SVT). FVT and SVT test is performed by test teams, with testers being independent from the Developer. The different test teams have developed their own individual test and test documentation tools, but all implement the requirements set forth in the IPD documentation.

For the purpose of the evaluation, FVT is of interest to the Evaluators, since the single security functions claimed in the [ST] are tested here. IBM decided to create a test bucket with the tests for the security functions, summarizing the tests in individual test plans, so that the Evaluators had a chance to deal with the otherwise overwhelming complexity of the z/OS testing.

IBM's test strategy for the evaluation had three cornerstones:

- The major internal security interface was the interface to RACF, which is tested exhaustively by the RACF test group.
- Components requiring Identification and Authentication or Access Control services call RACF. For most of these services, it is sufficient to demonstrate that these interfaces call RACF, once the testing of the RACF interface (see above) has established confidence in the correct inner workings of RACF.
- Due to the design of z/OS, a large number of internal interfaces is also visible externally, although the interfaces are not intended to be called by external, unprivileged subjects. For these interfaces, which are basically authorized programs, operator commands, certain callable services, SVC and PC routines, testing established only that these interfaces cannot be called by unauthorized callers.

Apart from these tests, all components providing external interfaces for security functions were tested intensively. For the current version of z/OS this included additional tests for enhancements of the already existing TOE components. All new test cases were determined to follow the approach of the already existing tests for the respective component.

For components providing cryptographic functions, testing was performed with and without hardware cryptographic support in order to test the correct usage of the hardware cryptographic functions, if present, and the correct implementation of the software implementation within the TOE.

11.2.2 Test Coverage

The Evaluators verified the complete coverage between the test cases in the test documentation provided by Developer and the TSFIs described in the functional specification. The Evaluators verified that the test cases are sufficient to demonstrate the internal behaviour and properties of the TSF.

11.2.3 Test results

The actual test results of all Developer's tests were consistent with the expected ones.

11.3 Functional and independent tests performed by the Evaluators

11.3.1 Test approach

The Evaluators performed tests following the CEM approach to test every security function, without striving for exhaustive testing: Evaluators devised a test strategy for the tests they intended to re-run from the developer's tests and the set of tests they were developing themselves.

The Evaluator performed testing remotely by connecting to the test environment using IBM hardened laptops. The Developer set up the test environment with the actual TOE model in Poughkeepsie, New York, USA. The testing was performed between January and March 2025.

11.3.2 Test result

The Evaluators involved in the tests decided to focus the sampling based on [ST] functional claims related to TOE security functions.

Changes that were new in V2R5 are classified as *major*. With this concept in mind, the sampling of the Evaluators is based on the re-execution of the tests associated with a major claim or one that has undergone an update since the previous evaluation (*minor*).

Finally, the Evaluators consider the strategy used to be reasonable also because the security functions of the “core” system had already been investigated several times, and no indication had been found throughout the evaluation that any changes in the system behaviour could be expected for the unchanged security functionality.

The Evaluators chose to observe the developer tests while they performed a sample of their test cases. This setup was preferred to setting up an own instance of COMSEC, which would have required the transfer of all instrumentation software to that system. Rather, the Evaluators decided to observe test runs from the different test teams, which would also allow them to interview the testers and understand their tests and methodology in a more efficient manner than from the investigation of the test documentation alone. Therefore, the Evaluators scheduled a series of test sessions with the different test teams.

The sampled developer's tests were run successfully, and the Evaluators verified the correct behaviour of the TSFIs and TSFs and the correspondence between expected results and achieved results for each test.

All test cases devised by the Evaluators were run successfully and all the test results were consistent to the expected test results.

11.4 Vulnerability analysis and penetration tests

For the execution of these activities, the Evaluators worked on the test environment and TOE already used for the functional test activities, verifying that the TOE and the test environment were properly configured.

The Evaluator analysed the Security Target [ST], design documentation, and test results for potential vulnerabilities. In addition, the Evaluators performed a search on public sources for known potential vulnerabilities of the TOE or components of the TOE. After an analysis of different potential vulnerabilities, the Evaluator devise the following three penetration tests:

- interfering with the password change function;
- checking for correct storage key validations on legacy SVC system calls;

- attempt to exhaust memory space used by z/OS for storing task control blocks.

The Evaluators could then conclude that the TOE is resistant to an attack potential of Enhanced Basic in its intended operating environment. No exploitable or residual vulnerabilities have been identified.